RECONNAISSANCE FLORA AND VEGETATION SURVEY REPORT



CBH Borden Expansion Area

Borden, WA 6338

Final

07/02/2023





DOCUMENT CONTROL

Title: Reconnaissance Flora and Vegetation Survey Report – CBH Borden Expansion Area Author (s): Karri Grant and Charlize van der Mescht Reviewer (s): Bianca Theyer and Katie White Job No.: CBH0025 Client: Cooperative Bulk Handling

REVISION RECORD

Revision	Summary	Prepared By	Reviewed By	Date
Draft v.1	Internal Quality Assurance Review	C. vd Mescht & K. Grant	B. Theyer	1/12/2022
Draft v.2.	Internal Technical Review	C. vd Mescht & K. Grant	K. White	1/12/2022
Draft v.3	Submitted to client as Draft	C. vd Mescht & K. Grant		09/12/2022
Final	Submitted to client as Final	C. vd Mescht & K. Grant		07/02/2023



Bio Diverse Solutions Australia Pty Ltd

Albany Office 29 Hercules Crescent Albany WA 6330 (08) 9842 1575 Denmark Office Unit 7, 40 South Coast Highway Denmark WA 6333 (08) 9848 1309 Esperance Office Unit 2A, 113 Dempster Street Esperance WA 6450 (08) 9072 1382

www.biodiversesolutions.com.au ABN 46 643 954 929

(C) Copyright: This document has been prepared by Bio Diverse Solutions for use by the client only, in accordance with the terms of engagement, and only for the purpose for which it was prepared.



Table of Contents

1. Introduction, Scope and Background Information 2 1.1 Location and Development Proposal. 2 1.2 Alignment to Legislation, Guidelines and Policies 3 1.3 Geology and soils 5 1.4 Climate 5 1.5 Habitat Connectivity 5 1.6 Water 6 1.7 Environmentally Sensitive Areas 6 1.8 Remnant Vegetation 6 1.9 Heritage 6 2.1 Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities 8 3.1 Survey Limitations and Constraints 9 3.1 Survey Limitations and Constraints 9 3.1 Survey Limitations and Priority Flora 14 4.1 Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 14 4.2 Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 14 5.1 Flora Diversity. 18 5.2 Vegetation Units 18 5.3 Vegetation Units 18 5.4 Results – Field Survey. 18 5.5 Presence of	Executiv	e Summary	.1
1.1 Location and Development Proposal. 2 1.2 Alignment to Legislation, Guidelines and Policies 3 1.3 Geology and soils 5 1.4 Climate 5 1.5 Habitat Connectivity 5 1.6 Water 6 1.7 Environmentally Sensitive Areas 6 1.8 Remnant Vegetation 6 1.9 Heritage 6 2.1 Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities 8 3.1 Survey Limitations and Constraints 9 3.1 Survey Limitations and Priority Flora 14 4.1 Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 14 5.2 Vegetation Condition 20 5.4 Vegetation Condition 20 5.4 Weeds and disturbance 27 5.5 Presence of	1.	Introduction, Scope and Background Information	.2
1.2 Alignment to Legislation, Guidelines and Policies 3 1.3 Geology and soils 5 1.4 Climate 5 1.5 Habitat Connectivity 5 1.6 Water 6 1.7 Environmentally Sensitive Areas 6 1.8 Remnant Vegetation 6 1.9 Heritage 6 2.0 Methodology – Desktop Assessment 8 2.1 Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities 8 3.1 Survey Limitations and Constraints 9 3.1 Results – Desktop Assessment 14 4.1 Threatened and Priority Flora 14 4.1 Threatened and Priority Flora 14 4.1 Threatened and Priority Flora 14 5.1 Flora Diversity 18 5.2 Vegetation Units 18 5.3 Vegetation Units 20 5.4 Weeds and disturbance 22 5.5 Presence of Priority or Threatened Flora 24 5.6 Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 27 <td>1.1</td> <td>Location and Development Proposal</td> <td>. 2</td>	1.1	Location and Development Proposal	. 2
1.3 Geology and soils 5 1.4 Climate 5 1.5 Habitat Connectivity 5 1.6 Water 6 1.7 Environmentally Sensitive Areas 6 1.8 Remnant Vegetation 6 1.9 Heritage 6 2.1 Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities 8 3.1 Survey Limitations and Constraints 9 3.1 Survey Limitations and Constraints 9 3.1 Survey Limitations and Constraints 9 4.1 Threatened and Priority Flora 14 4.2 Threatened and Priority Flora 14 4.3 Results – Desktop Assessment 14 4.4 Threatened and Priority Flora 14 5.2 Vegetation Units 18 5.1 Flora Diversity 18 5.2 Vegetation Condition 20 5.4 Weeds and disturbance 22 5.5 Presence of Priority Ecological Communities 27 6.6 Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 27	1.2	Alignment to Legislation, Guidelines and Policies	. 3
1.4 Climate 5 1.5 Habitat Connectivity 5 1.6 Water 6 1.7 Environmentally Sensitive Areas 6 1.8 Remnant Vegetation 6 1.9 Heritage 6 2.1 Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities 8 3.1 Survey Limitations and Constraints 9 3.1 Survey Limitations and Constraints 9 4.1 Threatened and Priority Flora 14 4.2 Threatened and Priority Flora 14 4.1 Threatened and Priority Flora 14 5.2 Vegetation Units 18 5.1 Flora Diversity 18 5.2 Vegetation Condition 20 5.4 Weeds and disturbance 22 5.5 Presence of Priority Ecological Communities 24 5.6 Threatened Flora 24 5.7 Presence of Priority Cological Communities 27 6 Discussion 29 7 References 30 8 Append	1.3	Geology and soils	. 5
1.5 Habitat Connectivity. 5 1.6 Water. 6 1.7 Environmentally Sensitive Areas. 6 1.8 Remnant Vegetation 6 1.9 Heritage 6 2.0 Methodology – Desktop Assessment 8 2.1 Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities 8 3. Methodology – Field Survey 9 3. Survey Limitations and Constraints 9 4. Results – Desktop Assessment 14 4.1. Threatened and Priority Flora 14 4.1. Threatened and Priority Flora 14 4.1. Threatened and Priority Flora 14 4.2. Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 14 5. Results – Field Survey. 18 5.1. Flora Diversity. 18 5.2. Vegetation Units 18 5.3. Vegetation Condition 20 5.4. Weeds and disturbance 22 5.5. Presence of Priority or Threatened Flora 24 5.6. Threatened and Priority Ecolo	1.4	Climate	. 5
1.6 Water 6 1.7 Environmentally Sensitive Areas 6 1.8 Remnant Vegetation 6 1.9 Heritage 6 2. Methodology – Desktop Assessment 8 2.1 Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities 8 3. Methodology – Field Survey 9 3.1 Survey Limitations and Constraints 9 4. Results – Desktop Assessment 14 4.1. Threatened and Priority Flora 14 4.1. Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 14 4.1. Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 14 5. Results – Field Survey 18 5.1. Flora Diversity 18 5.2. Vegetation Condition 20 5.4. Weeds and disturbance 22 5.5. Presence of Priority or Threatened Flora 24 5.6. Presence of Priority Ecological Communities 27 6. Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 27 6. Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 27 <td>1.5</td> <td>Habitat Connectivity</td> <td>. 5</td>	1.5	Habitat Connectivity	. 5
1.7 Environmentally Sensitive Areas 6 1.8 Remnant Vegetation 6 1.9 Heritage 6 2. Methodology – Desktop Assessment 8 2.1 Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities 8 3.1 Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities 9 3.1 Survey Limitations and Constraints 9 4. Results – Desktop Assessment 14 4.1 Threatened and Priority Flora 14 4.2. Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 14 5. Results – Desktop Assessment 14 4.1 Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 14 5. Results – Field Survey 18 5.1 Flora Diversity 18 5.2 Vegetation Units 18 5.3 Vegetation Condition 20 5.4 Weeds and disturbance 22 5.5 Presence of Priority or Threatened Flora 24 5.6 Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 27 6 Discussion 29	1.6	Water	.6
1.8 Remnant Vegetation 6 1.9 Heritage 6 2. Methodology – Desktop Assessment 8 2.1 Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities 8 3.1 Survey Limitations and Constraints 9 3.1. Survey Limitations and Constraints 9 4. Results – Desktop Assessment 14 4.1 Threatened and Priority Flora 14 4.2. Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 14 5. Results – Field Survey 18 5.1 Flora Diversity 18 5.2. Vegetation Units 18 5.3. Vegetation Condition 20 5.4. Weeds and disturbance 22 5.5. Presence of Priority Ecological Communities 27 6. Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 27 7. References 30 </th <td>1.7</td> <td>Environmentally Sensitive Areas</td> <td>. 6</td>	1.7	Environmentally Sensitive Areas	. 6
1.9Heritage62.Methodology – Desktop Assessment82.1Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities83.Methodology – Field Survey93.1Survey Limitations and Constraints94.Results – Desktop Assessment144.1Threatened and Priority Flora144.2.Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities145.Results – Field Survey185.1Flora Diversity185.2.Vegetation Units185.3.Vegetation Condition205.4.Weeds and disturbance225.5.Presence of Priority or Threatened Flora245.6.Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities276.Discussion297.References308.Appendices33	1.8	Remnant Vegetation	. 6
2. Methodology – Desktop Assessment 8 2.1. Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities 8 3. Methodology – Field Survey 9 3.1. Survey Limitations and Constraints 9 4. Results – Desktop Assessment 14 4.1. Threatened and Priority Flora 14 4.2. Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 14 5. Results – Field Survey 18 5.1. Flora Diversity 18 5.2. Vegetation Units 18 5.3. Vegetation Condition 20 5.4. Weeds and disturbance 22 5.5. Presence of Priority or Threatened Flora 24 5.6. Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 27 6. Discussion 29 7. References 30 8. Appendices 33	1.9	Heritage	.6
2.1.Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities83.Methodology – Field Survey93.1.Survey Limitations and Constraints94.Results – Desktop Assessment144.1.Threatened and Priority Flora144.2.Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities145.Results – Field Survey185.1.Flora Diversity185.2.Vegetation Units185.3.Vegetation Condition205.4.Weeds and disturbance225.5.Presence of Priority or Threatened Flora245.6.Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities276.Discussion297.References308.Appendices33	2.	Methodology – Desktop Assessment	.8
3. Methodology – Field Survey	2.1.	Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities	.8
3.1.Survey Limitations and Constraints94.Results – Desktop Assessment144.1.Threatened and Priority Flora144.2.Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities145.Results – Field Survey185.1.Flora Diversity185.2.Vegetation Units185.3.Vegetation Condition205.4.Weeds and disturbance225.5.Presence of Priority or Threatened Flora245.6.Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities276.Discussion297.References308.Appendices33	3.	Methodology – Field Survey	.9
4.Results – Desktop Assessment	3.1.	Survey Limitations and Constraints	.9
4.1.Threatened and Priority Flora144.2.Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities145.Results – Field Survey185.1.Flora Diversity185.2.Vegetation Units185.3.Vegetation Condition205.4.Weeds and disturbance225.5.Presence of Priority or Threatened Flora245.6.Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities276.Discussion297.References308.Appendices33	4.	Results – Desktop Assessment	14
4.2.Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities.145.Results – Field Survey.185.1.Flora Diversity.185.2.Vegetation Units185.3.Vegetation Condition205.4.Weeds and disturbance.225.5.Presence of Priority or Threatened Flora245.6.Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities.276.Discussion297.References308.Appendices33	4.1.	Threatened and Priority Flora	14
5.Results – Field Survey	4.2.	Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities	14
5.1.Flora Diversity	5.	Results – Field Survey	18
5.2.Vegetation Units185.3.Vegetation Condition205.4.Weeds and disturbance225.5.Presence of Priority or Threatened Flora245.6.Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities276.Discussion297.References308.Appendices33	5.1.	Flora Diversity	18
5.3. Vegetation Condition 20 5.4. Weeds and disturbance 22 5.5. Presence of Priority or Threatened Flora 24 5.6. Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 27 6. Discussion 29 7. References 30 8. Appendices 33	5.2.	Vegetation Units	18
5.4. Weeds and disturbance	5.3.	Vegetation Condition	20
5.5. Presence of Priority or Threatened Flora 24 5.6. Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities 27 6. Discussion 29 7. References 30 8. Appendices 33	5.4.	Weeds and disturbance	22
5.6. Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities	5.5.	Presence of Priority or Threatened Flora	24
6. Discussion 29 7. References 30 8. Appendices 33	5.6.	Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities	27
7. References	6.	Discussion	29
8. Appendices	7.	References	30
	8.	Appendices	33

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Assessment of potential survey limitations.

Table 2: Condition thresholds for Wheatbelt Woodlands TEC diagnostic criteria

Table 3: Condition thresholds and minimum patch size analysis for Kwongkan PEC/TEC diagnostic criteria.

Table 4: Vegetation condition rating.

Table 5: Weed species recorded from the survey area.

Table 6: Quadrat analysis of Vegetation Unit 2 [MEW] during the targeted survey, determining the presence of 'Eucalyptus Woodlands of the Western Australian Wheatbelt (Wheatbelt Woodlands)' Threatened (TEC) and Priority (PEC) Ecological Community.

Table 7: Criteria for assessing the likelihood of occurrence of conservation significant flora within a 30km radius of the survey area.

Table 8: Potential Threatened and Priority flora located within 20 (NatureMap and PMST) to 30 (DBCA) km of the survey area and likelihood of occurrence analysis (post survey).

Table 9: Potential Threatened and Priority ecological communities located within 20 km of the survey area and likelihood of occurrence analysis (post survey).

Table 10: Conservation code definitions for flora listed as Threatened or specially protected.

Table 11: Conservation code definitions for flora listed as Priority.

Table 12: Conservation code definitions for ecological communities listed as Threatened (TEC).

Table 13: Conservation code definitions for ecological communities listed as priority (PEC).

Table 14: Condition Rating Scale (adapted from Keighery 1994) outlined in EPA (2016a).

Table 15: Flora Species List recorded within survey area.



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Survey Area Locality
Figure 2: Temperature and Rainfall Data for Ongerup BoM Weather Station No. 010622.
Figure 3: Desktop Data.
Figure 4: Desktop Flora & TEC/PEC Data
Figure 5: *Allocasuarina fraseriana* Open Forest [AfOF] vegetation unit present within the survey area.
Figure 6: Mixed Eucalyptus Woodland [MEW] vegetation unit present within the survey area.
Figure 7: *Acacia acuminata* Low Open Forest [AaLOF] vegetation unit present within the survey area.
Figure 8: Vegetation Units & Condition.
Figure 9: Flora Findings.
Figure 10: Survey Effort.

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Survey Effort Map

Appendix B - Conservation Significant Values Likelihood of Occurrence Analysis

Appendix C - Conservation Status Definitions and Condition Scale

Appendix D - Species Lists, Relevé, and Quadrat Data

Appendix E - EPBC Act PMST reports



Executive Summary

Cooperative Bulk Handling ("the client") commissioned Bio Diverse Solutions as Environmental Consultants to undertake a spring reconnaissance flora and vegetation survey for an area of 49.5 ha at the CBH Borden Grain Receival Site in the Shire of Gnowangerup, forming the "survey area". The reconnaissance survey was required to provide environmental assessment data to support future environmental approvals for the expansion of the site. Current development proposals are not finalised and no plans were completed or provided to Bio Diverse Solutions at the time of this assessment.

Three vegetation units were identified within the survey area, namely Allocasuarina fraseriana Open Forest [AfOF]. Mixed Eucalyptus Woodland [MEW] and Acacia acuminata Low Open Forest [AaLOF]. The condition of the vegetation units were 'Completely Degraded' or 'Degraded', largely due to disturbance from the adjacent road reserves and agricultural area and invasion of agricultural weeds. 40.3 ha of the 49.5 ha survey area was considered to be cleared, consisting of roads, CBH infrastructure or agricultural land. 153 species of flora were identified within the survey area, including 49 introduced or nonnative species one which is classified as a 'Declared pest - s22(2) and Weed of National Significance (IPAC, 2017) under the BAM Act 2007; Asparagus asparagoides (bridal creeper). The likelihood of occurrence (LOO) assessment undertaken as part of the desktop assessment identified 19 species of Threatened and 72 species of Priority flora as occurring within 20 – 30 km of the survey area. Minor limitations were present on numerous species that have been assessed as 'Likely' or 'Possible', relating to lack of information on undescribed species, fire ephemeral species and autumn flowering species. No species of Priority or Threatened flora were identified within the survey area, with all species present listed as non-threatened and known to be locally common. Vegetation unit 2 (Mixed Eucalyptus Woodland) bore resemblance to a Threatened (TEC) and Priority (PEC) Ecological Community identified on the desktop assessment, 'Eucalyptus Woodlands of the Western Australian Wheatbelt (Wheatbelt Woodlands)'. Analysis indicated that it met Criteria 1 and 2 but did not meet the Criteria 3, 4 and 5 relating to the dominant overstorey species, the composition of the understorey and the condition of the vegetation, it was therefore not considered to be present within the survey area. No other vegetation units bore resemblance to TEC/PEC and there were no other TEC/PECs identified in the survey area.



1. Introduction, Scope and Background Information

Cooperative Bulk Handling (CBH), here in referred to as "the client" commissioned Bio Diverse Solutions as Environmental Consultants to undertake a spring reconnaissance flora and vegetation survey of the proposed CBH Borden expansion site in Borden. The purpose of the survey is to provide environmental assessment data to support future environmental approvals. Current development proposals are not finalised and no plans were completed or provided to Bio Diverse Solutions at the time of this assessment.

The scope of works include:

- Complete a desktop assessment of publicly available databases (including DBCA database searches) pertaining to the site for threatened flora and vegetation;
- Undertake a spring flora and vegetation survey across the survey area including targeted threatened flora survey, field GPS vegetation and flora and mapping of boundaries of vegetation community types and threatened and Priority flora (if present);
 - This shall include a likelihood of occurrence (LOO) assessment for all conservation significant flora species and ecological communities identified in desktop searches;
 - TPFL forms for new populations of Priority or threatened flora and ecological communities to be submitted to DBCA;
- Undertake any identification of flora species, including herbarium identification as required;
- Identification and mapping of the vegetation condition within the survey area using the EPA (2016) condition scale, including the location of any Weeds of National Significance (WoNS) or Declared Weeds;
- Undertake quadrant sampling in vegetation units where TEC/PEC is potentially present;
- Prepare IBSA data package as per EPA guidelines, and provide to client at completion of survey (as required to be submitted via the IBSA website by the client);
- Preparation of reconnaissance and targeted flora and vegetation survey report and memo, which will be aligned with the appropriate government agency legislation and guidelines; and
- Preparation of a standalone memo providing assessment of the 10 clearing principals and any recommendations and assessment of the impacts of the project(s).

1.1 Location and Development Proposal

The 'survey area' is defined as the 49.5 ha area situated within and adjacent to the CBH Borden receival site, located in the Shire of Gnowangerup (Figure 1). The following tenures were included in the survey area:

- CBH Borden receival site: Lot 150 Moir Street, Borden (CBH managed)
- Lot 361 Moir Street, Borden (CBH managed)
- Lot 111 Moir Street, Borden (CBH managed)
- Lot 112 Moir Street, Borden (CBH managed)
- Lot 92 Moir Street, Borden (CBH managed)
- Lot 500 (No. 4) Moir Street, Borden (CBH managed)
- Portion of Lot 9001 (No. 36) Magitup Road (private property)
- Moir Street road reserve (Shire of Gnowangerup managed)

This site has been earmarked by Cooperative Bulk Handling for expansion of their grain storage facility, but development proposals have not been finalised and are only in draft stage, pending the information collected during this due diligence environmental assessment.

The "study area" consists of the 20-30 km radius around the survey area, used for indications of likelihood of occurrence of Threatened or Priority flora and ecological communities. It provides a broader local context and assessment of the survey area. This reconnaissance survey provides base-line data for determining what further surveys and environmental approvals may be required if proposed development includes clearing of native vegetation, such as further targeted or detailed surveys.



1.2 Alignment to Legislation, Guidelines and Policies

This survey and subsequent report is aligned to the following legislation, guidelines and policies:

- Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EP Act). Administered by the Australian Government of Department of Agriculture, Water and Environment (DAWE);
- *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act). Administered by the Western Australian Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA);
- *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act). Administered by the Western Australian Department of Water and Environmental Regulations;
- Biosecurity and Agriculture Management Act 2007 (BAM Act);
- EPA (2016) Technical Guidance Flora and Vegetation Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment; and
- CoA (2013) Draft Survey guidelines for Australia's Threatened Orchids.



Esperance Office: 2A/113 Dempster Street Esperance, WA 6450 (08) 9072 1382 Albany Office: 29 Hercules Crescent Albany, WA 6330 (08) 9842 1575 Denmark Office: 7/40 South Coast Highway Denmark, WA 6333 (08) 9848 1309 BIO DIVERSE SOLUTIONS Fitzger ald River Nation al Park Stirling Rang National Par Albany



DATE 05/12/2022 STATUS FILE FINAL CBH0025



1.3 Geology and soils

Database searches shows the survey area lies within the Upper Pallinup System (241Up). The Upper Pallinup System is described as "Gently undulating rises in the Pallinup Zone with broad crests and upland plains. Grey shallow sandy duplex (some alkaline), shallow loamy duplex (some alkaline) and grey deep sandy duplex. Wandoo-York gum woodland (west) and mallee (east)" (DPIRD, 2022a).

The Pallinup Zone (241) is described as "Undulating rises on Archaean granitic rocks in the Upper Pallinup catchment. Shallow duplex soils, commonly with sodic and alkaline subsoils. Woodlands of York and Salmon gums, wandoo and yate dominate." (DPIRD, 2022b). The soil type within the application area is mapped as the Upper Pallinup 3 subsystem (241Up_3) and Upper Pallinup 5 subsystem (241Up_5). The Upper Pallinup 3 subsystem is described as "Lower to upper slopes and crests associated with shallow granite and dolerite. Soils are mainly grey sandy duplex soils (generally shallow) and minor areas of red duplex soils" and the Upper Pallinup 5 subsystem is described as "Narrow saline valley flats with minor areas of alkaline grey shallow sandy duplex soils, brown and pale deep sands" (DPIRD, 2022c).

1.4 Climate

The closest open Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) site is Ongerup (010622). The average annual temperature in Ongerup ranges from 5.7–28.8°C. The average summer temperature ranges between 10.4-28.8°C, whilst average winter temperatures range between 5.7-16.2°C. The annual mean rainfall for Ongerup is 386.5 mm (BoM, 2022). On average the months of May – September are the months with the highest rainfall (Figure 2). There was higher than average rainfall recorded in the months of October 2021, and higher than average rain recorded in March, April, June, July, and August 2022 (Figure 2). The total rainfall in the year previous to the survey (October 2021 – September 2022) was 412.2 mm which is 25.7 mm above average and equates to 6.6% increase in average rainfall.



1.5 Habitat Connectivity

Habitat connectivity assessments rely on a bioregional and landscape-scale approach to evaluate habitat for fauna movement and ecological linkage across a region. Habitat connectivity is largely reliant on remnant vegetation, recognising it plays a very

important role in developing corridors between protected areas to assist in achieving long-term biodiversity management outcomes (Wilkins *et al.* 2006). The survey area lies within a highly modified landscape consisting of agricultural properties. The Stirling Range National Park is located approximately 27 km south of the survey area and the Corackerup Nature Reserve is located approximately 34 km to the southeast. There are other small to large areas of remnant bushland located to the north, south, east and east of the survey area. The survey area is ultimately linked to these surrounding areas of vegetation through the existing road reserves, and vegetation within private property.

1.6 Water

The survey area does not lie within any Public Drinking Water Source areas (DWER, 2022). The Warperup Creek travels directly adjacent to the survey area, to the north. No RAMSAR wetlands, or significant wetlands are located within or near the survey area (DBCA, 2017). The survey area lies within the Pallinup (HZ22_P) Hydrological Zone (DPIRD, 2022d). The Pallinup zone is described as "*Undulating rises on Archaean granitic rocks in the Upper Pallinup catchment. Shallow duplex soils, commonly with sodic and alkaline subsoils. Woodlands of York and Salmon gums, wandoo and yate dominate.*" (DPIRD, 2022d). The survey area lies within the Beaufort Inlet-Pallinup River Hydrographic Catchment (DWER, 2018a) and within the Pallinup River Hydrographic Subcatchment (DWER, 2018b).

Standing water bodies and creeks were present in the southeast and in the vegetated section of the survey area.

1.7 Environmentally Sensitive Areas

The survey area does not contain any Environmentally Sensitive Areas (ESA), the nearest site lying approximately 2.8km to the south of the survey area (DWER, 2021).

1.8 Remnant Vegetation

The survey area lies within the Mallee Bioregion and Western Mallee (MAL02) subregion. Beecham and Danks (2001) describes the Mallee Bioregion as "The Mallee bioregion is the south-eastern part of Yilgarn Craton. Its landscape is gently undulating, with partially occluded drainage. Mallee over myrtaceous-proteaceous heaths on duplex (sand over clay) soils are common. Melaleuca shrublands characterise alluvia, and Halosarcia low shrublands occur on saline alluvium. A mosaic of mixed eucalypt woodlands and mallee occur on calcareous earth plains and sandplains overlying Eocene limestone strata in the east. Landscape is fragmented with particular surface-types almost completely cleared as wheatfields."

The vegetation has been mapped on a broad scale by J.S. Beard (Shepherd *et al.* 2002) in the 1970's, where a system was devised for state-wide mapping and vegetation classification based on geographic, geological, soil, climate structure, life form and vegetation characteristics (Sandiford and Barrett, 2010). Vegetation units were regarded as associations and were grouped into Vegetation Systems representing a particular pattern of association distribution within a given area. A GIS search of J.S. Beards (Beard *et al.* 2013) vegetation classification places the survey area within one System and Vegetation Association (DPIRD, 2019) Refer to Figure 10 in Appendix A:

- System Association Name: Pallinup
- Vegetation Association Number: 938
- Structure Description: Woodland other
- Floristic Description: Wheatbelt; York gum, salmon gum etc. *Eucalyptus loxophleba*, *E. salmonophloia*. Goldfields; gimlet, redwood etc. *E. salubris*, *E. oleosa*. Riverine; rivergum *E. camaldulensis*. Tropical; messmate, woolybush.
- Remnant Vegetation by Beard Association Rarity in LGA: 18.07% remaining (GoWA, 2019).
- Remnant Vegetation by Beard Association Rarity in IBRA Region: 14.59% remaining (GoWA, 2019).

1.9 Heritage

The survey is located within the Wagyl Kaip nation, and not located within a registered heritage site (DPLH, 2022). The closest registered heritage site (4476) is located approximately 130 m to the south of the survey area. It is recognised that there has been a large scale of loss of cultural knowledge and information, and the survey area may contain additional heritage values that are not recognised through DPLH (2022).

Albany Office: 29 Hercules Crescent Albany, WA 6330 (08) 9842 1575 Denmark Office : 7/40 South Coast Highway Denmark, WA 6333 (08) 9848 1309

Esperance Office: 2A/113 Dempster Street Esperance, WA 6450 (08) 9072 1382

R

Survey Area

Legend

Cadastre

Geoscience Australia 250K Waterline

Pre European Vegetation (DPIRD_006)

Pallinup, 938

Scale 1:3,750 @ A3 GDA2020 MGA Zone 50

Data Sources Aerial Imagery: WA Now, Landgate Subscription Imagery Cadastre, Relief Contours and Roads: Landgate 2017 IRIS Road Network: Main Roads Western Australia 2017 Overview Map: World Topographic map service, ESRI 2012

CLIENT

CBH Group Borden Expansion Area Lot 91 Moir Sreet Borden, WA 6338

Figure 3: Desktop Data.

	QA Check BMT	Drawn by CvdM
STATUS FINAL	CBH0025	DATE 05/12/2022

2. Methodology – Desktop Assessment

2.1. Threatened and Priority Flora and Ecological Communities

Desktop inventory of potential Threatened and Priority flora and ecological communities known to occur within 20-30 km of the survey area was undertaken using the following databases:

- 20 km Nature Map Database Search (combined data from DBCA, WA Museum and WA Herbarium; DBCA, 2007-; WAH 1998-);
- 20 km Protected matters search tool (DAWE 2022);
- 30 km Flora DBCA database records (DBCA, 2022a); and
- 20 km TEC/PEC DBCA database records (DBCA, 2022b).

The conservation significance of flora species has been assessed using data from the following sources:

- Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act). Administered by the Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE);
- *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (BC Act)*. Administered by the Western Australian Department of Biodiversity Conservation and Attractions (DBCA); and
- DBCA Priority and threatened ecological community list (DBCA, 2021). A non-legislative list maintained by DBCA for management purposes.

3. Methodology – Field Survey

The aim of this survey was to provide context and gather knowledge of the survey area. This type of survey aims to verify the desktop information obtained, and to characterise the flora and vegetation units present within the survey area.

A spring reconnaissance level flora and vegetation survey, including a targeted vegetation survey, was undertaken by Charlize van der Mescht (Ecologist / Environmental Consultant) of Bio Diverse Solutions and Karri Grant (Ecologist / Environmental Consultant) of Natural Area Consulting Management Services on the 17th and 18th of October 2022. The survey area was surveyed on foot using traverses, relevés and quadrats. The intent of the traverses was to identify and map the different vegetation units present within the survey area, their condition category and to undertake more intensive targeted surveys within suitable habitat for conservation significant species. The vegetation units were formally described based on data collected within the relevé and quadrats. Vegetation units were distinguished through changes in structure, dominant taxa and cover characteristics, which is described in both Muirs (Muir, 1977) and NVIS (DoEE, 2017) Level 5 (sub-association) description methods.

Three relevés were systematically surveyed within representative vegetation types to enable analysis and categorisation across the ecological communities present (refer to Appendix D). The flora was systematically recorded within the relevés and collections of plant specimens were made where further identification was required, using Charlize van der Mescht's Regulation 62 Flora Taking Licence FB62000460. For species that were not flowering and where foliage or nuts / fruit couldn't be used for identification, potential habitat was used as an indication of the likelihood of species occurrence.

An assessment was completed during the field survey on whether vegetation units were likely to meet any TEC/PEC's identified as 'likely' or 'possible' to occur in the LOO. This predominately applied to Wheatbelt Woodland TEC/PEC and an assessment of ecological communities against criteria occurred. Where vegetation units bore resemblance to Wheatbelt Woodland TEC/PEC, more intensive sampling was conducted via quadrats to ecologically define communities within the survey area. This methodology is consistent with a Targeted Vegetation Survey. Non-permanent 10 x 10 m quadrats were sampled and analysed to identify if vegetation units met Wheatbelt Woodland TEC/PEC criteria (Table 6, Section 5.6; DoE, 2015b). This occurred in vegetation unit 2: Mixed Eucalyptus Woodland (refer to Section 5.6). Three quadrats were systematically sampled within this vegetation unit, with photos and GPS coordinates recorded on the north-western corners.

Information collected within each relevé and quadrat included:

- Location: coordinates of the relevé using a handheld GPS unit.
- Date and site code.
- Site description: landform, slope, soil colour and type and hydrology.
- Vegetation description: dominant and non-dominant species present within the different growth forms and percentage cover.
- Vegetation condition.

3.1. Survey Limitations and Constraints

An assessment of potential survey limitations was undertaken as per the EPA (2016) document *Technical Guidance Flora* and Vegetation Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment (refer to Table 1 below). Limitations were primarily nil-minor in nature, and did not affect the validity of results presented in the reconnaissance survey. Minor limitations present included survey timing, lack of information on predominately undescribed, informal species, standing water limiting access and survey intensity for targeting orchid species.

Specifically, a random meandering traverse ensured that all areas within 5-10m of each other were covered. However, it is recognised that due to the complex nature of orchid phenology and physiology, more intensive survey transects and surveys over multiple time periods may be required (CoA, 2013). The survey was conducted at the appropriate time to detect the three orchid species identified in the desktop assessment.

Six species were identified in the likelihood of occurrence (LOO; Table 8, Appendix B) as 'Possible' to occur with very limited information present taxonomically, which was taken into account during identification, with precautionary principles applied to relevant genera. This was considered a minor limitation.

One species of lichen (P1 Xanthoparmelia scabrosina) was identified in the desktop assessment. This was outside the expertise of surveyors and was not accurately assessed during this survey. This presents a significant limitation for this species.

Table 1: Assessment of potential survey limitations.

Limitation	Significance of limitation	Comment
		Charlize van der Mescht has been with Bio Diverse Solutions since 2019 first as a Technical Assistant and now as an Ecologist / Environmental Consultant. Charlize has assisted Dr. Ellen Hickman and Katie White (Bio Diverse Solutions Botanists) on multiple reconnaissance and targeted flora and vegetation surveys during this time.
		Karri Grant has over 4 years' experience at conducting targeted, reconnaissance and detailed flora surveys, and is competent in taxonomic identification and assessment of vegetation.
Experience of personnel	Nil	One species of lichen (P1 <i>Xanthoparmelia scabrosina</i>) was identified in the desktop assessment. This was outside the expertise of surveyors and was not accurately assessed during this survey. This presents a significant limitation for this species.
		Katie White completed the technical review component of this report. Katie has over 5 years' experience at conducting targeted, reconnaissance and detailed flora surveys and is competent in taxonomic identification and assessment of vegetation. Additionally, she has conducted targeted flora surveys and worked alongside the DBCA Flora Conservation Officer for a large number of flora species listed on the 20-30 km desktop analysis.
		Kylie Sadgrove provided field technical assistance. Kylie has over 5 years' experience at conducting targeted, reconnaissance and detailed flora surveys, and is competent in taxonomic identification and assessment of vegetation.
		The client requested a spring flora and vegetation survey, consistent with peak flowering times for the majority of species in the area. Timing of survey occurred towards the end of the peak flowering period in this locale, and was undertaken on the 17 th and 18 th of October 2022.
Survey timing	Minor	Five species that were identified as 'Likely' or 'Possible' to occur in the LOO are not recorded as flowering in October. However, three species were flowering on the periphery of the survey period, in September, and it's therefore likely they had sparse early/late blooms or were distinctively budding. For these species, it is therefore a minor limitation. The other two species, namely P4 <i>Eucalyptus</i> <i>vesiculosa</i> and P4 <i>Banksia porrecta</i> were recorded as flowering in May and July to August, respectively. These species are both readily detectable without flowering, and no limitation is present.
		Three orchid species were identified in the desktop assessment as 'Likely' or 'Possible' to occur. Information of flowering times was available for two of these species. <i>Thelymitra psammophila</i> is recorded as flowering between September and October, while <i>Caladenia bryceana</i> subsp. <i>bryceana</i> is recorded as flowering between August and October. No information was available on the flowering time of <i>Thelymitra</i> sp. Ongerup. The survey occurred in October which is an appropriate time to be surveying for these annual species (CoA, 2013).
Access		The vast majority of the survey area was easily traversable and no limitation in access was present.
restrictions	Minor	Some access restrictions occurred during the survey, with standing water in a small area to the southeast of the lot not being traversable. This is not deemed to have significantly impacted the completeness of this survey.

Table 1 cont.

Limitation	Significance of limitation	Comment
Availability of contextual information	Minor	Publicly available desktop and background information was readily available to give a broad contextual understanding of the site. However, it is worth noting that database searches often rely on historical, outdated, understudied and underresourced datasets and likely are not a comprehensive reflection of population status or presence of Priority or Threatened flora across the South Coast. One species was identified in the LOO (Table 8, Appendix B) as 'Possible' to occur with very limited information present taxonomically. This primarily related to the undescribed, informal species P3 <i>Thelymitra sp.</i> Ongerup (S. Oborne 142) Due consideration was given and precautionary principles applied to any species in this genus during identification. P1 <i>Xanthoparmelia scabrosina</i> is a lichen and was not surveyed for, as it is outside of the expertise of surveyors.
Survey effort and extent	Minor	153 species were identified during the survey, and four relevé and three quadrat data sets were collected to gain as complete a picture as possible of flora species present at the site. The area was sufficiently and lengthily searched for Threatened and Priority flora potentially present in the area. A random meandering traverse ensured that all areas within 5-10m of each other were covered. Three orchid species were identified as 'Likely' or 'Possible' in the 20-30 km LOO; namely <i>Thelymitra</i> psammophila (T-VU), <i>Thelymitra</i> sp. Ongerup (S. Oborne 142; P3), and <i>Caladenia bryceana</i> subsp. <i>bryceana</i> (T-EN). Following the CoA (2013) <i>Draft Survey guidelines for Australia's Threatened Orchids,</i> it is recognised that due to the complex nature of orchid phenology and physiology, more intensive survey transects and surveys over multiple time periods may be required. See Appendix B, Table 8.
Disturbances that may affect results	Nil	Significant degradation occurred at the site with all vegetation units being in 'Degraded' or 'Completely Degraded' condition. The degradation has resulted in a high level of weed invasion and large areas of historical clearing. This may have affected the expression of species present, with a higher dominance of disturbance opportunists present. No obvious disturbance was present in the survey area. Suitable habitat for species considered to have historically been possible to occur is mostly no longer present within the site, due to the extensive degradation across the site, resulting in a degraded or completely degraded condition across the survey area, in the areas that haven't been entirely cleared. It is also likely that if populations of species assessed as "possible" to occur were historically present at the site, the soil seed bank has been significantly impacted and compromised from disturbance through hooved grazers, off-site effects of chemical and fertiliser from surrounding agricultural use and the evident lengthy timeframe that the site has been disturbed.

Table 1 cont.

Limitation	Significance of limitation	Comment
Identification issues	Nil	The survey was undertaken on 17 th and 18 th of October 2022 during the peak flowering period for many south coast flora species to maximise ease of identifying them, however given that not all flora species flower during this time some species will be more difficult to observe in the field than others. One cultivated species <i>Eucalyptus caesia</i> was still at a juvenile stage, therefore it was not possible to determine whether the species was the P4 subspecies. However, the individual was planted as part of the landscaping work so it is not considered endemic to the survey area directly and not of conservation significance in the context of native vegetation. 14 species were observed during the survey period that could not be identified to species level due to lack of distinguishing taxonomic features. All of these species were identified to family or genus as Threatened or Priority flora identified in the desktop assessment as being 'Possible' to occur. It was determined that none of these unidentified species are likely to be any of the Threatened/Priority species listed as 'Possible' to occur. Of the 153 species, the vast majority contained sufficient taxonomic information for identification (such as nuts, fruit, leaf structure or flowers). It is estimated that 70% of species present were flowering. Numerous emerging annual herbs were present, most of which could be identified through the presence of flowers. For numerous Priority species listed on the 20-30 km LOO, there were similar non-threatened species present, with specific rationale provided in Table 8, Appendix B.

4. Results – Desktop Assessment

4.1. Threatened and Priority Flora

The full species list compiled from all available data (Table 15 in Appendix D) is based on observations from a broader area than the survey area and is likely to include species that would not occur in the actual survey area due to a lack of suitable habitat. The data also includes very old records and in some cases the species in question may have become locally or regionally extinct. Conservation categories for Threatened and Priority flora are presented in Table 10 and 10 in Appendix C. Protected matters search tool database searches are provided in Appendix E.

As a result of the above-mentioned database searches 19 Threatened and 72 Priority flora species were identified within the study area (30 km buffer). Of these, 27 were assessed to be "Possible" to occur and two were assessed as "Likely" to occur. Refer to Table 8 in Appendix B for LOO analysis. Species that have previously been recorded within a 30 km radius of the survey area are shown in Figure 4.

Suitable habitat for species considered to have historically been possible to occur is mostly no longer present within the site, likely due to the extensive degradation across the site, resulting in a degraded or completely degraded condition across the survey area, in the areas that haven't been entirely cleared. It is also likely that if populations of species assessed as "possible" to occur were historically present at the site, the soil seed bank has been significantly impacted and compromised from disturbance through hooved grazers, off-site effects of chemical and fertiliser from surrounding agricultural use and the evident lengthy timeframe that the site has been disturbed.

Numerous limitations were present in detection of species identified within the desktop assessment, which are detailed in Table 1. A brief summary is provided below;

- Expertise of surveyors P1 Xanthoparmelia scabrosina is a lichen and is outside of the expertise of surveyors and therefore could not be accurately surveyed for.
- Flowering time P4 Acacia declinata was identified as 'Possible' to occur and is recorded as flowering in August to September, on the periphery of the survey period. This may represent a minor limitation, and it is recognised that detection of the species is possible without flowering.
- Flowering time EN Roycea pycnophylloides was identified as 'Possible' to occur and is recorded as flowering in September, on the periphery of the survey period. This may represent a minor limitation, and it is recognised that detection of the species is possible without flowering.
- Flowering time P3 Calectasia obtusa was identified as 'Possible' to occur and is recorded as flowering in August to September. This may represent a minor limitation, as it is recognised that this species is a cryptic and hard to detect without flowers. However, the survey was conducted on the periphery of the flowering period meaning some flowering material may still have been present at the time of the survey.
- Limitation information present on species one species (P3 *Thelymitra sp. Ongerup* (S. Oborne 142)) was deemed as having a lack of information due to the undescribed, informal nature of the species. Due consideration was given and precautionary principles applied to any species in this genus during identification.

4.2. Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities

As a result of the database searches conducted, two Threatened (TEC) and Priority (PEC) Ecological Communities were identified within the study area (20 km buffer), 'Eucalyptus Woodlands of the Western Australian Wheatbelt (Wheatbelt Woodlands)' and 'Proteaceae Dominated Kwongkan Shrublands of the Southeast Coastal Floristic Province of Western Australia (Kwongkan)'. Of these, Wheatbelt Woodlands was assessed as 'likely' to occur and Kwongkan as "Possible" to occur. Further detail on both Wheatbelt Woodlands and Kwongkan are presented below.

TEC/PECs that have previously been recorded within a 20-30 km radius of the survey area are shown in Figure 4. Refer to Table 9 in Appendix B for LOO analysis. Conservation categories for Threatened and Priority ecological communities are presented in Table 12 and 13 in Appendix C. Protected matters search tool database searches are provided in Appendix E.

Eucalypt Woodlands of the Western Australian Wheatbelt (Wheatbelt Woodlands)

Wheatbelt Woodlands is listed as a Priority 3 (P3) Priority Ecological Community (PEC) under the *BC Act 2016* and an Endangered Threatened Ecological Community (TEC) under the *EPBC Act 1999*. The survey area lies within the Avon Wheatbelt IBRA Bioregion and Merredin IBRA subregion (AVW01), within the boundaries of the location criteria for Wheatbelt Woodlands. It is therefore a possibility that Wheatbelt Woodlands may be present within the survey area.

Wheatbelt Woodlands is comprised of Eucalypt woodlands that formerly were the most common type of vegetation across the wheatbelt landscape of south-western WA, inland between the Darling Range and western edge of the Goldfields. The woodlands are dominated by a complex mosaic of eucalypt species with a tree or mallet form over an understorey that is highly variable in structure and composition. Woodlands dominated by mallee forms or vegetation with a very sparse eucalypt tree canopy are not part of the ecological community (DoEE, 2015).

Wheatbelt Woodlands is recognised by the below key diagnostic features and minimum condition thresholds as outlined in the approved conservation advice guidelines (DoEE, 2015):

- Occurs within the IBRA Avon Wheatbelt subregions Merredin (AVW01) and Katanning (AVW02), Western Mallee subregion (MAL02) and jarrah forest subregions Northern Jarrah Forest (JAF01) and Southern Jarrah Forest (JAF02) when adjacent to the Avon Wheatbelt.
- 2) Structure of the ecological community is a woodland, with minimum crown cover of tree canopy of mature woodland being 10% (crowns measured as if opaque).
- 3) Key species of the tree canopy are species of *Eucalyptus* identified in Table 2a of approved conservation guidelines (DoEE, 2015). These are species that typically have a single trunk. One or more tree species are dominant or co-dominant within the patch of the ecological community. If other species are present in the tree canopy, then these do not occur as dominant in the tree canopy.
- 4) Native understorey is present but is of variable composition, being a combination of grasses, other herbs and shrubs, as specified in Table A3 of Appendix B.

Condition thresholds for the ecological community are described in Table 2. General notes on the condition thresholds of the ecological community are outlined in the Approved Conservation Guidelines for Wheatbelt Woodlands (DoEE, 2015).

Table 2: Condition thresholds for Wheatbelt Woodlands TEC diagnostic criteria

Note: Condition is referenced to Keighery (1994) and Relative Conservation Value (RCV) is related to Roadside Conservation Committee (RCC, 2014).

Category and comment	Cover of exotic plants (weeds) AND	Mature trees AND	Minimum patch size (non-roadside patches) OR	Minimum patch width (roadsides only)
A: patches likely to correspond to condition of Pristine / Excellent / Very Good or a High RCV.	Exotic plants account for 0 to 30% of total vegetation cover in the understorey layers i.e., below the tree canopy.	Mature trees may be present or absent.	>2ha	>5m
B: Patches likely to correspond to a condition of Good or a Medium-High RCV AND retains important habitat features.	Exotic plant species account for 30-50% of total vegetation cover in the understorey layers i.e., below the tree canopy.	Mature trees are present, >5 trees/ha.	>2ha	>5m

Category and comment	Cover of exotic plants (weeds) AND	Mature trees AND	Minimum patch size (non-roadside patches) OR	Minimum patch width (roadsides only)
C: Patches likely to correspond to a condition of Good or a Medium-High RCV.	Exotic plant species account for 30-50% of total vegetation cover in the understorey layers i.e., below the tree canopy.	Mature trees either absent or <5 trees/ha.	>5ha	>5m
D: Patches likely to correspond to a condition of Degraded to Good or medium-low RCV BUT retains important habitat features.	Exotic plant species account for 50-70% of total vegetation cover in the understorey layers i.e., below the tree canopy.	Mature trees present at >5 trees/0.5ha.	>5ha	>5m

Table 2 cont.

Proteaceae Dominated Kwongkan Shrublands of the Southeast Coastal Floristic Province of Western Australia (Kwongkan)

Kwongkan is listed as Priority 3 (P3) PEC within WA under the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act) and as an Endangered Threatened Ecological Community (TEC) under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act* 1999 (EPBC Act). The survey area lies within the southeast botanical province of Western Australia (Hopper and Gioia, 2004), which is the geographical location of Kwongkan. It is defined and assessed in the conservation advice as generally Kwongkan shrubland, ranging from sparse to dense, thicket-forming, where Proteaceous species form a significant component (DoE, 2014). It is confined to the southeast botanical province of Western Australia (Hopper and Gioia, 2004) and primarily occurs on sandplains and marine plains and lower to upper slopes and ridges, as well as uplands across this region. Multiple other ecological communities are listed under the *BC Act 2016* that also meet criteria of Kwongkan TEC and should be considered when assessing whether Kwongkan is present.

Kwongkan is recognised by the below key diagnostic features and minimum condition thresholds outlined in Approved Conservation Advice Guidelines (DoE, 2014):

- 1) Occurs within the South Coastal Floristic Province (Hopper and Gioia, 2004); relating to south west phytogeographic boundaries. Includes Island of the Recherche Archipelago.
- a) Characterised by Proteaceae species having 30% or greater cover of Proteaceae species across all layers of where shrubs occur (crowns measured as if opaque). OR;

b) Two or more diagnostic Proteaceae species are present that are likely to form a significant vegetative component when regenerated. The use of diagnostic species is for situations in which the cover or Proteaceae species is reduced due to recent disturbance (e.g., fire).

Condition thresholds for the ecological community are described in Table 3.

Table 3: Condition thresholds and minimum patch size analysis for Kwongkan PEC/TEC diagnostic criteria.

Condition category	Minimum patch size	Weeds	Dieback
High	1 ha	<30% perennial weed cover	No known Dieback infestation
Moderate	0.5 ha	<70% perennial weed cover	May be present or unknown

The approved conservation advice, available spatial mapping for the ecological community, and description above indicates that this TEC/PEC could possibly occur within the survey area.

Albany Office: 29 Hercules Crescent Albany, WA 6330 (08) 9842 1575 (Denmark Office: /40 South Coast Highway Denmark, WA 6333 08) 9848 1309	Esperance Office: 2A/113 Dempster Street Esperance, WA 6450 (08) 9072 1382
BIO DIVER SOLU	se tions	
c,		
0	1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Fitzger ald River
PP	1 1 1 2	Park
	Stirling Range National Park	- A
-	Albany	
	0	The states
Legend	Overview M	ap Scale 1:5,000,000
Survey Are	а	
30 km Stuc	ly Area	
Threatened an (FL WAHerb)	d Priority Flora	I
• P1		
P2		
_ P3		
🔶 P4		
🖕 Т		
Threatened an	d Priority Flora	(FL TPFL)
▲ P2		/
A P3		
<u>∧</u> P4		
Δ Τ		
Priority and Th Communities	reatened Ecol	ogical
State Category, Cor	nmonwealth Categor	У
Priority 3, 0	Critically Endange	red
Priority 3, E	Indangered	Δ
Data Sources Aerial Imagery: WA Now, Lan Cadastre, Relief Contours an IRIS Road Network: Main Ro Overview Map: World Topogr	dgate Subscription Imagery d Roads: Landgate 2017 ads Western Australia 2017 aphic map service, ESRI 201:	Scale 1:250,000@ A3 2 GDA2020 MGA Zone 50
CLIENT CBH Group Borden Expa Lot 91 Moir S Borden. WA	nsion Area Greet 5338	
Figure 4: Desktop	Flora & TEC/PEC Da	ita (DBCA, 2022a, b).
	QA Check KPK	Drawn by CvdM
STATUS FINAL	FILE CBH0025	DATE 05/12/2022

5. Results – Field Survey

5.1. Flora Diversity

During the survey 153 flora species, consisting of 35 families and 95 genera were found. The most commonly occurring families were Asteraceae, Fabaceae, Myrtaceae, and Poaceae. The list includes 69 native species (refer to Table 15 Appendix D), 49 introduced / alien species and 35 cultivated species. The vegetation units identified across the survey area are described in Section 5.2. Refer to Figure 8 for vegetation mapping, and Appendix D for full species list.

Plant identification was undertaken through the most relevant, current and available taxonomic literature, keys and herbarium reference specimens available (AVH, n.d.; Barrett & Tay, 2016; Euclid, n.d.; French, 2012; JSTOR, 2000-; Maslin, 2018; WAH 1998-; Young, 2006; 2021). All resources used were the most current to knowledge. Nomenclature used through this report follows the most recent scientific names through the Western Australian Herbarium (WAH, 1998-).

5.2. Vegetation Units

Three vegetation units were identified during the survey period, vegetation descriptions can be found in the following sections, with relevé and quadrat data presented in Appendix D. Refer to Figures 5 - 7 for photographs of vegetation units and Figure 8 for extent. Please note only areas of intact native vegetation are described and mapped. Areas that have been cleared have not been described.

Cleared areas were also present throughout the survey area, occurring as CBH infrastructure, roads, or cleared paddock. A total of 40.3 ha of cleared area was present within the survey area. Minor, invasive herbs or agricultural grasses were often present within these bare areas. No native species were present within these cleared areas.

1. Vegetation unit 1: Allocasuarina fraseriana Open Forest [AfOF]

Vegetation unit 1 consists of a parkland cleared community, with only a native overstorey present (Figure 5). The mid- and understorey has likely been historically cleared and consists of invasive species or disturbance-opportunist natives. The overstorey is comprised of sheoak (*Allocasuarina fraseriana*) Open Forest while the understorey is dominated by invasive kikuyu (**Cenchrus clandestinus*) in the east of the survey area. In the north of the survey area the understorey is dominated by invasive barley grass (**Hordeum leporinum*) and annual veldt grass (**Ehrharta longiflora*). Overall, there is a low diversity of species within this vegetation unit. There was a patch of standing water within this vegetation unit, due to the poor drainage on the site. This restricted some access, but a large portion of the survey area was still able to be surveyed. No riparian vegetation was present around this waterbody with the system being in a degraded state. No Priority or Threatened flora were identified within Vegetation unit 1 and the ecological community did not bear any similarity to any PEC/TEC criteria.

Vegetation Description (NVIS; DoEE, 2017): U ^Allocasuarina fraseriana\^tree\7\c; G ^Cenchrus clandestinus\^grass\1\c.

Vegetation Description (Muirs, 1977): *Allocasuarina fraseriana* Open Forest, over *Cenchrus clandestinus* Grassland. Area: 4.88 ha

Site description: Gently sloping site situated in a drainage depression, with dark brown sandy-loam soil which is seasonally wet.

Condition: Degraded.

Represented in R1, R4 (refer to Appendix D).

Figure 5: Allocasuarina fraseriana Open Forest [AfOF] vegetation unit present within the survey area.

2. Vegetation unit 2: Mixed Eucalyptus Woodland [MEW]

Vegetation unit 2 consisted of a mixed eucalyptus overstorey which had been planted within the survey area after historical clearing had taken place (Figure 6). The species planted were a cultivated mix of species endemic and non-endemic to the area. The mid- and understorey had likely also been historically cleared and consists of invasive species or disturbance-opportunist natives. The vegetation unit is characterised by a mixed eucalypt overstorey with flooded gum (*Eucalyptus rudis* subsp. *rudis*) being dominant in the south-eastern portion of the vegetation unit. The central, southern portion of the vegetation unit is characterised by tagasaste (**Chamaecytisus palmensis*), *Melaleuca hamulosa* and cultivated lesser bottlebrush (*Callistemon phoeniceus*). The weedy understorey is dominated by African love grass (**Eragrostis curvula*), great brome (**Bromus diandrus*) and kikuyu grass (**Cenchrus clandestinus*). Vegetation unit 2 has a high diversity of eucalypts due to the cultivated nature of the vegetation unit, but overall has a low diversity of species. This vegetation unit bore similarity to Wheatbelt Woodland PEC/TEC criteria but did not meet all the criteria. Quadrat analysis to determine if it does not meet Wheatbelt Woodland PEC/TEC is discussed in more detail in Section 5.6.

Vegetation Description (NVIS; DoEE, 2017): U ^ Eucalyptus rudis subsp. rudis \^tree\7\i;G Eragrostis curvula, Bromus diandrus, Cenchrus clandestinus\1\d.

Vegetation Description (Muirs, 1977): Eucalyptus rudis subsp. rudis Woodland, over Eragrostis curvula, Bromus diandrus, Cenchrus clandestinus Dense Grassland.

Area: 0.99 ha

Site description: Gently sloping site situated on a plain, with dark brown sandy-loam soil which is seasonally wet. Condition: Degraded.

Represented in R2, Q1, Q2 and Q3 (refer to Appendix D).

Figure 6: Mixed Eucalyptus Woodland [MEW] vegetation unit present within the survey area.

3. Vegetation unit 3: Acacia acuminata Low Open Forest [AaLOF]

Vegetation unit 3 has likely been historically cleared and consists of invasive species or disturbance-opportunist natives (Figure 7). The vegetation unit is characterised by a dominant overstorey of jam wattle (*Acacia acuminata*) with scattered sheoak (*Allocasuarina fraseriana*) and occasional mixed eucalypts. The vegetation unit was generally lacking a mid-storey with a few scattered species present. The understorey was dominated by weedy herbs and grasses, namely, ursinia (**Ursinia anthemoides*), Guilford grass (**Romulea rosea*) and perennial veldt grass (**Ehrharta calycina*). No Priority or Threatened flora were identified within vegetation unit 3 and it did not bear any similarity to any PEC/TEC criteria.

Vegetation Description (NVIS; DoEE, 2017): U ^ Acacia acuminata\^tree\6\c; G Ursinia anthemoides, Romulea rosea, Ehrharta calycina\1\d.

Vegetation Description (Muirs, 1977): Acacia acuminata Low Open Forest, over Ursinia anthemoides, Romulea rosea Dense Herbs, Ehrharta calycina Low Grass.

Area: 3.24 ha.

Site description: Gently sloping site situated on a plain with light brown clay-sand soil which is well draining. Condition: Degraded.

Figure 7: Acacia acuminata Low Open Forest [AaLOF] vegetation unit present within the survey area.

5.3. Vegetation Condition

The vegetation condition for the survey area (Table 4) has been mapped using the condition rating scale (adapted from Keighery 1994) outlined in *EPA Flora and Vegetation Survey Technical Guidance* (2016).

The vegetation across the survey area had been historically cleared with invasive species or disturbance-opportunist natives recolonising, as a result the vegetation ranged from 'Completely Degraded' to 'Degraded' condition throughout the survey area. These classification levels are related to degradation of structure and vegetation integrity by processes such as clearing, fire, weeds, grazing, Phytophthora Dieback and vehicle tracks. The 'AfOF' and 'AaLOF' units are classified as being in 'Degraded' and 'Completely Degraded' condition and the 'MEW' unit is in 'Degraded' condition.

Table 4:	Vegetation	condition	rating.
----------	------------	-----------	---------

Vegetation type	Condition rating	Area (ha)
Allocaucina fragariana Onan Farrat [AfOF]	Degraded	0.68 ha
Allocasuanna irasenana Open Folest [AlOF]	Completely Degraded	4.20 ha
Mixed Eucohyptus Weedland IMEW/	Degraded	0.95 ha
	Completely Degraded	0.04 ha
Associa couminate Law Open Forget [Asl OF]	Degraded	2.37 ha
Acacia acuminata Low Open Forest [AaLOF]	Completely Degraded	0.87 ha
Total		9.11 ha

Albany Office: 29 Hercules Crescent Albany, WA 6330 (08) 9842 1575 Denmark Office: 7/40 South Coast Highway Denmark, WA 6333 (08) 9848 1309 Esperance Office: 2A/113 Dempster Street Esperance, WA 6450 (08) 9072 1382

DATE

05/12/2022

FILE

CBH0025

FINAL

5.4. Weeds and disturbance

Of the 153 flora species recorded within the survey area, 49 species are introduced. The full suite of weed species recorded is listed below in Table 5, with their corresponding ratings under the Australian Weed Strategy (IPAC, 2017), WA Weed Strategy (CALM, 1999) and the *BAM Act* (2007). The ratings given under the WA Weed Strategy relate to determining the significance of a weed, based on the criteria of invasiveness, impacts, potential for spread and socioeconomic and environmental values, and can be either 'High', 'Moderate', 'Mild', or 'Low' (CALM, 1999).

All species except bridal creeper (*Asparagus asparagoides*) are classed as 'Permitted – s11', while bridal creeper is classed as a 'Declared Pest – s22(2) under the *Biosecurity and Agriculture Management Act 2007* and a Weed of National Significance (IPAC, 2017). Under the Environmental Weeds Strategy for Western Australia (CALM, 1999) bridal creeper, Mediterranean turnip, Guildford grass, and perennial veldt grass are listed as 'High', while hottentot fig, iceplant, cape weed, spear thistle, smooth cats-ear, prickly lettuce, Jersey cudweed, common sowthistle, ursinia, budding club-rush, bugle lily, *Eucalyptus cladocalyx*, South African orchid, common bartsia, silvery hairgrass, bearded oat, blowfly grass, shivery grass, kikuyu grass, couch, barley grass and Wimmera ryegrass are rated as 'Moderate'. The remaining species are either rated 'Low', 'Mild' or are not listed (Table 5).

Family	Species	Vernacular	WA Weed Strategy rating (CALM 1999)	BAM Act (2007)	Weed of National Significance (IPAC, 2017)
Aizoaceae	Carpobrotus edulis	hottentot fig	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Aizoaceae	Disphyma crassifolium	round-leaved pigface	-	Permitted (s11)	No
Aizoaceae	Mesembryanthemum crystallinum	iceplant	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Asparagaceae	Asparagus asparagoides	bridal creeper	High	Declared Pest – s22(2) (Exempt)	Yes
Asteraceae	Arctotheca calendula	cape weed	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Asteraceae	Cirsium vulgare	spear thistle	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Asteraceae	Erigeron sumatrensis		-	Permitted (s11)	No
Asteraceae	Gazania linearis		Low	Permitted (s11)	No
Asteraceae	Hypochaeris glabra	smooth cats- ear	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Asteraceae	Lactuca serriola	prickly lettuce	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Asteraceae	Osteospermum ecklonis	Cape marguerite	Low	Permitted (s11)	No
Asteraceae	Pseudognaphalium luteoalbum	Jersey cudweed	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Asteraceae	Sonchus oleraceus	common sowthistle	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Asteraceae	Taraxacum khatoonae	dandelion	-	Permitted (s11)	No

Table 5: Weed species recorded from the survey area.

Table 5 cont.

Family	Species	Vernacular	WA Weed Strategy rating (CALM 1999)	BAM Act (2007)	Weed of National Significance (IPAC, 2017)
Asteraceae	Ursinia anthemoides	ursinia	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Brassicaceae	Brassica tournefortii	Mediterranean turnip	High	Permitted (s11)	No
Brassicaceae	Raphanus raphanistrum	wild radish	Mild	Permitted (s11)	No
Campanulaceae	Monopsis debilis		Low	Permitted (s11)	No
Crassulaceae	Crassula alata		Low	Permitted (s11)	No
Cyperaceae	Isolepis prolifera	Budding club- rush	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Fabaceae	Chamaecytisus palmensis	tagasaste	Mild	Permitted (s11)	No
Fabaceae	Medicago polymorpha	burr medic	Mild	Permitted (s11)	No
Fabaceae	Trifolium fragiferum	strawberry clover	Low	Permitted (s11)	No
Fabaceae	Trifolium angustifolium	narrowleaf clover	Low	Permitted (s11)	No
Iridaceae	Moraea setifolia		-	Permitted (s11)	No
Iridaceae	Romulea rosea	Guildford grass	High	Permitted (s11)	No
Iridaceae	Watsonia meriana var. bulbillifera	bugle lily	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Lythraceae	Lythrum hyssopifolia	lesser loosestrife	ТВА	Permitted (s11)	No
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus cladocalyx		Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Orchidaceae	Disa bracteata	South African orchid	Moderate	-	No
Orobanchaceae	Parentucellia latifolia	common bartsia	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Oxalidaceae	Oxalis pes-caprae	soursob	Mild	Permitted (s11)	No
Plantaginaceae	Plantago coronopus	buckshorn plantain	Low	Permitted (s11)	No
Poaceae	Aira caryophyllea	silvery hairgrass	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Poaceae	Avena barbata	bearded oat	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Poaceae	Briza maxima	blowfly gras)	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No

Family	Species	Vernacular	WA Weed Strategy rating (CALM 1999)	BAM Act (2007)	Weed of National Significance (IPAC, 2017)
Poaceae	Briza minor	shivery grass	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Poaceae	Bromus hordeaceus	soft brome	Low	Permitted (s11)	No
Poaceae	Bromus diandrus	great brome	High	Permitted (s11)	No
Poaceae	Cenchrus clandestinus	kikuyu grass	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Poaceae	Cynodon dactylon	couch	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Poaceae	Ehrharta calycina	perennial veldt grass	High	Permitted (s11)	No
Poaceae	Ehrharta longiflora	annual veldt grass	-	Permitted (s11)	No
Poaceae	Eragrostis curvula	African lovegrass	High	Permitted (s11)	No
Poaceae	Hordeum leporinum	barley grass	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Poaceae	Lolium rigidum	Wimmera ryegrass	Moderate	Permitted (s11)	No
Polygonaceae	Polygonum aviculare	wireweed	Low	Permitted (s11)	No
Polygonaceae	Rumex obtusifolius	bitter dock	Low	Permitted (s11)	No
Primulaceae	Lysimachia arvensis	pimpernel	-	Permitted (s11)	No

Table 5 cont.

5.5. Presence of Priority or Threatened Flora

No Threatened or Priority flora were detected within the survey area. All native flora species present were considered to be non-threatened and common locally within the area. The survey was conducted outside of the flowering time of three species identified in the 20-30 km desktop assessment. Of these, both P4 *Acacia declinata* and EN *Roycea pycnophylloides* are able to be identified without flowers and were determined not to be present in the survey area. P3 *Calectasia obtusa* is recognised as cryptic and hard to detect without flowers. However, the survey was conducted on the periphery of the flowering time, meaning some flowering material may still have been present at the time of the survey. It is therefore said not to have been present within the survey area.

Limited information on the description and habitat preferences of P3 *Thelymitra* sp. Ongerup (S. Oborne 142) was available. One species of *Thelymitra* was detected but it was determined that it was the non-threatened *Thelymitra graminea*.

A juvenile *Eucalyptus caesia* plant was present within the survey area, which could not be identified to subspecies level. The species is either P4 *Eucalyptus caesia* subsp. *caesia* or P4 *Eucalyptus caesia* subsp. *magna,* but was too immature and lacked key taxonomic material to be identified to sub-species level. However, this individual is located within the cultivated road verge and is not considered a natural population within the survey area. *E. caesia* is widely planted as an ornamental species and is popular in revegetation, road verges and gardens. Because of this it is not considered a natural population.

Similarly, P4 *Eucalyptus kruseana* and P3 *Eucalyptus newbeyi* were identified in the cultivated road verge. These species naturally occur near Kalgoorlie and south Fitzgerald, respectively. Therefore, they are not endemic to the survey area and are planted as ornamental species. Because of this both are not considered to be natural populations.

Albany Office: 29 Hercules Crescent Albany, WA 6330 (08) 9842 1575 Denmark Office: 7/40 South Coast Highway Denmark, WA 6333 (08) 9848 1309 Esperance Office: 2A/113 Dempster Street Esperance, WA 6450 (08) 9072 1382

CBH0025

05/12/2022

FINAL

5.6. Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities

The targeted ecological community component of the survey focused specifically on determining the presence of any Threatened (TEC) or Priority (PEC) Ecological Communities within the survey area through quadrat analysis.

One vegetation unit within the survey are bore similarity to a TEC / PEC identified on the LOO as 'Possible' to occur, namely 'Eucalyptus Woodlands of the Western Australian Wheatbelt (Wheatbelt Woodlands)' and vegetation unit 2: Mixed Eucalyptus Woodland [MEW]. Three quadrats (Appendix D) were sampled within Vegetation Unit 2: MEW to assess and analyse the quantitative and qualitative criteria and determine if present. Quadrat data is provided in Appendix D. Vegetation unit 2 consisted of a mixed eucalyptus overstorey which had been planted within the survey area after historical clearing had taken place. The species planted were a cultivated mix of species endemic and non-endemic to the area. The understorey was compromised entirely of introduced species. In summary, Vegetation unit 2 did not meet the criteria to be considered Wheatbelt Woodlands TEC/PEC. Quadrats 1 and 2 only met Criteria 1 and 2, while Quadrat 3 only met Criteria 1, 2 and 3. Criteria 4 and 5 were not met by any of the quadrats. Therefore, Vegetation Unit 2 [MEW] is not considered to be the Wheatbelt Woodlands TEC/PEC. Refer to Table 6 for rationale.

All other vegetation units present within the survey area did not bear resemblance to any TEC / PEC criteria.

Table 6: Quadrat analysis of Vegetation Unit 2 [MEW] during the targeted survey, determining the presence of 'Eucalyptus Woodlands of the Western Australian Wheatbelt (Wheatbelt Woodlands)' Threatened (TEC) and Priority (PEC) Ecological Community.

Criteria	Description	Discussion	Meet Criteria
1)	Occurs within the IBRA Avon Wheatbelt subregions Merredin (AVW01) and Katanning (AVW02), Western Mallee subregion (MAL02) and jarrah forest subregions Northern Jarrah Forest (JAF01) and Jarrah Forest (JAF02) when adjacent to the Avon Wheatbelt.	Confirmed that the survey area is located within Mallee Bioregion and Western Mallee (MAL02) subregion.	Yes – Q1, Q2 & Q3
2)	Structure of the ecological community is a woodland, with minimum crown cover of tree canopy of mature woodland being 10% (crowns measured as if opaque).	Q1, 2 & 3 all had a minimum crown cover of tree canopy of 10% of mature woodland. Specifically, <i>Eucalyptus utilis</i> had a cover of 10-30% for both Q1 and 2 and <i>Eucalyptus rudis</i> subsp. <i>rudis</i> had a cover of 10-30% for Q3. This exceeds the minimum of 10% required to meet the criteria and the vegetation unit is consistent with a woodland structure.	Yes – Q1, Q2 & Q3
3)	Key species of the tree canopy are species of Eucalyptus identified in Table 2a of approved conservation guidelines (DoEE, 2015). These are species that typically have a single trunk. One or more tree species are dominant or co- dominant within the patch of the ecological community. If other species are present in the tree canopy, then these do not occur as dominant in the tree canopy.	Q1 and 2 contained a high number of cultivated species not endemic to the area. For example, <i>Eucalyptus utilis,</i> the dominant species present within Q1 and Q2 does not naturally occur in Borden but has been planted as part of the revegetation of the road reserve. It is not identified as a key eucalyptus species in Table 2a of the approved conservation advice. <i>E. utilis</i> is considered a 'moort' opposed to 'tree', a key feature of eucalyptus species forming Wheatbelt Woodlands. <i>Eucalyptus rudis</i> subsp. <i>rudis</i> is the dominant species present within Q3, which is identified as a key eucalypt species in Table 2a of the approved conservation advice. <i>E. utilis</i> only occurred within locally occurring patches, with the species composition across the vegetation unit being more consistent with that of Q1 and Q2. It is also unknown whether the plants had been cultivated or were naturally occurring within the area.	Yes – Q3. No – Q1 & Q2.
4)	Native understorey is present but is of variable composition, being a combination of grasses, other herbs and shrubs, as specified in Table 2 of Section 4.2.	No native understorey is present in any of the quadrats, with the understorey being comprised fully of weed species including grasses such as <i>*Ehrharta longiflora, *Ehrharta calycina, *Aira caryophyllea, *Lolium rigidum, *Briza maxima</i> and <i>*Eragrostis curvula</i> and herbs such as <i>*Hypochaeris glabra, *Bromus diandrus, *Ursinia anthemoides, *Crassula alata, *Asparagus asparagoides, *Oxalis pescaprae</i> and <i>*Arctotheca calendula.</i>	No - Q1, Q2 & Q3.
5)	Patch Size and Condition Criteria (Table 2).	Table 2 identifies the specific condition and patch thresholds of Wheatbelt Woodlands TEC/PEC, with specific focus applied to the roadside minimum patch width required. The "patch" of Vegetation Unit 2: MEW is considered a roadside patch. However, exotic plant species account for >70% of total vegetation cover in the understorey layers.	No – Q1, Q2 & Q3.

6. Discussion

The scope for this survey was to provide the client with information on any Threatened or Priority flora species that are potentially present within the survey area, as well as TEC/PEC, and to provide an assessment on vegetation types present and their general condition.

Three vegetation units were recorded during the survey, namely 1: *Allocasuarina fraseriana* Open Forest [AfOF], 2: Mixed Eucalyptus Woodland [MEW] and 3: *Acacia acuminata* Low Open Forest [AaLOF]. The condition of the vegetation units were 'Completely Degraded' or 'Degraded', due to historical clearing that allowed invasive species or disturbance-opportunist natives to dominate.

A total of 153 flora species were recorded, comprising 69 native species, 49 introduced species and 35 cultivated species. No Threatened or Priority flora was identified during the survey period. One introduced species of concern, the Declared Pest and Weed of National Significance, bridal creeper (*Asparagus asparagoides*) was detected across the survey area.

Two Threatened/Priority Ecological Communities were identified as 'Possible' to occur, namely '*Eucalyptus Woodlands of the Western Australian Wheatbelt (Wheatbelt Woodlands)*' and '*Proteaceae Dominated Kwongkan Shrublands of the Southeast Coastal Floristic Province of Western Australia (Kwongkan)*'. One vegetation unit identified in the survey area, bore similarity to the Wheatbelt Woodlands TEC/PEC, Vegetation unit 2: Mixed Eucalyptus Woodland [MEW]. Quadrat sampling and subsequent analysis occurred and it was determined to not meet the criteria due to the lack of dominant overstorey species that met the Wheatbelt Woodland description, the lack of native understorey species and the degraded nature of the vegetation.

7. References

AVH, Australasian Virtual Herbarium (n.d.) Australian Virtual Herbarium. Accessible: https://avh.chah.org.au/

Barrett, R. L. and Dixon, K. W. (2001). A revision of the genus Calectasia (Calectasiaceae) with eight new species described from south-west Western Australia. Nuytsia 13(3): 411-488.

Barrett, R. and Tay, E. P. (2016) *Perth Plants: A Field Guide to the Bushland and Coastal Flora of Kings Park and Bold Park. Second Edition.* CSIRO. Western Australia.

Barrett, R.L., Bruhl, J.J. and Wilson, K.L. (2021). *Revision of generic concepts in Schoeneae subtribe Tricostulariinae (Cyperaceae) with a new Australian genus Ammothryon and new species of Tricostularia*. Telopea, Journal of Plant Systematics, 24: 61-169.

Beard, J. S., Beeston, G.R., Harvey, J.M., Hopkins, A. J. M. and Shepherd, D. P. (2013). The vegetation of Western Australia at the 1:3,000,000 scale. Explanatory memoir. Second edition. *Conservation Science Western Australia* 9: 1-152.

Beecham, B., and Danks, A. (2001). *Mallee 2 (MAL2 - Western Mallee subregion). A Biodiversity Audit of Western Australia's* 53 *Biogeographical Subregions in 2002.* Department of Conservation and Land Management.

BoM, Bureau of Meteorology Australia (2020) Climate Statistics for Australian Locations – Ongerup (Station 010622) Accessed: October 2022 <u>www.bom.gov.au</u>

Briggs, B. G. and Johnson, L. A. S. (2001). The genus Desmocladus (Restionaceae) and new species from the south of Western Australia and South Australia. Telopea 9(2): 2001.

Brown, A (2018). Western Australia's Superb Sun Orchids (Thelymitra). The Orchadian: Official Journal of Australasian Native Orchid Society Inc, 19(5).

Brundrett, M. (2014). *Identification and ecology of Southwest Australian Orchids*. Western Australia Naturalists' Club Inc., Western Australia. p. 161.

CALM, Department of Conservation and Land Management (1999). *Environmental Weed Strategy for Western Australia,* Department of Conservation and Land Management, Como.

CoA, Commonwealth of Australia (2013), *Draft Survey Guidelines for Australia's Threatened Orchids,* Commonwealth of Australia. Accessible: <u>http://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/e160f3e7-7142-4485-9211-</u>2d1eb5e1cf31/files/draft-guidelines-threatened-orchids.pdf

DAWE, Department of Agriculture, Water and Environment (2022). EPBC Act Protected Matters Search Tool. URL: http://www.environment.gov.au/webgis-framework/apps/pmst/pmst.jsf#

DBCA (2007–) NatureMap: Mapping Western Australia's Biodiversity. Department of Parks and Wildlife. URL: https://naturemap.dbca.wa.gov.au/

DBCA, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (2017). South Coast Significant Wetlands (DBCA-018) dataset.

DBCA, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (2021). *Priority Ecological Communities for Western Australia Version* 32. Species and Communities Program. Available from: <u>https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/plants-animals/threatened-species/Listings/Priority%20Ecological%20Communities%20list.pdf</u>

DBCA, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (2022a), *Threatened and Priority Flora Database Search for CBH Borden Receival Site* accessed on the 23/09/2022. Prepared by the Species and Communities program for Charlize van der Mescht, Bio Diverse Solutions (88-0922FL) for reconnaissance flora and vegetation survey.

DBCA, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (2022b), *Threatened and Priority Ecological Community Database Search for CBH Borden Receival Site* accessed on the 23/09/2022. Prepared by the Species and Communities program for Charlize van der Mescht, Bio Diverse Solutions (56-0922EC) for reconnaissance flora and vegetation survey.

DoE, Department of the Environment (2014). Approved Conservation Advice for Proteaceae Dominated Kwongkan Shrublands of the southeast coastal floristic province of Western Australia. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <u>http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/communities/pubs/126-conservation-advice.pdf</u>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 04-Dec-2015.

DoEE, Department of Environment and Energy (2017). *Australian Vegetation Attribute Manual Version 7.0.* NVIS Technical Working Group, Australian Government

DoEE, Department of the Environment (2015). *Conservation Advice for Eucalypt Woodlands of the Western Australian Wheatbelt*. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <u>http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/communities/pubs/128-conservation-advice.pdf</u>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 04-Dec-2015.

DPIRD, Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (2022a). Soil Landscape Mapping - Systems (DPIRD-064) dataset.

DPIRD, Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (2022b). Soil landscape land quality - Zones (DPIRD-017) dataset.

DPIRD, Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (2022c). Soil Landscape Mapping - Best Available (DPIRD-027) dataset.

DPIRD, Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (2022d). Hydrological Zones of Western Australia (DPIRD-069) dataset.

DPIRD, Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (2019). Pre-European Vegetation (DPIRD-006) dataset.

DPLH, Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (2022). Aboriginal Heritage Inquiry System (AHIS). Viewed October 2022.

DWER, Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (2018a). Hydrographic Catchments - Catchments (DWER-028) dataset accessed from https://maps.slip.wa.gov.au/landgate/locate/

DWER, Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (2018b). Hydrographic Catchments - Subcatchments (DWER-030) dataset accessed from https://maps.slip.wa.gov.au/landgate/locate/

DWER, Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (2021). Clearing Regulations - Environmentally Sensitive Areas (DWER-046) dataset

DWER, Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (2022) Public Drinking Water Source Areas (DWER033) dataset accessed January 2021 from https://maps.slip.wa.gov.au/landgate/locate/

EPA, Environmental Protection Authority (2016). *Technical Guidance – Flora and Vegetation Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment*, EPA, Western Australia.

Euclid (n.d.) *Eucalypts of Australia, Fourth Edition,* Commonwealth Science Industry Research Organisation, Australian Biological Resources Study, Centre of Australian National Biodiversity Research, Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment. Accessible: <u>https://apps.lucidcentral.org/euclid/text/intro/index.html</u>

French, M. (2012). Eucalypts of Western Australia's Wheatbelt.

GoWA, Government of Western Australia (2019). 2018 Statewide Vegetation Statistics incorporating the CAR Reserve Analysis (Full Report). Current as of March 2019. WA Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth.

Hartley, R. and Barrett, S. (2008). *Grass Conostylis* (Conostylis misera) *Recovery Plan*. Department of Environment and Conservation, Western Australia. Available from: <u>http://www.environment.gov.au/resource/grass-conostylis-misera-recovery-plan</u>.

Hislop, M (2012). Two new species from the Leucopogon distans group (Ericaceae: Styphelioideae: Styphelieae) and the reinstatement of Leucopogon penicillatus. Nuytsia, 22(1): 1-16.

Hopper S and Gioia P (2004). The southwest Australian floristic region: Evolution and conservation of a global hot spot of biodiversity. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 35, p 623-50.

IPAC, Invasive Plants and Animals Committee (2017). Australian Weeds Strategy 2017 - 2027. Commonwealth of Australia.

JSTOR (2000 -). Global Plants, Herbarium Specimens. Accessible: <u>https://plants.jstor.org/collection/TYPSPE</u>

Keighery, B. (1994) *Bushland Plant Survey, A Guide to Community Survey for the Community*, Wildflower Society of WA (Inc.) Nedlands, WA.

Maslin, B.R. (2018 -) *Wattles of Australia, Version* 3. Australian Biological Resources Study, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Identic Pty Ltd. Accessible: <u>https://apps.lucidcentral.org/wattle/identify/key.html</u>

Muir, B.G. (1977). *Biological Survey of the Western Australian Wheatbelt, Part 2. Vegetation and habitat of Bendering Reserve.* Records of the Western Australian Museum Supplement. No. 3.

Olde, P.M. (2020). Grevillea pieroniae Olde (Proteaceae: Grevilleoideae: Hakeinae), a rare new species in the Triloba Group from the Stirling Range, Western Australia, and a short history of the group. Telopea, Journal of Plant Systematics, 23: 227-235.

RCC, Roadside Conservation Committee (2014). *Roadside conservation mapping program*. Data from surveyed shires. Available on the Internet at: <u>http://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/management/off-reserve-conservation/roadside-conservation/132-roadside-conservation-value-mapping-program?showall=&start=2</u>. Accessed November 2022.

Sandiford, E.M. and Barrett, S. (2010) *Albany Regional Vegetation Survey, Extent Type and Status*. A project funded by the Western Australian Planning Commission (EnviroPlanning "Integrating NRM into Land Use Planning" and State NRM Program), South Coast Natural Resource Management Inc. and City of Albany for the Department of Environment and Conservation. Unpublished report. Department of Environment and Conservation, Western Australia.

Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R. and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2002). *Native Vegetation in Western Australia, extent Type and Status*. Technical Report 249, Department of Agriculture WA.

WAH, Western Australian Herbarium (1998-). *FloraBase*: The Western Australian Flora. Available online at: <u>https://florabase.dpaw.wa.gov.au/</u>

Wilkins, P., Gilfillan, S., Watson, J. and Sanders, A. (2006) *The Western Australian South Coast Macro Corridor Network – a bioregional strategy for nature conservation.* Department of Conservation and Land Management (CALM) and South Coast Regional Initiative Planning Team (SCRIPT), Albany, Western Australia.

Young, J. A. (2006). Hakeas of Western Australia: A Field and Identification Guide.

Young, J. A. (2021). A Field Guide to the Melaleucas of South-West Western Australia.


8. Appendices

- Appendix A Survey Effort Map
- Appendix B Conservation Significant Values Likelihood of Occurrence Analysis
- Appendix C Conservation Status Definitions and Condition Scale
- Appendix D Species Lists, Relevé and Quadrat Data
- Appendix E EPBC Act PMST reports



Appendix A

Survey Effort Map



BIO DIVERSE SOLUTIONS Overview Map Scale 1:100,000 Legend A Survey Area Cadastre Survey Effort Scale 1:3,750 @ A3 GDA2020 MGA Zone 50 Data Sources Aerial Imagery: WA Now, Landgate Subscription Imagery Cadastre, Relief Contours and Roads: Landgate 2017 IRIS Road Network: Main Roads Western Australia 2017 Overview Map: World Topographic map service, ESRI 2012 CLIENT CBH Group Borden Expansion Area Lot 91 Moir Sreet Borden, WA 6338 Figure 10: Survey Effort. QA Check Drawn by CvdM STATUS FILE DATE FINAL CBH0025 05/12/2022

Esperance Office: 2A/113 Dempster Street Esperance, WA 6450 (08) 9072 1382

Albany Office: 29 Hercules Crescent Albany, WA 6330 (08) 9842 1575 Denmark Office: 7/40 South Coast Highway Denmark, WA 6333 (08) 9848 1309



Appendix B

Conservation Significant Values Likelihood of Occurrence Analysis



Table 7: Criteria for assessing the likelihood of occurrence of conservation significant flora within a 30km radius of the survey area.

Likelihood	Criteria
Present	Species is recorded within the survey area.
Likely	Species has been previously recorded in close proximity and suitable habitat occurs within the survey
	area.
Possible	Species previously recorded within 10 km and suitable habitat occurs in the survey area.
Unlikely	The species has been recorded locally through database searches. However, suitable habitat for the species does not occur at the survey area or suitable habitat may occur but the species has a highly restricted distribution, is very rare and only known from a limited number of populations.
	Species is unlikely to occur due to the site lacking critical habitat, only containing marginally suitable habitat, and/or the survey area is considerably degraded.
	The species has not been recorded in the survey area despite adequate survey effort.
Highly Unlikely	No suitable habitat within the survey area or the survey area is outside the species' natural distribution.



Table 8: Potential Threatened and Priority flora located within 20 (NatureMap and PMST) to 30 (DBCA) km of the survey area and likelihood of occurrence analysis (post survey). NB - Species are sorted by likelihood of presence. Numerous resources specific to Threatened and Priority flora listed below were used in the likelihood assessment (Barrett et al. 2021; Barrett & Dixon, 2001; Briggs and Johnson, 2001; Brundrett, 2014; Brown, 2018; Euclid, n.d.; Hartley & Barrett, 2008; Hislop, 2012; Maslin, 2018-; Olde, 2020; WAH, 1998-).

Family	Species	Vernacular	Status (WA)	Description- Species	Description - Habitat	Peak Flowering period	Likelihood Analysis - pre-survey assessment	Likelihood Analysis - Post survey outcomes
Parmeliaceae	Xanthoparmelia scabrosina		P1	Lichen			Outside expertise of surveyors.	Not surveyed for. Outside expertise of surveyors.
Orchidaceae	Thelymitra psammophila		T - VU	Tuberous, perennial, herb, 0.15-0.25 m high. Fl. Yellow.	Sandy clay, loam.	Sep to Oct	Likely. Located ~500m from survey area.	Unlikely – species not detected
Rhamnaceae	Spyridium mucronatum subsp. recurvum		P3	Erect or spreading shrub, 0.15-0.6 m high. Fl. white-cream-yellow.	Sandy & clayey soils. Plains.	Oct to Nov	Likely. Located ~500m from survey area.	Unlikely – species not detected
Fabaceae	Acacia declinata		P4	Dense, intricately branched, prostrate, pungent shrub, 0.2-0.4 m high. Fl. Yellow.	Loamy or sandy clay.	Aug to Sep	Possible. Located ~1.3km from survey area.	Unlikely – species not detected Minor limitation on survey timing. Detection of species possible without flowering.
Iridaceae	Orthrosanthus muelleri		P4	Rhizomatous, tufted perennial, herb, 0.2-0.3 m high. Fl. Blue.	Sand.	Sep to Oct	Possible. Located ~2.5km from the survey area.	Unlikely – species not detected
Myrtaceae	Verticordia coronata		P3	Erect or spreading shrub, 0.15-0.5 m high. FI. Yellow.	Clay loam, clay & sandy loam, sometimes gravelly.	Sep to Dec	Possible. Located ~3.5km from the survey area.	Unlikely – species not detected
Asparagaceae	Thysanotus gageoides		P3	Perennial, herb (with tuberous roots), to 0.2 m high. Fl. Purple.	Sand, clay, granite, sandstone, laterite.	Oct to Nov	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Chenopodiaceae	Roycea pycnophylloides	Saltmat	T-EN	Perennial, herb, forming densely branched, silvery mats to 1 m wide.	Sandy soils, clay. Saline flats.	Sep	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected Minor limitation on survey timing. Detection of species possible without flowering.
Dasypogonaceae	Calectasia obtusa		Р3	Erect, low herb, 0.25-0.4 m high, to 0.2; with aerial roots. Fl. Blue.	Sand, clay loam, gravel, laterite. Flats.	Aug to Sep	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected. Species is cryptic to identify without flowering material. Survey was conducted on periphery of flowering period. Some material may have been available to detect species if species was present. Not detected
Ericaceae	Andersonia setifolia		P3	Decumbent to erect, cushion-forming shrub, 0.05-0.15 m high. Fl. red/white.	Sandy & gravelly soils. Hillslopes & breakaways.	Jun to Oct	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Ericaceae	Sphenotoma drummondii		T-EN	Tufted shrub, 0.15-0.5 m high. Fl. White.	Stony or shallow soils over granite or quartzite. Steep rocky slopes, crevices of rocks.	Sep to Dec	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Fabaceae	Acacia mutabilis subsp. rhynchophylla		P3	Shrub, 0.5-1 m high. Fl. Yellow.	Gravelly sand, sandy loam or loam.		Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Fabaceae	Chorizema carinatum		P3	Erect or spreading shrub, 0.1-0.6 m high. FI. Yellow.	Sand, sandy clay.	Oct to Dec	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Frankeniaceae	Frankenia drummondii		P3	Prostrate shrub. Fl. White.	Sand. Lake edges.		Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Haemodoraceae	Conostylis misera	Grass Conostylis	T-EN	Rhizomatous, tufted perennial, grass- like or herb, 0.05-0.18 m high. Fl. Yellow.	White or grey sand, sandy loam. Winter-wet flats.	Oct to Nov	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Lamiaceae	Hemigenia platyphylla		P4	Spreading shrub, 0.2-1.5 m high. Fl. blue-purple.	Sandy & loamy soils. Granite rocks, slopes.	Sep to Nov	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Malvaceae	Lasiopetalum parvuliflorum		P3	Erect, spreading shrub, 0.35-1 m high. Fl. green-cream.	Sand, gravelly loam. Along creeks, seasonal swamps.	Sep to Oct	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus vesiculosa		P4	(Mallee), to 3 m high, bark smooth, grey over rich coppery red. Fl. Pink.	Flat sites, slight rises.	Мау	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected Minor limitation on survey timing. Detection of species possible without flowering.
Myrtaceae	Rinzia longifolia		P3	Prostrate shrub, 0.1-0.4 m high. Fl. pink/white.	Sand, clay. Low rises.	Aug to Nov	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Orchidaceae	Caladenia bryceana subsp. bryceana		T - EN	Tuberous, perennial, herb, 0.05-0.1 m high. Fl. green-yellow.	Sand, loam. Adjacent to watercourses, winter-wet sites.	Aug to Oct	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected



Family	Species	Vernacular	Status (WA)	Description- Species	Description - Habitat	Peak Flowering period	Likelihood Analysis - pre-survey assessment	Likelihood Analysis - Post survey outcomes
Proteaceae	Banksia porrecta		P4	Prostrate, sprawling, mat-forming, lignotuberous shrub, 0.2-0.35 m high, 0.6-4 m wide. Fl. white-cream.	White/grey sand, sandy loam.	Jul to Aug	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected Minor limitation on survey timing. Detection of species possible without flowering.
Proteaceae	Hakea brachyptera		P3	Low, intricately branched shrub, 0.4-2.5 m high. Fl. White.	Sand, loam, clay.		Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Restionaceae	Desmocladus biformis		P3	Rhizomatous, densely tufted perennial, herb (sedge-like), 0.1-0.2 m high.	Sand, sandy clay, lateritic soils. Dry sites.	Sep to Oct	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Rhamnaceae	Spyridium oligocephalum		P3	Shrub, (0.3-)0.6-1.5 m high. Fl. white- cream.	Sandy soils. Sandplains.	Mar or Jul to Oct	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Stylidiaceae	Stylidium diuroides subsp. nanum		P2	Erect perennial, herb, 0.06-0.11 m high. Fl. cream-yellow.	Wet sand.	Oct	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Cyperaceae	Tricostularia sandifordiana		P3	Sedge to 0.9m high. Widely spreading rhizomes bearing distant culms and broadly flared leaf sheaths.	Low heath and <i>Banksia coccinea</i> shrubland on winter-wet white or grey sands on plains or gentle slopes, sometimes along drainage lines.	Nov to Dec	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected. Distribution not near survey area and lack of suitable habitat.
Ericaceae	Leucopogon newbeyi		Р3	Erect shrub to 0.9 cm high. Inflorescence erect, terminal. White flowers. Narrow, revolute leaves, and brown bracts.	Restricted to narrow north-south band from Nyabing area to south of Ongerup. Low in the landscape as a component of Mallee Woodland, commonly associated with Melaleuca species. Sandy loam soils, with clay at depth.	June to Sep	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus brandiana		P2	Mallee to 5 m tall. Smoth grey to silvery grey and salmon bark. Large leaves. Large four-winged and pink fruits and flowers.	South coast on free draining soils.	Winter and Spring	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Orchidaceae	<i>Thelymitra</i> sp. Ongerup (S. Oborne 142)		P3	Bright orange flowers.			Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Proteaceae	Grevillea pieroniae		P2	Erect to wispy shrub to 1.5 m high. White to cream flowers. Leaves secondary or tertiary division of lobes.	Creek lines and areas of impeded drainage in elevated terrain, comprising of marri-jarrah woodland and proteaceous heath-shrubland. Yellowish sandy loam or silt over laterite.	Mid-winter to Spring.	Possible	Unlikely – species not detected
Araliaceae	Hydrocotyle muriculata		P1	Low spreading to prostrate annual, herb. Fl. Yellow.	Margins of salt lakes & flats.	Sep	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Asparagaceae	Laxmannia grandiflora subsp. stirlingensis		P3	Tall, slender, rambling, stilt-rooted perennial, herb, to 0.22 m high. Fl. White.	White sand, sandy clay. Winter-wet locations.	Sep to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Brassicaceae	Lepidium aschersonii		T-VU	Erect perennial, herb, 0.04-0.3 m high.	Clay.		Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Casuarinaceae	Allocasuarina anfractuosa		P1	Monoecious, bushy shrub to c. 1.5 m high.	Broad hill crests or upper slopes in brown sandy loam on granite, often forming dense stands.	Aug	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Chenopodiaceae	Tecticornia uniflora		P4	Prostrate perennial, herb, 0.01-0.03 m high, 0.8-1.5 m wide.	Clay, sandy clay, loam. Salt lakes & creeks.		Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Dilleniaceae	Hibbertia priceana		T - EN	Usually compact but sometimes sprawling, dwarf shrub, to 0.15 m high. FI. Yellow.	Grey sandy clay with laterite gravel. Ridges.	Jun to Aug	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Elaeocarpaceae	Tetratheca pilata		P1	Shrub (subshrub), 0.2-0.3 m high, with numerous stems.	Granite loam. Rocky outcrops.		Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat



Family	Species	Vernacular	Status (WA)	Description- Species	Description - Habitat	Peak Flowering period	Likelihood Analysis - pre-survey assessment	Likelihood Analysis - Post survey outcomes
Ericaceae	Andersonia echinocephala		P4	Erect, rigid, semi-prickly shrub, 0.3-1.5 m high. Fl. white-cream.	Shallow skeletal rocky silty soils over quartzite or shalestone. Rocky slopes & summits.	Oct to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Ericaceae	Brachyloma mogin		P3	Compact shrub, 0.4 m high. Fl. red/pink/white.	Grey clayey sand. Swamp flat.	Jun	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Ericaceae	Leucopogon acicularis		P2	Erect, open shrub, 0.2-1 m high. Fl. White.	Sandy & clayey soils. Steep slopes.	Aug	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Ericaceae	Leucopogon bracteolaris		P2	Shrub, 0.25-1 m high. Fl. White.	Stony sand, gravelly loam.	Feb or May or Jul or Oct	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Ericaceae	Leucopogon florulentus		P3	Erect slender shrub, 0.3-0.8 m high. Fl. White.	White/grey or yellow sand, sandy clay, gravelly lateritic soils. Sandplains, gentle slopes.	Jun to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Ericaceae	Leucopogon gnaphalioides		T-EN	Slender or sprawling shrub, 0.25-1 m high. Fl. White.	Shallow rocky soils. Rocky slopes & plateaus.	Jul or Oct to Dec	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Ericaceae	<i>Leucopogon</i> sp. Ongerup (A.S. George 16682)		T - VU	An erect compact shrub, c. 60 cm high by 60 cm wide from a fire-sensitive rootstock.	Pale-brown sand clay loam and sandy loam soils with laterite gravel and quartz fragments on a laterite dry, pale yellow to white sand and sandy loam soil overlying laterite, some gravel on soil surface. Simple slope gentle ridge, overlying granite.	Jul to Aug	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Ericaceae	Sphenotoma sp. Stirling Range (P.G. Wilson 4235)		P4	Shrub, 0.3-2 m high. Fl. White.	Skeletal soils over granite or quartzite. Rocky slopes & plateaus, gullies.	Aug to Dec	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Ericaceae	Styphelia disjuncta		т	Erect, compact shrubs to c. 60 cm high and 60 cm wide, branching from close to the base but with a fire-sensitive rootstock.	Grows in sand or sandy loam soils over laterite, and in association with species-rich heath or open mallee woodland.	Jun to Sep	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Fabaceae	Acacia awestoniana		T - CR	Spreading, viscid shrub, 2.4-3 m high, to 4 m wide. Fl. Yellow.	Loam, sandy clay loam. Lower slopes, along watercourses, flats.	Sep to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Fabaceae	Acacia keigheryi	Keighery's Wattle	P3	Diffuse or low domed shrub, 0.3-0.5 m tall. Yellow flowers.	Gentle slopes in often stony, gritty sand, sandy loam, sandy clay or clay over granite or gneiss in open Mallee woodland over heath scrub.	Aug to Oct	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Fabaceae	Acacia mutabilis subsp. incurva		P2	Spreading shrub, 1.5-3 m high. Fl. Yellow.	Sandy loam, clayey loam. Undulating plains.	Aug to Sep	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Fabaceae	Acacia trulliformis		P4	Spreading shrub, 0.9-2.2 m high. Fl. Yellow.	Sandy loam.	Sep	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Fabaceae	Bossiaea divaricata		P4	Shrub, to 0.6 m high.	Sandy lateritic soils.		Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Fabaceae	Chorizema ulotropis		P4	Sprawling, open, semi-prostrate shrub, to 0.45 m high. Fl. orange-yellow.	Moist to dry soils, white sand with gravel, laterite, granite. Outcrops, winter damp to dry areas, flats.	Jul to Sep	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Fabaceae	Daviesia mesophylla		P2	Prostrate shrub. Fl. yellow & red.	Peaty or white sand. Rocky slopes.	Jan to May	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Fabaceae	Eutaxia nanophylla		P3	Straggly, rounded shrub, to 0.35 m high. Fl. Yellow/orange/red.	Clayey sand, red clay, stoney clayey loam. Low-lying areas, damp flats, slopes, undulating plains, low stony ridges.	Oct to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Fabaceae	Gastrolobium crenulatum		P2	Erect shrub, to 1.2 m high. Fl. Yellow/red.	Skeletal sediment. Mountain slopes.	Sep to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Fabaceae	Gastrolobium humile		T - EN	Low shrub.	Shallow soils over granite/ gneiss and occurs in a distinctive plant community.	Sep to Oct	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat



Family	Species	Vernacular	Status (WA)	Description- Species	Description - Habitat	Peak Flowering period	Likelihood Analysis - pre-survey assessment	Likelihood Analysis - Post survey outcomes
Fabaceae	Gastrolobium leakeanum		P2	Erect shrub, to 2 m high. Fl. red/orange-yellow.	Skeletal sandy soils. Mountain peaks.	Sep	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Haloragaceae	Gonocarpus rudis		P2	Erect or sprawling perennial, herb or shrub, 0.1-0.3 m high. Fl. green-red.	Peaty sand. Seepages, roadsides.		Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Juncaceae	Juncus meianthus		P3	Tufted perennial, herb, 0.05-0.2 m high, to 0.4 m wide. Fl. Brown.	Black sand, sandy clay. Creeks, seepage areas.	Nov to Dec or Jan	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Malvaceae	Commersonia rotundifolia		P3	Shrub to 1.5 m high. Semi-erect. Cream flowers, white calyx with green base. Petals cream, ligule on green base, staminodes white. Dull green leaves.	Open Eucalyptus woodland and shrubs, with Eucalyptus platypus or other Mallee or Mallet species. Well drained grey brown loams.	Oct to Dec	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Malvaceae	Lasiopetalum dielsii		P2	Spreading shrub, 0.5-1 m high. Fl. White.	Silty loam. Steep slopes.	Dec	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Malvaceae	Lasiopetalum fitzgibbonii		P3	Erect, spreading shrub, 0.3-1.5 m high. Fl. blue-purple-pink.	Sand, clay loam, lateritic soils. Undulating plains, hills.	Sep to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Malvaceae	Lasiopetalum monticola		P3	Erect slender or straggling shrub, 0.45- 1.5 m high. Fl. white-cream-pink.	Rocky soils. Steep slopes, gullies.	Aug to Oct	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Myrtaceae	Baeckea sp. Youndegin Hill (A.S. George 15772)		P1	Erect shrub, to 1.45 m high. Fl. White.	Yellow sand, red sandy clay, laterite. Along road verges.	Sep to Oct	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Myrtaceae	Calytrix pulchella		P3	Shrub, 0.3-0.7(-1) m high. Fl. Pink.	Grey or white sand over laterite. Ridges, flats.	Aug to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus buprestium x marginata		P4	(Mallee), 1.5-5 m high, bark rough, flaking & fibrous. Fl.	Loam or sand with gravel. Gradual slopes.	Mar to May	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus dissimulata subsp. dissimulata		P4	(Mallee), 1.7-4 m high, bark smooth, grey. Fl. Cream.	White or yellow sand. Sandplains.	Dec	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus marginata x pachyloma		P4	(Mallee), 1.5-5 m high, bark rough at the base.	Sandy loam or loam & gravel, sand & gravel. Plains, hills.		Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus x erectifolia		P4	(Mallee), 1-4 m high, bark smooth, grey. Fl. White.	White sand, sandy loam & gravel. Hillslopes, sandplains.	Mar to May	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Myrtaceae	Melaleuca fissurata		P4	Shrub, 0.5-2(-4) m high. Fl. white/yellow.	White/grey sand, sandy loam. Samphire flats, salt pans.	Jul to Aug	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Myrtaceae	Melaleuca polycephala		P3	Spreading shrub, 0.6-0.9 m high. Fl. pink-purple.	Sandy clay, clay.	Sep to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Myrtaceae	Verticordia brevifolia subsp. brevifolia		P3	Shrub, 0.2-0.4 m high. Fl. yellow/orange-red.	Gravelly loam & clay. Road verges.	Oct to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Myrtaceae	Verticordia brevifolia subsp. stirlingensis		P2	Shrub, to 0.35 m high. Fl. Yellow.	Gravelly sandy loam. Road verges.	Oct	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Myrtaceae	Verticordia carinata		T - VU	Open, slender shrub, 0.8-1 m high. Fl. pink-red.	Grey sand over sandstone.	Mar to May	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Polygonaceae	Duma horrida subsp. Abdita	Remote Thorny Lignum	T-CR	Divaricately to intricately branched, spreading erect shrub that grows to a height of 0.6–1.2 m.	Clay and silt depressions in seasonally inundated freshwater wetlands.		Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Proteaceae	Adenanthos pungens subsp. pungens		T-VU	Erect shrub, 0.5-3 m high. Fl. pink/red.	White/grey or pink sand, rocky soils, gypsum. Sand dunes, hillsides.	Aug to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Proteaceae	Banksia anatona	Cactus Dryandra	T-CR	Upright, non-lignotuberous shrub, to 5 m high. Fl. Yellow.	Grey sand over gravelly shale, rocky silty clay loam. Lower slopes of ranges.	Jan to Mar	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat



Family	Species	Vernacular	Status (WA)	Description- Species	Description - Habitat	Peak Flowering period	Likelihood Analysis - pre-survey assessment	Likelihood Analysis - Post survey outcomes
Proteaceae	Banksia hirta		P4	Erect, prickly, non-lignotuberous shrub, 1-2.5 m high. FI. Yellow.	Sandy clay over sandstone, gravelly loam.	May to Oct	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Proteaceae	Banksia laevigata subsp. laevigata		P4	Non-lignotuberous shrub, 1-3.5 m high. Fl. green-yellow.	Rocky soils (spongolite, laterite). Hills, top of breakaways.	Sep to Dec	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Proteaceae	Banksia parva		P4	Non-lignotuberous, erect, multi- stemmed, usually columnar shrubs to 1.5 m high.	Grows in sandy and gravelly loams and sands over laterite, in mallee- heath and shrublands with Eucalyptus pleurocarpa, E. incrassata, Allocasuarina huegeliana, Hakea varia, H. lissocarpha, H. marginata, Banksia nervosa, B. arctotidis, B. gardneri, B. armata, B. sphaerocarpa, B. drummondii, Regelia inops, and Xanthorrhoea platyphylla.	May to Jul	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Proteaceae	Banksia plumosa subsp. denticulata		P4	Erect, dense, non-lignotuberous shrub, 0.6-1.2 m high. Fl. cream-yellow.	Rocky or gravelly sand.	May or Jul or Dec	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Proteaceae	Banksia pseudoplumosa		T - EN	Non-lignotuberous shrub, to 1.8 m high.	Gravelly soils.	Nov to Dec	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Proteaceae	Grevillea maxwellii		T - CR	Prostrate to spreading shrub, 0.2-1.2 m high, up to 2 m wide. Fl. Red.	Sandy clay or clay loam over granite. Hilltop.	May or Aug to Sep	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Proteaceae	Grevillea newbeyi		P3	Bushy, intricately branched, spreading shrub, 0.6-1.5 m high. Fl. pink/pink-red-cream.	Clay loam, sandy gravelly soils.	Jan or Jun or Sep to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Proteaceae	Hakea oldfieldii		P3	Open, straggling shrub, up to 2.5 m high. Fl. white-cream/yellow.	Red clay or sand over laterite. Seasonally wet flats.	Aug to Oct	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Proteaceae	lsopogon latifolius		P4	Erect, much-branched, non- lignotuberous shrub, 0.9-3 m high. Fl. pink-red-purple.	Stony sandy soils on sandstone, quartzite or schistose rocks. Rocky slopes & summits of hills.	Aug to Dec	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Proteaceae	Persoonia brevirhachis		P3	Erect, often spreading shrub, 0.3-2 m high. Fl. Yellow.	White or yellow sand, gravelly sandy soils.	Aug to Oct	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Rhamnaceae	Spyridium villosum		P2	Low shrub, 0.1-0.4 m high. Fl. Cream.	Sand over sandstone.	Oct to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Scrophulariaceae	Eremophila veneta		P4	Spreading or straggly shrub, 0.3-1.2 m high, to 1.8 m wide. Fl. green & yellow & purple.	Clay to loam, white/grey sand. Plains & flats, slopes.	Oct to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Scrophulariaceae	Myoporum cordifolium		T - EN	Spindly, erect shrub, 0.3-0.8 m high. Fl. white/white-pink.	Sandy loam or clay loam. Flat plains.	Jul to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat
Stylidiaceae	Stylidium lepidum		P3	Spreading, rosetted perennial, herb, ca 0.05 m high, forming densely packed colonies. Fl. pink-orange.	Gravelly sand or loam, clay. Winter- wet depressions.	Oct to Nov	Unlikely	Unlikely – no suitable habitat



Table 9: Potential Threatened and Priority ecological communities located within 20 km of the survey area and likelihood of occurrence analysis (post survey).

Community Name	Status	Description	Pre-Survey Likelihood of Occurrence	Post-Surv
Eucalyptus Woodlands of the Western Australian Wheatbelt	Priority 3 (WA) CR (EPBC Act)	The ecological community defined and assessed as TEC/PEC 'Eucalyptus Woodland of the Western Australian Wheatbelt' is comprised of eucalypt woodlands that formerly were the most common type of vegetation across the wheatbelt landscape of south-western WA, inland between the Darling Range and western edge of the goldfields. The woodlands are dominated by a complex mosaic of eucalypt species with a tree or mallet form over an understorey that is highly variable in structure and composition. Woodlands dominated by mallee forms or vegetation with a very sparse Eucalypt tree canopy are not part of the ecological community (DoEE, 2015).	Likely	Not prese Vegetation bioregion. Only Q3 r native und Section 5.
Proteaceae Dominated Kwongkan Shrublands of the Southeast Coastal Floristic Province of Western Australia	Priority 3 (WA) EN (EPBC Act)	Consists of predominantly obligate seeding proteaceous shrubland and heath (kwongkan) and mallee heath on sandplain, duplex sand/clay and gravels overlying Eocene sediments, quartzite, schist, Yilgarn and Albany Fraser granite and greenstone ranges. Its flora is characterised by high species diversity and a high degree of endemism, particularly in the Stirling Range, Fitzgerald River National Park, Ravensthorpe Range and Russell Ranges. Due to the high levels of endemism, there are few species that exist across the entire range of the dense, obligate seeding Proteaceae dominated shrublands and kwongkan of the Esperance Sandplains, however particular species have been identified as common dominant species in each of its ecodistricts (DoE, 2014).	Possible	Not prese

vey Likelihood of Occurrence and Survey Outcome ent in the survey area.

on unit 2: MEW bore similarities. Located in Mallee a. Woodland structure with minimum crown cover of 10%. met key species criteria. Vegetation unit 2 did not meet derstorey criteria or condition criteria. Further discussed in 5.6

ent in the survey area



Appendix C

Conservation Status Definitions and Condition Scale



Table 10: Conservation code definitions for flora listed as Threatened or specially protected.

Threatened, Extinct and Specially Protected fauna or flora are species which have been adequately searched for and are deemed to be, in the wild, Threatened, extinct or in need of special protection, and have been gazetted as such.

Threat Category	Definition
Threatened - Critically endangered	
species (CR)	Facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future
Threatened - Endangered species (EN)	Facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future
Threatened - Vulnerable species (VU)	Facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future
Threatened - Extinct (EX)	There is no reasonable doubt that the last member of the species has died
Threatened – Extinct in the wild (EW)	Species is known only to survive in cultivation, in captivity or as a naturalised population well outside its past range; and it has not been recorded in its known habitat or expected habitat, at appropriate seasons, anywhere in its past range, despite surveys over a time frame appropriate to its life cycle and form
Specially protected species - Migratory species (MI)	Fauna that periodically or occasionally visit Australia or an external Territory or the exclusive economic zone; or the species is subject of an international agreement that relates to the protection of migratory species and that binds the Commonwealth; Includes birds that are subject to an agreement between the government of Australia and the governments of Japan (JAMBA), China (CAMBA) and The Republic of Korea (ROKAMBA), and fauna subject to the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (Bonn Convention), an environmental treaty under the United Nations Environment Program. Migratory species listed under the BC Act are a subset of the migratory animals that are known to visit Western Australia, protected under the international agreements or treaties, excluding species that are listed as Threatened species.
Specially protected species – Conservation Dependent (CD)	Fauna of special conservation need being species dependent on ongoing conservation intervention to prevent it becoming eligible for listing as threatened,
Specially protected species – Other specially protected species (OS)	Fauna otherwise in need of special protection to ensure their conservation

Table 11: Conservation code definitions for flora listed as Priority.

Possibly Threatened species that do not meet survey criteria, or are otherwise data deficient, are added to the Priority Fauna or Priority Flora Lists under Priorities 1, 2 or 3.

Threat Category	Definition
Priority 1: Poorly-known species	Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less) which are potentially at risk. All occurrences are either: very small; or on lands not managed for conservation, e.g. agricultural or pastoral lands, urban areas, road and rail reserves, gravel reserves and active mineral leases; or otherwise under threat of habitat destruction or degradation.
Priority 2: Poorly-known species	Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less), some of which are on lands managed primarily for nature conservation, e.g. national parks, conservation parks, nature reserves and other lands with secure tenure being managed for conservation.
Priority 3: Poorly-known species	Species that are known from several locations, and the species does not appear to be under imminent threat, or from few but widespread locations with either large population size or significant remaining areas of apparently suitable habitat, much of it not under imminent threat.
Priority 4: Rare, Near Threatened and other species in need of monitoring	 (a) Rare. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and that are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection but could be if present circumstances change. These species are usually represented on conservation lands. (b) Near Threatened. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed and that are close to qualifying for vulnerable but are not listed as Conservation Dependent. (c) Species that have been removed from the list of threatened species during the past five years for reasons other than taxonomy.



Threat Category	Definition
Presumed Totally Destroyed (PD)	An ecological community that has been adequately searched for but for which no representative occurrences have been located. The community has been found to be totally destroyed or so extensively modified throughout its range that no occurrence of it is likely to recover its species composition and/or structure in the foreseeable future.
Critically Endangered (CR)	An ecological community that has been adequately surveyed and found to have been subject to a major contraction in area and/or that was originally of limited distribution and is facing severe modification or destruction throughout its range in the immediate future, or is already severely degraded throughout its range but capable of being substantially restored or rehabilitated.
Endangered (EN)	An ecological community that has been adequately surveyed and found to have been subject to a major contraction in area and/or was originally of limited distribution and is in danger of significant modification throughout its range or severe modification or destruction over most of its range in the near future.
Vulnerable (VU)	An ecological community that has been adequately surveyed and is found to be declining and/or has declined in distribution and/or condition and whose ultimate security has not yet been assured and/or a community that is still widespread but is believed likely to move into a category of higher threat in the near future if threatening processes continue or begin operating throughout its range.

Table 12: Conservation code definitions for ecological communities listed as Threatened (TEC).

Table 13: Conservation code definitions for ecological communities listed as priority (PEC).

Possible Threatened ecological communities that do not meet survey criteria or that are not adequately defined are added to the Priority Ecological Community List under priorities 1, 2 and 3.

Threat Category	Definition
Priority One (P1)	Ecological communities that are known from very few occurrences with a very restricted distribution (generally ≤ 5 occurrences or a total area of ≤ 100 ha), and appear to be under immediate threat.
Priority Two (P2)	Communities that are known from few occurrences with a restricted distribution (generally ≤ 10 occurrences or a total area of ≤ 200 ha). At least some occurrences are not believed to be under immediate threat (within approximately 10 years) of destruction or degradation.
Priority Three (P3)	(i)Communities that are known from several to many occurrences, a significant number or area of which are not under threat of habitat destruction or degradation or: (ii)communities known from a few widespread occurrences, which are either large or with significant remaining areas of habitat in which other occurrences may occur, much of it not under imminent threat (within approximately 10 years), or; (iii)communities made up of large, and/or widespread occurrences, that may or may not be represented in the reserve system, but are under threat of modification across much of their range from processes such as grazing by domestic and/or feral stock, inappropriate fire regimes, clearing, hydrological change etc.
Priority Four (P4)	Ecological communities that are adequately known, rare but not Threatened or meet criteria for Near Threatened, or that have been recently removed from the Threatened list. These communities require regular monitoring.
Priority Five (P5)	Conservation Dependent ecological communities that are not Threatened but are subject to a specific conservation program, the cessation of which would result in the community becoming Threatened within five years.



Vegetation Condition Rating	Description
	Pristine or nearly so, no obvious signs of disturbance or damage caused by human
Pristine	activities since European settlement.
	Vegetation structure intact, disturbance affecting individual species and weeds are
	non-aggressive species. Damage to trees caused by fire, the presence of non-
Excellent	aggressive weeds and occasional vehicle tracks.
	Vegetation structure altered, obvious signs of disturbance. Disturbance to vegetation
	structure caused by repeated fires, the presence of some more aggressive weeds,
Very good	dieback, logging and grazing.
	Vegetation structure significantly altered by very obvious signs of multiple disturbances.
	Retains basic vegetation structure or ability to regenerate it. Disturbance to vegetation
	structure caused by very frequent fires, the presence of very aggressive weeds, partial
Good	clearing, dieback and grazing.
	Basic vegetation structure severely impacted by disturbance. Scope for regeneration
	but not to a state approaching good condition without intensive management.
	Disturbance to vegetation structure caused by very frequent fires, the presence of very
Degraded	aggressive weeds at high density, partial clearing, dieback and grazing.
	The structure of the vegetation is no longer intact and the area is completely or almost
	completely without native species. These areas are often described as 'parkland
	cleared' with the flora comprising weed or crop species with isolated native trees and
Completely Degraded	shrubs.

Table 14: Condition Rating Scale (adapted from Keighery 1994) outlined in EPA (2016a).



Appendix D

Species Lists, Relevé and Quadrat Data



Table 15: Flora Species List recorded within survey area.

Family	Genus	Species	Vernacular	Cons code	Invasive	Comments
Aizoaceae	Carpobrotus	edulis	hottentot fig		Х	
Aizoaceae	Disphyma	crassifolium	round-leaved pigface		Х	
Aizoaceae	Mesembryanthemum	crystallinum	iceplant		Х	
Amaranthaceae	Ptilotus	spathulatus				
Asparagaceae	Asparagus	asparagoides	bridal creeper		X - DP, WoNS	
Asparagaceae	Dichopogon	sp.				Not enough diagnostic features present. No potential T/P flora match.
Asparagaceae	Lomandra	effusa	scented matrush			
Asparagaceae	Lomandra	sp.				No diagnostic features. No potential T/P flora match.
Asparagaceae	Thysanotus	patersonii				
Asteraceae	Arctotheca	calendula	cape weed		Х	
Asteraceae	Cirsium	vulgare	spear thistle		Х	
Asteraceae	Erigeron	sumatrensis			Х	
Asteraceae	Gazania	linearis			Х	
Asteraceae	Hypochaeris	glabra	smooth cats-ear		Х	
Asteraceae	Lactuca	serriola	prickly lettuce		Х	
Asteraceae	Osteospermum	ecklonis			Х	
Asteraceae	Pseudognaphalium	luteoalbum	jersey cudweed		Х	
Asteraceae	Rhodanthe	chlorocephala			Cultivated	
Asteraceae	Senecio	serpens	blue chalksticks		Cultivated	
Asteraceae	Sonchus	oleraceus	common sowthistle		Х	
Asteraceae	Taraxacum	khatoonae	dandelion		Х	
Asteraceae	Ursinia	anthemoides	ursinia		Х	
Asteraceae	Waitzia	acuminata	orange immortelle			
Brassicaceae	Brassica	tournefortii	Mediterranean turnip		Х	
Brassicaceae	Raphanus	raphanistrum	wild radish		Х	
Campanulaceae	Monopsis	debilis			Х	
Casuarinaceae	Allocasuarina	fraseriana	sheoak			



Family	Genus	Species	Vernacular	Cons code	Invasive	Comments
Casuarinaceae	Allocasuarina	huegeliana	rock sheoak			
Chenopodiaceae	Enchylaena	tomentosa	barrier saltbush			
Chenopodiaceae	Enchylaena	lanata				
Chenopodiaceae	Rhagodia	drummondii				
Chenopodiaceae	Rhagodia	preissii				
Chenopodiaceae	Rhagodia	spinescens	spiny saltbush		Cultivated	
Crassulaceae	Cotyledon	orbiculata			Х	
Crassulaceae	Crassula	alata			Х	
Crassulaceae	Crassula	colorata var. acuminata				
Cyperaceae	Carex	testacea			Cultivated	Name not in Florabase because they do not naturally occur, and are not a known weed species in Western Australia.
Cyperaceae	Cyperaceae	sp.				Limited diagnostic features. Not likely to be P3 <i>Tricostularia</i> <i>sandifordiana</i> . Not geographically distributed near survey area.
Cyperaceae	Cyperaceae	sp.				Not enough diagnostic features present. Not likely to be P3 <i>Tricostularia</i> <i>sandifordiana</i> . Not geographically distributed near survey area
Cyperaceae	Ficinia	nodosa	knotted club rush			
Cyperaceae	Isolepis	prolifera	budding club-rush		Х	
Cyperaceae	Machaerina	juncea	bare twigrush			
Dilleniaceae	Hibbertia	scandens	climbing guinea flower		Cultivated	
Dilleniaceae	Hibbertia	vestita	hairy guinea-flower		Cultivated	Name not in Florabase because they do not naturally occur, and are not a known weed species in Western Australia.
Ericaceae	Sp.					No diagnostic features. Not P3 Andersonia setifolia, P3 Leucopogon newbeyi or EN Sphenotoma drummondii.
Euphorbiaceae	Ricinocarpos	pinifolius	wedding bush		Cultivated	



Family	Genus	Species	Vernacular	Cons code	Invasive	Comments
Fabaceae	Acacia	acuminata	jam			
Fabaceae	Acacia	cochlearis	rigid wattle			
Fabaceae	Acacia	consobrina				
Fabaceae	Acacia	dodonaeifolia	hop-bush wattle		Cultivated	Name not in Florabase because they do not naturally occur, and are not a known weed species in Western Australia.
Fabaceae	Acacia	glaucoptera	flat wattle			
Fabaceae	Acacia	mutabilis subsp. mutabilis				
Fabaceae	Acacia	saligna	orange wattle			
Fabaceae	Acacia	erinacea				
Fabaceae	Chamaecytisus	palmensis	tagasaste		Х	
Fabaceae	Daviesia	incrassata				
Fabaceae	Medicago	polymorpha	burr medic		Х	
Fabaceae	Senna	artemisioides				
Fabaceae	Trifolium	fragiferum	strawberry clover		Х	
Fabaceae	Trifolium	angustifolium	narrowleaf clover		Х	
Goodeniaceae	Dampiera	lavandulacea				
Haemodoraceae	Anigozanthos	flavidus	tall kangaroo paw		Cultivated	
Haemodoraceae	Anigozanthos	sp.				Limited diagnostic features. No potential T/P flora match.
Haemodoraceae	Conostylis	aculeata	prickly conostylis			
Haemodoraceae	Conostylis	candicans	grey cottonhead		Cultivated	
Haemodoraceae	Conostylis	sp.				Not enough diagnostic features present. Not Conostylis misera.
Hemerocallidaceae	Chamaescilla	corymbosa	blue squill			
Hemerocallidaceae	Chamaescilla	spiralis				
Hemerocallidaceae	Dianella	revoluta	blueberry lily			
Hemerocallidaceae	Stypandra	glauca	blind grass			
Iridaceae	Moraea	setifolia			Х	
Iridaceae	Patersonia	occidentalis	purple flag			



Family	Genus	Species	Vernacular	Cons code	Invasive	Comments
Iridaceae	Romulea	rosea	Guildford grass		Х	
Iridaceae	Watsonia	meriana var. bulbillifera	bugle lily		Х	
Juncaceae	Juncus	pallidus	pale rush			
Juncaceae	Juncus	radula				
Lamiaceae	Westringia	brevifolia	coastal rosemary			Name not in Florabase because they do not naturally occur, and are not a known weed species in Western Australia.
Lythraceae	Lythrum	hyssopifolia	lesser loosestrife		Х	
Montiaceae	Calandrinia	sp.				Limited diagnostic features. No potential T/P flora match.
Myrtaceae	Callistemon	phoeniceus	lesser bottlebrush		Cultivated	
Myrtaceae	Callistemon	viminalis	little john		Cultivated	
Myrtaceae	Calothamnus	quadrifidus	one-sided bottlebrush			
Myrtaceae	Darwinia	citriodora	lemon-scented darwinia		Cultivated	
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	caesia	caesia	P4	Cultivated	Subspecies could not be determined. Both subspecies are P4. Limited taxonomic features present due to juvenile state. However, this individual is located within the cultivated road verge and is not considered a natural population within the survey area. <i>E. caesia</i> is widely planted as an ornamental species and is popular in revegetation, road verges and gardens. Because of this it is not considered a natural population.
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	calycogona subsp. calycogona	square-fruited mallee		Cultivated	Juvenile planted street tree
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	cladocalyx			Cultivated	
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	flocktoniae subsp. flocktoniae	flockton's mallee			
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	forrestiana	fuchsia gum		Cultivated	



Family	Genus	Species	Vernacular	Cons code	Invasive	Comments
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	kruseana	bookleaf mallee	P4	Cultivated	Natural range in Kalgoorlie area. Individual is located within the cultivated road verge and is not considered a natural population within the survey area. Planted as an ornamental species. Because of this it is not considered a natural population.
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	leucoxylon subsp. megalocarpa	large fruited yellow gum		Cultivated	
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	leucoxylon subsp. leucoxylon	yellow gum		Cultivated	
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	longicornis	red morrel			
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	megacornuta	warted yate		Cultivated	
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	newbeyi	Beaufort Inlet mallee	P3	Cultivated	Considered to not be endemic to the survey area and cultivated species.
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	occidentalis	flat-topped yate			
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	petiolaris			Cultivated	
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	platypus subsp. platypus	moort			
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	rudis subsp. rudis	flooded gum			
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	sp.			Cultivated	Planted. Not enough diagnostic features present. Not <i>Eucalyptus brandiana</i> or <i>Eucalyptus</i> <i>vesiculosa.</i>
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	torquata	coral gum		Cultivated	
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	utilis	coastal moort		Cultivated	
Myrtaceae	Melaleuca	hamata				
Myrtaceae	Melaleuca	hamulosa				
Myrtaceae	Melaleuca	lanceolata	Rottnest teatree			
Myrtaceae	Melaleuca	platycalyx				
Myrtaceae	Melaleuca	quinquenervia			Cultivated	
Myrtaceae	Melaleuca	undulata	hidden honey-myrtle			
Myrtaceae	Scholtzia	involucrata	spiked scholtzia		Cultivated	
Myrtaceae	Thryptomene	sp.				Limited diagnostic features. No potential T/P flora match.



Family	Genus	Species	Vernacular	Cons code	Invasive	Comments
Myrtaceae	Verticordia	plumosa	plumed featherflower			
Orchidaceae	Disa	bracteata			Х	
Orchidaceae	Microtis	media	tall mignonette orchid			
Orchidaceae	Thelymitra	graminea				
Orobanchaceae	Parentucellia	latifolia	common bartsia		Х	
Oxalidaceae	Oxalis	pes-caprae	soursob		Х	
Plantaginaceae	Plantago	coronopus	buckshorn plantain		Х	
Poaceae	Aira	caryophyllea	silvery hairgrass		Х	
Poaceae	Amphipogon	turbinatus				
Poaceae	Austrostipa	exilis				
Poaceae	Austrostipa	compressa				
Poaceae	Avena	barbata	bearded oat		Х	
Poaceae	Briza	maxima	blowfly grass		Х	
Poaceae	Briza	minor	shivery grass		Х	
Poaceae	Bromus	hordeaceus	soft brome		Х	
Poaceae	Bromus	diandrus	great brome		Х	
Poaceae	Cenchrus	clandestinus	kikuyu grass		Х	
Poaceae	Cynodon	dactylon	couch		Х	
Poaceae	Ehrharta	calycina	perennial veldt grass		Х	
Poaceae	Ehrharta	longiflora	annual veldt grass		Х	
Poaceae	Eragrostis	curvula	African lovegrass		Х	
Poaceae	Hordeum	leporinum	barley grass		Х	
Poaceae	Lolium	rigidum	Wimmera ryegrass		Х	
Poaceae	Poaceae	sp.				No diagnostic features. No potential T/P flora match.
Poaceae	Rytidosperma	setaceum	small-flowered wallaby-grass			
Poaceae	Rytidosperma	sp.				No diagnostic features, evidence of herbivory.



					No potential T/P flora match.
Polygonaceae	Polygonum	aviculare	wireweed	Х	
Table 15 cont					

l able 15 cont.

Family	Genus	Species	Vernacular	Cons code	Invasive	Comments
Polygonaceae	Rumex	obtusifolius	bitter dock		Х	
Primulaceae	Lysimachia	arvensis	pimpernel		Х	
Proteaceae	Grevillea	sp.			Cultivated	Hybrid
Proteaceae	Grevillea	crithmifolia			Cultivated	
Proteaceae	Grevillea	Red Dunset'			Cultivated	
Proteaceae	Grevillea	Robyn Gordon'			Cultivated	
Proteaceae	Hakea	francisiana	emu tree			
Proteaceae	Hakea	laurina	pincushion hakea			
Proteaceae	Hakea	lissocarpha	honey bush			
Proteaceae	Hakea	prostrata	harsh hakea			
Proteaceae	Leucadendron	sp.			Cultivated	Limited diagnostic features. No potential T/P flora match.
Restionaceae	Lepidobolus	preissianus				
Santalaceae	Santalum	acuminatum	quandong			
Scrophulariaceae	Eremophila	glabra	tar bush			
Scrophulariaceae	Eremophila	glabra 'Groundcover'			Cultivated	
Scrophulariaceae	Eremophila	hybrid sp.			Cultivated	



Relevé	R1	Veg Code	Allocasuarina fraseriana Open Forest [AfOF]	Date Surveyed	17/10/2022		
Location	Southeas	t in the survey ar	ea				
GPS (Lat, Long)	-34.0704	6, 118.26406					
Landform and Slope	Drainage	Depression, Gen	tle				
Soils	Sandy-Lo	am, Dark Brown					
Hydrology	Seasona	Wet					
Vegetation description	(NVIS, D (Muirs, 19	oEE, 2017): U^A 977): Allocasuari	Allocasuarina fraseriana\^tree\ ina fraseriana Open Forest, ov	7∖c; G ^Cenchrus clan er Cenchrus clandesti	destinus\^grass\1\c. inus Grassland.		
Condition	Degradeo	ł					
Comments	-						
Life Form	Dominar	nt Species	Other Species		Cover (%)		
Trees 10-30m	Allocasua	arina fraseriana	Eucalyptus rudis subs	p. <i>rudis</i>	30-70%		
Trees <10m			Allocasuarina huegelia	ana, Acacia saligna	10-30%		
Shrub >2m			*Chamaecytisus palme	ensis	<10%		
Shrub 1-2m			Hakea prostrata		<10%		
Herb			*Oxalis pes-caprae, *A	Asparagus asparagoide	es <10%		
Grass	*Cenchru	is clandestinus	*Ehrharta longiflora, *E curvula	*Ehrharta longiflora, *Ehrharta calycina, *Eragrostis curvula			



Relevé	R2	Veg Code	Mixe Woc	ed Eucalyptus odland [MEW]	Date Surveyed	17/10/2	022	
Location	Southeas	st in the survey are	ea			<u> </u>		
GPS (Lat, Long)	-34.0707	22, 118.264107						
Landform and Slope	Plain, Ge	ntle						
Soils	Sandy-Loam, Dark Brown							
Hydrology	Seasona	Wet						
	(NVIS, D	oEE, 2017): U^/	Eucaly	yptus rudis subsp. rudis \	<pre>^tree\7\i;G Eragrostis</pre>	curvula, E	Bromus diandrus,	
Vegetation description	Cenchrus (Muirs, 19 Cenchrus	3 clandestinus\1\d 977): Eucalyptus s clandestinus De	l. <i>₃ rudis</i> ∌nse G	subsp. <i>rudis</i> Woodland, rassland.	over Eragrostis curvu	la, Bromu	s diandrus,	
Condition	Degradeo	b						
Comments	<u> </u>							
Life Form	Dominar	nt Species		Other Species			Cover (%)	
		- •		*Eucalyptus cladocaly>	x, Allocasuarina fraser	riana,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Trees 10-30m	Eucalypt	<i>us rudis</i> subsp. <i>ru</i>	ıdis	Eucalyptus forrestiana, Eucalyptus longicornis	, Eucalyptus occidenta	alis,	10-30%	
Trees <10m				Acacia acuminata, Aca torquata, Eucalyptus pi Eucalyptus newbeyi, E Eucalyptu <u>s caesia</u>	icia dodonaeifolia, Eu latypus subsp. platypu Eucalyptus megacornu	calyptus us, ıta,	10-30%	
Shrub >2m				*Chamaecytisus palme Callistemon phoeniceu	ensis, Melaleuca hamu Is	ulosa,	<10%	
Herb				*Rumex obtusifolius, */ *Disphyma crassifoliur	Asparagus asparagoic n	les,	<10%	
Grass	*Eragrost diandrus, clandesti	tis curvula, *Brom *Cenchrus nus	ius	*Ehrharta calycina, *Eł *Briza maxima	hrharta longiflora,		30-70%	



Relevé	R3	Veg Code	Acacia acuminata Low Open Forest [AaLOF]	Date Surveyed	17/10/2022		
Location	Southwes	st in the survey ar	ea				
GPS (Lat, Long)	-34.07068	34, 118.256902					
Landform and Slope	Drainage	Depression, Gen	tle				
Soils	Sandy-Lo	am, Dark Brown					
Hydrology	Seasonal	Wet					
Vegetation description	(NVIS, D Ehrharta (Muirs, 19 Ehrharta	DEE, 2017): U ^ , calycina\1\d. 977): Acacia acu. calycina Dense Ir	Acacia acuminata\^tree\6\c;G t minata Low Open Forest, over htroduced Grassland and Herb	Ursinia anthemoides, I Ursinia anthemoides, Iand.	Romulea rosea, Romulea rosea Herbs,		
Condition	Degraded						
Comments	-						
	. .	· • ·					
Life Form	Dominar	t Species	Other Species	_ , , , , ,	Cover (%)		
Trees 10-30m			Eucalyptus longicornis Eucalyptus longicornis	, Eucalyptus occidenta	alis, <10%		
Trees <10m	Acacia ad	cuminata	Allocasuarina fraseriar	na, Santalum acumina	tum 30-70%		
Shrub >2m			Acacia saligna, Caloth lissocarpha	amnus quadrifidus, Ha	ikea <10%		
Shrub 1-2m			Rhagodia drummondii, consobrina, Melaleuca	Rhagodia drummondii, Melaleuca undulata, Acacia consobrina, Melaleuca hamata			
Herb	*Ursinia a *Romulea	anthemoides, a rosea	*Hypochaeris glabra, * *Raphanus raphanistru *Trifolium fragiferum, 1 *Mesembryanthemum	*Hypochaeris glabra, *Taraxacum khatoonae, *Raphanus raphanistrum, *Medicago polymorpha, *Trifolium fragiferum, Thysanotus patersonii, *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum			
Grass	*Ehrharta	calycina	*Ehrharta longiflora, *E Austrostipa compressa	Briza maxima, a, *Eragrostis curvula	30-70%		

322



Relevé	R4	Veg Code	Allocasuarina fraseriana Open Forest [AfOF]	Date Surveyed	17/10/2022				
Location	Southeas	t in the survey are	ea	1					
GPS (Lat, Long)	-34.0658	21, 118.258118							
Landform and Slope	Drainage	Depression, Gen	tle						
Soils	Sandy-Loam, Dark Brown								
Hydrology	Seasonal	Wet							
Vegetation description	(NVIS, D (Muirs, 19	(NVIS, DOEE, 2017): U *Allocasuarina fraseriana **tree\7/c; G *Cenchrus clandestinus*grass\1\c. (Muirs, 1977): Allocasuarina fraseriana Forest, over Cenchrus clandestinus Grassland.							
Condition	Complete	ely Degraded							
Comments	Access re	estricted by water	body						
	1								
Life Form	Dominar	nt Species	Other Species		Cover (%)				
Trees 10-30m			Eucalyptus longicornis		<10%				
Trees <10m	Allocasua	arina fraseriana	Acacia acuminata		30-70%				
Shrub >2m			Acacia saligna		<10%				
Shrub 1-2m			Melaleuca hamulosa		<10%				
Sedge			Juncus radula, Juncus	pallidus	<10%				
			*Moraea setifolia, *Arc oleraceus, *Romulea r Crassula colorata var. *Pseudognaphalium lu coronopus, *Oxalis pe *Taraxacum khatoona	totheca calendula, *So osea, *Lythrum hysso acuminata, iteoalbum, *Plantago s-caprae, e *Trifolium angustifo	onchus pifolia, lium				
Herb			*Silene gallica	,	<10%				
Grass	*Hordeun *Ehrharta	n leporinum, a longiflora	*Bromus diandrus, *Av Austrostipa compressa *Ehrharta calycina, *Ai hordeaceus	rena barbata, *Lolium a, *Eragrostis curvula, ira caryophyllea, *Bron	rigidum, nus 30-70%				
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A								



Quadrat	Q1	Veg Code	2: MEW	Date Surveyed	18/10/2022	
Location	In the Mo	ir Street road reserv	ve in the south of th	e survey area.		
GPS (Lat, Long)	-34.07110)9, 118.261425				
Landform and Slope	Plain, Ge	ntle				
Soils	Dark Brov	vn Sand				
Hydrology	Good dra	inage				
Vegetation description	 (NVIS, DoEE, 2017): U ^ Eucalyptus rudis subsp. rudis \^tree\7\i;G Eragrostis curvula, Bromus diandrus, Cenchrus clandestinus\1\d. (Muirs, 1977): Eucalyptus rudis subsp. rudis Woodland, over Eragrostis curvula, Bromus diandrus, Cenchrus clandestinus Dense Grassland. 					
Condition	Complete	ly Degraded				
Comments	5x20m					
Species Name	Form			Height (m)	Cover (%)	Flowering/Fruiting
*Ehrharta longiflora	G-grass			0.2	bi ≈0	
*Eragrostis curvula	G-grass			0.3	r <10%	
*Ehrharta calycina	G-grass			0.2	bi ≈0	
*Aira caryophyllea	G-grass			0.1	bi ≈0	
*Lolium rigidum	G-grass			0.1	bi ≈0	
*Hypochaeris glabra	H-herb			0.1	bi ≈0	
Eucalyptus caesia	T-tree			3	r <10%	FL/FR
Callistemon viminalis	S-shrub			2	r <10%	
Darwinia citriodora	S-shrub			1.5	r <10%	Flowering
Eucalyptus megacornuta	T-tree			6	r <10%	Flowering
Allocasuarina huegeliana	T-tree			6	r <10%	Fruiting
Eucalyptus utilis	T-tree			6	i 1 <mark>0-30%</mark>	
Acacia acuminata	T-tree			9	i 1 <mark>0-30%</mark>	
and the second	AN CONTRACTOR		1 Moto Stand /	1 martine -	122	





Quadrat	Q2	Veg Code	2: MEW	Date Surveyed	18/10/2022	
Location	In the sou	th-western portion	of the vegetation un	it.		
GPS (Lat, Long)	-34.07098	38, 118.25828				
Landform and Slope	Plain, Ge	ntle				
Soils	Dark Brov	vn Sand				
Hydrology	Good dra	inage				
Vegetation description	 (NVIS, DoEE, 2017): U ^ Eucalyptus rudis subsp. rudis \^tree\7\i;G Eragrostis curvula, Bromus diandrus, Cenchrus clandestinus\1\d. (Muirs, 1977): Eucalyptus rudis subsp. rudis Woodland, over Eragrostis curvula, Bromus diandrus, Cenchrus clandestinus Dense Grassland. 					
Condition	Degradeo					
Comments	10x10m					
						1
Species Name	Form			Height (m)	Cover (%)	Flowering/Fruiting
*Hypochaeris glabra	H-herb			0.1	bi ≈0	
*Ehrharta longiflora	G-grass			0.1	bi ≈0	
*Ehrharta calycina	G-grass			0.3	r <10%	
Santalum acuminatum	T-tree			3	r <10%	
*Bromus diandrus	H-herb			0.1	bi ≈0	
Eucalyptus utilis	T-tree			3	i 10-30%	
Acacia acuminata	T-tree			5	c 30-70%	
*Ursinia anthemoides	H-herb			0.2	bi ≈0	Flowering
*Crassula alata	H-herb			0.1	bi ≈0	
*Arctotheca calendula	H-herb			0.1	bi ≈0	Flowering
*Taraxacum khatoonae	H-herb			0.2	bi ≈0	-
E	S 180	210	S N	10	W 270	300





Quadrat	Q3	Veg Code	2: MEW	Date Surveyed	18/10/2022	
Location	In the sou	uth-eastern portion	of the vegetation un	it. On the corner of	Chester Pass F	Road and Moir Street.
GPS (Lat, Long)	-34.0708	23, 118.264182				
Landform and Slope	Plain, Ge	entle				
Soils	Dark Bro	wn Sand				
Hydrology	Good dra	inage				
Vegetation description	NVIS, DoEE, 2017): U ^ Eucalyptus rudis subsp. rudis \^tree\7\i;G Eragrostis curvula, Bromus diandrus, Cenchrus clandestinus\1\d. (Muirs, 1977): Eucalyptus rudis subsp. rudis Woodland, over Eragrostis curvula, Bromus diandrus, Cenchrus clandestinus Dense Grassland.					
Condition	Complete	ely Degraded				
Comments	10x10					
Species Name	Form			Height (m)	Cover (%)	Flowering/Fruiting
*Ehrharta longiflora	G-grass			0.1	i 10-30%	
*Bromus diandrus	H-herb			0.2	i 10-30%	
*Ehrharta calycina	G-grass			0.3	i 10-30%	
*Chamaecytisus palmensi	T-tree			3	r <10%	
S						
Acacia acuminata	T-tree			6	r <10%	
*Asparagus asparagoides	H-herb			0.1	r <10%	
*Oxalis pes-caprae	H-herb			0.1	bi ≈0	
*Eragrostis curvula	G-grass			0.3	bi ≈0	
Eucalyptus rudis subsp.	T-tree			10	i 10-30%	
rudis						
*Eucalyptus cladocalyx	T-tree			15	r <10%	
*Briza maxima	G-grass			0.1	bi <mark>≈0</mark>	
	A Solo	Y		Contraction of the second		





Appendix E

EPBC Act PMST reports



EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected. Please see the caveat for interpretation of information provided here.

Report created: 27-Sep-2022

Summary Details Matters of NES Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act Extra Information Caveat Acknowledgements

Summary

Matters of National Environment Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the Administrative Guidelines on Significance.

World Heritage Properties:	None
National Heritage Places:	None
Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar	None
Great Barrier Reef Marine Park:	None
Commonwealth Marine Area:	None
Listed Threatened Ecological Communities:	2
Listed Threatened Species:	25
Listed Migratory Species:	9

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage

A <u>permit</u> may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

Commonwealth Lands:	5
Commonwealth Heritage Places:	None
Listed Marine Species:	14
Whales and Other Cetaceans:	None
Critical Habitats:	None
Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial:	None
Australian Marine Parks:	None
Habitat Critical to the Survival of Marine Turtles:	None

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have

State and Territory Reserves:	2
Regional Forest Agreements:	None
Nationally Important Wetlands:	None
EPBC Act Referrals:	4
Key Ecological Features (Marine):	None
Biologically Important Areas:	None
Bioregional Assessments:	None
Geological and Bioregional Assessments:	None

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

Listed Threatened Ecological Communities

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Status of Vulnerable, Disallowed and Ineligible are not MNES under the EPBC Act.

Community Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text	Buffer Status
Eucalypt Woodlands of the Western Australian Wheatbelt	Critically Endangered	Community likely to occur within area	In feature area
Proteaceae Dominated Kwongkan Shrublands of the Southeast Coastal Floristic Province of Western Australia	Endangered	Community likely to occur within area	In buffer area only

Listed Threatened Species		[Res	source Information]
Status of Conservation Dependent and Ex Number is the current name ID.	ktinct are not MNES unde	r the EPBC Act.	
Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text	Buffer Status
BIRD			
Botaurus poiciloptilus			
Australasian Bittern [1001]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area	In buffer area only
Calidris ferruginea			
Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area	In feature area
Calvotorhynchus banksii naso			
Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoo, Karrak [67034]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area	In buffer area only
<u>Falco hypoleucos</u> Grey Falcon [929]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur	In feature area

within area

Leipoa ocellata Malleefowl [934]

Vulnerable

Species or species In feature area habitat likely to occur within area

[Resource Information]

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text	Buffer Status
Numenius madagascariensis			
Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area	In feature area
Pezoporus occidentalis			
Night Parrot [59350]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area	In feature area
Zanda latirostris listed as Calyptorhynchus	s latirostris		
Carnaby's Black Cockatoo, Short-billed Black-cockatoo [87737]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area	In feature area
MAMMAL			
Dasyurus geoffroii			
Chuditch, Western Quoll [330]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area	In feature area
Parantechinus apicalis			
Dibbler [313]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area	In feature area
Phascogale calura			
Red-tailed Phascogale, Red-tailed Wambenger, Kenngoor [316]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area	In feature area
Setonix brachvurus			
Quokka [229]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area	In buffer area only
PLANT			
Adenanthos pungens subsp. pungens			
Spiky Adenanthos [19429]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area	In feature area
Banksia anatona			
Cactus Dryandra [82758]	Critically Endangered	Species or species	In buffer area only

within area

Banksia pseudoplumosa False Plumed-Banksia [82760]

Endangered

Species or species In feature area habitat known to occur within area

Caladenia bryceana subsp. bryceana Dwarf Spider-orchid [64503]

Endangered

Species or species In buffer area only habitat known to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text	Buffer Status
Conostylis misera			
Grass Conostylis [21320]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area	In buffer area only
Duma horrida subsp. abdita			
Remote Thorny Lignum [87538]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area	In feature area
Gastrolobium humile			
[78418]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area	In buffer area only
Grevillea maxwellii			
Maxwell's Grevillea [21745]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area	In buffer area only
Hibbertia priceana			
[82694]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area	In buffer area only
Leucopogon sp. Ongerup (A.S. George 1)	6682)		
[85018]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area	In buffer area only
Myoporum cordifolium			
Jerramungup Myoporum [24223]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area	In buffer area only
Rovcea pvcnophylloides			
Saltmat [21161]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area	In feature area
Thelymitra psammophila			
Sandplain Sun-orchid [4908]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area	In feature area

Listed Migratory Species		[<u>Res</u>	source Information]
Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text	Buffer Status
Migratory Marine Birds			
Apus pacificus			
Fork-tailed Swift [678]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area	In feature area

Migratory Terrestrial Species
Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text	Buffer Status
Motacilla cinerea			
Grey Wagtail [642]		Species or species habitat may occur within area	In feature area
Migratory Wetlands Species			
Actitis hypoleucos			
Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat may occur within area	In feature area
Calidris acuminata			
Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]		Species or species habitat may occur within area	In feature area
Calidris ferruginea			
Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area	In feature area
Calidris melanotos			
Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area	In feature area
Numenius madagascariensis			
Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area	In feature area
Pandion haliaetus			
Osprey [952]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area	In buffer area only
Tringa nebularia			
Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832]		Species or species habitat may occur within area	In buffer area only

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Commonwealth Lands

The Commonwealth area listed below may indicate the presence of Commonwealth land in this vicinity. Due to the unreliability of the data source, all proposals should be checked as to whether it impacts on a Commonwealth area, before making a definitive decision. Contact the State or Territory government land department for further information.

Commonwealth Land Name	State	Buffer Status
Unknown		
Commonwealth Land - [52078]	WA	In buffer area only
Commonwealth Land - [52159]	WA	In buffer area only

Commonwealth Land Name	State	Buffer Status
Commonwealth Land - [52076]	WA	In buffer area only
Commonwealth Land - [52074]	WA	In buffer area only
Commonwealth Land - [51649]	WA	In feature area

Listed Marine Species		[<u>Res</u>	source Information]
Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text	Buffer Status
Bird			
Actitis hypoleucos			
Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat may occur within area	In feature area
Apus pacificus			
Fork-tailed Swift [678]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area overfly marine area	In feature area
Rubulcus ibis as Ardea ibis			
Cattle Egret [66521]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area	In feature area
Calidris acuminata			
Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]		Species or species habitat may occur within area	In feature area
Calidris ferruginea			
Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area	In feature area
Calidris melanotos			
Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area	In feature area

<u>Chalcites osculans as Chrysococcyx osculans</u> Black-eared Cuckoo [83425]

Haliaeetus leucogaster

White-bellied Sea-Eagle [943]

Species or species In feature area habitat likely to occur within area overfly marine area

Species or species In feature area habitat likely to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text	Buffer Status
Merops ornatus Rainbow Bee-eater [670]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area	In feature area
Motacilla cinerea Grey Wagtail [642]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area	In feature area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area	In feature area
Pandion haliaetus			
Osprey [952]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area	In buffer area only
Thinornis cucullatus as Thinornis rubricoll	is		
Hooded Plover, Hooded Dotterel [87735]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area	In buffer area only
Tringa nebularia			
Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area	In buffer area only

Extra Information

State and Territory Reserves			[Resource Information]
Protected Area Name	Reserve Type	State	Buffer Status
Chirelillup	Nature Reserve	WA	In buffer area only
Toompup	Nature Reserve	WA	In buffer area only

EPBC Act Referrals			[Resou	rce Information]
Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status	Buffer Status
Controlled action				
Transmission Line Project	2011/6066	Controlled Action	Post-Approval	In buffer area only
Not controlled action				
Improving rabbit biocontrol: releasing another strain of RHDV, sthrn two thirds of Australia	2015/7522	Not Controlled Action	Completed	In feature area

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status	Buffer Status	
Not controlled action					
INDIGO Central Submarine Telecommunications Cable	2017/8127	Not Controlled Action	Completed	In feature area	
Not controlled action (particular manner)					
INDIGO Marine Cable Route Survey (INDIGO)	2017/7996	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval	In feature area	

Caveat

1 PURPOSE

This report is designed to assist in identifying the location of matters of national environmental significance (MNES) and other matters protected by the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth) (EPBC Act) which may be relevant in determining obligations and requirements under the EPBC Act.

The report contains the mapped locations of:

- World and National Heritage properties;
- Wetlands of International and National Importance;
- Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves;
- distribution of listed threatened, migratory and marine species;
- listed threatened ecological communities; and
- other information that may be useful as an indicator of potential habitat value.

2 DISCLAIMER

This report is not intended to be exhaustive and should only be relied upon as a general guide as mapped data is not available for all species or ecological communities listed under the EPBC Act (see below). Persons seeking to use the information contained in this report to inform the referral of a proposed action under the EPBC Act should consider the limitations noted below and whether additional information is required to determine the existence and location of MNES and other protected matters.

Where data are available to inform the mapping of protected species, the presence type (e.g. known, likely or may occur) that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. It is the responsibility of any person using or relying on the information in this report to ensure that it is suitable for the circumstances of any proposed use. The Commonwealth cannot accept responsibility for the consequences of any use of the report or any part thereof. To the maximum extent allowed under governing law, the Commonwealth will not be liable for any loss or damage that may be occasioned directly or indirectly through the use of, or reliance

3 DATA SOURCES

Threatened ecological communities

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are generated based on information contained in recovery plans, State vegetation maps and remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been discerned through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, distributions are inferred from either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc.) together with point locations and described habitat; or modelled (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using

Where little information is available for a species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc.).

In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More detailed distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions

4 LIMITATIONS

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in this report:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered vagrants;
- some recently listed species and ecological communities;
- some listed migratory and listed marine species, which are not listed as threatened species; and
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in Australia in small numbers.

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

listed migratory and/or listed marine seabirds, which are not listed as threatened, have only been mapped for recorded
seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

The breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Refer to the metadata for the feature group (using the Resource Information link) for the currency of the information.

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

-Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales -Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria -Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania -Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia -Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory -Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland -Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia -Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT -Birdlife Australia -Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme -Australian National Wildlife Collection -Natural history museums of Australia -Museum Victoria -Australian Museum -South Australian Museum -Queensland Museum -Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums -Queensland Herbarium -National Herbarium of NSW -Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria -Tasmanian Herbarium -State Herbarium of South Australia -Northern Territory Herbarium -Western Australian Herbarium -Australian National Herbarium, Canberra -University of New England -Ocean Biogeographic Information System -Australian Government, Department of Defence Forestry Corporation, NSW -Geoscience Australia -CSIRO -Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns -eBird Australia -Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre -Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory -Australian Government National Environmental Science Program

-Australian Institute of Marine Science

-Reef Life Survey Australia

-American Museum of Natural History

-Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania

-Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania

-Other groups and individuals

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the Contact Us page.

© Commonwealth of Australia

Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment GPO Box 858 Canberra City ACT 2601 Australia +61 2 6274 1111