

Clearing Permit Decision Report

1. Application details and outcomes

1.1. Permit application details

Permit number: 10685/1

Permit type: Purpose Permit

Applicant name: Urban Resources Pty Ltd

Application received: 17 July 2024
Application area: 76.83 hectares
Purpose of clearing: Sand Mining

Method of clearing:Mechanical RemovalTenure:Mining Lease 70/1408

Location (LGA area/s): Shire of Waroona

Colloquial name: Myalup Stage 3 South Site

1.2. Description of clearing activities

Urban Resources Pty Ltd proposes to clear up to 76.83 hectares of native vegetation within a boundary of approximately 76.83 hectares, for the purpose of sand mining. The project is located approximately 6.7 kilometres southeast of Preston Beach, within the Shire of Waroona.

1.3. Decision on application and key considerations

Decision: Grant

Decision date: 9 January 2025

Decision area: 76.83 hectares of native vegetation

1.4. Reasons for decision

This clearing permit application was submitted, accepted, assessed, and determined in accordance with sections 51E and 51O of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act). The Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DEMIRS) advertised the application for a public comment for a period of 21 days, and no submissions were received.

In making this decision, the Delegated Officer had regard for the site characteristics (Appendix A), relevant datasets (Appendix D), supporting information provided by the applicant, the clearing principles set out in Schedule 5 of the EP Act (Appendix B), proposed avoidance and minimisation measures (Section 3.1), relevant planning instruments and any other matters considered relevant to the assessment (Section 3.3).

The assessment identified that the proposed clearing may result in:

- the potential introduction and spread of weeds and dieback into adjacent vegetation, which could impact on the quality
 of the adjacent vegetation and its habitat values; and
- potential land degradation in the form of wind erosion.

After consideration of the available information, as well as the applicant's minimisation and mitigation measures (Section 3.1), the Delegated Officer determined the proposed clearing can be minimised and managed to be unlikely to lead to an unacceptable risk to environmental values.

The Delegated Officer decided to grant a clearing permit subject to conditions to:

- avoid, minimise to reduce the impacts and extent of clearing;
- take hygiene steps to minimise the risk of the introduction and spread of weeds and dieback; and
- commence sand mining no later than three months after undertaking clearing to reduce the risk of erosion.

2. Legislative context

The clearing of native vegetation in Western Australia is regulated under the EP Act and the Environmental Protection (Clearing of Native Vegetation) Regulations 2004 (Clearing Regulations).

In addition to the matters considered in accordance with section 510 of the EP Act (Section 1.4), the Delegated Officer has also had regard to the objects and principles under section 4A of the EP Act, particularly:

- the precautionary principle
- the principle of intergenerational equity
- the principle of the conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity.

Other legislation of relevance for this assessment include:

- Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (WA) (BC Act)
- Conservation and Land Management Act 1984 (WA) (CALM Act)
- Country Areas Water Supply Act 1947 (WA) (CAWS Act)
- Mining Act 1978 (WA)

The key guidance documents which inform this assessment are:

- A guide to the assessment of applications to clear native vegetation (DER, December 2014)
- Procedure: Native vegetation clearing permits (DWER, October 2021a)

3. Detailed assessment of application

3.1. Avoidance and mitigation measures

The Permit Holder has indicated in order to mitigate impacts the following actions will be undertaken (Accendo Australia, 2024):

- Restrict access to areas outside of the excavation area to reduce the spread of weeds into or out of the Site;
- Avoid moving surface material or fill material from weed infected areas to non-infested areas;
- Machinery and vehicles used to conduct clearing will be inspected for weeds and cleaned where appropriate prior to the commencement of works;
- Weeds within the cleared area are to be sprayed as required in autumn prior to the winter rains;
- Spot spraying and hand pulling of emergent weed species within revegetation areas will be carried out;
- Exposed areas for future excavation or rehabilitation will be stabilised to prevent erosion and dust emissions;
- Stockpiles will be configured to accommodate easy access for watering/dust minimisation if required;
- Access roads and immediate extraction areas will be watered as required with water trucks;
- Topsoil stockpiles will be watered and stabilised as required;
- Timing of earthworks (daily and seasonally) will coincide with periods of low wind velocity as far as practicable; and
- Clearing will be undertaken on an as needs basis and clearing areas will be progressively rehabilitated.

The Delegated Officer was satisfied that the applicant has made a reasonable effort to avoid and minimise potential impacts of the proposed clearing on environmental values.

3.2. Assessment of impacts on environmental values

In assessing the application, the Delegated Officer has had regard for the site characteristics (Appendix A) and the extent to which the impacts of the proposed clearing present a risk to biological, conservation, or land and water resource values.

The assessment against the clearing principles (Appendix B) identified the impacts of the proposed clearing are limited and able to be managed to be environmentally acceptable with standard avoid and minimise, hygiene and erosion management conditions.

3.3. Relevant planning instruments and other matters

The clearing permit application was advertised on 20 September 2024 by the Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety inviting submissions from the public. No submissions were received in relation to this application.

The permit area is within the South West Native Title Settlement area (DPLH, 2024). This settlement resolves Native Title rights and interests over an area of approximately 200,000 square kilometres within the south west of Western Australia. The mining tenure has been granted in accordance with the future act regime of the *Native Title Act 1993* and the nature of the act (i.e. the proposed clearing activity) has been provided for in that process, therefore the granting of a clearing permit is not a future act under the *Native Title Act 1993*.

There are no registered Aboriginal Sites of Significance within the application area (DPLH, 2024). It is the proponent's responsibility to comply with the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972* and ensure that no Aboriginal Sites of Significance are damaged through the clearing process.

Other relevant authorisations required for the proposed land use include:

• A Mining Proposal / Mine Closure Plan approved under the *Mining Act 1978*.

It is the proponent's responsibility to liaise with the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation and the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, to determine whether a Works Approval, Water Licence, Bed and Banks Permit, or any other licences or approvals are required for the proposed works.

End

Appendix A. Site characteristics

A.1. Site characteristics

Characteristic	Details
Local context	The area proposed to be cleared is part of a 630-hectare isolated patch of a pine plantation in the intensive land use zone of Western Australia. It is adjacent to an ecological linkage and surrounded by disturbed areas of mine extraction (GIS Database).
Ecological linkage	Aerial imagery shows the application area does not form part on any formal or informal ecological linkages (GIS Database).
Conservation areas	The application area is located within the Myalup State Forest (GIS Database).
Vegetation description	The vegetation of the application area is broadly mapped as the following Swan Coastal Plain vegetation complexes: 49 – Karrakatta Complex-Central and South: Predominantly open forest of Eucalyptus gomphocephala (Tuart) - Eucalyptus marginata (Jarrah) - Corymbia calophylla (Marri) and woodland of Eucalyptus marginata (Jarrah) - Banksia species. Agonis flexuosa (Peppermint) is co-dominant south of the Capel River. 52 – Cottesloe Complex-Central and South: Mosaic of woodland of Eucalyptus gomphocephala (Tuart) and open forest of Eucalyptus gomphocephala (Tuart) - Eucalyptus marginata (Jarrah) - Corymbia calophylla (Marri); closed heath on the Limestone outcrops. However, the subject site has historically been cleared of native vegetation and re-planted with pine species. Where native vegetation may occur, it is only likely to be present as understory. No field surveys have been undertaken in the application area. Mapped vegetation complexes are not representative of the vegetation present in the application area.
Vegetation condition	Given that the subject site is almost solely comprised of a pine plantation which has been under rotation for 30 years, the vegetation condition is deemed 'Completely Degraded' (Keighery 1994). The full Keighery (1994) condition rating scale is provided in Appendix C.
Climate and landform	The application area is located in a winter dominant zone which is characterised by a marked wet winter and a dry summer (BoM, 2016). The annual average rainfall (Waroona) is of 992 millimetres (BoM, 2024).
Soil description	The soil within the application area is described as pale deep sands, yellow deep sands, and yellow/brown shallow sands (DPIRD, 2024).
Land degradation risk	The application area is located in a zone with sandy soils which make it highly susceptible to wind erosion (DPIRD, 2024).
Waterbodies	The desktop assessment and aerial imagery indicated that no watercourses transect the area proposed to be cleared (GIS Database).
Hydrogeography	The application area is located within the Priority 1 Public Drinking Water Source Area named Preston Beach Water Reserve, legislated under the CAWS Act 1947 (GIS Database). The application area also falls within the South West Coastal Groundwater Area legislated by the RIWI Act 1914 and it has groundwater salinity of 500-1,000 milligrams per litre total dissolved solids which is described as marginal water quality (GIS Database).
Flora	There have been no records of conservation significant flora species within the application area (GIS Database).
Ecological communities	The application area is not located within any known or mapped ecological communities. It is located adjacent to the Tuart woodlands and forest of the Swan Coastal Plain, listed as a Priority 3 Ecological Community at the state level and as a Critically Endangered Threatened Ecological Community at the federal level.
Fauna	There have been no records of conservation significant fauna species within the application area (GIS Database).

A.2. Vegetation extent

	Pre-European area (ha)	Current extent (ha)	Extent remaining (%)	Current extent in all DBCA managed land (ha)	Current extent in all DBCA Managed Land (proportion of pre- European extent) (%)
IBRA Bioregion - Swan Coastal Plain	1,501,222	579,813	~39	222,917	~15
IBRA Subregion - Perth	1,117,757	466,143	~42	183,164	~16
Local Government - Waroona	83,233	44,807	~54	35,605	~43

Swan Coastal Plain vegetation associations - State					
Veg Assoc No. 49	53,081	12,467	~23	4,283	~8
Veg Assoc No. 52	45,300	14,568	~32	6,606	~15

Government of Western Australia (2019)

A.3. Ecological community analysis table

Community name	Conservation status	Suitable habitat features? [Y/N]	Suitable vegetation type? [Y/N]	Suitable soil type? [Y/N]	Distance of closest record to application area (km)	Are surveys adequate to identify? [Y, N, N/A]
Tuart woodlands and forests of the Swan Coastal Plain	P3 and CR	N	N	Υ	0	N/A

T: threatened, CR: critically endangered, EN: endangered, VU: vulnerable, P: priority

A.4. Land degradation risk table

Risk categories	Land Unit 1
Wind erosion	H2: >70% of the map unit has a high to extreme hazard
Water erosion	L1: <3% of the map unit has a very high to extreme hazard
Salinity	L1: <3% of the map unit has a moderate hazard or is presently saline
Subsurface Acidification	M1: 10-30% of the map unit has a high susceptibility
Flood risk	L1: <3% of the map unit has a moderate to high hazard
Water logging	L1: <3% of the map unit has a moderate to very high to risk
Phosphorus export risk	M1: 10-30% of the map unit has a high to extreme hazard

(DPIRD, 2024)

Appendix B.	Assessment against the clearing principles			
Assessment against	the clearing principles	Variance level	Is further	

Assessment against the cleaning principles	variance level	consideration required?
Environmental value: biological values		
Principle (a): "Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biodiversity."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
There are no records of Priority flora within the application area (GIS Database). The vegetation present is comprised almost entirely of pine plantation, with native vegetation only likely to be present as a sparse understory. The application area does not contain the vegetation type characteristic of the adjacent Priority Ecological Community (Tuart woodlands and forests of the Swan Coastal Plain).		
The application area is located within a dieback risk zone (GIS Database). Dieback disease and weeds have the potential to significantly change the dynamics of a natural ecosystem and lower the biodiversity of an area. Potential impacts to the biodiversity as a result of the proposed clearing may be minimised by the implementation of a weed and dieback management condition.		
<u>Principle (b):</u> "Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
Given the application area is stablished as a pine plantation, the area proposed to be cleared does not contain suitable foraging, roosting, or breeding habitat for conservation significant fauna.		
Principle (c): "Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, threatened flora."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
There are no records of Threatened flora species within the area proposed to be cleared (GIS Database). Due to the site's history of being used as a pine plantation,		

Assessment against the clearing principles	Variance level	Is further consideration required?
the application area contains unfavourable conditions, and it is unlikely to provide suitable habitat for Threatened flora.		
Principle (d): "Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a threatened ecological community."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
The application area does not form part of any known or mapped Threatened Ecological Communities (GIS Database).		
Environmental value: significant remnant vegetation and conservation areas		
Principle (e): "Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
The application area falls within the Swan Coastal Plain of the Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (GIS Database). Approximately 39 per cent of the pre-European vegetation still exists in the Swan Coastal Plain Bioregion (Government of Western Australia, 2019). The application area is broadly mapped as Swan Coastal Plain vegetation associations 49 and 52 (GIS Database). These vegetation associations are likely to have been extensively cleared as under 32 per cent of the pre-European extent of this vegetation association remains uncleared at both the state level (Government of Western Australia, 2019). Given the application area is almost entirely covered by pine trees, the proposed clearing is unlikely to impact remnants of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.		
Principle (h): "Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
The application area is located within the Myalup State Forest which is managed by the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (GIS Database).		
Given the application area has been used as a pine plantation, it is not likely to have an impact on the environmental values of mapped conservation areas.		
Environmental value: land and water resources		
Principle (f): "Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
Given no water courses or wetlands are recorded within the application area (GIS Database), the proposed clearing is unlikely to impact vegetation growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.		
Principle (g): "Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation."	At variance	No
Assessment:		
The mapped soils are highly susceptible to wind erosion (DPIRD, 2024). Noting the location of the application area, the proposed clearing is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.		
Principle (i): "Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
There are no water courses or wetlands within the application area. The area proposed to be cleared is located within a Priority 1 Public Drinking Water Source Area (GIS Database). Mining operations are compatible with conditions in Priority 1 areas (DWER, 2021b). Additionally, a Water Management Plan (WMP) has been developed and endorsed by DEMIRS and all operations are subject to the commitments of the WMP. Therefore, it is not likely that the proposed clearing will cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.		

Assessment against the clearing principles	Variance level	Is further consideration required?
<u>Principle (j):</u> "Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
Given no permanent water courses or wetlands are recorded within the application area (GIS Database), the proposed clearing is unlikely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.		

Appendix C. Vegetation condition rating scale

Vegetation condition is a rating given to a defined area of vegetation to categorise and rank disturbance related to human activities. The rating refers to the degree of change in the vegetation structure, density and species present in relation to undisturbed vegetation of the same type. The degree of disturbance impacts upon the vegetation's ability to regenerate. Disturbance at a site can be a cumulative effect from a number of interacting disturbance types.

Considering its location, the scale below was used to measure the condition of the vegetation proposed to be cleared. This scale has been extracted from Keighery, B.J. (1994) *Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community*. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

Measuring vegetation condition for the South West and Interzone Botanical Province (Keighery, 1994)

Condition	Description
Pristine	Pristine or nearly so, no obvious signs of disturbance.
Excellent	Vegetation structure intact, with disturbance affecting individual species; weeds are non-aggressive species.
Very good	Vegetation structure altered, with obvious signs of disturbance. For example, disturbance to vegetation structure caused by repeated fires, the presence of some more aggressive weeds, dieback, logging and/or grazing.
Good	Vegetation structure significantly altered by very obvious signs of multiple disturbances. Retains basic vegetation structure or ability to regenerate it. For example, disturbance to vegetation structure caused by very frequent fires, the presence of some very aggressive weeds at high density, partial clearing, dieback and/or grazing.
Degraded	Basic vegetation structure severely impacted by disturbance. Scope for regeneration but not to a state approaching good condition without intensive management. For example, disturbance to vegetation structure caused by very frequent fires, the presence of very aggressive weeds, partial clearing, dieback and/or grazing.
Completely degraded	The structure of the vegetation is no longer intact and the area is completely or almost completely without native species. These areas are often described as 'parkland cleared' with the flora comprising weed or crop species with isolated native trees or shrubs.

Appendix D. Sources of information

D.1. GIS databases

Publicly available GIS Databases used (sourced from www.data.wa.gov.au):

- Aboriginal Heritage Places (DPLH-001)
- Clearing Regulations Environmentally Sensitive Areas (DWER-046)
- Clearing Regulations Schedule One Areas (DWER-057)
- DBCA Lands of Interest (DBCA-012)
- DBCA Legislated Lands and Waters (DBCA-011)
- DBCA Fire History (DBCA-060)
- Esri World Imagery
- Groundwater Salinity Statewide (DWER-026)
- Hydrographic Catchments Catchments (DWER-028)
- Hydrography Inland Waters Waterlines
- Hydrography, Linear (DWER-031)
- IBRA Vegetation Statistics
- Native Title (ILUA) (LGATE-067)
- Pre-European Vegetation (DPIRD-006)
- RIWI Act, Groundwater Areas (DWER-034)
- RIWI Act, Surface Water Areas and Irrigation Districts (DWER-037)
- Soil Landscape Land Quality Flood Risk (DPIRD-007)
- Soil Landscape Land Quality Phosphorus Export Risk (DPIRD-010)

- Soil Landscape Land Quality Subsurface Acidification Risk (DPIRD-011)
- Soil Landscape Land Quality Water Erosion Risk (DPIRD-013)
- Soil Landscape Land Quality Water Repellence Risk (DPIRD-014)
- Soil Landscape Land Quality Waterlogging Risk (DPIRD-015)
- Soil Landscape Land Quality Wind Erosion Risk (DPIRD-016)
- Soil Landscape Mapping Best Available (DPIRD-027)
- Soil Landscape Mapping Rangelands (DPIRD-064)
- WA Now Aerial Imagery

Restricted GIS Databases used:

- Threatened and Priority Flora (TPFL)
- Threatened and Priority Flora (WAHerb)
- Threatened and Priority Fauna
- Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities
- Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities (Buffers)

D.2. References

Accendo Australia (2024) Clearing Permit Application M70/1408 Myalup Stage 3 South. Prepared for Urban Resources Pty Ltd, July 2024.

Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) (2016) Bureau of Meteorology Website – Climate classification maps, Seasonal rainfall. Bureau of Meteorology. http://www.bom.gov.au/jsp/ncc/climate_averages/climate-classifications/ (Accessed 13 December 2024).

Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) (2024) Bureau of Meteorology Website – Climate Data Online, Waroona Station. Bureau of Meteorology. https://reg.bom.gov.au/climate/data/ (Accessed 13 December 2024).

Department of Environment Regulation (DER) (2014) A guide to the assessment of applications to clear native vegetation.

Perth. https://www.der.wa.gov.au/images/documents/your-environment/native-vegetation/Guidelines/Guide2_assessment_native_veg.pdf

Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) (2024) Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Inquiry System. Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage. https://espatial.dplh.wa.gov.au/ACHIS/index.html?viewer=ACHIS (Accessed 16 December 2024).

Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) (2024) NRInfo Digital Mapping. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development. Government of Western Australia.

https://dpird.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=662e8cbf2def492381fc915aaf3c6a0f (Accessed 11 December 2024).

Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) (2021a) Procedure: Native vegetation clearing permits. Joondalup. https://www.wa.gov.au/system/files/2023-06/procedure-native-vegetation-clearing-permits.pdf

Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) (2021b) Water Quality Protection Note No. 25: Land use compatibility tables for public drinking water source areas (WQPN 25), August 2021.

Government of Western Australia (2019) 2018 Statewide Vegetation Statistics incorporating the CAR Reserve Analysis (Full Report). Current as of March 2019. WA Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions. https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset/dbca-statewide-vegetation-statistics

Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

4. Glossary

Acronyms:

BC Act Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016, Western Australia
BoM Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Government

DAA Department of Aboriginal Affairs, Western Australia (now DPLH)

DAFWA Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia (now DPIRD)

DCCEEW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, Australian Government

DBCA Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Western Australia

DEMIRS Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety

DER Department of Environment Regulation, Western Australia (now DWER)

DMIRS Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety, Western Australia (now DEMIRS)

DMP Department of Mines and Petroleum, Western Australia (now DEMIRS)

DoEE Department of the Environment and Energy (now DCCEEW)
DoW Department of Water, Western Australia (now DWER)

DPaW Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia (now DBCA)

DPIRD Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia

DPLH Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage, Western Australia

DRF Declared Rare Flora (now known as Threatened Flora)

DWER Department of Water and Environmental Regulation, Western Australia

EP Act Environmental Protection Act 1986, Western Australia **EPA** Environmental Protection Authority, Western Australia

EPBC Act Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Federal Act)

GIS Geographical Information System
ha Hectare (10,000 square metres)

IBRA Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia

IUCN International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources – commonly known as the

World Conservation Union

PEC Priority Ecological Community, Western Australia

RIWI Act Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914, Western Australia

TEC Threatened Ecological Community

Definitions:

{DBCA (2023) Conservation Codes for Western Australian Flora and Fauna. Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Western Australia}:

T Threatened species:

Listed by order of the Minister as Threatened in the category of critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable under section 19(1), or is a rediscovered species to be regarded as threatened species under section 26(2) of the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (BC Act).

Threatened fauna is the species of fauna that are listed as critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable threatened species.

Threatened flora is the species of flora that are listed as critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable threatened species.

The assessment of the conservation status of threatened species is in accordance with the BC Act listing criteria and the requirements of Ministerial Guideline Number 1 and Ministerial Guideline Number 2 that adopts the use of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species Categories and Criteria, and is based on the national distribution of the species.

CR Critically endangered species

Threatened species considered to be "facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines".

Listed as critically endangered under section 19(1)(a) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 20 and the ministerial guidelines.

EN Endangered species

Threatened species considered to be "facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines".

Listed as endangered under section 19(1)(b) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 21 and the ministerial guidelines.

VU Vulnerable species

Threatened species considered to be "facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines".

Listed as vulnerable under section 19(1)(c) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 22 and the ministerial guidelines.

Extinct Species:

EX Extinct species

Species where "there is no reasonable doubt that the last member of the species has died", and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 24 of the BC Act).

EW Extinct in the wild species

Species that "is known only to survive in cultivation, in captivity or as a naturalised population well outside its past range; and it has not been recorded in its known habitat or expected habitat, at appropriate seasons, anywhere in its past range, despite surveys over a time frame appropriate to its life cycle and form", and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 25 of the BC Act).

Currently there are no threatened fauna or threatened flora species listed as extinct in the wild.

Specially protected species:

Listed by order of the Minister as specially protected under section 13(1) of the BC Act. Meeting one or more of the following categories: species of special conservation interest; migratory species; cetaceans; species subject to international agreement; or species otherwise in need of special protection.

Species that are listed as threatened species (critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable) or extinct species under the BC Act cannot also be listed as Specially Protected species.

MI Migratory species

Fauna that periodically or occasionally visit Australia or an external Territory or the exclusive economic zone; or the species is subject of an international agreement that relates to the protection of migratory species and that binds the Commonwealth; and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 15 of the BC Act).

Migratory species include birds that are subject to an agreement between the government of Australia and the governments of Japan (JAMBA), China (CAMBA) or The Republic of Korea (ROKAMBA), and fauna subject to the *Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals* (Bonn Convention), an environmental treaty under the United Nations Environment Program. Migratory species listed under the BC Act are a subset of the migratory animals, that are known to visit Western Australia, protected under the international agreements or treaties, excluding species that are listed as Threatened species.

CD Species of special conservation interest (conservation dependent fauna)

Species of special conservation need that are dependent on ongoing conservation intervention to prevent it becoming eligible for listing as threatened, and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 14 of the BC Act).

Currently only fauna are listed as species of special conservation interest.

OS Other specially protected species

Species otherwise in need of special protection to ensure their conservation, and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 18 of the BC Act).

Currently only fauna are listed as species otherwise in need of special protection.

P Priority species:

Priority is not a listing category under the BC Act. The Priority Flora and Fauna lists are maintained by the department and are published on the department's website.

All fauna and flora are protected in WA following the provisions in Part 10 of the BC Act. The protection applies even when a species is not listed as threatened or specially protected, and regardless of land tenure (State managed land (Crown land), private land, or Commonwealth land).

Species that may possibly be threatened species that do not meet the criteria for listing under the BC Act because of insufficient survey or are otherwise data deficient, are added to the Priority Fauna or Priority Flora Lists under Priorities 1, 2 or 3. These three categories are ranked in order of prioritisation for survey and evaluation of conservation status so that consideration can be given to potential listing as threatened.

Species that are adequately known, meet criteria for near threatened, or are rare but not threatened, or that have been recently removed from the threatened species list or conservation dependent or other specially protected fauna lists for other than taxonomic reasons, are placed in Priority 4. These species require regular monitoring.

Assessment of priority status is based on the Western Australian distribution of the species, unless the distribution in WA is part of a contiguous population extending into adjacent States, as defined by the known spread of locations.

Priority One - Poorly-known species – known from few locations, none on conservation lands Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less) which are potentially at risk. All occurrences are either: very small; or on lands not managed for conservation, for example, agricultural or pastoral lands, urban areas, road and rail reserves, gravel reserves and active mineral leases; or otherwise under threat of habitat destruction or degradation.

Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from one or more locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements for threatened listing and appear to be under immediate threat from known threatening processes. These species are in urgent need of further survey.

Priority Two - Poorly-known species – known from few locations, some on conservation lands
Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less), some of which are on
lands managed primarily for nature conservation, for example, national parks, conservation parks,
nature reserves and other lands with secure tenure being managed for conservation.

Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from one or more locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements for threatened listing and appear to be under threat from known threatening processes. These species are in urgent need of further survey.

P3 Priority Three - Poorly-known species - known from several locations

Species that are known from several locations and the species does not appear to be under imminent threat or from few but widespread locations with either large population size or significant remaining areas of apparently suitable habitat, much of it not under imminent threat.

Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from several locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and known threatening processes exist that could affect them. These species need further survey.

P4 Priority Four - Rare, Near Threatened and other species in need of monitoring

- (a) Rare. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and that are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection but could be if present circumstances change. These species are usually represented on conservation lands.
- (b) Near Threatened. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed and that are close to qualifying for vulnerable but are not listed as a conservation dependent specially protected species.
- (c) Species that have been removed from the list of threatened species or lists of conservation dependent or other specially protected species, during the past five years for reasons other than taxonomy.
- (d) Other species in need of monitoring.

Principles for clearing native vegetation:

- (a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.
- (b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna.
- (c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, threatened flora.
- (d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.
- (e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.
- (f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.
- (g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.
- (h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.
- (i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.
- (j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.