

Clearing Permit Decision Report

1. Application details and outcomes

1.1. Permit application details

Permit number: 10699/1

Permit type: Purpose Permit

Applicant name: Urban Resources Pty Ltd

Application received: 29 July 2024
Application area: 21.787 hectares
Purpose of clearing: Sand mining

Method of clearing: Mechanical Removal
Tenure: Mining Lease 70/1329

Location (LGA area/s): City of Wanneroo

Colloquial name: Seismic Road Sand Mine

1.2. Description of clearing activities

Urban Resources Pty Ltd proposes to clear up to 21.787 hectares of native vegetation within a boundary of 21.787 hectares, for the purpose of sand mining. The project is located approximately 6.5 kilometres east of Wanneroo, within the City of Wanneroo.

The application is to allow for an extension of the existing sand mine.

1.3. Decision on application and key considerations

Decision: Grant

Decision date: 20 March 2025

Decision area: 21.787 hectares of native vegetation

1.4. Reasons for decision

This clearing permit application was submitted, accepted, assessed, and determined in accordance with sections 51E and 51O of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act). The Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DEMIRS) advertised the application for a public comment for a period of 21 days, and no submissions were received.

In making this decision, the Delegated Officer had regard for the site characteristics (Appendix A), relevant datasets (Appendix E), supporting information provided by the applicant including the results of a flora and vegetation survey (Appendix D), the clearing principles set out in Schedule 5 of the EP Act (Appendix B), proposed avoidance and minimisation measures (Section 3.1), relevant planning instruments and any other matters considered relevant to the assessment (Section 3.3).

The assessment identified that the proposed clearing may result in:

- the potential introduction and spread of weeds into adjacent vegetation, which could impact on the quality of the adjacent vegetation and its habitat values; and
- potential land degradation in the form of wind erosion.

After consideration of the available information, as well as the applicant's minimisation and mitigation measures (Section 3.1), the Delegated Officer determined the proposed clearing can be minimised and managed to be unlikely to lead to an unacceptable risk to environmental values.

The Delegated Officer decided to grant a clearing permit subject to conditions to:

- avoid, minimise to reduce the impacts and extent of clearing;
- take hygiene steps to minimise the risk of the introduction and spread of weeds and dieback; and
- staged clearing to minimise wind erosion.

2. Legislative context

The clearing of native vegetation in Western Australia is regulated under the EP Act and the Environmental Protection (Clearing of Native Vegetation) Regulations 2004 (Clearing Regulations).

In addition to the matters considered in accordance with section 510 of the EP Act (Section 1.4), the Delegated Officer has also had regard to the objects and principles under section 4A of the EP Act, particularly:

- the precautionary principle
- the principle of intergenerational equity
- the principle of the conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity.

Other legislation of relevance for this assessment include:

- Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (WA) (BC Act)
- Conservation and Land Management Act 1984 (WA) (CALM Act)
- Mining Act 1978 (WA)

The key guidance documents which inform this assessment are:

- A guide to the assessment of applications to clear native vegetation (DER, December 2014)
- Procedure: Native vegetation clearing permits (DWER, 2021a)
- Technical guidance Flora and Vegetation Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment (EPA, 2016)

3. Detailed assessment of application

3.1. Avoidance and mitigation measures

The mining disturbance on M 70/1329 was purposely designed to be limited to a previously cleared pine plantation, which was last cleared between 1989 and 1995 (PGV Environmental, 2024). The proponent also designed the disturbance to avoid nearby remnant vegetation in Bush forever sites and geomorphic wetlands, with buffer distances of 50 metres and 100 metres maintained, respectively. Urban Resources also removed sections of the application area that intersected Wellhead Protection Zones per request of the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) (PGV Environmental, 2024).

The Delegated Officer was satisfied that the applicant has made a reasonable effort to avoid and minimise potential impacts of the proposed clearing on environmental values.

3.2. Assessment of impacts on environmental values

In assessing the application, the Delegated Officer has had regard for the site characteristics (see Appendix A) and the extent to which the impacts of the proposed clearing present a risk to biological, conservation, or land and water resource values.

The assessment against the clearing principles (see Appendix B) identified the impacts of the proposed clearing are limited and able to be managed to be environmentally acceptable with standard avoid and minimise, hygiene and staged clearing management conditions.

3.3. Relevant planning instruments and other matters

The clearing permit application was advertised on 13 September 2024 by the Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety inviting submissions from the public. No submissions were received in relation to this application.

The application area is within the South West Native Title Settlement area (DPLH, 2025). This settlement resolves Native Title rights and interests over an area of approximately 200,000 square kilometres within the south west of Western Australia. The mining tenure has been granted in accordance with the future act regime of the *Native Title Act 1993* and the nature of the act (i.e. the proposed clearing activity) has been provided for in that process, therefore the granting of a clearing permit is not a future act under the *Native Title Act 1993*.

There are no registered Aboriginal Sites of Significance within the application area (DPLH, 2025). It is the proponent's responsibility to comply with the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972* and ensure that no Aboriginal Sites of Significance are damaged through the clearing process.

Other relevant authorisations required for the proposed land use include:

A Mining Proposal / Mine Closure Plan approved under the Mining Act 1978.

It is the proponent's responsibility to liaise with the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation and the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, to determine whether a Works Approval, Water Licence, Bed and Banks Permit, or any other licences or approvals are required for the proposed works.

End

Appendix A. Site characteristics

A.1. Site characteristics

Characteristic	Details
Local context	The area proposed to be cleared is part of a 30 hectare patch of a previously cleared pine plantation in the intensive land use zone of Western Australia. It is adjacent to the existing Boundary Road Sand Mine, and it is located close to an urban area (GIS Database).
Ecological linkage	The application area has been used as a pine plantation and does not form any formal or informal ecological linkages (GIS Database).
Conservation areas	The application area is located within the Gnangara-Moore River State Forest which is Crown Land managed by the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) (GIS Database). There is also a Bush Forever site located 190 metres to the west of the application area (GIS Database).
Vegetation description	The vegetation of the application area is broadly mapped as the following Southwest vegetation complexes: 43: Low open forest and low woodland and sedgelands; and 45: Low open forest and low woodland (GIS Database). A vegetation assessment was conducted over the application area by PGV Environmental during May 2024. No vegetation associations were described from this assessment. Representative photos are available in Appendix D.
Vegetation condition	The vegetation assessment (PVG Environmental, 2024) and aerial imagery indicate the vegetation within the proposed clearing area is in Completely Degraded (Keighery, 1994) condition. The full Keighery (1994) condition rating scale is provided in Appendix C.
Climate	The application area is located in a winter dominant zone which is characterised by wet winters and dry summers. The average annual rainfall (Wanneroo Station) is of 784.4 millimetres (BoM, 2025).
Soil description	The soil mapped within the application area is described as deep sand, poor sand (very deep), poor sand (effective duplex), and good sand (very deep) (DPIRD, 2025).
Land system	The application area is located within the Bassendean land system which covers the Swan Coastal Plain from Busselton to Jurien. It contains sand dunes and sandplains with pale deep sand, semi-wet and wet soil. The area supports Banksia-paperbark woodlands and mixed heaths (DPIRD, 2025)
Waterbodies	The desktop assessment and aerial imagery indicated that no watercourses transect the area proposed to be cleared (GIS Database).
Hydrogeography	The application area is located within the Gnangara Groundwater Area proclaimed under the Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914 and contains a mapped groundwater salinity of less than 500 milligrams per litre total dissolved solids, which is described as good quality drinking water (GIS Database).
Flora	There are no records of Threatened or Priority flora species within the application area (PVG Environmental, 2024; GIS Database).
Ecological communities	The application area is not located within any known or mapped Threatened or Priority Ecological Communities (PVG Environmental, 2024; GIS Database).
Fauna	There are no records of Threatened or Priority fauna species within the application area (GIS Database).

A.2. Vegetation extent

	Pre-European area (ha)	Current extent (ha)	Extent remaining (%)	Current extent in all DBCA managed land (ha)	Current extent in all DBCA Managed Land (proportion of pre- European extent) (%)
IBRA Bioregion - Swan Coastal Plain	865,681	262,810	~34%	61,163	~15%
IBRA Subregion - Perth	1,117,757	466,143	~42%	183,164	~16%

Local Government - Wanneroo	67,517	30,151	~45%	16,037	~24%
Southwest vegetation - Bioregion	on complexes				
43	79,057	56,660	~72%	30,559	~39%
45	20,857	18,553	~89%	11,321	~54%

Government of Western Australia (2019)

A.3. Land degradation risk table

Risk categories	Land Unit 1
Wind erosion	M2 – H1: 30-70% of the map unit has a high to extreme hazard
Water erosion	L1: <3% of the map unit has a very high to extreme hazard
Salinity	L1: <3% of the map unit has a moderate hazard or is presently saline
Subsurface Acidification	H2: >70% of the map unit has a high susceptibility
Flood risk	L1: <3% of the map unit has a moderate to high hazard
Water logging	L1: (Southern section): <3% of the map unit has a moderate to very high to risk H1: (Northern section): 50-70% of map unit has a moderate to very high risk
Phosphorus export risk	H2: >70% of the map unit has a high to extreme hazard

(DPIRD, 2025)

Appendix B.	Assessmen		

Assessment against the clearing principles	Variance level	Is further consideration required?
Environmental value: biological values		
Principle (a): "Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biodiversity."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
The application area is nearly all regrowth of pine trees, and only two Banksia trees were recorded (PGV Environmental, 2024). The understorey is either devoid of any native species or contains a few Woolley Bush (<i>Adenanthos cygnorum</i>) and <i>Xanthorrhoea preissii</i> shrubs. The minimal vegetation is not representative of a Priority Ecological Community (PGV Environmental, 2024).		
The application area is located in a dieback risk zone (GIS Database). Weeds and dieback have the potential to significantly change the dynamics of a natural ecosystem and lower the biodiversity of an area. Potential impacts to the biodiversity as a result of the proposed clearing may be minimised by the implementation of a weed and dieback management condition.		
Principle (b): "Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
Although, quenda may potentially nocturnally forage in the proposed clearing area it does not contain adequate vegetation cover for quenda to be considered significant habitat (PGV Environmental, 2024). Although two <i>Banksia menziesii</i> are present in the application area (PG Environmental, 2024), given the degraded condition of vegetation in the application area and given there area various Bush Forever sites in the local area (GIS Database) that could provide better foraging habitat for black cockatoos, the proposed clearing is unlikely to represent significant habitat for this species. The completely degraded nature of the application area including lack of structural species and limited shelter means the area is very unlikely to provide a significant habitat for any other fauna species (PGV Environmental, 2024).		
Principle (c): "Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, threatened flora." Assessment:	Not likely to be at variance	No

Assessment against the clearing principles	Variance level	Is further consideration required?
The area proposed to be cleared is unlikely to contain habitat for Threatened flora species. There are no records of Threatened flora within the application area (PGV Environmental, 2024; GIS Database).		
Principle (d): "Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a threatened ecological community."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
The application area does not form part of a Threatened Ecological Community (TEC) (PGV Environmental, 2024; GIS Database). The closest TEC is the Banksia Woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain ecological community (200 metres). The assessment conducted by PGV Environmental (2024) stated that even if the pines were harvested, the native vegetation would not regrow to a Banksia Woodland TEC as there were only two Banksia trees recorded in the almost 21.8 hectares, reflecting the lack of Banksia seed bank in the soil.		
Environmental value: significant remnant vegetation and conservation areas		
Principle (e): "Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared."	Not at variance	No
Assessment:		
The application area falls within the Swan Coastal Plain Bioregion and the Perth subregion of the Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (GIS Database). Approximately 34 percent of the pre-European vegetation still exists in the Swan Coastal Plain Bioregion (Government of Western Australia, 2019). The application area is broadly mapped as southwest vegetation complexes 43 and 45 (GIS Database). These vegetation complexes have not been extensively cleared. The full vegetation extent can be found in Appendix A.2. However, given the application area is located in a former pine plantation, the vegetation in the application area does not reflect the mapped southwest vegetation complexes.		
<u>Principle (h):</u> "Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
The application area is located within the Gnangara-Moore River State Forest, which is managed by the Department of Biodiversity Conservation and Attractions (formerly DPaW) (GIS Database). The Gnangara-Moore River State Forest covers an area in excess of 70,000 hectares; however, a large portion is covered by pine plantations (GIS Database). The application area is a cleared Pine (<i>Pinus pinaster</i>) plantation, where the native vegetation was historically cleared to establish the plantation (GIS Database). The vegetation in the area is in a completed degraded condition (PGV Environmental, 2024). Given this, the proposed clearing is not likely to impact the environmental values of the conservation area.		
Environmental value: land and water resources		
<u>Principle (f):</u> "Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
Given no water courses or wetlands are recorded within the application area, the proposed clearing is unlikely to impact vegetation growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland (GIS Database).		
<u>Principle (g):</u> "Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation."	At variance	No
Assessment:		
The mapped soils in the application area are sandy and are not susceptible to water erosion but are highly susceptible to wind erosion (DPIRD, 2025; GIS Database). Noting the location of the application area, the proposed clearing is likely to cause appreciable land degradation. Potential impacts from the proposed clearing likely to result in land degradation can be managed by placing a staged clearing condition on the clearing permit to prevent cleared areas from being exposed for long periods of time.		

Assessment against the clearing principles	Variance level	Is further consideration required?
Principle (i): "Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
There are no water courses or wetlands within the application area. The area proposed to be cleared is located within a Priority 1 Public Drinking Water Source Area (GIS Database). Mining operations outside of wellhead or reservoir protection zones are compatible with conditions in P1 areas (DWER, 2021). The initial design of the proposed clearing intersected a wellhead protection zone. The proponent later changed the design of the application area to avoid the wellhead protection zone.		
Principle (j): "Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding."	Not likely to be at variance	No
Assessment:		
Given no water courses or wetlands are recorded within the application area, the proposed clearing is unlikely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding (GIS Database).		

Appendix C. Vegetation condition rating scale

Vegetation condition is a rating given to a defined area of vegetation to categorise and rank disturbance related to human activities. The rating refers to the degree of change in the vegetation structure, density and species present in relation to undisturbed vegetation of the same type. The degree of disturbance impacts upon the vegetation's ability to regenerate. Disturbance at a site can be a cumulative effect from a number of interacting disturbance types.

Considering its location, the scale below was used to measure the condition of the vegetation proposed to be cleared. This scale has been extracted from Keighery, B.J. (1994) *Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community*. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

Measuring vegetation condition for the South West and Interzone Botanical Province (Keighery, 1994)

Condition	Description
Pristine	Pristine or nearly so, no obvious signs of disturbance.
Excellent	Vegetation structure intact, with disturbance affecting individual species; weeds are non-aggressive species.
Very good	Vegetation structure altered, with obvious signs of disturbance. For example, disturbance to vegetation structure caused by repeated fires, the presence of some more aggressive weeds, dieback, logging and/or grazing.
Good	Vegetation structure significantly altered by very obvious signs of multiple disturbances. Retains basic vegetation structure or ability to regenerate it. For example, disturbance to vegetation structure caused by very frequent fires, the presence of some very aggressive weeds at high density, partial clearing, dieback and/or grazing.
Degraded	Basic vegetation structure severely impacted by disturbance. Scope for regeneration but not to a state approaching good condition without intensive management. For example, disturbance to vegetation structure caused by very frequent fires, the presence of very aggressive weeds, partial clearing, dieback and/or grazing.
Completely degraded	The structure of the vegetation is no longer intact and the area is completely or almost completely without native species. These areas are often described as 'parkland cleared' with the flora comprising weed or crop species with isolated native trees or shrubs.

Appendix D. Photographs of the vegetation



Figure 1. Typical pine tree vegetation on the site (PGV Environmental, 2024).



Figure 2. Pine Trees with Xanthorrhoea preissii shrubs (PGV Environmental, 2024).



Figure 3. Woolly Bush and low density Pine trees (PGV Environmental, 2024).

Appendix E. Sources of information

E.1.GIS databases

Publicly available GIS Databases used (sourced from www.data.wa.gov.au):

- Aboriginal Heritage Places (DPLH-001)
- Bush Forever (Regional Scheme) (DPLH-022)
- Clearing Regulations Environmentally Sensitive Areas (DWER-046)
- Clearing Regulations Schedule One Areas (DWER-057)
- DBCA Lands of Interest (DBCA-012)
- DBCA Legislated Lands and Waters (DBCA-011)
- DBCA Fire History (DBCA-060)
- Esri World Imagery
- Groundwater Salinity Statewide (DWER-026)
- Hydrographic Catchments Catchments (DWER-028)
- Hydrography Inland Waters Waterlines
- Hydrography, Linear (DWER-031)
- IBRA Vegetation Statistics
- Native Title (ILUA) (LGATE-067)
- Pre-European Vegetation (DPIRD-006)
- RIWI Act, Groundwater Areas (DWER-034)
- RIWI Act, Surface Water Areas and Irrigation Districts (DWER-037)
- Soil Landscape Land Quality Flood Risk (DPIRD-007)
- Soil Landscape Land Quality Phosphorus Export Risk (DPIRD-010)
- Soil Landscape Land Quality Subsurface Acidification Risk (DPIRD-011)
- Soil Landscape Land Quality Water Erosion Risk (DPIRD-013)
- Soil Landscape Land Quality Water Repellence Risk (DPIRD-014)
- Soil Landscape Land Quality Waterlogging Risk (DPIRD-015)
- Soil Landscape Land Quality Wind Erosion Risk (DPIRD-016)
- Soil Landscape Mapping Best Available (DPIRD-027)
- Soil Landscape Mapping Rangelands (DPIRD-064)
- WA Now Aerial Imagery

Restricted GIS Databases used:

- Threatened and Priority Flora (TPFL)
- Threatened and Priority Flora (WAHerb)
- Threatened and Priority Fauna
- Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities
- Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities (Buffers)

E.2. References

Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) (2025) Bureau of Meteorology Website – Climate Data Online, Wanneroo Station. Bureau of CPS 10699/1

Meteorology. https://reg.bom.gov.au/climate/data/ (Accessed 7 March 2025).

Department of Environment Regulation (DER) (2014) A guide to the assessment of applications to clear native vegetation.

Perth. https://www.der.wa.gov.au/images/documents/your-environment/native-veg.pdf

Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) (2025) Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Inquiry System. Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage. https://espatial.dplh.wa.gov.au/ACHIS/index.html?viewer=ACHIS (Accessed 10 March 2025).

Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) (2025) NRInfo Digital Mapping. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development. Government of Western Australia.

https://dpird.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=662e8cbf2def492381fc915aaf3c6a0f (Accessed 7 March 2025).

Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) (2021a) Procedure: Native vegetation clearing permits. Joondalup. https://www.wa.gov.au/system/files/2023-06/procedure-native-vegetation-clearing-permits.pdf

Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) (2021b) Water Quality Protection Note No. 25: Land use compatibility tables for public drinking water source areas (WQPN 25), August 2021.

Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) (2016) Technical Guidance - Flora and Vegetation Surveys for Environmental Impact

http://www.epa.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/Policies_and_Guidance/EPA%20Technical%20Guidance%20-%20Flora%20and%20Vegetation%20survey_Dec13.pdf

Government of Western Australia (2019) 2018 Statewide Vegetation Statistics incorporating the CAR Reserve Analysis (Full Report). Current as of March 2019. WA Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions. https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset/dbca-statewide-vegetation-statistics

Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

PGV Environmental (2024) Mining Lease 70/1329 Boundary Road, Mariginiup - Vegetation Assessment.

4. Glossary

Acronyms:

BC Act Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016, Western Australia

BoM Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Government

DAA Department of Aboriginal Affairs, Western Australia (now DPLH)DAFWA Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia (now DPIRD)

DCCEEW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, Australian Government

DBCA Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Western Australia

DEMIRS Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety

DER Department of Environment Regulation, Western Australia (now DWER)

DMIRS Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety, Western Australia (now DEMIRS)

DMP Department of Mines and Petroleum, Western Australia (now DEMIRS)

Dobe Department of the Environment and Energy (now DCCEEW)
Dow Department of Water, Western Australia (now DWER)

DPaW Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia (now DBCA)

DPIRD Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia

DPLH Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage, Western Australia

DRF Declared Rare Flora (now known as Threatened Flora)

DWER Department of Water and Environmental Regulation, Western Australia

EPAEnvironmental Protection Act 1986, Western Australia

EPA

Environmental Protection Authority, Western Australia

EPBC Act Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Federal Act)

GIS Geographical Information System
ha Hectare (10,000 square metres)

IBRA Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia

IUCN International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources – commonly known as the

World Conservation Union

PEC Priority Ecological Community, Western Australia

RIWI Act Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914, Western Australia

TEC Threatened Ecological Community

Definitions:

{DBCA (2023) Conservation Codes for Western Australian Flora and Fauna. Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Western Australia}:

T Threatened species:

Listed by order of the Minister as Threatened in the category of critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable under section 19(1), or is a rediscovered species to be regarded as threatened species

under section 26(2) of the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (BC Act).

Threatened fauna is the species of fauna that are listed as critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable threatened species.

Threatened flora is the species of flora that are listed as critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable threatened species.

The assessment of the conservation status of threatened species is in accordance with the BC Act listing criteria and the requirements of Ministerial Guideline Number 1 and Ministerial Guideline Number 2 that adopts the use of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species Categories and Criteria, and is based on the national distribution of the species.

CR Critically endangered species

Threatened species considered to be "facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines".

Listed as critically endangered under section 19(1)(a) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 20 and the ministerial guidelines.

EN Endangered species

Threatened species considered to be "facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines".

Listed as endangered under section 19(1)(b) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 21 and the ministerial guidelines.

VU Vulnerable species

Threatened species considered to be "facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines".

Listed as vulnerable under section 19(1)(c) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 22 and the ministerial guidelines.

Extinct Species:

EX Extinct species

Species where "there is no reasonable doubt that the last member of the species has died", and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 24 of the BC Act).

EW Extinct in the wild species

Species that "is known only to survive in cultivation, in captivity or as a naturalised population well outside its past range; and it has not been recorded in its known habitat or expected habitat, at appropriate seasons, anywhere in its past range, despite surveys over a time frame appropriate to its life cycle and form", and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 25 of the BC Act).

Currently there are no threatened fauna or threatened flora species listed as extinct in the wild.

Specially protected species:

Listed by order of the Minister as specially protected under section 13(1) of the BC Act. Meeting one or more of the following categories: species of special conservation interest; migratory species; cetaceans; species subject to international agreement; or species otherwise in need of special protection.

Species that are listed as threatened species (critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable) or extinct species under the BC Act cannot also be listed as Specially Protected species.

MI Migratory species

Fauna that periodically or occasionally visit Australia or an external Territory or the exclusive economic zone; or the species is subject of an international agreement that relates to the protection of migratory species and that binds the Commonwealth; and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 15 of the BC Act).

Migratory species include birds that are subject to an agreement between the government of Australia and the governments of Japan (JAMBA), China (CAMBA) or The Republic of Korea (ROKAMBA), and fauna subject to the *Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals* (Bonn Convention), an environmental treaty under the United Nations Environment Program. Migratory species listed under the BC Act are a subset of the migratory animals, that are known to visit Western Australia, protected under the international agreements or treaties, excluding species that are listed as Threatened species.

CD Species of special conservation interest (conservation dependent fauna)

Species of special conservation need that are dependent on ongoing conservation intervention to prevent it becoming eligible for listing as threatened, and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 14 of the BC Act).

Currently only fauna are listed as species of special conservation interest.

OS Other specially protected species

Species otherwise in need of special protection to ensure their conservation, and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 18 of the BC Act).

Currently only fauna are listed as species otherwise in need of special protection.

P Priority species:

Priority is not a listing category under the BC Act. The Priority Flora and Fauna lists are maintained by the department and are published on the department's website.

All fauna and flora are protected in WA following the provisions in Part 10 of the BC Act. The protection applies even when a species is not listed as threatened or specially protected, and regardless of land tenure (State managed land (Crown land), private land, or Commonwealth land).

Species that may possibly be threatened species that do not meet the criteria for listing under the BC Act because of insufficient survey or are otherwise data deficient, are added to the Priority Fauna or Priority Flora Lists under Priorities 1, 2 or 3. These three categories are ranked in order of prioritisation for survey and evaluation of conservation status so that consideration can be given to potential listing as threatened.

Species that are adequately known, meet criteria for near threatened, or are rare but not threatened, or that have been recently removed from the threatened species list or conservation dependent or other specially protected fauna lists for other than taxonomic reasons, are placed in Priority 4. These species require regular monitoring.

Assessment of priority status is based on the Western Australian distribution of the species, unless the distribution in WA is part of a contiguous population extending into adjacent States, as defined by the known spread of locations.

Priority One - Poorly-known species – known from few locations, none on conservation lands Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less) which are potentially at risk. All occurrences are either: very small; or on lands not managed for conservation, for example, agricultural or pastoral lands, urban areas, road and rail reserves, gravel reserves and active mineral leases; or otherwise under threat of habitat destruction or degradation.

Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from one or more locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements for threatened listing and appear to be under immediate threat from known threatening processes. These species are in urgent need of further survey.

Priority Two - Poorly-known species – known from few locations, some on conservation lands Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less), some of which are on lands managed primarily for nature conservation, for example, national parks, conservation parks, nature reserves and other lands with secure tenure being managed for conservation.

Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from one or more locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements for threatened listing and appear to be under threat from known threatening processes. These species are in urgent need of further survey.

P3 Priority Three - Poorly-known species - known from several locations

Species that are known from several locations and the species does not appear to be under imminent threat or from few but widespread locations with either large population size or significant remaining areas of apparently suitable habitat, much of it not under imminent threat.

Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from several locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and known threatening processes exist that could affect them. These species need further survey.

P4 Priority Four - Rare, Near Threatened and other species in need of monitoring

- (a) Rare. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and that are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection but could be if present circumstances change. These species are usually represented on conservation lands.
- (b) Near Threatened. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed and that are close to qualifying for vulnerable but are not listed as a conservation dependent specially protected species.
- (c) Species that have been removed from the list of threatened species or lists of conservation dependent or other specially protected species, during the past five years for reasons other than taxonomy.
- (d) Other species in need of monitoring.

Principles for clearing native vegetation:

(a)	Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.
(b)	Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna.
(c)	Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, threatened flora.
(d)	Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.
(e)	Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.
(f)	Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.
(g)	Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.
(h)	Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.
(i)	Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.
(j)	Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.