

Aragon Resources Pty Ltd (ACN 114 714 662)

Fortnum Gold Operations Labouchere – Regent Project

Native Vegetation Clearing Permit Application Supporting Information

M52/5, M52/125

August 2024

CONTENTS

1	Introduction2
1.1	Purpose and Scope2
1.2	Project
2	Existing Environment5
2.1	Biogeographic Region
2.2	Land Systems5
2.3	Soils
2.4	Pre-European Vegetation
2.5	Vegetation and Flora7
	2.5.1 Vegetation Types
	2.5.2 Vegetation Condition
	2.5.3 Significant Flora
	2.5.4 Introduced Flora Species
	2.5.5 Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities
2.6	Fauna
	2.6.1 Fauna Habitat
	2.6.2 Significant Fauna
2.7	Hydrology19
3	Assessment Against the Ten Clearing Principles 21
4	References

FIGURES

Figure 1: Fortnum Project Regional Location	3
Figure 2: Labouchere and Regent Project Tenure and Proposed Permit Envelope (PPE)	4
Figure 3: Labouchere and Regent PPE Land Systems	6
Figure 4: Labouchere and Regent PPE Vegetation Types	9
Figure 5: Labouchere and Regent PPE Vegetation Condition	10
Figure 6: Labouchere and Regent PPE Government Database Conservation Significant Flora	11
Figure 7: Labouchere and Regent PPE Conservation Significant Flora	12
Figure 7: Environmentally Sensitive Areas	15
Figure 6: Labouchere and Regent PPE Government Database Conservation Significant Fauna	17
Figure 8: Fortnum Regional Hydrology	20

TABLES

Table 1: Tenement Overview	. 2
Table 2: Land Systems (Rangelands) of the Fortnum Disturbance Envelope	. 5
Table 3: Beard Vegetation Associations	. 7
Table 4: Vegetation Condition	. 7
Table 5: Vegetation Types Recorded within the PPE (Maia, 2016)	. 8
Table 6: Conservation Significant Flora Within the PPE and Impact	13
Table 7: Conservation Significant Fauna Within and Up to 40km from PPE (DCCEEW, 2024)	18
Table 8: Assessment against the Ten Clearing Principles	22

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 **Purpose and Scope**

Aragon Resources Pty Ltd (ACN 114 714 662) (Aragon), a wholly owned subsidiary of Westgold Resources Limited (Westgold), owns and operates the Fortnum Gold Operation (FGO) (the Project), located 750 kilometres (km) north of Perth and 150 km north-north-west of Meekatharra in the northern Murchison region of Western Australia. The Fortnum Project has been subject to extensive historical disturbance, dominated by mining and pastoral land uses.

The Project comprises the mining Fortnum main area (the Starlight underground mine, which remains active and operational, a processing plant and other open pits), along with the Labouchere, Regent-Messiah, Nathan's and Horseshoe mining areas (Figure 1).

This Native Vegetation Clearing Permit (NVCP) application is for clearing for the purpose of mineral production and associated activities, including development and construction of the Regent-Messiah mining area (Mining Proposal REG ID 126920) and to facilitate expansion at the Labouchere mining area.

1.2 Project

The purpose of this Native Vegetation Clearing Permit (NVCP) application is to seek permission to clear up to 150 hectares (ha) of native vegetation within a proposed 739 ha Permit Area (Figure 2). *The proposed clearing area coincides with the Purpose Permit Envelope (PPE) of expired Purpose Permit number:* 9345/1, which authorised the clearing of 95 hectares (ha) of native vegetation from 18 September 2021 to 31 December 2022.

To accommodate future mining operations, vegetation clearing is necessary within the PPE. This involves 90 ha for the Regent-Messiah mining area and 60 ha for expanding the Labouchere mining area. While some parts of Regent-Messiah have undergone previous vegetation removal, the majority of the required clearing will impact undisturbed areas. The actual cleared area at Regent-Messiah is anticipated to be significantly smaller than 90 ha (Figure 3) to maintain design flexibility.

The PPE is located entirely within mining tenements currently held by Aragon, as listed in Table 1 and shown on Figure 2. Tenement summaries are provided as Attachment 1.

Tenement	Area (ha)	Holder	Granted	Expiry
M52/5	464.85	Aragon Resources Pty Ltd	20/04/1983	19/04/2025
M52/125	309.80	Aragon Resources Pty Ltd	30/12/1988	29/12/2030

Table 1: Tenement Overview

Clearing will be minimised, with infrastructure locations preferentially selected on areas that have already been disturbed and to avoid, where possible, watercourses, priority flora and rare flora species. Clearing will be undertaken as per Programme of Works (PoW) and Mining Proposal documents which will be submitted to Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DEMIRS), entirely within the boundaries of the Permit Area as shown in Figure 2.





Figure 1: Fortnum Project Regional Location



Figure 2: Labouchere and Regent Project Tenure and Proposed Permit Envelope (PPE)

2 EXISTING ENVIRONMENT

2.1 Biogeographic Region

The PPE is located in the Augustus subregion (GAS03) of the Gascoyne Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (IBRA) bioregion of Western Australia, approximately 40 km north of the boundary with the Murchison bioregion. Spanning an area of approximately 10,000,000 ha, the Augustus subregion is characterised by rugged, low Proterozoic sedimentary and granite ranges interspersed with broad, flat valleys of alluvial valley-fill deposits, with mulga parkland growing on the plains and mulga woodland with *Triodia* occurring on the rises (Desmond, 2002). The Gascoyne River System serves as the primary drainage network for the region.

2.2 Land Systems

The PPE intersects two land systems as described in Table 2 and shown on Figure 3.

Land System	Land System Description	Land Type	Area Within PPE (ha)	Proportion of DE (%)
Augustus Land System	Rugged ranges, hills, ridges and plateau with skeletal soils supporting mulga and other acacia shrublands in southern parts or hard spinifex grasslands in northern parts.	Hills and ranges; Acacia shrublands	251.4	34
Beasley Land System	Low ridges, hills and lateritised residuals above stony footslopes and broad, stony lower plains supporting scattered mulga and snakewood shrublands.	Low hills and stony plains; Acacia shrublands	487.6	66
		Total	739.0	100

Table 2: Land Systems (Rangelands) of the Fortnum Disturbance Envelope

2.3 Soils

Fortnum lies within the Gascoyne Valley Zone 295 (Tille, 2006), featuring hardpan wash plains on alluvial deposits. Stony soils, derived from the sedimentary bedrock, dominate the hilly terrain. Alluvial plains and floodplains are associated with the Gascoyne River and its tributaries. Soils on hilly terrain are predominantly stony, including red loamy earths, red shallow loams, and red-brown hardpan shallow loams derived from sedimentary bedrock (Tille, 2006). Other soils include hardpan wash plains. Alluvial plains and floodplains are associated with the Gascoyne River and its tributaries.





2.4 Pre-European Vegetation

The PPE lies entirely within Beard Vegetation Association (BVA) 18 (Beard, 1976), which is well represented at a state-wide, regional, sub-regional and local government scale (Table 3), with at least 99.68% of pre-European levels of native vegetation remaining.

Vegetation Association	Region	Pre- European Extent (Augustus Subregion)	Current Extent (Statewide)	Remaining (%)	Current Extent in DBCA- Managed Land (%)	Permit Area Extent (ha)	% of Permit Area
18 - Low	Statewide	19,892,306	19,843,148	99.75	6.62		
woodland;	Murchison Bioregion	12,403,172	12,363,252	99.68	4.96	720	100
mulga (Acacia aneura)	Augustus Subregion	2,425,858	2,424,368	99.94	11.61	/39	100

Table 3: Beard Vegetation Associations

2.5 Vegetation and Flora

2.5.1 Vegetation Types

A Level 1 flora and vegetation reconnaissance and targeted flora survey of the Labouchere and Regent mining areas was undertaken by Maia Environmental Consultancy (Maia) in September 2016. Seven vegetation types were mapped (Table 5). Vegetation types are dominated by *Acacia* shrubland. All are considered locally common with low significance.

The report is provided as Attachment 4.

2.5.2 Vegetation Condition

Vegetation condition is described by (Maia, 2016) as pristine to nearly so, with localised mining impacts and evidence of grazing by cattle. Vegetation condition is summarised in Table 4.

The report is provided as Attachment 4.

Condition Rating	Condition Description	% of Area Surveyed
2	Pristine or nearly so	89
3	Slight to obvious signs of damage since European settlement	3
7	Disturbed	8
	Total	100

Table 4: Vegetation Condition

Table 5: Vegetation Types Recorded within the PPE (Maia, 2016)

Code	Vegetation Description	Habitat	Vegetation Condition	Area In PPE (ha)	%
ASL-1	Sparse Tall Acacia Shrubland of either Acacia incurvaneura or A. aptaneura with a Sparse mixed Low Shrubland (<i>Eremophila phyllopoda, Ptilotus</i> <i>schwartzii</i> , and <i>Scaevola spinescens</i>) and Isolated Low Trees of Acacia pruinocarpa and/or A. citrinoviridis.	Stony flat and undulating quartz plains and quartz and ironstone slopes.	2 (pristine or nearly so).	224.47	31.13
ASL-2	Sparse Tall Shrubland of <i>Acacia incurvaneura</i> and/or <i>A. rhodophloia</i> with a mixed Sparse Low Shrubland (<i>Eremophila jucunda subsp. jucunda, E. obliquisepala</i> (P3), <i>Ptilotus schwartzii</i>) and Isolated Low Trees of either Grevillea berryana, Acacia citrinoviridis or <i>A. pruinocarpa</i> .	Stony flat and undulating quartz and ironstone plains and ironstone hill slopes.	2 (pristine or nearly so). Exploration and mining activities noted.	197.39	27.37
ASL-3	Open Tall Shrubland of Acacia incurvaneura or A. aptaneura with a mixed Low Open Shrubland (Eremophila latrobei subsp. Latrobei, E. jucunda subsp. Jucunda and Dodonaea pachyneura) and +/- Scattered Mallee Trees of Corymbia ferriticola.	Crests and upper slopes of ironstone hills.	2 (pristine or nearly so). Exploration tracks and areas adjacent to the existing pit and exclusion bund noted.	86.29	11.97
ASL-4	Sparse Tall Shrubland of Acacia aptaneura and/or A. xiphophylla with a Sparse Low Shrubland of Senna artemisioides subsp. oligophylla x helmsii and Solanum lasiophyllum and a Sparse Chenopod Shrubland of Sclerolaena eriacantha, Maireana georgei and Maireana villosa.	Quartz stony plains.	2 (pristine or nearly so). No disturbance noted.	5.72	0.79
ASL-5	Open Tall Shrubland of Acacia cuthbertsonii subsp. cuthbertsonii, +/- A. incurvaneura or A. rhodophloia with a Sparse mixed Low Shrubland (Dodonaea petiolaris, Eremophila glutinosa and E. exilifolia) and Isolated Low Trees of Acacia citrinoviridis and/or Grevillea berryana.	Minor drainage lines and gullies.	2 (pristine or nearly so). No disturbance noted.	29.75	4.12
AWL-1	Low Woodland to Low Open Forest of Acacia incurvaneura, A. aptaneura and A. cyperophylla var. cyperophylla with a mixed tall shrubland (Acacia cuthbertsonii subsp. Cuthbertsonii, A. ramulosa var. linophylla, Eremophila forrestii subsp. forrestii) and a mixed Low Shrubland (Indigofera monophylla, Abutilon cryptopetalum and Enchylaena tomentosa var. tomentosa.	Low lying areas, depressions and broad drainage lines.	2 (pristine or nearly so). Minor grazing noted.	26.59	3.69
MSL-1	Sparse mixed Shrubland (Senna glaucifolia, Eremophila phyllopoda and <i>Ptilotus rotundifolius</i>) and a Sparse to Open Tussock Grassland of Aristida contorta.	Undulating quartz and ironstone stony plains.	2 (pristine or nearly so). No disturbance noted.	72.98	10.12
Disturbed				77.96	10.81
TOTAL				721.15	100

\{\{ WESTGOLD



Figure 4: Labouchere and Regent PPE Vegetation Types

\{\{ WESTGOLD



Figure 5: Labouchere and Regent PPE Vegetation Condition





Figure 6: Labouchere and Regent PPE Government Database Conservation Significant Flora



Figure 7: Labouchere and Regent PPE Conservation Significant Flora



2.5.3 Significant Flora

A desktop search (50km buffer) revealed no Listed Threatened Species in the Matters of National Environmental Significance (DCCEEW, 2024), whilst a desktop search (DBCA, 2024) identified 128 priority species potentially occurring in the Augustus subregion, none are within 50km of the PPE.

The desktop survey (Maia, 2016) identified four priority (P) species within 30 km: *Eucalyptus semota* (P1), *Solanum reclusum* (P1), *Eremophila obliquisepala* and *Maireana prosthecochaeta* (both P3). The application area comprises low to moderate native vegetation diversity and no Threatened or DRF species (Maia, 2016).

Five priority species were identified by (Maia, 2016) within the Survey Area (Table 6). The report is provided as Attachment 4.

		Number I	Detential		
Species	Conservation Status	Impact Areas	Non- Impact Areas	Total	Impact (%)
Stenanthemum mediale	1	0	9	9	0
Eremophila obliquisepala	3	1,584	1,722	3,306	48
Gunniopsis propinqua*	3	2	48	50	4
Indigofera gilesii	3	0	3	3	0
<i>Thryptomene sp. Leinster</i> (B.J. Lepschi & L.A. Craven 4362)	3	0	10	10	0

Table 6: Conservation Significant Flora Within the PPE and Impact

*no longer conservation significant

None of the recorded vegetation types are considered to be unique, restricted, associated with a watercourse or are of conservation significance, as all are locally common and occur in surrounding areas (Maia, 2016).

As outlined in Table 6, while up to 48% of *Eremophila obliquisepala* individuals will be affected within the PPE, the overall impact on this species is relatively low due to its large population size and occurrence across multiple vegetation types, which also extend outside of the survey area. No individuals of *Stenanthemum mediale*, *Indigofera gilesii* and *Thryptomene sp. Leinster* will be impacted by the clearing activities as mitigation measures will be implemented to prevent impact.

To minimise impacts, the following mitigation measures will be implemented:

- Prioritise the use of existing access tracks and previously disturbed areas to avoid impacts on conservation significant flora.
- Implement a 10-metre clearance buffer around identified individuals of *Stenanthemum mediale, Thryptomene sp. Leinster* and *Indigofera gilesii* (Attachment 4).
- Utilise flagging and boundary markers to protect *Eremophila obliquisepala* populations and other significant flora.

These proposed measures align with condition 8(i)(ii) of the expired clearing permit CPS9345/1.

2.5.4 Introduced Flora Species

The (Maia, 2016) desktop review identified two declared pest plants; *Heliotropium europaeum* (Common Heliotrope) and *Datura leichhardtii* (Native Thornapple), along with *Atriplex canescens* (Fourwing Saltbush) and one weed species with potential habitat as likely to occur in the database search area: *Cenchrus ciliaris* (Buffel-grass). No weed species on any of the national weeds lists or declared in WA were recorded, although three environmental weed species were identified during the field survey:

- Bidens bipinnata
- Cenchrus ciliaris
- Rumex vesicarius

C. *ciliaris* and R. *vesicarius* are rated as having high ecological impact and rapid invasiveness; R. *vesicarius* was the most common weed recorded in the survey area.

2.5.5 Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities

A comprehensive survey (Maia, 2016) and recent desktop search (50km buffer, (DCCEEW, 2024) identified no Priority Ecological Communities (PECs) or Threatened Ecological Communities (TECs) within the survey area. The PPE does not overlap with any conservation areas, with the nearest located over 40 km away.

Four PECs occur in the vicinity of the PPE (Figure 8):

- Robinson Range vegetation complexes (banded ironstone formation) Priority 1 PEC. The PPE is approximately 2km from the buffer of this PEC.
- Milgun central calcrete groundwater assemblage types on Gascoyne palaeodrainage on Milgun Station (Priority 1 PEC) (approximately 12 km north north-east of the centre of the PPE).
- Milgun south calcrete groundwater assemblage types on Gascoyne palaeodrainage on Milgun Station (Priority 1 PEC) (approximately 12 km north-west of the centre of the PPE).
- Three Rivers Plutonic calcrete groundwater assemblage types on Gascoyne palaeodrainage on Three Rivers Station (Priority 1 PEC) (approximately 26 km north-east of the centre of the PPE.



Figure 8: Environmentally Sensitive Areas

2.6 Fauna

2.6.1 Fauna Habitat

Five habitat types (Table 5) have been identified by (Maia, 2016) in the PPE:

- Stony flats and undulating quartz/ironstone plains and quartz/ironstone slopes.
- Crests and upper slopes of ironstone hills.
- Quartz stony plains.
- Minor drainage lines and gullies.
- Low lying areas, depressions and broad drainage lines.
- Undulating quartz and ironstone stony plains.

2.6.2 Significant Fauna

Previous database searches by (Rapallo, 2012) indicate no records of conservation significant fauna species known from the local area (20 km radius). Recent desktop searches (50km buffer) revealed Listed Threatened Species in the Matters of National Environmental Significance as shown in Table 7 (DCCEEW, 2024), whilst a desktop search of the Augustus subregion, (DBCA, 2024) identified 38 priority fauna species, none identified within 50km of the PPE.

The likelihood of species of conservation significance from the desktop search occurring in the project area based on species profile (DCCEEW, 2024) and habitats occurring in the project is included in Table 7.

The closest Level 1 terrestrial fauna survey to the PPE is by (Rapallo, 2012) which includes an area just west of the Fortnum Gold Mine. Relevant information is summarised here and in Table 7. The reconnaissance survey recorded fifty species of vertebrate fauna, and at least seven taxa of invertebrate fauna. Six vertebrate fauna species of Local Significance (as defined by Davis 2012, in (Rapallo, 2012)) were recorded, these were the Black Kite (*Milvus migrans*), Spotted Harrier (*Circus assimilis*), Inland Dotterel (*Charadrius australis*), Red-backed Kingfisher (*Todiramphus pyrrhopygius*), the Black-faced Woodswallow (*Artamus cinereus*), and the Australian Pipit (*Anthus australis*).

Regional fauna species are shown on Figure 9.





Figure 9: Labouchere and Regent PPE Government Database Conservation Significant Fauna

Table 7: Conservation Significant Fauna Within and Up to 40km from PPE (DCCEEW, 2024)

Scientific Name	Common Name	Class	Species or Habitat Presence	EPBC Act Threatened Category	Migratory Status	WA Status	Habitat in PPE
Calidris ferruginea	Curlew Sandpiper	Bird	Possible	Critically Endangered	Migratory	Critically Endangered	Migratory – Suitable breeding or foraging habitat is unlikely within the PPE.
Macroderma gigas	Ghost Bat	Mammal	Possible	Vulnerable	Non- Migratory	Vulnerable	Project area does not contain species' preferred habitat. Unlikely to occur, and unlikely to be impacted by the project.
Pityrodia augustensis	Mt Augustus Foxglove	Plant	Known	Vulnerable	N/A	Vulnerable	Not recorded during flora survey.
Liopholis kintorei	Great Desert Skink, Tjakura, Warrarna, Mulyamiji, Tjalapa, Nampu	Reptile	Possible	Vulnerable	Non- Migratory	Vulnerable	Common in the arid zone of central and western Australia. Habitat is unlikely within the PPE.
Rhinonicteris aurantia (Pilbara form)	Pilbara Leaf-nosed Bat	Mammal	Possible	Vulnerable	Non- Migratory	Vulnerable	Project area does not contain species' preferred habitat. Unlikely to occur, and unlikely to be impacted by the project.
Calidris acuminata	Sharp-tailed Sandpiper	Bird	Possible	Vulnerable	Migratory	Vulnerable	Migratory – Suitable breeding or foraging habitat is unlikely within PPE.
Falco hypoleucos	Grey Falcon	Bird	Possible	Vulnerable	Non- Migratory	Vulnerable	Rare visitor to the region, project area contains suitable hunting habitat, but no nesting habitat. Species unlikely to be impacted by the project.
Aphelocephala leucopsis	Southern Whiteface	Bird	Known	Vulnerable	Non- Migratory	Vulnerable	Project area does not contain species' preferred habitat. Unlikely to be impacted by the project.
Motacilla flava	Yellow Wagtail	Bird	Possible	No Listing	Migratory	Migratory	
Actitis hypoleucos	Common Sandpiper	Bird	Possible	No Listing	Migratory	Migratory	
Motacilla cinerea	Grey Wagtail	Bird	Possible	No Listing	Migratory	Migratory	Migratory – Suitable breeding or foraging
Charadrius veredus	Oriental Plover, Oriental Dotterel	Bird	Possible	No Listing	Migratory	Migratory	habitat is unlikely within PPE.
Calidris melanotos	Pectoral Sandpiper	Bird	Possible	No Listing	Migratory	Migratory	

2.7 Hydrology

Fortnum is located in the upper reaches of the Gascoyne River catchment (Figure 10) which encompasses an area of 80.4 km². Most of the Project lies within the sub-catchment of Yarlarweelor Creek, a major tributary of the Gascoyne River, which originates 35km south-east of Fortnum. Natural drainage is to the north and west towards the Gascoyne River approximately 40 km north-west, and the Yarlarweelor Creek to the southwest, via a series of ephemeral drainage lines, which are typically poorly defined mulga creek beds with shallow, discontinuous channels less than one metre wide. Yarlarweelor Creek is ephemeral, flowing only after heavy rainfall.

Groundwater occurs in fractured rock aquifers of typically very low hydraulic conductivity (Rockwater, 2018) and (Rockwater, 2024). Structural features such as fractures, faults or shears and contact zones between different lithological units are the primary means of groundwater movement and storage under local and regional hydraulic gradients. Groundwater flows are northward away from Yarlarweelor creek and toward the Gascoyne River 14 km to the north (Rockwater, 2018) and (Rockwater, 2024).

Most rainfall in the region is lost to evaporation or surface runoff. Only a small portion infiltrates the soil and recharges the groundwater. Surface water generally only occurs after heavy rainfall. The PPE does not intersect any significant watercourses; however minor drainage lines traverse the PPE, directing surface water flow to the northwest towards the Gascoyne River and southwest towards the Yarlarweelor Creek.

Sediment and riparian vegetation are considered to be sensitive receptors within the creek and river systems. Whilst mapped vegetation types have not been identified as riparian, impacts to watercourses will be minimised by avoidance measures wherever possible. Given that the proposed area of clearing is relatively small, impacts to the quality of surface/groundwater, erosion related and/or flooding issues are not anticipated or likely to occur.



Figure 10: Fortnum Regional Hydrology

3 ASSESSMENT AGAINST THE TEN CLEARING PRINCIPLES

An assessment of the proposed clearing against the ten clearing principles outlined in Schedule 5 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* is provided in Table 8. This assessment demonstrates that the proposed removal of 135 ha of native vegetation within a PPE of 739 ha is not at variance with any of the ten clearing principles.

Table 8: Assessment against the Ten Clearing Principles

Relevant Information	Assessment	Proposed Control Measures	Outcome
(a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it com	prises a high level of biological diversity.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
The condition of vegetation in the area to be cleared	The clearing footprint will be limited to 150 ha within a PPE of 739 ha. Vegetation	The native vegetation in the PPE is not representative of an area of high	The proposed clearing activities set
is very good to completely degraded. The area is	types are dominated by Acacia shrubland, considered locally common with low	biodiversity. The vegetation does not support the whole, or a part of, a	out in this NVCP are NOT at
described as pristine, to extensively disturbed from	significance. The majority of flora and vegetation within the Survey Area is in	significant population of priority flora or priority ecological community,	variance with Clearing Principle (a).
pastoral and mining activities as well as cattle	pristine condition.	and the native vegetation within the project area does not have a higher	
grazing and trampling. One P1 and several P3 flora		diversity than other areas of the same ecological community in the	
were recorded within the PPE and will be removed		region. Clearing will be minimised, with infrastructure locations	
only under special conditions, as determined by this		preferentially selected on areas that have already been disturbed. Where	
clearing permit. No priority listed fauna have been		the flora species Stenanthemum mediale, Indigofera gilesii and	
identified within 50km.		Thryptomene sp. Leinster have been identified (Attachment 4), no	
		clearing shall occur within 10 metres of known occurrences.	
(b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it com	prises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significa	nt habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.	
Three broad fauna habitat types were identified	The PPE does not represent a habitat for specially protected or threatened	Clearing will be minimised, with infrastructure locations preferentially	The proposed clearing activities set
within the PPE. None of the habitats are described as	fauna or meta-populations of fauna. Clearing will not affect the maintenance of	selected on areas that have already been disturbed and avoiding	out in this NVCP is NOT at variance
significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western	habitat for priority, migratory, specially protected, threatened fauna or meta-	watercourses.	with Clearing Principle (b).
Australia.	populations of fauna.		
(c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it inclu	ides or is necessary for the continued existence of rare flora.		
No threatened, declared rare or undescribed	The 9 recorded individuals of Stenanthemum mediale are within the Labouchere	To minimise potential impacts to the locally significant species	The proposed clearing activities set
significant flora species were found within the PPE	disturbance envelope. The recorded individuals of Indigofera gilesii have been	Eremophila obliquisepala, clearing will be minimised and infrastructure	out in this NVCP is NOT at variance
during the 2016 flora and vegetation survey. Four	excluded from the disturbance envelope. Whilst there are populations of	will be preferentially placed where this species has not been recorded.	with Clearing Principle (c).
Priority species were recorded within the PPE;	Eremophila obliquisepala in close proximity to the PPE, the clearing of small	Where the flora species Stenanthemum mediale, Indigofera gilesii and	
Stenanthemum mediale, Eremophila obliquisepala,	populations or individual plants will not impact on its conservation status due to	Thryptomene sp. Leinster have been identified (Attachment 4), no	
Indigofera gilesii and Thryptomene sp. Leinster (B.J.	the wide local distribution (thousands of plants) and the vegetation types in	clearing shall occur within 10 metres of known occurrences.	
Lepschi & L.A. Craven 4362).	which it occurs (Maia, 2016).		
(d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it com	prises the whole or a part of or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatene	d ecological community.	1
No Threatened Ecological Communities (TEC) are	No TECs, or habitat necessary for the maintenance of threatened ecological	No control measures are applicable.	The proposed clearing activities set
situated within the PPE.	communities, are present within the PPE.		out in this NVCP is NOT at variance
The Robinson Range vegetation complex (banded			with Clearing Principle (d).
ironstone formation (BIF)) PEC lies outside of the PPE			
and will remain unaffected by the proposed clearing			
activities.			
(e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is sig	nificant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively	cleared.	
Two land systems are mapped in the Survey Area –	The described land systems within the PPE are not regionally or locally	Clearing will not be undertaken in an area which:	The proposed clearing activities set
Beasley and Augustus.	significant and the proposed clearing will not reduce the extent of any of the	Contains the habitat for a threatened fauna species and is below the	out in this NVCP is NOT at variance
	vegetation associations below the 30% representation level within the	national target and objective for biodiversity conservation is proposed;	with Clearing Principle (e).
	bioregion.	 Comprises biologically diverse remnant vegetation within an 	
		extensively cleared landscape;	
		• Is remnant vegetation which is part of a significant ecological linkage	
		within an extensively cleared landscape; or	
		• Is required to maintain ecosystem services or to compensate for a high	
		degree of fragmentation.	
(f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is gro	owing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse	or wetland.	
Surface water within the PPE is only evident after	Mapped vegetation types have not been identified as riparian however are	Minor ephemeral drainage lines will be avoided where possible. No	The proposed clearing activities set
heavy rainfall. Minor ephemeral drainage lines direct	considered sensitive receptors.	clearing of ephemeral drainage lines is proposed, and infrastructure will	out in this NVCP is NOT at variance
surface water flow to the northwest towards the		be preferentially placed to avoid drainage lines.	with Clearing Principle (f).
Gascoyne River and southwest towards the			
Yarlarweelor Creek. The PPE does not intersect any			
significant watercourses.			
(g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the cle	earing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.		

Relevant Information	Assessment	Proposed Control Measures	Outcome
Limited surface water flows from ephemeral drainage	Land degradation will be limited to the area of proposed disturbance and is not	Clearing is not likely to increase salinity, waterlogging, nutrient export,	The proposed clearing activities set
lines within and adjacent to the PPE will help to	likely to cause significant land degradation to any surrounding areas.	water and wind erosion or soil acidity.	out in this NVCP is NOT at variance
minimise the amount of erosion, with most of the soil			with Clearing Principle (g).
erosion expected to be limited to localised areas. The			
proposed clearing is unlikely to significantly increase			
infiltration, which may otherwise lead to a rise in			
groundwater or increase salinity levels within the			
PPE.			
(h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the cleared	earing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values	of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.	
No conservation significant reserve, CALM Act 1984	No Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions managed lands, conservation	No control measures are applicable.	The proposed clearing activities set
listed nature reserve, EPBC Act Ramsar Wetland or	covenants, significant wetlands and watercourses or heritage areas will be		out in this NVCP is NOT at variance
ANZECC Wetlands Network (1994) wetland is located	impacted by the clearing within the PPE.		with Clearing Principle (h).
within 50 km of the PPE.			
(i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the cleared	earing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface	or underground water.	
No significant perennial drainage lines are located	Given the relatively low annual rainfall of the region, the soil characteristics	Clearing for the Project is not likely to:	The proposed clearing activities set
within the PPE. Minor ephemeral drainage lines drain	within the PPE and limited surface water flow, it is considered that clearing of	• Lead to adverse impacts through sedimentation of water bodies;	out in this NVCP is NOT at variance
through the PPE in a north-westerly direction towards	native vegetation will not deteriorate the quality of surface water or	Contribute to increased nutrient levels in the catchment;	with Clearing Principle (i).
the Gascoyne River.	groundwater.	• Result in low pH waters or acid sulphate soils; or	
		Contribute to increased salinity in catchments.	
(j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing	ng the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence of flooding.		
The Fortnum region experiences relatively low annual	The proposed clearing is unlikely to increase the possibility of flooding or	Infrastructure will be preferentially placed to avoid drainage lines.	The proposed clearing activities set
rainfall, significant irregular rainfall events and a high	waterlogging and should not significantly alter drainage characteristics during 1	Protective bunds and diversion structures will be installed where	out in this NVCP is NOT at variance
evaporation rate.	in 100-year floods.	required to protect natural drainage.	with Clearing Principle (j).

4 **REFERENCES**

- Aragon. (2023a). Fortnum & Horseshoe Project ZOI Compliance Assessment. Perth, WA: Aragon Resources Limited.
- Beard, J. S. (1976). Vegetation Survey of Western Australia Murchison, Explanitory Notes and Map Sheet 5, 1:1,000,000 series. Perth: University of Western Australia Press.
- BoM. (2024). Climate and Rainfall Data. Bureau of Meterology, Australian Government. Accessed November 2023 via http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/index.shtml.
- DBCA. (2024, July 9). *Dandjoo biodiversity data platform*. Retrieved from Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions Biodiversity Information Office (BIO): https://dandjoo.bio.wa.gov.au/
- DCCEEW. (2024). *Protected Matters Search Tool.* Canberra: Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment & Water. Accessed https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/epbc/protected-matters-search-tool.
- DCCEEW. (2024, July 1). *Species Profile and Threats Database*. Retrieved from Australian Government Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water: https://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/sprat.pl
- Desmond, A. K. (2002). Gascoyne 3 (GAS3 Augustus subregion). In J. a. May, *A Biodiversity Audit of Western Australia's Biogeographical Subregions in 2002* (pp. 240-252). Perth, WA: Department of Conservation and Land Management.
- Golder. (2012a). *Surface Water Plan for Fortnum Gold Mine.* Perth, WA: Golder Associates Pty Ltd Report No: 127646017-009-R-Rev3, November 2012.
- Golder. (2012b). Grosvenor Gold Project Fortnum Mine Hydrogeological Report. Perth, WA: Golder Associates Pty Ltd Report No: 127646017-012-R-Rev1, for Umwelt (Australia) Pty Ltd, December 2012.
- Golder Associates. (2012). *Grosvenor Gold Project Surface Water Management Plan for Fortnum Gold Mine.* Perth, WA.
- Golder Associates. (2012). *Grosvenor Gold Project Fortnum Mine Hydrogeological Report*. Perth, WA: Unpublished report for Umwelt Australia Pty Ltd.
- Maia. (2016). Metals X: Labouchere Survey Area (Tenements M52/5, M52/125, P52/1508, L52/172, P52/1509 and P52/1511) Level 1. Perth, WA: Maia Environmental Consultancy Pty Ltd.
- Maia. (2017). Metals X :Labouchere Survey Area (Tenements M52/5, M52/125, P52/1508, L52/172, P52/1509 and P52/1511) Level 1 Flora and Vegetation Reconnaissance and Targeted Flora Survey. Subiaco, WA: Maia Environmental Consultancy Pty Ltd.
- McKinnon, W. a. (1972). A report on the condition of the Gascoyne catchment. Perth, WA: Department of Agriculture.
- PA Waddell, PWE Thomas and PA Findlater. (2012). A report on the Gascoyne River catchment following the 2010/11 flood events. Resource Management Technical Report 382. Perth, WA: Department of Agriculture and Food.

- Rapallo. (2012). *Level 1 Fauna Survey of Fortnum Gold Mine*. Perth, WA: Rapallo Report for Grosvenor Gold Pty Ltd, July 2012.
- Rockwater. (2018). *Hydrogeological Assessment of Toms Pit (Planned TSF)*. Jolimont, Western Australia: Report No 500-0/18/01a prepared for Westgold Resources by Rockwater Pty Ltd.
- Rockwater. (2020). *Fortnum Surface Water Assessment.* Jolimont, WA: Report No 500-0/18/01a prepared for Westgold Resources by Rockwater Pty Ltd.
- Rockwater. (2021b). *Surface Water Assessment, Regent and Messiah Deposits.* Jolimont, WA: Report No: 500.4/21/01 prepared for Westgold Resources by Rockwater Pty Ltd.
- Rockwater. (2021c). *Hydrogeology of Regent and Messiah Gold Deposits*. Jolimont, WA: Report No: 500-4/21/02a prepared for Westgold Resources by Rockwater Pty Ltd.
- Rockwater. (2024). *Hydrogeological Assessment of Nathan's Pit (Planned TSF)*. Jolimont, WA: Rockwater Hydrogeological and Environmental Consultants report I:\500-6\Report\24-01_Nathans Pit Hydrogeology.
- Tille, P. J. (2006). *Soil-landscapes of Western Australia's rangelands and arid interior Report 313*. Perth, WA: Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia.
- Umwelt. (2012b). *Fortnum Gold Mine Level 1 Flora and Vegetation Survey and Creek Condition Assessment*. Perth, Western Australia: Umwelt (Australia) Pty Ltd. Report compiled for Grosvenor Gold Pty Ltd.
- Umwelt. (2013). Horseshoe Gold Mine: Level 1 Flora & Fauna Survey. Subiaco, WA.