CBH Dowerin
Targeted Black-cockatoo and Trapdoor Spider Assessments

York Gum with potential black-cockatoo breeding hollow; in project area (Photo: J. Wadey)

Prepared for: CBH Group
Level 6/240 St Georges Terrace
PERTH WA 6000

Prepared by: Amanda Kristancic, Natalia Huang and Mike Bamford
M.J. & A.R. BAMFORD CONSULTING ECOLOGISTS
23 Plover Way
KINGSLEY WA 6026

15th April 2024

Executive Summary

Introduction

CBH Group (CBH) manages grain storage facilities across the Wheatbelt region of Western Australia, extending from Geraldton to Esperance. At many of these sites, CBH is considering the potential for expansion of roads and/or infrastructure into undeveloped areas. Therefore, as part of understanding the environmental values of their properties and immediate surrounds, Bamford Consulting Ecologists (BCE) was commissioned by CBH to conduct targeted black-cockatoo and trapdoor spider assessments of the site at Dowerin. This report presents the results of these targeted assessments. The project area was visited on the 9th November 2022 and 5th October 2023.

Project area description

The project area is approximately 133 ha in size and located in the wheatbelt, approximately 2 km south-west of the town of Dowerin and approximately 140 km north-east of Perth. The project area and surrounding landscape are characterized by cleared paddocks with isolated patches of remnant vegetation.

Results

Vegetation and Substrate Associations (VSAs). The overall project area encompasses seven VSAs which are typical of rural areas in the wheatbelt region of Western Australia. The majority of the project area consists of Cultivated Cropland (VSA 5) and built environments such as roads and infrastructure. The remainder of the project area consists of VSA 6 (Open Areas), which provides little value for fauna, VSA 1 (Eucalypt Woodland), VSA 2 (Paddock Trees) and VSA 3 (Planted Trees) which provide potential foraging, breeding and roosting habitat for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo, VSA 4a (Proteaceous Shrubland) and VSA 4b (Mixed Shrubland) which are likely to support a variety of fauna, and provide foraging value for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo and suitable habitat for trapdoor spiders. The project area also contains a Water Body (VSA 7) which provides a potential watering point for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo and may increase the likelihood of this species roosting within the project area.

Black-cockatoo Assessment. Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo was not recorded during the site inspection and there was no evidence of foraging by this species. The project area is out of range for the Forest Red-tailed and Baudin's Black-Cockatoos.

For Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo:

- Foraging value – overall the foraging value of the project area is low, with the majority of the area having scores of 1/10 (VSA 5 and VSA 6) or 3/10 (VSA 2, VSA 3 and VSA 4b). VSA 1 (Eucalypt Woodland) and VSA 4a (Proteaceous Shrubland) received moderate scores of 4/10 and 5/10 respectively, but only make up a small proportion of the project area and so do not contribute strongly to the overall foraging value of the project area.
- Breeding value – twelve trees in the project area contained hollows that were suitable for black-cockatoo breeding, but there was no evidence that these hollows had been used by black-cockatoos. The closest known record of breeding for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo is 33 km from the project area.

- Roosting value – it is possible the species may roost in the project area given the presence of tall trees (in VSA 1, VSA 2 and VSA 3) and a water source (a dam). The closest known black-cockatoo roost site is 20 km from the project area and was last confirmed to be used in 2011.

Trapdoor Spider assessment. Six conservation significant trapdoor spider species have been recorded within 60 km of Dowerin, including the main target species *Idiosoma nigrum*, of which there are multiple records within c. 20 km of the project area. Within the trapdoor spider assessment area, suitable habitat for trap door spiders was present in the shrubland of VSA 4a and VSA 4b, where shrubs provided shelter and sufficient ground little cover. No evidence of trapdoor spiders was observed within this area.

Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

List of Plates

List of Appendices

1 Introduction

1.1 Introduction

CBH Group (CBH) manages grain storage facilities across the Wheatbelt region of Western Australia, extending from Geraldton to Esperance. At many of these sites, CBH is considering the potential for expansion of roads and/or infrastructure into undeveloped areas. In order to minimise impacts upon biodiversity where possible, and in recognition of the high level of biodiversity loss that has already occurred across the Wheatbelt, CBH has commissioned Bamford Consulting Ecologists (BCE) to conduct fauna value assessments of a suite of their properties. The purpose of these assessments is to provide information regarding fauna values of the project area, to be used by CBH to guide future decisions regarding potential developments. For some properties, these are to consist of only targeted investigations for black-cockatoos and/or trapdoor spiders.

Initial field investigations were undertaken in November 2022, for a black-cockatoo assessment for a subset of the project area. The current report includes results of additional field investigations undertaken in October 2023 to include a targeted trapdoor spider assessment and an additional area for the targeted black-cockatoo assessment. This report presents the overall results of targeted black-cockatoo and trapdoor spider assessments for the Dowerin facility and its surrounds (the project area).

1.2 Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo

The project area is out of range for the Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoo and Baudin's Black-Cockatoo and, as such, Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo is the only black-cockatoo expected to occur in the project area. All references to 'black-cockatoo' from here on refer to Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo. The project area is well within the species' range, and there are some records nearby (see Section 3.3.1). Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo is listed as Endangered under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation* (EPBC) *Act 1999* (EPBC Act) and falls under Schedule 2 Division 2 (Endangered) of the Western Australian *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act). See Appendix 1 and 2 for conservation significance categories and descriptions. The species is expected to occur as an Irregular Visitor to the project area (see Section 2.1.3).

1.3 Trapdoor spiders

Several conservation significant trapdoor spiders are present in the Wheatbelt region of Western Australia, as indicated in the DBCA Threatened and Priority fauna list (DBCA, 2023c). The target species for assessment in the current report is *Idiosoma nigrum*, Shield-backed Trapdoor Spider (listed as Vulnerable under the EPBC Act and Schedule 2 Division 2 (Endangered) under the Western Australian BC Act). This species is endemic to WA and listed as Vulnerable under the EPBC Act due to the restricted geographic distribution and the nature of ongoing threats towards its survival (DSEWPaC, 2013). The Shield-backed Trapdoor Spider is adapted for living in semi-arid habitats such as those found in the wheatbelt, and makes burrows with a lightweight trapdoor (DSEWPaC, 2013); the trapdoor structure is characteristic and a sign by which the species can be provisionally identified. This spider usually inhabits clay soils and requires leaf litter and twigs to build its burrow; these typically come from *Eucalyptus* woodlands and *Acacia* vegetation (DSEWPaC, 2013). Documented threats to the Shield-backed Trapdoor Spider include land clearing and habitat fragmentation,

degradation of habitat via grazing by livestock and feral animals, and inappropriate fire regimes (DSEWPaC, 2013).

1.4 Project area

The project area is located in the northwest of the Wheatbelt region of Western Australia (DBCA, 2023a), approximately 2 km southwest of the town of Dowerin and 140 km north-east of Perth (Figure 1-1). Dowerin is located in an area of salt lakes, with a chain to the south, west and north of Dowerin, and the closest lake being approximately 5 km from the townsite.

The overall project area surveyed by BCE is approximately 133 ha in size and includes the existing CBH Dowerin facility, as well as surrounding areas of paddocks and roadside vegetation. The surrounding landscape is predominantly paddocks, with some isolated areas of remnant vegetation. There is a relatively large (80 ha) patch of remnant native vegetation connected to the northeastern extent of the project area.

A range of terms is used through this report to refer to the spatial environment including and around the Dowerin project area; these are defined below and illustrated in Figure 1-1:

- Project area – the project area boundary was provided by CBH and is comprised of a mixture of land over which CBH has tenure (including land containing existing CBH infrastructure) and private property adjacent to CBH land. It is the area to which the results of the desktop analysis are directed and the area within which field investigations were conducted.
- Study area – the outermost boundary of the desktop assessment area that is almost always a specified buffer distance (see Section 2.1.1 below) around the *project area*. The study area thus encompasses the *project area* but includes the area from which database records are sourced for the desktop assessment. For the current report, this is a 40 km radius around the project area (see Figure 1-1).

Note that for the purposes of context and mapping, a 15 km buffer from the centroid of the project area is used; this is based upon guidance for regional context from the EPA (EPA, 2016).



Figure 1-1. Location of Dowerin project area and study area, indicating areas for black-cockatoo and trapdoor spider assessment.

2 Methods

2.1 Desktop investigations

2.1.1 Sources of information

Information on black-cockatoos was drawn from a range of sources including databases and previous BCE surveys (as listed in Table 2-1).

Table 2-1. Databases searched for the desktop review; accessed March 2023.

Database	Type of records held in database	Area searched
BCE Database	Fauna recorded by BCE in the vicinity of the project area and region.	Wheatbelt region.
DBCA Threatened and Priority Fauna (DBCA, 2023b)	Records from the DBCA Threatened and Priority species database, including black-cockatoo nesting/roosting data.	40km buffer around centroid of Dowerin project area.
BirdLife Australia databases (BirdLife Australia, 2023c, 2023a, 2023b)	Records from Bird Life Australia, including birdata and black-cockatoo datasets	40km buffer around centroid of Dowerin project area.
EPBC Protected Matters Search Tool (DCCEEW, 2023d)	Records on MNES protected under the EPBC Act.	40km buffer around centroid of Dowerin project area.
Index of Biodiversity Surveys for Assessment (IBSA) (DWER, 2023)	Flora and fauna data contained in EIA biodiversity survey reports.	40km buffer around Dowerin townsite.

Table 2-2. Relevant studies returned from desktop review.

Note: studies in italics indicate those for which no resources (report or data) were publicly available. These only include relevant studies for vertebrate fauna.

Author	Title	Source	Distance to site
GHD (2016)	*Three Areas Maintenance Zone Establishment Flora and Fauna Assessment. *included targeted black-cockatoo assessment*	*IBSA*	*n/a*
Biota (2020)	*Goomalling-Merredin Road Upgrade (M016) SLK 56-100 Biological Survey.*	*IBSA*	*c. 35 km*
Ecoscape (2020)	*M032 Northam-Pithara Road 0-45SLK Widening Biological Survey. *included targeted black-cockatoo assessment*	*IBSA*	*c. 20 km*

2.1.2 Nomenclature and taxonomy

As per the recommendations of the EPA (2020), the nomenclature and taxonomic order presented in this report are generally based on the Western Australian Museum's (WAM) Checklist of the Fauna of Western Australia 2020. In some cases, more widely-recognised names and naming conventions have been followed, particularly for birds where there are national and international naming conventions in place (e.g., the BirdLife Australia working list of names for Australian Birds (BirdLife Australia, 2022), and the International Ornithological Congress' 'World Bird List'). Similarly, the group name 'black-cockatoo' is consistently used for all three taxa in the South-West. English common names of species, where available, are used throughout the text; Latin names are presented with corresponding English names in tables in the appendices. The use of subspecies is limited to situations where there is an important (and relevant) geographically distinct population, or where the taxonomic distinction has direct relevance to the conservation status or listing of a taxon.

2.1.3 Expected occurrence

For each species of black-cockatoo that may be present, an expected occurrence category is assigned to describe how each species is expected to use the project area. The status categories used are:

- **Resident:** species with a population permanently present in the project area.
- **Regular visitor:** species that occur within the project area regularly in at least moderate numbers, such as part of an annual cycle (thus includes migrants).
- **Irregular Visitor:** species that occur within the project area irregularly such as nomadic and irruptive species. The length of time between visitations could be decades but when the species is present, it uses the project area in at least moderate numbers and for some time.
- **Vagrant:** species that occur within the project area unpredictably, in small numbers and/or for very brief periods. Therefore, the project area is unlikely to be of importance for the species.
- **Locally extinct:** species that would have been present but has not been recently recorded in the local area and therefore is almost certainly no longer present in the project area.

2.1.4 Conservation significance

Three broad levels of conservation significance are used in this report:

- Conservation Significance 1 (CS1) – species listed under State or Commonwealth Acts such as the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act) and the Western Australian *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act);
- Conservation Significance 2 (CS2) – species listed as Priority by DBCA but not listed under State or Commonwealth Acts; and
- Conservation Significance 3 (CS3) – species not listed under Acts or in publications, but considered of at least local significance because of their pattern of distribution. In the Wheatbelt, a large proportion of what might otherwise be considered common species are of local significance as they are reliant on the very small areas of remnant native vegetation. In a different context, this principle was used by Dell and Banyard (2000) to recognise species of conservation significance in urban landscapes.

See Appendix 2 for a description of the categories used in the legislation (EPBC and BC Acts) and by the DBCA.

2.2 Field investigations

2.2.1 Overview

The project area was visited on 9th November 2022 for the initial black-cockatoo assessment, and on the 5th October 2023 for the trapdoor spider assessment and black-cockatoo assessment of the additional area (see Figure 1-1 for details). The site visit involved BCE personnel driving around and walking across as much of the project area as possible. GPS tracks are indicated on Figure 2-1. Within the project area, field investigations that were conducted included:

- identification of VSAs (that provide fauna habitats);
- targeted black-cockatoo assessment;
- targeted trapdoor spider assessment; and
- opportunistic fauna observations (birds and other fauna, including signs such as diggings, scats and tracks).

Personnel involved in the field investigations and report preparation (including desktop review) are listed in Table 2-3.

Table 2-3. Personnel involved in the field investigations and report preparation.

Personnel	EIA/Wildlife Survey Experience	Field Investigations	Report Preparation
Dr Mike Bamford *BSc (Biology), Hons (Biology), PhD (Biology)*	40 years		+
Dr Jamie Wadey *BSc (Zoology/Ecology), Hons (Ecology), PhD (Movement Ecology)*	7 years	+	+
Andy McCreery *BSc (Environmental Science)*	15 years	+	
Natalia Huang *BEnvSc (Zoology), Hons (Conservation Biology), MBA*	16 years		+
Dr Amanda Kristancic *BSc (Zoology/Biochemistry), Hons (Zoology), PhD (Parasitology)*	2 years		+



Figure 2-1. GPS tracks of BCE personnel during the field investigations.

2.2.2 Vegetation and substrate associations (VSAs)

Vegetation and substrate associations (VSAs) combine vegetation types, the soils or other substrate with which they are associated, and the landform. In the context of fauna assessment, VSAs are the environments that provide habitats for fauna.

BCE deliberately makes the distinction between 'habitat' (a species-specific term that may encompass the whole or part of one or more VSAs and is the physical subset of an ecosystem that a given species, or species group, utilises) and 'VSA' (a general, discrete and mutually exclusive spatial division of a target area, based on soil, vegetation and topography). It is recognised, however, that, within the broader EIA literature/guidance, the former term is used more or less synonymously to indicate the latter (e.g.' habitat assessment' used by EPA, 2020). Further discussion is provided in Appendix 11.

For the current assessment, VSAs were identified based on observations made during field investigations.

2.2.3 Black-cockatoo assessment

2.2.3.1 Guidelines

The Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW, formerly DAWE) provides guidelines for the referral of actions that may result in impacts to black-cockatoos (for assessment under the EPBC Act) (DAWE, 2022). The survey and analysis reported here have been conducted with reference to both the referral guidelines provided by DSEWPaC (2012) and DAWE (2022) and recommendations listed on the DCCEEW's Species Profile and Threats Database (DCCEEW, 2023a, 2023c, 2023b). Ecological values for black-cockatoos within the site were based on the definitions of breeding, foraging and roosting habitat as per the EPBC Act referral guidelines for black-cockatoos (DSEWPaC, 2012). Actual scoring of foraging value and assessment of potential breeding habitat was based on systems developed by BCE that are outlined below and detailed in Appendix 3 **Error! Reference source not found.**. The Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) has indicated in previous communications that the methods developed and applied previously by BCE are an acceptable approach (M. Bamford, pers. comm.).

2.2.3.2 Foraging

The foraging value of the project area was assessed by calculating a foraging score for areas of similar vegetation type/condition (see Appendix 3). The foraging score provides a numerical value that reflects the significance of vegetation as foraging habitat for black-cockatoos, and this numerical value is designed to provide the sort of information needed by the federal DCCEEW, the state Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) and the WA Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) to assess impact significance and offset requirements. The foraging value of the vegetation depends upon the type, density and condition of trees and shrubs in an area and can be influenced by the context such as the availability of foraging habitat nearby. The BCE scoring system for value of foraging habitat has three components as detailed in Appendix 3. These three components are drawn from the DCCEW offset calculator (DCCEEW, undated) but with the scoring approach developed by BCE:

- A score out of six for the vegetation composition, condition and structure.

- A score out of three for the context of the site.
- A score out of one for species density.

Foraging value can thus be assigned a score out of six, based upon site vegetation characteristics, or a score out of 10 (the Habitat Quality Score; HQS) if context and species density are also considered. A higher score represents better foraging value. A score out of 10 is presented for the purposes of aiding offset calculations.

Black-cockatoo foraging signs were also recorded in conjunction with the breeding tree surveys and general site inspections. If foraging signs were observed, the location, tree species and approximate age of the foraging evidence were recorded. Black-cockatoo foraging evidence may persist for some months or years after the foraging event. There is currently no published evidence documenting the deterioration process of foraging evidence. Factors that help to establish the time since foraging include: the colour of nuts/foliage, the degree of weathering or decay of debris, the presence of small fragments of nut debris, the position/compression of the foraging debris relative to surrounding vegetation and leaf litter, and the strength of the eucalypt smell emitted. Despite the absence of empirical data, four categories of foraging activity are recognised in the approach used by BCE, based on the time since foraging:

(i) Active – where birds were observed in the act of foraging;

(ii) Recent – foraging signs (e.g., chewed nuts or vegetation) were 'fresh' (i.e., foraging was likely to have occurred within days to weeks). Recent foraging signs were typically green and/or with very little sign of weathering. Approximately less than four weeks old;

(iii) Intermediate – foraging was likely to have occurred within weeks to months previously. Approximately one to six months old; and

(iv) Old – foraging was likely to have occurred months to years previously. Approximately more than six months old.

2.2.3.3 Breeding

The aim of the breeding surveys was to record all potential hollow-bearing trees (suitable for black-cockatoo nesting) within the project area. The following information was recorded for every suitable tree[1] with a diameter at breast height (DBH) equal to or greater than 500 mm (or 300 mm for Wandoo/Salmon Gum):

- tree location;
- tree species;
- life status;
- DBH; and
- nest-tree rank: trees were assessed (from the ground) for the potential presence/quality of nest-hollows and allocated a nesting rank (developed by BCE) as described in Table 2-4.

The DBCA threatened species database (DBCA, 2023b) and BirdLife Australia's black-cockatoo breeding/nesting dataset (BirdLife Australia, 2023b) were queried for black-cockatoo breeding sites

[1] the draft revised EPBC Act study guidelines (DEE, 2017) stress that <u>any</u> tree species may provide suitable hollows.

and these are presented in the relevant section below. These databases were queried in February 2023.

Table 2-4. Ranking system for the assessment of potential nest-trees for black-cockatoos.

As per information from DCCEEW (2023c, 2023b, 2023a), a potential nest-tree is any tree with a diameter at breast height >500 mm (or >300 mm for *Eucalyptus salmonophloia* and *E. wandoo*). Note that black-cockatoos favour vertical hollows for the nest chamber, but the hollow entrance may be vertical (a chimney hollow), have a side entrance or have a horizontal spout entrance. The tree may be dead or alive.

Rank	Description of tree and hollows/activity
1	Activity at hollow observed; adult (or immature) bird seen entering or emerging from hollow. Can also be used for a known nest tree active in the previous 12 months (although this should be noted in the description). Note that activity at a hollow does not absolutely mean that breeding is occurring unless a young bird in hollow is observed.
2	Hollow of suitable size visible with chew marks around entrance. Record if chew-marks are recent or old.
3	Potentially suitable hollow visible but no chew marks present at entrance; or potentially suitable hollow suspected to be present - as suggested by structure of tree, such as large, vertical trunk broken off at a height of >8m; but note that hollow height is contextual. Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo will nest in hollows <5m so in a Wheatbelt breeding site a lower criterion may be more appropriate.
4	Tree with large hollows or broken branches that might contain large hollows, but hollows or potential hollows (nest chamber) are not vertical or near-vertical; thus a tree with or likely to have hollows of sufficient size but not to have hollows of the angle preferred by black-cockatoos. Trees with low but otherwise suitable hollows can also be assigned a rank or 4, depending on the species of black-cockatoo likely to be present.
5	Tree lacking large hollows or broken branches that might have large hollows; a tree with more or less intact branches and a spreading crown.

2.2.3.4 Roosting

As the breeding and foraging surveys were conducted, areas likely to be used as roosting sites (e.g., sites adjacent to watercourses with large trees) or areas that had black-cockatoo activity in the late-afternoon were noted. The DBCA threatened species database (DBCA, 2023b) and BirdLife Australia's black-cockatoo roosting dataset (BirdLife Australia, 2023c) were queried for black-cockatoo roosting sites and these are presented in the relevant section below.

2.2.4 Targeted trapdoor spider assessment

Areas of suitable habitat (areas surrounding shrubs that provide leaf litter and shelter) were examined for any signs of trapdoor spiders.

2.3 Survey limitations

The EPA Guidance Statement 56 (2004) and the EPA (2020) outline a number of limitations that may arise during field investigations for Environmental Impact Assessment. These survey limitations are discussed in the context of the BCE investigation of the project area in Table 2-5. No limitations were identified.

Table 2-5. Survey limitations as outlined by EPA (2020).

EPA Survey Limitations	BCE Comment
Availability of data and information	Sufficient information from databases and previous studies (see Section 2.1.1). Not a limitation.
Competency/experience of the survey team, including experience in the bioregion surveyed	The ecologists have had extensive experience in conducting desktop reviews and targeted field investigation and site inspections, and have undertaken a number of studies within the region. Not a limitation.
Scope of the survey (e.g., were faunal groups excluded from the survey)	The surveys focused on black-cockatoos and trapdoor spiders only, as per the scope of this report. Not a limitation.
Timing, weather and season	Timing is not of great importance for targeted field investigations in this region. Not a limitation.
Disturbance that may have affected results	None. Not a limitation.
The proportion of fauna identified, recorded or collected	All fauna observed were identified. Not a limitation.
Adequacy of the survey intensity and proportion of survey achieved (e.g. the extent to which the area was surveyed)	The site was adequately surveyed to the level appropriate for targeted assessments. Fauna database searches covered a 40km radius around the project area. The targeted assessments were completed. Not a limitation.
Access problems	There were no access problems encountered. Not a limitation.
Problems with data and analysis, including sampling biases	There were no data problems. Not a limitation.

3 Results

This section presents the results of the desktop and field investigations and includes:

- Recognition of ecotypes or vegetation/substrate associations (VSAs);
- Black-cockatoo foraging, breeding and roosting assessment; and
- Targeted trapdoor spider assessment.

3.1 Vegetation and substrate associations

Seven major vegetation and substrate associations (VSAs) were identified in relation to fauna in the project area. Two sections of Shrubland were distinctive and so this VSA was split into two sub-categories (VSA 4a: Proteaceous Shrubland and VSA 4b: Mixed Shrubland). The distribution of VSAs across the project area is shown in Figure 3-1. The VSAs identified were as follows:

VSA 1. Eucalypt Woodland: York Gum and White Gum woodland with scattered mature Salmon Gum over weedy grasses and invasive plant species on sandy brown loam. This VSA makes up 7.4% (9.8 ha) of the overall project area. See Plate 1.

VSA 2. Paddock Trees: Single or scattered remnant eucalypts in cultivated croplands, pastural land and or cleared paddocks on brown loam. This VSA makes up 0.4% (0.5 ha) of the overall project area. See Plate 2.

VSA 3. Planted Trees: Species such as Red River Gum and or ornamental eucalypt species over cleared understory with some weeds and grasses on sandy/fine stones on brown loam. This VSA makes up 1% (1.3 ha) of the overall project area. See Plate 3.

VSA 4a. Proteaceous Shrubland: Midstorey shrubland with high density of *Grevillea* and *Hakea*, with *Allocasuarina* and *Acacia* shrubs on sandy brown loam. This VSA makes up 0.4% (0.5 ha) of the overall project area. See Plate 4.

VSA 4a. Mixed Shrubland: Midstorey shrubland consisting of *Allocasuarina*, *Grevillea*, *Hakea* and *Acacia* shrubs that varies in density and diversity, on sandy brown loam. This VSA makes up 6% (7.7 ha) of the overall project area. See Plate 5.

VSA 5. Cultivated Cropland: Consists of wheat, canola and wild radish on sandy brown loam. This VSA makes up 44% (58.5 ha) of the overall project area. See Plate 6.

VSA 6. Open Areas: such as roadside verge and/or cleared areas with weedy grasses and invasive plant species on sandy brown loam. This VSA makes up 10% (13.6 ha) of the overall project area. See Plate 7.

VSA 7. Water bodies: artificial water such as dams on light brown to red clay loam. This VSA makes up 1% (1.2 ha) of the overall project area. See Plate 8.

The remainder of the project area (approximately 30%) is made up of built environments such as roads and buildings (see Plate 9).

Plate 1. VSA 1. Eucalypt Woodland.

Plate 2. VSA 2. Paddock Trees.

Plate 3. VSA 3. Planted Trees.

Plate 4. VSA 4a. Proteaceous Shrubland.

Plate 5. VSA 4b. Mixed Shrubland.

Plate 6. VSA 5. Cultivated Cropland

Plate 7. VSA 6: Open Areas.

Plate 8. VSA 7. Water Body.

Plate 9. Infrastructure.



Figure 3-1. The distribution of VSAs in the project area: map 1 of 3.



Figure 3-2. The distribution of VSAs in the project area: map 2 of 3.



Figure 3-3. The distribution of VSAs in the project area: map 3 of 3.

3.2 General fauna observations

During field investigations, any fauna observed opportunistically were recorded and are presented in Appendix 5. In November 2022, eight fauna species, all birds, were observed during field investigations. In October 2023, 15 bird species were observed, as well as evidence of Western Grey Kangaroo (scats, Plate 10) and European Rabbit (direct observation, scats and foraging evidence, Plate 11). Two additional unknown scats were observed during October 2023; one is thought to be from a domestic Sheep (Plate 12), and the other from a domestic Dog or Red Fox (Plate 13).

Plate 10. Western Grey Kangaroo: scats.

Plate 11. European Rabbit: foraging evidence.

Plate 12. Unknown scat: probably domestic Sheep.

Plate 13. Unknown scat; probably domestic Dog or Red Fox.

3.3 Black-cockatoo assessment

The project area is well within the range of Carnaby's black-cockatoo and there are some recent records nearby (Figure 3-10). However, the project area is degraded and isolated. Therefore, Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo is expected to be an 'irregular visitor' to the Dowerin project area.

3.3.1 Black-cockatoo presence

Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo was not observed during field investigations. No evidence of foraging by Carnaby's Black-Cockatoos was observed during the field investigations.

3.3.2 Black-cockatoo foraging habitat assessment

Based on the foraging assessment outlined in Section 2.2.3, the project area in general is of low foraging value for the Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo, with foraging values for each VSA given in Table 3-1. The highest foraging score was 5/10 for two small patches of VSA 4a (Proteaceous Shrubland); these areas had a high density of *Grevillea* and *Hakea*, hence leading to a high vegetation condition score of 4/6. VSA 1 (Eucalypt Woodland) had the next highest foraging score of 4/10, including a vegetation score of 3/6, reflecting the moderate density of eucalypt species in these areas, which provide foraging opportunities for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo. The remaining vegetated VSAs (VSA 2: Paddock Trees, VSA 3: Planted Trees and VSA 4b: Mixed Shrubland) were given a vegetation condition score of 2/6 given the low presence of foraging species present. All VSAs that contained vegetation other than weedy grasses or cropland were given a context score of 1 out of 3. This is based on guidance outlined in Appendix 3 and reflects the extent of regional clearing; even small areas of low foraging value vegetation can be important in such a landscape. Although some of these VSAs received moderate foraging scores, they only represented a small proportion of the overall project area (e.g. VSA 4a makes up 0.4% of the project area). A large proportion (30%) of the project area is comprised of built environments which offer no foraging value for black-cockatoos. The two most prevalent VSAs are VSA 5 (Cultivated Cropland, 45% of project area) and VSA 6 (Open Areas, 10% of project area), both of which were given a vegetation condition score of 1/10 as they contain very little of foraging value for black-cockatoos. Both were given a context score of 0 as they are common in the surrounding landscape, giving an overall foraging score of only 1/10, and meaning that the site in general is of low foraging value, despite the small patches of higher quality vegetation.

For all VSAs, a stocking rate (presence) score of zero was given as the species is expected to be only an irregular visitor in the project area and was not recorded, nor was there any evidence of recent foraging.

Table 3-1. Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo foraging scores for each VSA.

VSA	VSA Name	Vegetation Condition (out of 6)	Site Context (out of 3)	Species Stocking Rate (0 or 1)	Total (out of 10)
1	Eucalypt Woodland	3	1	0	**4**
2	Paddock Trees	2	1	0	**3**
3	Planted Trees	2	1	0	**3**
4a	Proteaceous Shrubland	4	1	0	**5**
4b	Mixed Shrubland	2	1	0	**3**
5	Cultivated Cropland	1	0	0	**1**
6	Open Areas	1	0	0	**1**
7	Artificial Water	0	0	0	**0**



Figure 3-4. Distribution of Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo foraging scores the project area: map 1 of 3.



Figure 3-5. Distribution of Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo foraging scores the project area: map 2 of 3.



Figure 3-6. Distribution of Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo foraging scores the project area: map 3 of 3.

3.3.3 Black-cockatoo breeding habitat

Within the overall project area, 97 trees met the potential nest-tree criterion of DAWE (2022) and DEE (2017). The 97 trees included no trees ranked 1 or 2, 12 trees ranked 3 (trees with hollows suitable for use by black-cockatoos, but no sign of use by black-cockatoos), five trees ranked 4 (trees with large hollows, but they are not the size or shape preferred by black-cockatoos) and 80 trees ranked 5 (trees of a sufficient size to be assessed, but which lack large hollows). A summary of potential nest trees is given in Table 3-2, and locations are shown on Figure 3-7 - Figure 3-9. Full details of potential nest trees are given in Appendix 4. An example of a Rank 3 tree with potential breeding hollow is shown in Plate 14.

Table 3-2. Summary of potential nest trees identified in the project area.

Tree species	Rank				
	1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**
***Eucalyptus loxophleba* (York Gum)**	0	0	1	1	14
***Eucalyptus salmonophloia* (Salmon Gum)**	0	0	10	3	39
Introduced eucalypt	0	0	0	1	23
Unknown *Eucalyptus*	0	0	1	0	4
TOTAL	**0**	**0**	**12**	**5**	**80**

There are no known breeding sites within 15 km of the project area (DBCA, 2023b). There is one known breeding site within 40 km of the project area, located approximately 33 km from the project area (DBCA, 2023b) (Figure 3-11). This was indicated as a 'confirmed white-tailed black-cockatoo natural hollow'. Potential nest trees may exist in surrounding areas, as there are scattered examples of eucalypt woodland within 15 km and these may contain some large trees.



Figure 3-7. Distribution of potential nest trees in the project area; map 1 of 3.



Figure 3-8. Distribution of potential nest trees in the project area; map 2 of 3.



Figure 3-9. Distribution of potential nest trees in the project area; map 3 of 3.



Figure 3-10. Records of Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo since 2013, from DBCA threatened and priority fauna database, ALA and Birdata.



Figure 3-11. Known Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo breeding sites within 40 km of the project area (DBCA, 2023b).

Plate 14. York Gum in the project area, containing breeding hollow suitable for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo.

3.3.4 Black-cockatoo roosting habitat

There is one known roosting site within 40 km of the project area (BirdLife Australia, 2023c; DBCA, 2023b). This confirmed roosting site is approximately 20 km south-west of the project area (Figure 3-12) and was last confirmed to be used in 2011, when nine white-tailed black-cockatoos were recorded. The site was last surveyed in 2016, but no black-cockatoos were observed (BirdLife Australia, 2023c).

It is possible that black-cockatoos may roost within the large eucalypt trees within the project area due to the abundance and tall height of the trees and the presence of an artificial water source within the project area (because the presence of a water source nearby is an important feature for a black-cockatoo roost).



Figure 3-12. Known white-tailed black-cockatoo roost locations within 40 km of the project area. Data from BirdLife Australia (2023c).

3.4 Targeted trapdoor spider assessment

The Dowerin project area is well within the range of the main target species *Idiosoma nigrum (*Rix *et al*., 2018) and there are multiple records within c. 20 km (DBCA, 2023b).

Four additional conservation significant spiders have been recorded within c. 20-60 km of Dowerin (DBCA, 2023b):

- Teyl sp. (MYG693), Minnivale Trapdoor Spider (listed as Schedule 2 Division 1 (Critically Endangered) under the Western Australian BC Act), records c. 18 km away.
- *Kwonkan eboracum*, Yorkrakine Trapdoor Spider (listed as Schedule 2 Division 1 (Critically Endangered) under the Western Australian BC Act), records c. 53 km from project area.
- *Idiosoma castellum*, Tree-stem Trapdoor Spider (Priority 4, DBCA), records c. 18 km away.
- *Idiosoma schoknechtorum*, Mortlock River Shield-backed Trapdoor Spider (Priority 3, DBCA), records c. 50 km away.
- *Idiosoma mcclementsorum,* Julimar Shield-backed Trapdoor Spider (Priority 2, DBCA), records c. 60 km away

Database records relevant to conservation significant trapdoor spiders are shown in Figure 3-13.

The majority of the area assessed for trapdoor spiders consisted of weedy grasses or bare earth, in direct sun and with little to no leaf litter; this sort of environment is not suitable for trapdoor spiders. Areas of shrubland (VSA 4) within the trapdoor spider area contained suitable plant species and sufficient litter cover to be considered suitable habitat for trapdoor spiders including the main target species *Idiosoma nigrum*. An example of suitable leaf litter habitat is shown in Plate 15. No evidence of trapdoor spiders was found within the Shrubland (VSA 4) in the trapdoor spider assessment area.

Plate 15. Example of leaf litter habitat suitable for trapdoor spiders (in Dowerin project area).



Figure 3-13. Locations of records of conservation significant trapdoor spiders within 60 km of Dowerin. Data are from (DBCA, 2023b).

3.5 Summary

Vegetation and Substrate Associations (VSAs). The overall project area encompasses seven VSAs which are typical of rural areas in the wheatbelt region of Western Australia. The majority of the project area consists of Cultivated Cropland (VSA 5) which makes up 44% of the project area and built environments such as roads and infrastructure which make up 30% of the project area. Both of these environments provide little of value for native fauna and may represent a barrier to the movement of fauna through the landscape. The remainder of the project area consists of:

- VSA 6 (Open Areas), which contains little of value for most fauna and tends to support farmland species,
- VSA 1 (Eucalypt Woodland), VSA 2 (Paddock Trees) and VSA 3 (Planted Trees), all of which contain large eucalypts that potentially provide foraging, breeding and roosting habitat for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo.
- VSA 4a (Proteaceous Shrubland) and VSA 4b (Mixed Shrubland) which are likely to support a variety of fauna and provide foraging value for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo (particularly VSA 4a which has a high density of *Hakea* and *Grevillea* shrubs). VSA 4a and VSA 4b also contain sufficient ground litter to provide suitable habitat for trapdoor spiders.
- VSA 7 (Water Body), which provides a potential watering point for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo and may increase the likelihood of this species roosting within the project area.

Black-cockatoo Assessment. Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo was not recorded during the site inspection and there was no evidence of foraging by this species. The project area is out of range for the Forest Red-tailed and Baudin's Black-Cockatoos.

For Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo:

- Foraging value – overall the foraging value of the project area is low, with the majority of the area having scores of 1/10 (VSA 5 and VSA 6) or 3/10 (VSA 2, VSA 3 and VSA 4b). VSA 1 (Eucalypt Woodland) and VSA 4a (Proteaceous Shrubland) received moderate scores of 4/10 and 5/10 respectively, but only make up a small proportion of the project area (7.4% and 0.4% respectively) and so do not contribute strongly to the overall foraging value of the project area.
- Breeding value – twelve trees in the project area contained hollows that were suitable for black-cockatoo breeding, but there was no evidence that these hollows had been used by black-cockatoos. The closest known record of breeding for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo is 33 km from the project area.
- Roosting value – it is possible the species may roost in the project area given the presence of tall trees (in VSA 1, VSA 2 and VSA 3) and a water source (a dam). The closest known black-cockatoo roost site is 20 km from the project area and was last confirmed to be used in 2011.

Trapdoor Spider assessment. Six conservation significant trapdoor spider species have been recorded within 60 km of Dowerin, including the main target species *Idiosoma nigrum*, of which there are multiple records within c. 20 km of the project area. Within the trapdoor spider assessment area, suitable habitat for trap door spiders was present in the shrubland of VSA 4a and VSA 4b, where shrubs

provided shelter and sufficient ground little cover. No evidence of trapdoor spiders was observed within this area.

4 Reference List

Biota. (2020). *Goomalling-Merredin Road Upgrade (M016) SLK 56-100 Biological Survey.*

BirdLife Australia. (2022). *The BirdLife Australia Working List of Australian Birds; Version 4.0*. https://birdlife.org.au/documents/BWL-BirdLife_Australia_Working_List_v4.xlsx

BirdLife Australia. (2023a). *Birdata*. BirdLife Australia. https://birdata.birdlife.org.au/

BirdLife Australia. (2023b). *Black-cockatoo nesting/breeding data*. BirdLife Australia.

BirdLife Australia. (2023c). *Black-cockatoo roosting dataset up to 2022*. BirdLife Australia.

Calver, M. C., Lymbery, A. J., McComb, J., & Bamford, M. J. (2009). *Environmental Biology*. Cambridge University Press.

DAWE. (2022). *Referral guideline for 3 WA threatened black cockatoo species: Carnaby's Cockatoo, Baudin's Cockatoo and the Forest Red-tailed Black-cockatoo*. Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment.

DBCA. (2023a). *Black Cockatoo Roosting Sites—Buffered (DBCA-064)*. Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions. https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset/black-cockatoo-roosting-sites-buffered

DBCA. (2023b). *Threatened and Priority Fauna Database*. Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions.

DBCA. (2023c). *Threatened and Priority Fauna List*. https://www.dbca.wa.gov.au/wildlife-and-ecosystems/animals/list-threatened-and-priority-fauna

DCCEEW. (2023a). *Calyptorhynchus banksii naso in Species Profile and Threats Database*. http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=67034

DCCEEW. (2023b). *Calyptorhynchus (Zanda) baudinii in Species Profile and Threats Database*. http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=87736

DCCEEW. (2023c). *Calyptorhynchus (Zanda) latirostris in Species Profile and Threats Database*. http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=87737

DCCEEW. (2023d). *Protected Matters Search Tool*. Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water. https://www.environment.gov.au/epbc/protected-matters-search-tool

DCCEEW. (undated). *How to use the Offsets Assessment Guide.* https://www.agriculture.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/offsets-how-use.pdf

DEE. (2017). *Revised draft referral guideline for three threatened black cockatoo species: Carnaby's Cockatoo, Baudin's Cockatoo and the Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo.* Department of the Environment and Energy, Commonwealth of Australia.

DEH. (2000). *List of marine species—Declaration under s248 of the EPBC Act*. Department of the Environment and Heritage. https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2008B00465

DEH. (2006). *Protected marine species—Identification guide.* Department for Environment and Heritage. https://www.environment.gov.au/marine/publications/protected-marine-species-identification-guide

Dell, J., & Banyard, J. (Eds.). (2000). *Bush Forever*. Department of Environmental Protection.

DSEWPaC. (2012). *EPBC Act referral guidelines for three threatened black cockatoo species: Carnaby's cockatoo (endangered) Calyptorhynchus latirostris, Baudin's cockatoo (vulnerable) Calyptorhynchus baudinii, Forest red-tailed black cockatoo (vulnerable) Calyptorhynchus banksii naso*. Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities.

DSEWPaC. (2013). *Approved Conservation Advice for Idiosoma nigrum (shield-back spider)*. Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities. https://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/66798-conservation-advice.pdf

DWER. (2023). *Index of Biodiversity Surveys for Assessments (IBSA)*. Department of Water and Environmental Regulation. https://biocollect.ala.org.au/ibsa#max%3D20%26sort%3DdateCreatedSort

Ecoscape. (2020). *M032 Northam-Pithara Road 0-45SLK Widening Biological Survey.*

EPA. (2002). *Terrestrial Biological Surveys as an Element of Biodiversity Protection. Position Statement No. 3.* Environmental Protection Authority.

EPA. (2004). *Guidance for the assessment of environmental factors: Terrestrial fauna surveys for environmental impact assessment in Western Australia. No. 56*. Environmental Protection Authority.

EPA. (2016). *Technical Guidance: Terrestrial Fauna Surveys.* Environmental Protection Authority.

EPA. (2020). *Technical Guidance—Terrestrial vertebrate fauna surveys for environmental impact assessment*. Environmental Protection Authority. https://www.epa.wa.gov.au/policies-guidance/technical-guidance-terrestrial-vertebrate-fauna-surveys-environmental-impact

GHD. (2016). *Three Areas Maintenance Zone Establishment Flora and Fauna Assessment*. Unpublished report prepared for Main Roads Western Australia.

Harvey, M. S. (2002). Short-range endemism among the Australian fauna: Some examples from non-marine environments. *Invertebrate Systematics*, *16*, 555–570.

IUCN. (2012). *IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria, Version 3.1. Second edition.* International Union for the Conservation of Nature.

Keighery, B. J. (1994). *Bushland Plant Survey: A guide to plant community survey for the community.* Wildflower Society of Western Australia (Inc.).

Rix, M. G., Huey, J. A., Cooper, S. J. B., Austin, A. D., & Harvey, M. S. (2018). Conservation systematics of the shield-backed trapdoor spiders of the nigrum-group (Mygalomorphae, Idiopidae, Idiosoma): Integrative taxonomy reveals a diverse and threatened fauna from south-western Australia. *ZooKeys*, *756*, 1–121. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.756.24397

Scott, J. K., & Black, R. (1981). Selective Predation by White-Tailed Black Cockatoos on Fruit of Banksia attenuata Containing the Seed-Eating Weevil Alphitopis nivea. *Australian Wildlife Research*, *8*(2), 421–430.

Stock, W. D., Finn, H. C., Parker, J., & Dods, K. (2013). Pine as Fast Food. Foraging Ecology of an Endangered Cockatoo in a Forestry Landscape. *PLoS ONE*, *8*.

5 Appendices

Appendix 1. Explanation of fauna values.

Fauna values are the features of a site and its fauna that contribute to biodiversity, and it is these values that are potentially at threat from a development proposal. Fauna values can be examined under the five headings outlined below. It must be stressed that these values are interdependent and should not be considered equal, but contribute to an understanding of the biodiversity of a site. Understanding fauna values provides opportunities to predict and therefore mitigate impacts.

Assemblage characteristics

Uniqueness. This refers to the combination of species present at a site. For example, a site may support an unusual assemblage that has elements from adjacent biogeographic zones, it may have species present or absent that might be otherwise expected, or it may have an assemblage that is typical of a very large region. For the purposes of impact assessment, an unusual assemblage has greater value for biodiversity than a typical assemblage.

Completeness. An assemblage may be complete (i.e. has all the species that would have been present at the time of European settlement), or it may have lost species due to a variety of factors. Note that a complete assemblage, such as on an island, may have fewer species than an incomplete assemblage (such as in a species-rich but degraded site on the mainland).

Richness. This is a measure of the number of species at a site. At a simple level, a species rich site is more valuable than a species poor site, but value is also determined, for example, by the sorts of species present.

Vegetation and substrate associations (VSAs)

VSAs combine broad vegetation types, the soils or other substrate with which they are associated, and the landform. In the context of fauna assessment, VSAs are the environments that provide habitats for fauna. The term habitat is widely used in this context, but by definition an animal's habitat is the environment that it utilises (Calver et al., 2009), not the environment as a whole. Habitat is a function of the animal and its ecology, rather than being a function of the environment. For example, a species may occur in eucalypt canopy or in leaf-litter on sand, and that habitat may be found in only one or in several VSAs. VSAs are not the same as vegetation types since these may not incorporate soil and landform, and recognise floristics to a degree that VSAs do not. Vegetation types may also not recognise minor but often significant (for fauna) structural differences in the environment. VSAs also do not necessarily correspond with soil types, but may reflect some of these elements.

Because VSAs provide the habitat for fauna, they are important in determining assemblage characteristics. For the purposes of impact assessment, VSAs can also provide a surrogate for detailed information on the fauna assemblage. For example, rare, relictual or restricted VSAs should automatically be considered a significant fauna value. Impacts may be significant if the VSA is rare, a large proportion of the VSA is affected and/or the VSA supports significant fauna. The disturbance of even small amounts of habitat in a localised area can have significant impacts to fauna if rare or unusual habitats are disturbed.

VSA assessment was made with reference to the key attributes provided by (EPA, 2020):

- soil type and characteristics
- extent and type of ground surfaces and landforms
- height, cover and dominant flora within each vegetation stratum
- presence of specific flora or vegetation of known importance to fauna
- evidence of fire history including, where possible, estimates of time since fire
- evidence and degree of other disturbance or threats, e.g. feral species
- presence of microhabitats and significant habitat features, such as coarse woody debris, rocky
- outcrops, tree hollows, water sources and caves
- evidence of potential to support significant fauna
- function of the habitat as a fauna refuge or part of an ecological linkage.

Patterns of biodiversity across the landscape

This fauna value relates to how the assemblage is organised across the landscape. Generally, the fauna assemblage is not distributed evenly across the landscape or even within one VSA. There may be zones of high biodiversity such as particular environments or ecotones (transitions between VSAs). There may also be zones of low biodiversity. Impacts may be significant if a wide range of species is affected even if most of those species are not significant *per se*.

Species of conservation significance

Species of conservation significance are of special importance in impact assessment. The conservation status of fauna species in Australia is assessed under Commonwealth and State Acts such as the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act) and the Western Australian *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act). In addition, the Western Australian Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) recognises priority levels, while local populations of some species may be significant even if the species as a whole has no formal recognition. Therefore, three broad levels of conservation significance can be recognised and are used for the purposes of this report, and are outlined below. A full description of the conservation significance categories, schedules and priority levels mentioned below is provided in **Error! Not a valid bookmark self-reference.**.

<u>Conservation Significance (CS) 1: Species listed under State or Commonwealth Acts</u>.

Species listed under the EPBC Act are assigned to categories recommended by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN, 2012), or are listed as migratory. Migratory species are recognised under international treaties such as the China Australia Migratory Bird Agreement (CAMBA), the Japan Australia Migratory Bird Agreement (JAMBA), the Republic of South Korea Australia Migratory Bird Agreement (ROKAMBA), and/or the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS; also referred to as the Bonn Convention). The *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950* uses a series of seven Schedules to classify conservation status that largely reflect the IUCN categories (IUCN, 2012).

Conservation Significance (CS) 2: Species listed as Priority by DBCA but not listed under State or Commonwealth Acts.

In Western Australia, DBCA has produced a supplementary list of Priority Fauna, being species that are not considered threatened under the *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950* but for which DBCA feels there is cause for concern.

Conservation Significance (CS) 3: Species not listed under Acts or in publications, but considered of at least local significance because of their pattern of distribution.

This level of significance has no legislative or published recognition and is based on interpretation of distribution information, but is used here as it may have links to preserving biodiversity at the genetic level (EPA, 2002). If a population is isolated but a subset of a widespread (common) species, then it may not be recognised as threatened, but may have unique genetic characteristics. Conservation significance is applied to allow for the preservation of genetic richness at a population level, and not just at a species level. Species on the edge of their range, or that are sensitive to impacts such as habitat fragmentation, may also be classed as CS3, as may colonies of waterbirds. The Western Australian Department of Environmental Protection, now DBCA, used this sort of interpretation to identify significant bird species in the Perth metropolitan area as part of the Perth Bushplan (Dell & Banyard, 2000).

Marine-listed species

Some conservation significant species may also be listed as 'Marine' under the EPBC Act. This listing protects these species in 'Commonwealth areas' which include "marine areas beyond the coastal waters of each State and the Northern Territory, and includes all of Australia's Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ)" (DEH, 2006). The EEZ extends to 200 nautical miles (approximately 350 kilometres) from the coast (DEH, 2006). This may mean that the 'Marine' listing does not apply to the project/project area (depending on its location). Therefore, when a species is otherwise protected (under the EPBC Act or BC Act) or priority-listed (by the DBCA) then the Marine listing is also noted but it does not have site-specific relevance. In cases where a species is solely Marine-listed (for a list see DEH, 2000) and a project/project area is not within a Commonwealth area then it is treated like all other fauna.

Invertebrates

Invertebrate species considered to be short range endemics (SREs) also fall within the CS3 category, as they have no legislative or published recognition and their significance is based on interpretation of distribution information. Harvey (2002) notes that the majority of species that have been classified as short-range endemics have common life history characteristics such as poor powers of dispersal or confinement to discontinuous habitats. Several groups, therefore, have particularly high instances of short-range endemic species: Gastropoda (snails and slugs), Oligochaeta (earthworms), Onychophora (velvet worms), Araneae (mygalomorph spiders), Pseudoscorpionida (pseudoscorpions), Schizomida (schizomids), Diplopoda (millipedes), Phreatoicidea (phreatoicidean crustaceans), and Decapoda (freshwater crayfish). The poor understanding of the taxonomy of many of the short-range endemic species hinders their conservation (Harvey, 2002).

Introduced species

In addition to these conservation levels, species that have been introduced (INT) are indicated throughout the report. Introduced species may be important to the native fauna assemblage through effects by predation and/or competition.

Ecological processes upon which the fauna depend

These are the processes and conditions that apply to the existing environment and that affect and maintain fauna populations in an area. As such they are very complex; for example, populations are maintained through the dynamic of mortality, survival and recruitment being more or less in balance, and these are affected by a myriad of factors. The dynamics of fauna populations in a project area may be affected and effectively determined by processes such as:

- fire regime.
- landscape patterns (such as extent of existing habitat, fragmentation and/or linkage).
- the presence of feral species.
- hydrology.

Appendix 2. Categories used in the assessment of conservation status.

IUCN (International Union for the Conservation of Nature) categories, as outlined by IUCN (2012), and as used for the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* and the Western Australian *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016*.

Extinct	Taxa not definitely located in the wild during the past 50 years.
Extinct in the Wild (Ex)	Taxa known to survive only in captivity.
Critically Endangered (CR)	Taxa facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future.
Endangered (E)	Taxa facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future.
Vulnerable (V)	Taxa facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future.
Near Threatened	Taxa that risk becoming Vulnerable in the wild.
Conservation Dependent	Taxa whose survival depends upon ongoing conservation measures. Without these measures, a conservation dependent taxon would be classed as Vulnerable or more severely threatened.
Data Deficient (Insufficiently Known)	Taxa suspected of being Rare, Vulnerable or Endangered, but whose true status cannot be determined without more information.
Least Concern.	Taxa that are not Threatened.

Schedules used in the WA *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016, updated 2023*

Schedule 1	Specially protected fauna Division 1 – Species of special conservation interest (S1D1) Division 2 – Migratory species (S1D2) Division 3 – Species otherwise in need of special protection (S1D3)
Schedule 2	Threatened species Division 1 – Critically endangered species (S2D1) Division 2 – Endangered species(S2D2) Division 3 – Vulnerable species (S2D3)
Schedule 3	Extinct species (S3)

WA DBCA Priority species (species not listed under the *WA Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016*, but for which there is some concern).

Priority 1 (P1)	Taxa with few, poorly known populations on threatened lands.
Priority 2 (P2)	Taxa with few, poorly known populations on conservation lands; or taxa with several, poorly known populations not on conservation lands.
Priority 3 (P3)	Taxa with several, poorly known populations, some on conservation lands.
Priority 4. (P4)	Taxa in need of monitoring. Taxa which are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and which are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection, but could be if present circumstances change.
Priority 5 (P5)	Taxa in need of monitoring. Taxa which are not considered threatened but are subject to a specific conservation program, the cessation of which would result in the species becoming threatened within five years (IUCN Conservation Dependent).

Appendix 3. Scoring system for black-cockatoo foraging value

Scoring system for the assessment of foraging value of vegetation for black-cockatoos.

Introduction

Application of the Offset Assessment Guide (offsets guide) developed by the federal environment department for assessing Black-Cockatoo foraging habitat requires the calculation of a score out of 10. The following system has been developed by Bamford Consulting Ecologists (BCE) with assistance from Quessentia Consulting to provide an objective scoring system that is practical and can be used by trained field zoologists with experience in the environments frequented by the species.

The foraging value score provides a numerical value that reflects the significance of vegetation as foraging habitat for Black-Cockatoos, and this numerical value is designed to provide the information needed by the DCCEEW (formerly DAWE) to assess impact significance and offset requirements. The foraging value of the vegetation depends upon the type, density and condition of trees and shrubs in an area and can be influenced by the context such as the availability of foraging habitat nearby. The BCE scoring system for value of foraging habitat has three components as detailed above. These three components are drawn from the DAWE offsets guide[2] but the scoring approach was developed by BCE and includes a fourth (moderation) component. Note that the scoring system can only be applied within the range of the species or at least where the species could reasonably be expected to occur based upon existing information.

Calculating the total score (out of 10) requires the following steps:

A. Site condition. Determining a score out of six for the vegetation composition, condition and structure; plus
B. Site context. Determining a score out of three for the context of the site; plus
C. Species stocking rate. Determining a score out of one for species density.
D. Determining the total score out of 10, which may require moderation for context and species density with respect to the site condition (vegetation) score. Moderation also includes consideration of pine plantations as a special case for foraging value.

The BCE scoring system places the greatest weight on site condition (scale of 0 to 6) because this has the highest influence on the foraging values of a site, which in turn is the fundamental driver in meeting ecological requirements for continued survival.

Site context has a lower weight (scale of 0 to 3) in recognition of the mobility of the species, which means they can access good foraging habitat even in fragmented landscapes, but allowing for recognition of the extent of available habitat in a region and context in relation to activity (such as breeding and roosting). The application of scoring site context is further discussed below.

Species stocking rate is given a low weight (0 to 1) as it is a means only of recognising that a species may or may not be abundant at a site, but that abundance is dependent upon site condition and context and is thus not an independent variable. The abundance of a species is also sensitive to

[2] https://www.agriculture.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/offsets-how-use.pdf

sampling effort, and to seasonal and annual variation, and is therefore an unreliable indicator of actual importance of a site to a species.

Calculation of scores and the moderation process are described in detail below.

A. Site condition. Vegetation composition, condition and structure scoring

Site Score	Description of Vegetation Values		
	Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo	**Baudin's Black-Cockatoo**	**Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoo**
0	No foraging value. No Proteaceae, eucalypts or other potential sources of food. Examples: • Water bodies (e.g. salt lakes, dams, rivers); • Bare ground; • Developed sites devoid of vegetation (e.g. infrastructure, roads, gravel pits) or with vegetation of no food value, such as some suburban landscapes. • Mown grass	No foraging value. No eucalypts or other potential sources of food. Examples: • Water bodies (e.g. dams, rivers); • Bare ground; • Developed sites devoid of vegetation (e.g. infrastructure, roads, gravel pits).	No foraging value. No eucalypts or other potential sources of food. Examples: • Water bodies (e.g. dams, rivers); • Bare ground; • Developed sites devoid of vegetation (e.g. infrastructure, roads, gravel pits).
1	Negligible to low foraging value. Examples: • Scattered specimens of known food plants but projected foliage cover of these is < 2%. This could include urban areas with scattered foraging trees; • Paddocks that are lightly vegetated with melons or other known food-source weeds (e.g. *Erodium* spp.) that represent a short-term and/or seasonal food source; • Blue Gum plantations (foraging by Carnaby's Black-Cockatoos has been reported but appears to be unusual).	Negligible to low foraging value. Scattered specimens of known food plants but projected foliage cover of these < 1%. This could include urban areas with scattered foraging trees.	Negligible to low foraging value. Scattered specimens of known food plants but projected foliage cover of these < 1%. Could include urban areas with scattered foraging trees.

Site Score	Description of Vegetation Values		
	Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo	**Baudin's Black-Cockatoo**	**Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoo**
2	Low foraging value. Examples: • Shrubland in which species of foraging value, such as shrubby banksias, have < 10% projected foliage cover; • Woodland with tree banksias 2-5% projected foliage cover; • Eucalypt woodland/mallee of small-fruited species; • Paddocks that are densely vegetated with melons or other known food-source weeds (e.g. *Erodium* spp.) that represent a short-term and/or seasonal food source.	Low foraging value. Examples: • Woodland with scattered specimens of known food plants (e.g. Marri and Jarrah) 1-5% projected foliage cover; • Urban areas with scattered foraging trees. • Paddocks with *Erodium* spp. and other weeds.	Low foraging value. Examples: • Woodland with scattered specimens of known food plants (e.g. Marri, Jarrah or Sheoak) 1-5% projected foliage cover; • Urban areas with scattered food plants such as Cape Lilac, *Eucalyptus caesia* and *E. erythrocorys*. • Paddocks with *Erodium* spp. and other weeds.
3	Low to Moderate foraging value. Examples: • Shrubland in which species of foraging value, such as shrubby banksias, have 10-20% projected foliage cover; • Woodland with tree banksias 5-20% projected foliage cover; • Eucalypt Woodland with Marri 5- 10% projected foliage cover. • Eucalypt Woodland/Forest with known food plants such as Marri 10-40% projected foliage cover but badly degraded understorey (poor long-term viability without management);	Low to Moderate foraging value. Examples: • Eucalypt Woodland with known food plants (especially Marri) 5-10% projected foliage cover; • Eucalypt Woodland/Forest with known food plants such as Marri 10-40% projected foliage cover but badly degraded understorey (poor long-term viability without management); • Managed revegetation with known food plants 10-40% projected foliage cover (establishing food sources with good long-term viability).	Low to Moderate foraging value. Examples: • Eucalypt Woodland with known food plants (especially Marri and Jarrah) 5-20% projected foliage cover; • Parkland-cleared Eucalypt Woodland/Forest with known food plants such as Marri 10-40% projected foliage cover but badly-degraded understorey (poor long-term viability without management); • Managed revegetation with known food plants 10-40% projected foliage cover (establishing food sources with good long-term viability).

Site Score	Description of Vegetation Values		
	Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo	**Baudin's Black-Cockatoo**	**Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoo**
4	Moderate foraging value. Examples: • Woodland/low forest with tree banksias (of key species *B. attenuata* and *B. menziesii*) 20-40% projected foliage cover; • Kwongan/ Shrubland in which species of foraging value, such as shrubby banksias, have 20-40% projected foliage cover; • Eucalypt Woodland/Forest with Marri 20-60% projected foliage cover. Depending on understorey condition (and thus long-term viability) and Marri density, may downgrade to 3 or upgrade to 5.	Moderate foraging value. Examples: • Marri-Jarrah Woodland/Forest with 20-40% projected foliage cover; • Marri-Jarrah Forest with 40-60% projected foliage cover but vegetation condition reduced due to weed invasion and/or some tree deaths. • Eucalypt Woodland/Forest with diverse, healthy understorey and known food trees (especially Marri) 10-20% projected foliage cover. • Orchards with highly desirable food sources (e.g. apples, pears, some stone fruits).	Moderate foraging value. Examples: • Marri-Jarrah Woodland/Forest with 20-40% projected foliage cover; • Marri-Jarrah Forest with 40-60% projected foliage cover but vegetation condition reduced due to weed invasion and/or some tree deaths; • Sheoak Forest with 40-60% projected foliage cover.
5	Moderate to High foraging value. Examples: • Banksia Low Forest (of key species *B. attenuata* and *B. menziesii*) with 40-60% projected foliage cover; • Banksia Low Forest (of key species *B. attenuata* and *B. menziesii*) with > 60% projected foliage cover but vegetation condition reduced due to weed invasion and/or some tree deaths; • Pine plantations with trees more than 10 years old (but see pine note below in moderation section).	Moderate to High foraging value. Examples: • Marri-Jarrah Forest with 40-60% projected foliage cover; • Marri-Jarrah Forest with > 60% projected foliage cover but vegetation condition reduced due to weed invasion and/or some tree deaths.	Moderate to High foraging value. Examples: • Marri-Jarrah Forest with 40-60% projected foliage cover; • Marri-Jarrah Forest with > 60% projected foliage cover but vegetation condition reduced due to weed invasion and/or some tree deaths. • Sheoak Forest with > 60% projected foliage cover.

Site Score	Description of Vegetation Values		
	Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo	**Baudin's Black-Cockatoo**	**Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoo**
6	High foraging value. Example: • Banksia Low Forest (of key species *B. attenuata* and *B. menziesii*) with > 60% projected foliage cover and vegetation condition good with low weed invasion and/or low tree deaths (indicating it is robust and unlikely to decline in the medium term).	High foraging value. Example: • Marri-Jarrah Forest with > 60% projected foliage cover and vegetation condition good with low weed invasion and/or low tree deaths (indicating it is robust and unlikely to decline in the medium term).	High foraging value. Example: • Marri-Jarrah Forest with > 60% projected foliage cover and vegetation condition good with low weed invasion and/or low tree deaths (indicating it is robust and unlikely to decline in the medium term).

Vegetation structural class terminology follows Keighery (1994).

B. Site context

Site Context is a function of site size, availability of nearby habitat and the availability of nearby breeding areas. Site context includes consideration of connectivity, although Black-Cockatoos are very mobile and will fly across paddocks to access foraging sites. Based on BCE observations, Black-Cockatoos are unlikely to regularly go over open ground for a distance of more than a few kilometres and prefer to follow tree-lines.

The maximum score for site context is 3, and because it is effectively a function of presence/absence of nearby breeding and the distribution of foraging habitat across the landscape, the following table, developed by Bamford Consulting in conjunction with DEE, provides a *guide* to the assignation of site context scores. Note that 'local area' is defined as within a 15 km radius of the centre point of the study site. This is greater than the maximum distance of 12km known to be flown by Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo when feeding chicks in the nest.

Site Context Score	**Percentage of the existing native vegetation within the 'local' area that the study site represents.**	
	'Local' breeding known/likely	**'Local' breeding unlikely**
3	> 5%	> 10%
2	1 - 5%	5 - 10%
1	0.1 - 1%	1 - 5%
0	< 0.1%	< 1%

The table above provides weighting for where nearby breeding is known (or suspected) and for the proportion of foraging habitat within 15km represented by the site being assessed. Some adjustments may be needed based on the judgement of the assessor and in relation to the likely function of the site. For example, a small area of foraging habitat (eg 0.5% of such habitat within 15km) could be upgraded to a context of 2 if it formed part of a critical movement corridor. In contrast, the same sized area of habitat, of the same local proportion, could be downgraded if it were so isolated that birds could never access it. Adjustments to context score are further discussed below (moderation of scores).

C. Species density (stocking rate).

Species stocking rate is described as "the usage and/or density of a species at a particular site" in the offsets guide. The description also implies that a site supports a discrete population, which is unlikely in the case of very mobile black-cockatoos. Assignation of the species density score (0 or 1) is based upon the black-cockatoo species being either abundant or not abundant. A score of 1 is used where the species is seen or reported regularly and/or there is abundant foraging evidence. Regularly is when the species is seen at intervals of every few days or weeks for at least several months of the year. A score of 0 is used when the species is recorded or reported very infrequently and there is little or no foraging evidence. Where information on actual presence of birds is lacking, a species density score can be assigned by interpreting the landscape and the site context. For example, a site with a moderate condition score that is part of a network of such habitat where a black-cockatoo species is

known would get a species density score of 1 even without clear presence data, while a species density score of 0 can be assigned to a site where the level of usage can confidently be predicted to be low.

D. Moderation of scores for the calculation of a value out of 10.

The calculation out of 10 requires the vegetation characteristics (out of 6) to be combined with the scores given for context and species density. It is considered that the context and density scores are not independent of vegetation characteristics; otherwise habitat of absolutely no value for black-cockatoo foraging (such as concrete or a wetland) could get a foraging score out of 10 as high as 4 if it occurred in an area where the species breed (context score of 3) and are abundant (species density score of 1). Similarly, vegetation of negligible or low characteristics which could not support black-cockatoos could be assigned a score as high as 6 out of 10. In that case, the score of 6 would be more a reflection of nearby vegetation of high characteristics than of the foraging value of the negligible to low scoring vegetation. The Black-Cockatoos would only be present because of vegetation of high characteristics, so applying the context and species density scores to vegetation of low characteristics would not give a true reflection of their foraging value.

For this reason, the context and species density scores need to be moderated for the vegetation characteristic score to prevent vegetation of little or no foraging value receiving an excessive score out of 10. A simple approach is to assign a context and species density score of zero to sites with a Condition score of low (2), negligible (1) or none (0), on the basis that birds will not use such areas unless they are adjacent to at least low-moderate quality foraging habitat ($\geq$3). The approach to calculating a score out of 10 can be summarised as follows:

vegetation composition, condition and structure score (out of 6)	context score	Species density score
3-6 (low/moderate to high value)	Assessed as per B above	Assessed as per C above
0-2 (no to low value)	0	0

Note that this moderation approach may require interpretation depending on the context. For example, vegetation with a condition score of 2 could be given a context score of 1 under special circumstances; such as when very close to a major breeding area or if strategically located along a movement corridor. It could also get an elevated context score if it is the only foraging habitat in an area and birds are present, and also if it is immediately alongside at least moderately good foraging habitat, on the basis that birds are more likely to utilise it if they are nearby. Species density score might also be raised if there is a high likelihood of the birds actually being present. Context score can also be used to give a fine adjustment to the total score, such as if there are two vegetation types with the same vegetation composition score, but one may be slightly better foraging habitat and covers a larger area. Moderation is a means by which fairly subtle differences in overarching foraging value can be recognised.

Pine plantations

Pine plantations are an important foraging resource for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo (only) but are not directly comparable with native vegetation. In comparing native vegetation with pine plantations for the purpose of calculating offsets, the following should be noted:

- Pine plantations are a commercial crop established with the intention of being harvested and thus have short-term availability (30-50 years), whereas native vegetation is available indefinitely if protected. Due to the temporary nature of pines as a food source, site condition and context differs between pines and native vegetation.
- Although pines provide a high abundance of food in the form of seeds, they are a limited food resource compared with native vegetation which provides seeds, insect larvae, flowers and nectar. The value of insect larvae in the diet of Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo has not been quantified, but in the vicinity of Perth, the birds forage very heavily on insect larvae in young cones of *Banksia attenuata* in winter, ignoring the seeds in these cones and seeds in older cones on the same trees (Scott & Black, 1981; M. Bamford pers. obs.). This suggests that insect larvae are of high nutritional importance immediately prior to the breeding season.
- Pine plantations have very little biodiversity value other than their importance as a food source for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoos. They inhibit growth of other flora. While this is not a factor for direct consideration with respect to Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo, it is a factor in regional conservation planning of which offsets for the cockatoos are a part.

Taking the above points into consideration, it is possible to assign pine plantations a foraging value as follows:

- Site condition. The actual foraging value of pines is high. Stock *et al.* (2013) report that it takes nearly twice as many seeds of *Pinus pinaster* to meet the daily energy requirements for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo compared with Marri, and three times as many *P. pinaster* seeds compared with Slender Banksia. However, pines are planted at a high density so the food supply per hectare can be high. Taking account of the lack of variety of food from pines, this suggests a site condition score of 4 or 5 out of 6 (5 is used in Section A above). As a source of food, pines are thus comparable to the best banksia woodland. This site condition score then needs to be adjusted to take account of the short-term nature of the food supply (for pine plantations to be harvested. Where pines are 'ornamental, such as in some urban contexts, they can be treated as with other trees in urban landscapes). The foraging value of a site after pines are harvested will effectively be 0, or possibly 1 if there is some retention. It is proposed that this should approximately halve the site condition score; young pine plantations could be redacted slightly less than old plantations on the basis that a young plantation provides a slightly longer term food supply. If a maximum site condition score of 5 is given, then a young plantation (>10 but <30 years old) could be assigned a score of 3, and an old plantation (>30 years old) could be assigned a score of 2. Plantations <10 years old and thus not producing large quantities of cones could also get a score of 2, but recognising they may increase in value.
- Site context. Although a temporary food source, pines can be very important for Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo in some contexts; they could be said to carry populations in areas where there is little native vegetation. The system for assigning a context score as outlined above (Section B) also applies to pines. Thus, a context score of 3 can be given where pines are a significant proportion of foraging habitat (>5% if breeding occurs; >10% if no breeding), but where pines are a small part of the foraging landscape they will receive a context score of less than this.

- Species density. As outlined above (Section C), pines will receive a species density score of 1 where Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo are regular visitors. This is irrespective of an old plantation having a moderated condition score of 2.

Based on the above, pine plantations that represent a substantial part of the foraging landscape, such as in the region immediately north of Perth, would receive a total score (out of 10) of 6; young plantations in this area would receive a score of 7. In contrast, isolated and small plantations in rural landscapes could receive a score of just 2 if they are only a small proportion of foraging habitat and Carnaby's Black-Cockatoos are not regularly present.

Appendix 4. Potential nest-trees in Dowerin project area

Table 5-1. Details of all potential nest trees in the Dowerin project area. Coordinates are for Zone 50J.

Waypoint	DBH (mm)	Tree species	Rank	Status	Easting	Northing	Date	Comments
1034	750	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	3	alive	502040.461	6547993.777	Nov-22	
1035	500	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	502033.792	6547989.566	Nov-22	
1036	600	Unknown *Eucalyptus*	5	alive	501937.181	6547918.322	Nov-22	
1037	500	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501238.166	6547343.448	Nov-22	
1038	500	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501238.927	6547337.685	Nov-22	
1039	400	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501232.925	6547329.817	Nov-22	
1040	400	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	dead	501201.393	6547313.307	Nov-22	
1041	350	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501184.816	6547292.14	Nov-22	
1042	300	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501139.281	6547274.191	Nov-22	
1043	300	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501138.042	6547274.967	Nov-22	
1044	350	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501140.328	6547271.42	Nov-22	
1045	400	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501138.041	6547261.668	Nov-22	
1046	400	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501120.989	6547258.456	Nov-22	
1047	850	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501108.605	6547245.601	Nov-22	
1048	950	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	3	alive	501002.576	6547168.253	Nov-22	
1049	550	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	3	alive	500986.856	6547146.31	Nov-22	
1050	800	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	500959.892	6547075.161	Nov-22	
1051	800	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	3	alive	500999.236	6547102.31	Nov-22	
1052	350	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501019.05	6547113.28	Nov-22	
1053	1100	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	3	alive	501036.102	6547125.359	Nov-22	Scratch marks on trunk, potential possum
1054	550	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	4	alive	501060.585	6547147.411	Nov-22	
1055	900	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501090.498	6547170.793	Nov-22	
1056	350	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501101.168	6547178.661	Nov-22	
1057	650	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	3	alive	501982.519	6547879.302	Nov-22	Bark scratched/chewed near hollow
1759	600	Unknown *Eucalyptus*	5	alive	501927	6547912	Nov-22	

Waypoint	DBH (mm)	Tree species	Rank	Status	Easting	Northing	Date	Comments
1760	600	Unknown *Eucalyptus*	5	alive	501906	6547895	Nov-22	
1761	600	Unknown *Eucalyptus*	5	alive	501754	6547765	Nov-22	
1762	600	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	5	alive	501448	6547519	Nov-22	
1763	500	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	5	alive	501445	6547505	Nov-22	
1764	500	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501437	6547492	Nov-22	
1765	600	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	5	alive	501398	6547461	Nov-22	
1766	600	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	5	alive	501379	6547443	Nov-22	
1767	700	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	4	alive	501317	6547399	Nov-22	
1768	700	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501304	6547394	Nov-22	
1769	600	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501274	6547377	Nov-22	
1770	700	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	3	alive	501275	6547368	Nov-22	
1771	500	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	500950	6547128	Nov-22	
1772	700	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	3	dead	500977	6547136	Nov-22	
1773	500	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	500989	6547138	Nov-22	
1774	500	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501009	6547151	Nov-22	
1776	500	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501200	6547255	Nov-22	
1777	500	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501231	6547283	Nov-22	
1778	400	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501270	6547311	Nov-22	
1779	500	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501271	6547312	Nov-22	
1780	300	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501286	6547329	Nov-22	
1781	300	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501289	6547331	Nov-22	
1782	300	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501291	6547335	Nov-22	
1783	300	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501316	6547345	Nov-22	
1784	500	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	5	alive	501365	6547381	Nov-22	
1785	300	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501390	6547403	Nov-22	
1786	300	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501391	6547405	Nov-22	
1787	300	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501423	6547430	Nov-22	
1788	300	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501435	6547441	Nov-22	
1789	500	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501441	6547461	Nov-22	

Waypoint	DBH (mm)	Tree species	Rank	Status	Easting	Northing	Date	Comments
1790	600	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	4	alive	501710	6547659	Nov-22	
1791	400	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	alive	501729	6547677	Nov-22	
1792	500	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	3	dead	501869	6547787	Nov-22	
1793	700	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	5	alive	501921	6547832	Nov-22	
1794	400	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	4	alive	501957	6547855	Nov-22	
1795	500	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	5	alive	501956	6547859	Nov-22	
538	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	502270.738	6548094.254	Oct-23	
539	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	502289.121	6548099.898	Oct-23	
540	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	502266.073	6548123.294	Oct-23	
541	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	502253.5	6548136.367	Oct-23	
542	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	502239.118	6548133.824	Oct-23	
543	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	502233.685	6548120.969	Oct-23	
544	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	502164.216	6548043.295	Oct-23	
545	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	502140.115	6548034.874	Oct-23	
546	500	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	5	Alive	502148.398	6548005.833	Oct-23	
547	500	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	5	Alive	502106.384	6547979.803	Oct-23	
548	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	502015.466	6547307.304	Oct-23	
549	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	502013.834	6547245.139	Oct-23	
550	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	502014.403	6547217.985	Oct-23	
551	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	501266.229	6547015.942	Oct-23	
552	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	501256.318	6546994.002	Oct-23	
553	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	501277.275	6546985.573	Oct-23	
554	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	501276.697	6546953.329	Oct-23	
555	700	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	3	Alive	501268.887	6546944.236	Oct-23	Side.10m.
556	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	501280.225	6546946.343	Oct-23	
557	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	501282.508	6546944.234	Oct-23	
558	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	501275.741	6546906.222	Oct-23	
559	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Dead	501276.595	6546902.237	Oct-23	
560	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	501279.836	6546897.908	Oct-23	

Waypoint	DBH (mm)	Tree species	Rank	Status	Easting	Northing	Date	Comments
561	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	501283.259	6546881.177	Oct-23	
562	500	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	501283.731	6546825.764	Oct-23	
563	500	Introduced Eucalypt	4	Alive	501261.158	6546811.907	Oct-23	
564	1000	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	3	Alive	501203.05	6546806.043	Oct-23	Chimney 15m.
565	800	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	Alive	501189.241	6546836.523	Oct-23	
566	600	Introduced Eucalypt	5	Alive	501189.255	6546960.539	Oct-23	
567	700	Unknown *Eucalyptus*	3	Alive	501215.258	6546949.119	Oct-23	Side. 8m.
568	700	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	Alive	501222.214	6546968.738	Oct-23	
569	600	*Eucalyptus salmonophloia*	5	Alive	499974.187	6546392.503	Oct-23	
570	600	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	5	Alive	500135.167	6546677.33	Oct-23	
572	400	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	5	Alive	500134.496	6546685.198	Oct-23	
573	300	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	5	Alive	500137.067	6546697.72	Oct-23	
574	400	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	5	Alive	499963.903	6546696.727	Oct-23	
575	400	*Eucalyptus loxophleba*	5	Alive	499900.941	6546706.592	Oct-23	

Appendix 5. Fauna observed during field investigations

Species Name	Common Name	Nov 2022	Oct 2023
Anas superciliosa	Pacific Black Duck		X
Chenonetta jubata	Australian Wood Duck		X
Tadorna tadornoides	Australian Shelduck		X
Columba livia	Rock Dove	X	
Spilopelia chinensis	Spotted Dove		X
Cacatua sp.	Corella sp.		X
Cacatua sanguinea	Little Corella	X	
Eolophus roseicapilla	Galah	X	
Barnardius zonarius	Australian Ringneck	X	X
Anthochaera sp.	Wattlebird sp.		X
Gavicalis virescens	Singing Honeyeater	X	
Manorina flavigula	Yellow-throated Miner	X	X
Pardalotus striatus	Striated Pardalote		X (nesting)
Acanthiza chrysorrhoa	Yellow-rumped Thornbill		X
Coracina novaehollandiae	Black-faced Cuckoo-shrike		X
Rhipidura leucophrys	Willie Wagtail	X	X
Grallina cyanoleuca	Magpie-lark	X	
Corvus coronoides	Australian Raven		X
Cheramoeca leucosterna	White-backed Swallow		X
Taeniopygia guttata	Zebra Finch		X

This page is intentionally left blank.