

Clearing Permit Decision Report

1. Application details

1.1. Permit application details

Permit application No.:

1377/1

Permit type:

Area Permit

1.2. Proponent details

Proponent's name:

Mindarie Regional Council

1.3. Property details

Property:

LOT 118 ON PLAN 28300 (TAMALA PARK 6030)

Local Government Area:

Colloquial name:

City Of Wanneroo

1.4. Application

Clearing Area (ha)

No. Trees

Method of Clearing Mechanical Removal For the purpose of:

Stockpile

2. Site Information

2.1. Existing environment and information

2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

Vegetation Description

Beard Vegetation Complex: 1026: Mosaic: Shrubland;Acacia rostellifera, A. cyclops (S) and Melaleuca cardiophylla (N) thicket (Hopkins et al. 2001, Shepherd et al 2001).

Heddle Vegetation Complex: Cottosloe Complex Central and South: Mosaic of woodland of E. gomphocephala and open forest of E. gomphocephala - E. marginata - E. calophylla; closed heath on the Limestone outcrops (Heddle et al. 1980).

Clearing Description

The area applied to be cleared totals 3ha, the reason for the clearing of vegetation is for a limestone stockpile. The site is divided into two sections by an access road. The vegetation east of the access road consists of Banksia menziesii over Xanthorrhoea preissii and Hibberitia hypercoides. The understorey consists of Lepidosperma Sp and Conostylis aculeate. Weeds dominating the area include Wild oat (Āvena fatua), Veldgrass (Ehrahata calycina), Geraldton Carnation (Euphorbia terracina) and Pig face (Carpobrotus edulis). Towards the east of the block Eucalyptus gomphocephala becomes the dominate over storey species.

The only native vegetation occurring in the western area is a few Xanthorrhoea preissii and Acacia saligna. The weed species in the area include Geraldton Carnation (Euphorbia terracina), Cape Weed (Arctotheca calendula), Pig face (Carpobrotus edulis), Two leafed Cape Tulip (Moraea miniata), Wild oat (Avena fatua), Veldgrass (Ehrahata calycina), Ursinia anthemoides, Palargonium capitatum and Lupin sp and Trifolium Sp.

Vegetation Condition

Good: Structure significantly altered by multiple disturbance; retains basic structure/ability to regenerate (Keighery 1994)

Comment

The condition of the vegetation was assessed during a site visit (27.09.06). The majority of vegetation east of the access road is in good condition and a majority of bush west of the access road is in very degraded condition. Given that there is more vegetation east of the access road in good condition the overall condition of the bush was classified as good.

3. Assessment of application against clearing principles

(a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The native vegetation applied to be cleared comprises of a low level of biological diversity. A majority of vegetation east of the access road is in good condition; however there is little species diversity due to extensive weed invasion. A majority of bush west of the access road is in completely degraded condition. The native vegetation is sparse with a few scattered Xanthorrhoea preissii and weeds dominate the majority of the understorey. A small patch of bush in degraded condition exists at the end of this section of bush. The native vegetation is dominated by Xanthorrhoea preissii and Hibbertia hypercoides, the understorey comprises mainly of weed species and a low diversity of native species.

Therefore the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this principle

Methodology

Site visit 27/9/2006 TRIM Ref. No. DOC6363

(b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The area applied to be cleared is located with in the Tamala Park Refuse Site. Disturbance associated with this location include extensive weed invasion and rubbish from the nearby landfill site. Therefore clearing is considered not likely to represent significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

Additionally, no evidence of burrows, scats, hollows or nests were noticed during the site visit.

Methodology

Site visit 27/9/2006 TRIM Ref. No. DOC6363

(c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There is no Declared Rare and Priority Flora recorded in the areas under application. There is one Declared Rare Flora Species (Eucalyptus argutifolia) recorded 1.5m from the area proposed to be cleared and Two Priority 3 species were identified within 5km from the site (Sarcozona bicarinata and Hibbertia spicata sub sp) These species were not identified during the site inspection and given the degraded nature of the area applied to be cleared, it is not likely that would occur. Given the distance to the known populations of DRF and priority species, the clearing is considered not likely to impact on these species.

Methodology

GIS DataBbse.

- Declared Rare and Priority Flora List CALM 01/04/05
- (d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no Threatened Ecological Communities recorded in the areas under application or within 5km of the proposed clearing. Given this, and the degraded condition of the vegetation, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this principle.

Methodology

GIS Database:

- Threatened Ecological Communities, CALM 12/04/05
- (e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

Comments

Proposal is not at variance to this Principle

The vegetation under application is a component of Beard Vegetation Association 1026 (Hopkins et al. 2001) and Heddle: Cottesioe Complex Central and South (Heddle et al. 1980) of which 46% and 41% of Pre European extent remain respectively.

The State Government is committed to the National Objectives and Targets for Biodiversity Conservation which includes a target that prevents a clearance of ecological communities with an extent below 30% of that present pre-

European settlement (Department of Natural Resources and Environment 2002, EPA 2000).

Given the degraded condition of the vegetation, and its small area, the area applied to be cleared is not considered significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

	reserves/CALM	Pre-European	Current extent Remaining		Conservation**%	In
		(ha)*	(ha)*	(%)*	status	managed land
	IBRA Bioregions Swan Coastal Plain	1,529,235	657,450	43%	Depleted	
	City of Wanneroo	78,809	45,361	57.6	Least concern	
	Vegetation type: Beard: Unit 1026	124,905	85,076	46.30%	Depleted	46.70%
	Heddle: Cottosioe Central and South	44,995	18,474	41%	Depleted	8.80%

^{* (}Shepherd et al. 2001)

Methodology

GIS Databases:

- Pre-European Vegetation DA 01/01.
- Heddle Vegetation Complexes DEP 21/06/95.
- Mattiske Vegetation CALM 24/03/98
- Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia EA 18/10/00.
- Shepherd et al. 2001)
- Hopkins et al (2001)
- (Department of Natural Resources and Environment 2002)

(f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no water courses or Conservation Category Wetlands within the area under application. Three environment associated wetlands are situated 5km from the area under application. Neerabup Lake a resource enhancement wetland is located 3.5 km from the site and two conservation wetlands Pauls Swamp and Lake Joondalup are located 4km and 4.5km respectively from the proposed clearing. Given the distance to the nearest watercourse it is considered unlikely the proposed clearing would impact on any watercourses or wetlands.

Methodology

GIS Database:

- Geomorphic Wetlands (Mgt Catagories) Swan Coastal Plain DEC

(g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The soils within the area under application are associated with the dunes and estuarine deposits with siliceous sands that are prominent along the coast. These soils can be prone to wind erosion, however, it is considered that the removal of 3ha of scattered native vegetation is unlikely to lead to appreciable land degradation on or off site. The area under application also has no known risk of Acid Sulphate Soils. Therefore proposed clearing is not considered likely to be at variance to this principle.

Additionally the purpose of the clearing is for limestone stockpiling, therefore the intended land use is considered unlikely to cause erosion.

Methodology

Site visit (27.09.06) TRIM: DOC6363

- -GIS Databases: Soils, Statewide DA 11/99
- Acid Sulphate Soil risk map, SCP DOE 01/02/04

(h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The Neerabup National Park is located 700m from the area under application. Due to the small (3ha) and

^{** (}Department of Natural Resources and Environment 2002)

^{***} Within the Intensive Landuse Zone

fragmented nature of the area under application, the clearing as proposed is unlikely to significantly impact upon the conservation or ecological linkage values of the conservation reserve. Bush Forever Site 323 adjoins the area under application. A condition requiring the erection of a fence to protect the adjoining native vegetation from potential damage from limestone contamination has been imposed.

Therefore proposed clearing is not considered likely to be at variance to this principle.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

- EPP, Areas DEP 06/95
- CALM Managed Lands and Waters, CALM 1/07/05

(i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The groundwater table is located 35m below the surface. Given the existing degraded nature of the area under application the clearing is considered not likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water. Therefore proposed clearing is not considered likely to be at variance to this principle.

Methodology

Department of Environment (2004) Perth Groundwater Atlas 2nd Edition

(j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

Given that the vegetation under application comprises of a small area (3ha), it is not considered likely that the proposed clearing would have an impact on peak flood height or duration. Therefore proposed clearing is not considered likely to be at variance to this principle.

Methodology

Site visit (27.09.06) DOC6363

Planning instrument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.

Comments

Bush Forever Site 323 adjoins the area under application. Advice from the Bush Forever office at the Department of Planning and Infrastructure recommends that a fence be erected to protect the adjoining native vegetation as potential damage from limestone contamination is high, due to the close proximity of the future limestone stock pile. Therefore it has been recommended that a fence be erected to protect the adjoining native vegetation (TRIM DOC2815). This has been included as a condition of the permit.

The area to be cleared is located within Mindarie Waugal, an Aboriginal Site of Significance (Permanently Registered). It is the responsibility of the proponent to ensure that no Aboriginal Sites of Significance are damaged through the clearing process.

There is no other RIWI Act Licence, Works Approval or EP Act Licence that will affect the area that has been applied to clear.

Methodology

GHS Database:

- Aboriginal Sites of Significance - DIA Bush Forever Advice TRIM Ref: DOC2815

4. Assessor's recommendations

Purpose Method Applied Decision Comment / recommendation area (ha)/ trees

Stockpile Mechanical 3

Removal

Gra

Grant

The proposal has been addressed and the clearing as proposed is not or not likely to be at variance with any of the Principles. Given the small 3ha and degraded nature of the vegetation under application, the assessing officer recommends that this permit be granted. A condition has been imposed in accordance with advice to erect a fence to protect the adjoining native vegetation within a Bush Forever site.

5. References

Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Biodiversity Action Planning. Action planning for native biodiversity at multiple scales; catchment bioregional, landscape, local. Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.

Field Inspection (2006), Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC), Western Australia. TRIM ref DOC6363
Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R. and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001) Native Vegetation in Western Australia, Extent, Type and Status. Resource Management Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.

6. Glossary

Term

CALM Department of Conservation and Land Management

DAWA

Department of Agriculture
Department of Environmental Protection (now DoE) DEP

DoE Department of Environment

DolR Department of Industry and Resources

DRF Declared Rare Flora

EPP **Environmental Protection Policy** GIS Geographical Information System Hectare (10,000 square metres) Threatened Ecological Community ha TEC WRC Water and Rivers Commission (now DoE)

