



## 1. Application details

### 1.1. Permit application details

Permit application No.: 1551/1

Permit type: Area Permit

### 1.2. Proponent details

Proponent's name: Shire of Dardanup

### 1.3. Property details

Property: ROAD RESERVE ( DARDANUP 6236)

Local Government Area: Shire Of Dardanup

Colloquial name: Ferguson Road

### 1.4. Application

Clearing Area (ha)	No. Trees	Method of Clearing	For the purpose of:
	2	Mechanical Removal	Building or Structure

## 2. Site Information

### 2.1. Existing environment and information

#### 2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

Vegetation Description	Clearing Description	Vegetation Condition	Comment
The proposed clearing consists of two large mature trees within road reserve.	The proposed clearing consists of two large mature trees within road reserve.	Completely Degraded: No longer intact; completely/almost completely without native species (Keighery 1994)	Condition of Vegetation determined through aerial photography.

## 3. Assessment of application against clearing principles

### (a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

**Comments** **Proposal is not at variance to this Principle**  
 The proposed clearing consists of two trees within road reserve and is not representative of vegetation considered to be of a high level of biological diversity. The proposed clearing will not impact on biodiversity within the local area and is therefore not at variance to this principle.

**Methodology** GIS Layer: - Bunbury 50cm Orthomosaic - DLI 04

### (b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

**Comments** **Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle**  
 The two trees under application are unlikely to be necessary for the maintenance of a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

The proposed clearing is unlikely to be at variance to this principle

**Methodology** GIS Layer - Bunbury 50cm Orthomosaic - DLI 04

### (c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

**Comments** **Proposal is not at variance to this Principle**  
 The proposed clearing of two trees will not impact on the existence of significant flora.

The proposed clearing is not at variance to this principle.

**Methodology** GIS Layer - Bunbury 50cm Orthomosaic - DLI 04

**(d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.**

**Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle**

The two trees under application are not considered to be part of a significant ecological community.

The proposed clearing is not at variance to this principle.

**Methodology** GIS Layer - Bunbury 50cm Orthomosaic - DLI 04

**(e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.**

**Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle**

It is considered that clearing of two trees will not effect remnant vegetation associations within the local or regional area.

The proposed clearing is not at variance to this principle.

**Methodology** GIS Layer - Bunbury 50cm Orthomosaic - DLI 04

**(f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.**

**Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle**

The two trees under application are not associated with a watercourse or wetland.

The proposed clearing is not at variance to this principle.

**Methodology** GIS Layer - Bunbury 50cm Orthomosaic - DLI 04

**(g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.**

**Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle**

It is considered that clearing of two trees will not cause appreciable land degradation in the immediate or local area.

The proposed clearing is not at variance to this principle.

**Methodology** GIS Layer - Bunbury 50cm Orthomosaic - DLI 04

**(h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.**

**Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle**

It is considered that clearing of two trees will not impact on local conservation areas.

The proposed clearing is not at variance to this principle.

**Methodology** GIS Layer - Bunbury 50cm Orthomosaic - DLI 04

**(i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.**

**Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle**

It is considered that clearing of two trees will not impact groundwater or surface water quality.

The proposed clearing is not at variance to this principle.

**Methodology** GIS Layer - Bunbury 50cm Orthomosaic - DLI 04

**(j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.**

**Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle**

It is considered that clearing of two trees will not impact or exacerbate the likelihood of flooding.

The proposed clearing is not at variance to this principle.

Methodology GIS Layer - Bunbury 50cm Orthomosaic - DLI 04

### Planning instrument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.

#### Comments

There are not other matters related to this application to clear.

#### Methodology

## 4. Assessor's recommendations

Purpose	Method Applied	Decision	Comment / recommendation
Building or Structure	Mechanical Removal	2 Grant	Recommended that the permit be granted to clear 2 trees for an Information Bay.

## 5. References

Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

## 6. Glossary

Term	Meaning
CALM	Department of Conservation and Land Management
DAWA	Department of Agriculture
DEP	Department of Environmental Protection (now DoE)
DoE	Department of Environment
DoIR	Department of Industry and Resources
DRF	Declared Rare Flora
EPP	Environmental Protection Policy
GIS	Geographical Information System
ha	Hectare (10,000 square metres)
TEC	Threatened Ecological Community
WRC	Water and Rivers Commission (now DoE)