

Clearing Permit Decision Report

Application details

Permit application details

Permit application No.: 1658/2

Permit type: Purpose Permit

Proponent details

Proponent's name: **Hamersley Iron Pty Ltd**

Property details

Property: AM70/272

Local Government Area: Shire of Ashburton

Colloquial name: Iron Ore (Hamersley Range) Agreement Act 1963 M 272 SA (AM70/272)

Application

Clearing Area (ha) No. Trees **Method of Clearing** For the purpose of: Mineral Exploration

Mechanical Removal

Site Information

Existing environment and information

2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

metres grid pattern.

Vegetation Description

The application area has been mapped at a 1:250000 scale as Beard Vegetation type 18 (Low woodland; mulga (Acacia aneura)) and 82 (Hummock grasslands, low tree steppe; snappy gum over Triodia wiseanna).

The Marandoo Iron Ore Mine and Central Pilbara Railway was surveyed and mapped by Mattiske and Associates in 1991, which identified 37 vegetation communities. Four of these communities occur within the application area. These are (Mattiske & Associates, 1991):

1c - Hummock Grassland of Triodia melvillei

2b - Woodland of Eucalyptus patellaris-Acacia aneura

3a - Low shrubland of mixed Acacia species

5h - Hummock grassland of Triodia wiseanna with emergent shrubs of mixed Acacia sp.

Clearing Description

Hamersley Iron have applied to clear 45 hectares within an application area of 497 hectares for the purpose of an evaluation drilling program. This will involve clearing drill lines (3 metres x 49 kilometres), creation of drill pads (15 metres x 25 metres) and sumps (5 metres x 3 metres x 2 per pad), drilling of 641 percussion holes, within a 75 metres x 75

Vegetation Condition

Good: Structure significantly altered by multiple disturbance; retains basic structure/ability to regenerate (Keighery 1994)

Comment

CPS 1658/2 lies immediately east of CPS 1157/1 which was granted on 6 July 2006. As such, the information supplied by Hamersley Iron in support of CPS 1157/1 has been used by the assessing officer in the assessment of this application.

The area has been previously disturbed by historical exploration activities, the construction of vehicle tracks and a powerline.

CPS 1658/2 has been created to correct a clerical error in CPS 1658/1. Condition 2 of the permit refers to Plan 1157/1 which should read Plan 1658/1. The permit will read Plan 1658/2. Condition 8 will also be amended to reflect current reporting requirements.

Assessment of application against clearing principles

(a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

CPS 1658/2 lies immediately east of granted permit CPS 1157/1. The following information was considered in assessment of CPS 1157/1 against Principle (a):

"Marandoo Mining Lease is located within Karijini National Park which covers an area of 627 444 hectares in the Hamersley Range in the Pilbara Region (GIS Database; CALM, 1999). Karijini National Park is also a Redbook area and is listed by the National Heritage Commission on the Register of the National Estate (GIS Database). The Marandoo tenement and the associated transport corridors were excised from the Park in 1991 (CALM, 1999) and is therefore not included within the Redbook area. The Hamersley Range has had a relatively brief history of European settlement, with mining and pastoralism being major land uses (DEH, n.d). The Park remains in a relatively undisturbed condition compared with the surrounding pastoral country and is valued as a representative example of the Hamersley Ranges (CALM, 1999). Karijini National Park contains flora, fauna and landforms that are unique to the Pilbara region (CALM, 1999). The area shows considerable biological diversity and is especially rich in species of the genus Acacia, with forty-six of the fifty-four Acacia species which occur in the Pilbara region occurring in the Park (CALM, 1999). At least 30 species of native mammals, 133 species of birds, 90 species of reptiles and amphibia, and 8 species of fish are found in the Park. Many birds utilise the creekside and gorge vegetation whilst hummock grasslands are the preferred habitats for many species of ground-living mammals and for a large proportion of the reptiles of the Park (CALM, 1999).

"The application area is situated within the Pilbara 3 (PIL3 - Hamersley) Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (IBRA) subregion (GIS Database). High species and ecosystem diversity within the PIL3 Hamersley IBRA subregion are described in Kendrick (2001) which include: *Acacia, Triodia, Ptilotus, Corymbia,* and *Sida* species within the Hamersley Range, and the stygofaunal crustacean fauna within calcrete environments. Detailed vegetation mapping by Mattiske and Associates (1991) identified 37 plant communities in the Marandoo Project area, most of which extended into Karijini National Park. Four of the 37 vegetation communities occur within the application area. Although the application area encompasses several creekline vegetation units, the dominant vegetation unit is hummock grasslands with emergent *Acacia spp. (*5h). The vegetation proposed to clear is widespread in the project area, and is already partially disturbed from historical exploration activities, the construction of vehicle tracks and a power line. Two weed species are known to occur in the proposed clearing area: *Cenchrus ciliaris* (Buffel grass) and *Bidens bipinnata*.

"CALM (2006) notes that the proposed drilling program involves the clearing of 26 hectares for the establishment of tracks and drill pads across a total application area of approximately 420 hectares of previously disturbed land which has been degraded by past exploration and associated mining activities. Furthermore, based on the nature of the clearing for the creation of drill pads, it is likely that the proposal can be managed in an environmentally acceptable manner under pre-existing exploration management systems undertaken by the proponent and managed under separate Mining Act processes (CALM, 2006).

"Given that the proposed clearing area is adjacent to an active minesite and has been historically disturbed, it is unlikely that the vegetation in it is of higher biodiversity significance than the vegetation within the nearby Karijini National Park. Therefore, it is not likely that the proposal is at variance to this principle."

It is not considered that the biological diversity of this area under application would differ significantly to that of CPS 1157/1 given the proximity to each other. The Biodivesity Coordination Section of the Department of Environment and Conservation have stated that the advice provided for CPS 1157/1 is applicable to CPS 1658/2 (DEC, 2007).

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology

CALM (1999)

CALM (2006)

DEC (2007)

DEH (n.d)

Kendrick (2001)

Mattiske & Associates (1991)

GIS Database:

- -Clearing Regulations Environmentally Sensitive Areas DoE 30/05/05
- -Clearing Regulations Schedule One Areas DoE 10/03/05
- -IBRA Subregions EA 18/10/2000
- -Pastoral leases DOLA 10/01
- -Pre-European Vegetation DA 01/01

(b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

CPS 1658/2 lies immediately east of granted permit CPS 1157. The following information was considered in assessment of CPS 1157/1 against Principle (b):

"The application area has been subjected to detailed fauna surveys as part of the preparation of the 1992 Marandoo Iron Ore Mine and Central Pilbara Railway Environmental Review and Management Programme (ERMP) (Hamersley Iron, 2006). A total of 225 species of native mammals, birds and reptiles have been recorded in the Marandoo tenement and immediately adjacent areas (Ninox Wildlife Consulting, 1992). The 1992 ERMP suggested that no fauna species would be affected at a regional level by the Marandoo mine but noted there would be some loss of habitat of the Western Pebblemound Mouse, Pseudomys chapmani, then listed as threatened under Schedule 1 of the Wildlife Conservation Act 1950. The habitat of the Western Pebble-mound Mouse consists of rocky, hummock grasslands, with little or no soil and occupies burrows beneath mounds of pebbles which are collected from nearby. Mounds are most common on the spurs and lower slopes of ridges (Davis, 2001). The Western Pebble-mound Mouse has since been de-listed following extensive surveys showing that the animal was far more common than previously thought (Mawson, 2003). Mining and pastoralism are the only environmental impacts within the Western Pebble-mound Mouse range, however mining is very localised and grazing is not likely to impact the Western Pebble-mound Mouse as it is fairly low

"Currently, one Schedule 1 fauna species, the Pilbara Olive Python, Morelia olivacea barroni, and one Schedule 4 species, the Peregrine Falcon, Falco peregrinus, occur in Karijini National Park (CALM, 1999). The Peregrine Falcon is distributed throughout Australia but is not common anywhere. It is an infrequent visitor to the Pilbara, mostly between March and August. It is a highly mobile bird with little habitat specificity apart from an affinity with water where ducks and pigeons are the preferred prey species (Ninox Wildlife Consulting, 1992). The amount of proposed clearing is unlikely to adversely affect this species. The Pilbara Olive Python is endemic to the Pilbara and was recorded during the fauna survey conducted in 1990-1991 by Ninox Wildlife Consulting (1992) and by Texasgulf staff. It was most frequently recorded along major drainage systems, particularly those in rocky areas with permanent or seasonal water which attract birds such as pigeons and doves, that are common prey species of this large python (Ninox Wildlife Consulting, 1992). Given the small extent of clearing proposed relative to the surrounding national park, the Pilbara Olive Python is not likely to be adversely affected by the proposed clearing. One mammal recorded from Karijini National Park, the Greater Bilby (Macrotis lagotis), is listed under the EPBC Act 1999 as vulnerable at the national level. Burrows possibly dug by Bilbies have been recorded in the Park (Ninox Wildlife Consulting 1992), however Bilbies are now thought to be absent from Karijini National Park (CALM, 1999). It is therefore unlikely the Bilby will be affected by the proposed clearing.

"CALM (2006) notes that although the application area encompasses vegetation communities which provide local fauna habitat values such as the major and minor perennial creeklines, and ridges and banded iron formations, the dominant vegetation unit proposed to be cleared is hummock grasslands with emergent *Acacia* species. As noted by previous vegetation mapping on the minesite undertaken by Mattiske and Associates (1991), this vegetation unit is well represented in a local and regional context and is unlikely to represent an area of significant fauna habitat (CALM, 2006).

"Given the availability of similar (and generally better quality) habitat in the surrounding conservation reserve, the relatively small scale of clearing proposed, and its close proximity to the existing minesite and associated infrastructure, it is not likely that the proposed clearing will represent a significant habitat loss for any associated fauna. Therefore, it is not likely that the proposed clearing is at variance to this principle."

It is not considered that the fauna habitat values within the area subject to this application differ significantly to that of CPS 1157/1 given the proximity to each other. The Biodiversity Coordination Section of the Department of Environment and Conservation have stated that the advice provided for CPS 1157/1 is applicable to CPS 1658/2.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology

CALM (1999). CALM (2006). Davis (2001).

Hamersley Iron (2006). Mattiske & Associates (1991) Mawson (2003).
Ninox Wildlife Consulting (1992).
GIS Database:
- CALM Threatened Fauna - CALM 30/09/2005.

(c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The application area was surveyed for the presence of rare flora in March and August of 2006, and previously in October 2002 and February 2005 (Thoma et al., 2006).

As a result of these surveys no declared rare flora species were recorded within the application area. However, four priority flora species as listed by the Department of Environment and Conservation were identified within the application area. These are *Abutilon trudgenii* (P3), *Eremophila magnifica ssp. magnifica* (P4), *Goodenia lyrata* (P1) and *Goodenia stellata* (P4) (Thoma et al, 2006).

A. trudgenii is known has been recorded from several locations throughout the Pilbara region. Two small populations were recorded within the application area (Thoma et al, 2006). Advice has been received from Hamersley Iron from their own records that suggests the species is very abundant within Hamersley Iron's tenements (Joder, 2007).

E. magnifica ssp. magnifica is known to occur on stony slopes in the Hamersley Ranges. 22 populations are known to occur within the application area with numbers in each population ranging from 1 to 1000+ (Thoma et al, 2006).

G. *lyrata* has been recorded from a few locations in the Pilbara and one location in the northern goldfields area. Four populations were recorded within the application area, totalling 40+ plants (Thoma et al, 2006).

G. stellata has been recorded from many locations in the Pilbara and several in the northern goldfields region. One population numbering over 200 plants was recorded from the application area, although three other populations are known to occur from surrounding areas (Thoma et al, 2006).

Hamersley Iron have advised that no priority flora species will be removed as a result of the drilling program and that they will modify hole locations as required to protect populations (Grgic, 2007).

The Biodiversity Coordination Section of the Department of Environment and Conservation have stated that *Goodenia lyrata* is only known from a few locations and as such should be regarded as a species of high conservation significance as defined in the company policy of Hamersley Iron Pty Ltd, requiring a 500 m no entry exclusion zone. A condition has been placed on the permit preventing the permit holder from clearing vegetation within a buffer zone around each population of *Goodenia lyrata*.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology Grgic (2007)

Joder (2007)

Thoma et al (2006)

(d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

CPS 1658/2 lies immediately east of granted permit CPS 1157/1. The following information was considered in assessment of CPS 1157/1 against Principle (d):

"There are no known Threatened Ecological Communities (TEC's) within the area applied to clear (GIS Database). The nearest known TEC's are non-endorsed and are approximately 3.8 to 7 km east of the proposed clearing area, which include; Coolibah01, Coolibah1A, Coolibah02, Coolibah03, Coolibah04, and Coolibah05 (GIS Database). These TEC's comprises the Coolabah (*Eucalyptus coolabah*) forest and surrounding mixed Mulga (*Acacia aneura*/Coolabah woodland). These habitats appear to be poorly represented regionally. They support specialised fauna such as the Black-tailed Treecreeper (*Climacteris melanura*) and more litter dwelling reptiles than most other habitats (Ninox Wildlife Consulting, 1992). The Marandoo Iron Ore Mine and Central Pilbara Railway ERMP (Ninox Wildlife Consulting, 1992) had identified that some surface drainage from the Marandoo Tenement may collect in this area and there is the potential for it to be affected by upslope disturbance. However, the location of the proposed clearing area are not likely to affect the TEC's as the drainage system in this area runs in the opposite direction from the TEC's, which are located within an internal draining basin. Furthermore, it is not likely that the proposed works will occur during

a flow event, and all tracks and drill pads will be rehabilitated within six months of ceasing drilling activities (Environmental Advisor, Rio Tinto, pers comm., 16 May 2006).

"Given the small extent of proposed clearing and the location of the application area relative to the TEC's, it is unlikely that the identified TEC's will be adversely affected. The proposal is not likely to be at variance to this principle."

It is not considered that the ecological communities within the application area subject to this application differ to those within CPS 1157/1 given their proximity to each other. The Biodiversity Coordination Section of the Department of Environment and Conservation have stated that the advice provided for CPS 1157/1 is applicable to CPS 1658/2.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology

Ninox Wildlife Consulting (1992).

GIS Database:

- -Hydrography, linear DoE 01/02/04.
- -Threatened Ecological Community Database- CALM 12/4/05.

(e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle

CPS 1658/2 lies immediately east of granted permit CPS 1157/1. The following information was considered in assessment of CPS 1157/1 against Principle E:

"The area proposed to clear is situated within the Pilbara IBRA Bioregion which has an area of 6.3% in conservation reserves (GIS database; Kendrick, 2001). According to Shepherd et al. (2001) approximately 100% of the native vegetation cover remains within this subregion. The vegetation within the application area is represented by Beard Vegetation Associations 18 and 82 (GIS Database), of which approximately 100% of the pre-European extent remains (Shepherd et al., 2001). Their conservation is not under threat."

	Pre-European area (ha)*	Current extent (ha)*	Remaining %*	Conservation Status**	Pre-european % in IUCN Class I-IV Reserves
IBRA Bioregion – Pilbara	17,804,164	17,794,651	~100	Least Concern	6.3
Beard veg assoc. – State					
18	19,890,795	19,890,029	~100	Least Concern	2.1
82	2,565,929	2,565,929	~100	Least Concern	10.2
Beard veg assoc bioregion					
18	676,561	676,561	~100	Least Concern	16.8
82	2,563,609	2,563,609	~100	Least Concern	10.2

^{*} Shepherd et al. (2001)

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this Principle.

Methodology

Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002).

Shepherd et al. (2001).

GIS Database:

- -Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia EA 18/10/2000.
- -Pre-European Vegetation DA 01/01.

(f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.

Comments Proposal is at variance to this Principle

There are no wetlands or significant watercourses within the application area. According to GIS databases, several small minor drainage lines traverse the application area (GIS Database). These are described as minor,

^{**} Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002)

non-perennial. These drainage lines are dry for most of the year.

Hamersley Iron have advised that all tracks drill pads and sumps will be decommissioned and rehabilitated within six months of ceasing exploration activities, thus avoiding long term alterations to drainage patterns or significant impact to riparian vegetation (Hamersley Iron, 2006). The work will be limited to clearing of 3 m wide drill lines (blade up) and creation of 15 m x 20 m drill pads with a sump on each pad to manage run off. The work will be conducted to minimise the impact on heavily vegetated areas (Hamersley Iron, 2006a).

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is at variance to this Principle.

Methodology Ham

Hamersley Iron (2006a)

GIS database:

- Hydrography, Linear - DoE 1/2/04

(g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

Comments

Proposal may be at variance to this Principle

Many of the soils found in Karijini National Park are considered to be highly erodible (CALM, 1999). This particularly applies to alluvial clay soils which are very susceptible to erosion in the form of sheeting, rilling and gullying. These more fertile soils have also been exposed to the greatest grazing pressure under pastoral management (CALM, 1999). Other soil types are protected by a mantle of stones which if removed, can expose the soil to erosion. Due to the arid environment, soil development in the Pilbara is generally poor. The soil/vegetation system within this region is therefore susceptible to disturbance (CALM, 1999).

The application area is situated on the Newman and Boolgeeda Land Systems (DAFWA, 2007).

The Newman Land System supports spinifex and scattered trees and shrubs on shallow red loamy stony soils (DAFWA, 2007). The application area occurs on the lower slope land unit of the Newman Land System which supports hard spinifex with scattered snappy gums (Eucalyptus leucophloia) and Acacia on shallow red loam or sand soils. These soils are protected with stony mantles and are not prone to soil erosion (DAFWA, 2007).

The Boolgeeda land sytsem is described as stony lower slopes and plains below hill systems supporting hard and soft spinifex and mulga shrublands (DAFWA, 2007). The application area occurs in the stony lower plain land unit and is subject to sheet and channelised flow from the land units upslope. Alteration of the natural flow regime through disturbance may cause soil erosion or lead to loss of native vegetation down gradient that is dependant upon sheet flows (DAFWA, 2007).

Therefore there is a risk that the proposed clearing may cause land degredation (DAFWA, 2007).

Based on the above, the proposed clearing may be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology

CALM (1999).

DAFWA (2007).

Hamersley Iron (2006).

GIS Database:

- -Hydrography, linear DoE 01/02/04
- -Soils, Statewide DA 11/99

(h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

Comments

Proposal may be at variance to this Principle

CPS 1658/2 lies immediately east of granted permit CPS 1157/1. The following information was considered in assessment of CPS 1157/1 against Principle (h):

"The Marandoo minesite is located on a 48 square kilometre reserve held under a State Agreement Act that is surrounded by Karijini National Park (CALM, 1999). Karijini National Park is a Redbook area that is listed by the Australian Heritage Commission on the Register of the National Estate (GIS Database; CALM, 1999). Karijini National Park contains a representative sample of many of the geological types, plant and animal communities and landscape forms of the central portion of the Hamersley Range (CALM, 1999). It is managed by the Department of Conservation and Land Management. The Marandoo tenement and the associated transport corridors have been excised from the Park, dividing it in two and resulting in extensive common boundaries (CALM, 1999). The Marandoo tenement is therefore no longer a component of the Redbook area. Considering the application area is located adjacent to existing mining operations and on previously disturbed land, and that the nature of the proposed clearing is of low impact, it is not likely that the proposal will cause any appreciable additional impact on the conservation values of Karijini National Park.

"During the 2005 flora survey and the 1991 survey by Mattiske and Associates, two weed species were identified; *Cenchrus ciliaris* (Buffel grass) and *Bidens bipinnata*. The species *Malvustrum americanum* was recorded during the 2004 survey, however it is not known whether it was recorded in the application area (Hamersley Iron, 2006). CALM (2005) advise that it is important appropriate weed management and hygiene procedures are undertaken in order to avoid the introduction and spread of weeds into and within the site. All machinery, vehicles, and personnel should be cleaned down before entering the site, and when moving from areas where weeds are present to areas free from weeds. Any weed introduction caused by the clearing and exploration activities should be eradicated (CALM, 2005). Weed management and hygiene procedures are important in controlling the introduction of invasive weed species so as not to compromise the environmental values of the adjacent conservation estate. The proposal may be at variance to this principle.

"During a more recent flora survey (Pilbara Iron, 2006) the following weed species were identified *Acetosa vesicaria*, *Bidens bipinnata*, *Malvastrum americanum* and *Setaria verticillata*."

Given the proximity of this application to the previously granted permit CPS 1157/1, it is considered that the information above is applicable to the CPS 1658/2. The Biodiversity Coordination Section of the Department of Environment and Conservation have stated that the advice provided for CPS 1157/1 is applicable to CPS 1658/2.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing may be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology

CALM (1999).

CALM (2005).

Hamersley Iron (2006).

Pilbara Iron (2006)

GIS Database:

-CALM Managed Lands and Water - CALM 1/07/05.

(i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

CPS 1658/2 occurs immediately east of granted permit CPS 1157/1. The following information was considered in assessment of CPS 1157/1 against Principle (i):

"The application area does not fall within a Public Drinking Water Source Area (PDWSA) or PDWSA Protection Zone (GIS Database). There are no permanent watercourses or waterbodies in the vicinity of the application area (GIS Database). Several minor and one major drainage line traverse the application area (GIS Database). The major drainage line has already been disturbed by approved mining activities (Hamersley Iron, 2006).

"The proposed clearing is within the Fortescue River (southern branch) catchment (CALM, 1999). A feature of catchments in the Pilbara is their variable rate of surface discharge. Significant stream flow is generated for short periods after intense rainfall. Overall stream flow in Karijini National Park is low and highly variable. The largest volume tends to occur between December and April after cyclonic rainfall (CALM, 1999). Due to the small area of proposed clearing in relation to the total size of the catchment, it is unlikely that the removal of vegetation will impact catchment hydrology (Hamersley Iron, 2006). The proposed work will be limited to clearing 3 m wide drill lines (blade up) and creation of 15 m x 20 m drill pads with a sump on each pad to manage runoff (S. Hall, Superintendent Ground Disturbance, Rio Tinto, pers comm., 18 November, 2005). Hamersley Iron (2006) state that all tracks, drill pads and sumps will be decommisioned and rehabilitated within six months of ceasing exploration activities, thus avoiding long-term alterations to drainage patterns or significant impact to riparian vegetation.

"Groundwater quality in the Hamersley Range is generally good and most groundwater is likely to be potable although brackish water may occur in shales or in low lying areas (CALM, 1999). Water tables are generally deep, up to 40 m deep in the Marandoo- South Fortescue area. Given the low impact nature and small area of the proposed clearing it is unlikely the proposal will affect surface or groundwater quality. Therefore, the proposal is not likely to be at variance to this principle."

Given the proximity of this application to CPS 1157/1 it is considered that the information above is applicable in the assessment of CPS 1658/2.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology CALM (1999).

Hamersley Iron (2006)

GIS Database:

- -Groundwater Provinces WRC 98.
- -Groundwater Salinity, Statewide 22/02/00.
- -Hydography, linear DoE 01/02/04.
- -PDWSA Protection Zones DoE 7/1/04.
- -Public Drinking Water Source Areas (PDWSAs) DoE 28/4/05.
- -Topographic Contours, Statewide DOLA 12/09/02.

(j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

CPS 1658/2 lies immediately east of granted permit CPS 1157/1. The following information was considered in the assessment of CPS 1157/1 against Principle (j):

"The Pilbara is a semi-arid region characterised by high temperatures, low and variable rainfall and high evaporation (CALM, 1999). Karijini National Park receives an average annual rainfall of 200-350 mm and an average evaporation of 3400 mm (CALM, 1999; GIS Database). Pan evaporation exceeds mean monthly rainfall for each month of the year (CALM, 1999). Local flooding occurs seasonally in the Pilbara region between December and March (Hamersley Iron, 2006). The event of flooding is generally a result of cyclones. The severity of flooding is not likely to be significantly influenced by the amount of vegetation clearing proposed under this application.

"Given the local climatic conditions and the small area and relatively low impact nature of the proposed ground and vegetation disturbance, the proposed clearing is unlikely to increase the naturally occurring flood events, or cause or exacerbate the incidence or intensity of flooding. Therefore, the proposal is not likely to be at variance to this principle."

Given the proximity of this application to CPS 1157/1 it is considered that the information above is applicable in the assessment of CPS 1658/2.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology CALM (1999).

Hamersley Iron (2006).

GIS Database:

- -Evaporation Isolpleths- BOM (09/1998).
- -Mean annual rainfall Surface (1975-2003)- DoE 09/05
- -Topographic Contours, Statewide- DOLA 12/09/02

Planning instrument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.

Comments

There is a native title claim over the area under application; WC97/089. This claim has been registered with the National Native Title Tribunal. However, the mining tenement has been granted in accordance with the future act regime of the *Native Title Act 1993* and the nature of the act (ie. the proposed clearing activity) has been provided for in that process, therefore the granting of a clearing permit is not a future act under the *Native Title Act 1993*.

The proposed clearing occurs in an area that is covered by the following Registered Indigenous Heritage Sites: Opthalmia River - Marandoo, Marandoo South East Valley, West Angelas 35. It is the proponent's responsibility to comply with the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972* and ensure that no Sites of Aboriginal Significance are damaged through the clearing process. It is recommended that the proponent liaise with Department of Indigenous Affairs to determine if a Section 18 permit to disturb aboriginal site of significance is necessary.

Hamersley Iron Pty Ltd Marandoo Iron Ore Mine AM70/272 has a current licence/works approval 6869/10 granted in accordance with the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*. The proposed clearing is not at variance to this licence, and no amendment to the licence will be required (DoE, 2006).

The nature of this proposal is such that it is not subject to an approval from the Minister for State Development under the *Iron Ore (Hamersley Range) 1963 Agreement Act* (DoIR 2007).

CPS 1658/2 is required to amend a clerical error in CPS 1658/1. Condition 2 of the permit refers to Plan 1157/1. It should refer to CPS 1658/1. In the new permit the condition will refer to Plan 1658/2. Reference in Condition 8 to a report that may be submitted as part of an AER has also been deleted. The Definitions section has also been deleted.

Methodology DoE (2006)

DoIR (2007) GIS Database:

- Aboriginal Sites of Significance- DIA 04/07/02
- Native Title Claims- DLI 19/12/04

4. Assessor's comments

Purpose Method Applied area (ha)/ trees

Mineral Exploration Removal

Mechanical Removal

Mechanical Removal

Mechanical Removal

Applied Comment

The amended proposal has been assessed and it has been determined not at variance to Principle (e), not likely to be at variance to Principles (a), (b), (c), (d), (i) and (j), may be at variance to Principles (g) and (h) and is at variance to Principle (f).

The assessing officer recommends that the permit be amended to alter Condition 2 and Condition 8 as per comments under Planning Instruments.

5. References

- CALM (1999). Karijini National Park Management Plan 1999-2009. Department of Conservation and Land Management and National Parks and Nature Conservation Authority, Western Australia.
- CALM (2005). Ground Disturbance Approval Application Advice. Advice to Environmental Officer, Environment Minerals Division, Department of Industry and Resources. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Karratha.
- CALM (2006). Land Clearing Proposal Advice. Advice to Assessing Officer, Native Vegetation Assessment Branch,
 Department of Industry and Resources. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.
- Davis R (2001). Western Pebble Mound Mouse. Western Wildlife, Western Australia. Accessible online from http://www.westernwildlife.com.au/western/mammals/pseudomy.htm (accessed 08/05/2006).
- DAFWA (2007). Land Degradation Assessment Report (CPS 1157). Office of the Commissioner of Soil and Land Conservation, Department of Agriculture Western Australia.
- DEC (2006). Biodiversity advice for land clearing application. Advice to Assessing Officer, Native Vegetation Assessment Branch, Department of Industry and Resources (DoIR). Biodiversity Coordination Section, Department of Environment and Conservation, Western Australia.
- DEH (n.d). Australian Heritage Database: Hamersley Range National Park (1977 boundary), Munjinna-Roy Hill Rd, Wittenoom, W.A. Department of Environment and Heritage. Accessible from http://www.deh.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl (accessed on 26/04/2006).
- Department of Conservation and Land Management (2002). A Biodiversity Audit of Western Australia's 53 Biogeographical Subregions.
- Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002). Biodiversity Action Planning. Action planning for native biodiversity at multiple scales; catchment bioregional, landscape, local. Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria
- DoE (2006). Licence check and water allocation advice Department of Environment, Western Australia.
- DoIR (2007). Email from the Major Projects Management, Office of State Development, Department of Industry and Resources, dated 28 March 2007.
- Grgic C (2007). Email advice Management of Priority Species within Clearing Permit Application Area. Hamersley Iron, Western Australia.
- Hamersley Iron Pty Ltd (2006). Application for a Purpose Permit to Clear Native Vegetation for Evaluation Drilling at Marandoo Iron Ore Mine. Supporting Document for CPS 1157.
- Hamersley Iron Pty Ltd (2006a). Application for a Purpose Permit to Clear Native Vegetation for Evaluation Drilling at Marandoo Iron Ore Mine. Supporting Document for CPS 1658.
- Joder (2007) Email advice Management of Priority Species within Clearing Permit Application Area. Hamersley Iron, Western Australia.
- Keighery BJ (1994). Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.
- Kendrick P (2001). A Biodiversity Audit of Western Australia's 53 Biogeographic Subregions in 2002; Pilbara 3 (PIL3-Hamersley subregion). CALM, Western Australia
- Mattiske EM & Associates (1991). Flora and Vegetation, Marandoo Lease Area and Transport Corridors. Environscan Report
- Mawson PR (2003). Translocations and Fauna Reconstruction Sites: Western Shield Review February 2003. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia
- Ninox Wildlife Consulting (1992). Report: Marandoo Project Area; Vertebrate Fauna Assessments (1975-1991) for the Marandoo Iron Ore Mine and Central Pilbara Railway Environmental Review and Management Programme. Hamersley Iron, Western Australia
- Shepherd DP, Beeston GR and Hopkins AJM (2001). Native Vegetation in Western Australia, Extent, Type and Status. Resource Management Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.
- Thoma E, Joder A (2006). Marandoo Old Grid and Baseline Rare Flora Survey. Botanical Survey Advice. Pilbara Iron, Western Australia.
- Thoma E, Joder A (2004). Marandoo North West Survey Area. Botanical Survey Advice. Hamersley Iron, Western Australia.
- Thoma E, Joder A (2005). Marandoo Tail Pit Botanical Survey. Botanical Survey Advice. Pilbara Iron, Western Australia

6. Glossary

Acronyms:

BoM Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Government.

CALM Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.

DAFWA Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia.

DA Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.

DEC Department of Environment and Conservation

DEH Department of Environment and Heritage (federal based in Canberra) previously Environment Australia

DEP Department of Environment Protection (now DoE), Western Australia.

DIA Department of Indigenous Affairs

DLI Department of Land Information, Western Australia. **DoE** Department of Environment, Western Australia.

DOLA Department of Industry and Resources, Western Australia.

Department of Land Administration, Western Australia.

DoW Department of Water

EP Act Environment Protection Act 1986, Western Australia.

EPBC Act Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Federal Act)

GIS Geographical Information System.

IBRA Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia.

IUCN International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources – commonly known as the World

Conservation Union

RIWI Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914, Western Australia.

s.17 Section 17 of the Environment Protection Act 1986, Western Australia.

TECs Threatened Ecological Communities.

Definitions:

P2

{Atkins, K (2005). Declared rare and priority flora list for Western Australia, 22 February 2005. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Como, Western Australia}:-

Priority One - Poorly Known taxa: taxa which are known from one or a few (generally <5) populations which are under threat, either due to small population size, or being on lands under immediate threat, e.g. road verges, urban areas, farmland, active mineral leases, etc., or the plants are under threat, e.g. from disease, grazing by feral animals, etc. May include taxa with threatened populations on protected lands.

Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in urgent need of further survey. **Priority Two - Poorly Known taxa**: taxa which are known from one or a few (generally <5) populations, at

least some of which are not believed to be under immediate threat (i.e. not currently endangered). Such taxa

are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in urgent need of further survey.

P3 Priority Three - Poorly Known taxa: taxa which are known from several populations, at least some of which are not believed to be under immediate threat (i.e. not currently endangered). Such taxa are under

consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in need of further survey.

P4 Priority Four - Rare taxa: taxa which are considered to have been adequately surveyed and which, whilst

being rare (in Australia), are not currently threatened by any identifiable factors. These taxa require

monitoring every 5-10 years.

R Declared Rare Flora – Extant taxa (= Threatened Flora = Endangered + Vulnerable): taxa which have been

adequately searched for, and are deemed to be in the wild either rare, in danger of extinction, or otherwise in need of special protection, and have been gazetted as such, following approval by the Minister for the

Environment, after recommendation by the State's Endangered Flora Consultative Committee.

X Declared Rare Flora - Presumed Extinct taxa: taxa which have not been collected, or otherwise verified, over the past 50 years despite thorough searching, or of which all known wild populations have been

destroyed more recently, and have been gazetted as such, following approval by the Minister for the Environment, after recommendation by the State's Endangered Flora Consultative Committee.

{Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2005} [Wildlife Conservation Act 1950] :-

Schedule 1 - Fauna that is rare or likely to become extinct: being fauna that is rare or likely to become

extinct, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.

Schedule 2 - Fauna that is presumed to be extinct: being fauna that is presumed to be extinct, are

declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.

Schedule 3 - Birds protected under an international agreement: being birds that are subject to an

agreement between the governments of Australia and Japan relating to the protection of migratory birds and

birds in danger of extinction, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.

Schedule 4 — Schedule 4 — Other specially protected fauna: being fauna that is declared to be fauna that is in need of

special protection, otherwise than for the reasons mentioned in Schedules 1, 2 or 3.

{CALM (2005). Priority Codes for Fauna. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Como, Western Australia}:-

Priority One: Taxa with few, poorly known populations on threatened lands: Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from one or a few localities on lands not managed for conservation, e.g. agricultural or pastoral lands, urban areas, active mineral leases. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.

Priority Two: Taxa with few, poorly known populations on conservation lands: Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from one or a few localities on lands not under immediate threat of habitat destruction or degradation, e.g. national parks, conservation parks, nature reserves, State forest, vacant Crown land, water reserves, etc. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.

P3 Priority Three: Taxa with several, poorly known populations, some on conservation lands: Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from several localities, some of which are on lands not under immediate threat of habitat destruction or degradation. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.

P4 Priority Four: Taxa in need of monitoring: Taxa which are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and which are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection, but could be if present circumstances change. These taxa are usually represented on conservation lands.

P5 Priority Five: Taxa in need of monitoring: Taxa which are not considered threatened but are subject to a specific conservation program, the cessation of which would result in the species becoming threatened within five years.

Categories of threatened species (Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999)

EX Extinct: A native species for which there is no reasonable doubt that the last member of the species has died.

EX(W) Extinct in the wild: A native species which:

- (a) is known only to survive in cultivation, in captivity or as a naturalised population well outside its past range; or
- (b) has not been recorded in its known and/or expected habitat, at appropriate seasons, anywhere in its past range, despite exhaustive surveys over a time frame appropriate to its life cycle and form.
- **CR Critically Endangered:** A native species which is facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.

Endangered: A native species which:

- (a) is not critically endangered; and
- (b) is facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.

VU Vulnerable: A native species which:

- (a) is not critically endangered or endangered; and
- (b) is facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.
- **CD Conservation Dependent:** A native species which is the focus of a specific conservation program, the cessation of which would result in the species becoming vulnerable, endangered or critically endangered within a period of 5 years.