

Clearing Permit Decision Report

1. Application details

Permit application details

Permit application No.:

2066/1

Permit type:

Area Permit

Proponent details

Proponent's name:

Bradley Barton

Property details

Property:

LOT 11705 ON PLAN 253903 (DINGUP 6258)

Local Government Area:

Colloquial name:

Shire Of Manjimup

Application

Clearing Area (ha)

0.005

No. Trees

4

Method of Clearing

For the purpose of: **Building or Structure**

Mechanical Removal

2. Site Information

Existing environment and information

2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

understorey.

Vegetation Description

Beard Vegetation Association 3: Medium forest; jarrah-marri

(Hopkins et al. 2001; Shepherd et al. 2001).

Mattiske Vegetation: Wheatley Complex (WH2): Woodland of Eucalyptus marginata subsp. marginata-Eucalyptus wandoo on slopes with woodland of Eucalyptus rudis on valley floors in the humid zone

(Mattiske Consulting, 1998).

Vegetation Condition Clearing Description

Good: Structure The proposal is for the clearing of 0.005ha and 4 significantly altered by multiple disturbance; native trees for construction of a house pad and access retains basic structure/ability to way. The vegetation is in regenerate (Keighery good condition (Keighery, 1994) with little or no 1994)

Comment

Vegetation condition was deemed to be good (Keighery, 1994) from aerial photography.

Assessment of application against clearing principles

(a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The proposal is for the clearing of 0.005ha and 4 native trees for the purpose of house pad and access way.

The area under application is considered to be in good condition (Keighery 1994) with little or no understorey. The area also appears to have been grazed by stock.

Based on the unlikely significance of the area to contribute to the maintenance of rare flora and TECs and the low significance of the areas habitat values, it is unlikely that the proposed clearing comprises high biological diversity.

Methodology

Keighery (1994);

GIS databases:

- Manjimup 50cm ORTHOMOSAIC - DLI04

(b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

Comments Proposal

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The proposal is for the clearing of 0.005ha and 4 native trees for the purpose of house pad and access way.

The vegetation has little or no understorey and is considered to be in good to degraded condition (Keighery, 1994). Within the local area (10km radius from the proposed area for clearing) there are several records of threatened and priority fauna; however given the nature of the clearing and the surrounding vegetated area, the area under application is not considered to be significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

- CALM Managed Lands and Waters CALM 1/07/05;
- Threatened Fauna SAC Bio Dataset 05/06/07;
- Manjimup 50cm ORTHOMOSAIC DLI04

(c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

A desktop study found 2 known records of the Declared Rare Caladenia christineae occurring in the local area (10km radius). This species has been recorded in and on the margins of winter-wet flats, swamps and freshwater lakes.

Given the nature of the clearing and that it appears that the area has been grazed it is unlikely that the area includes or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

Methodology

GIS databases:

- DEFL SAC Bio Datasets 05/06/07;

(d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no known records of threatened ecological communities (TECs) within the local area (10 km radius); therefore the proposed clearing is not likely to be necessary for or impact on TECs.

Methodology

GIS databases:

- TEC SAC Bio Datasets 05/06/07;
- Manjimup 50cm ORTHOMOSAIC DLI04

(e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

Pre-European	Current extent			Conservation	% In **status
	reserves/CALI (ha)*	M (ha)*	(%)*		managed land
IBRA Bioregions - Warren	851,529	724,014	86.6	Least Concern	
Shire of Manjimup	705,670	591,748	83.9	Least Concern	75
Vegetation type: Beard: Unit 3	2,390,534	1,661,219	69.5	Least Concern	16.3
Mattiske: Wheatley (WH2)	84,351	65,510	77.7	Least Concern	

^{* (}Shepherd et al. 2001)

The area under application is located within the Shire of Manjimup, in the Warren Bioregion. The extent of pre-European vegetation within these areas is 83.9% and 86.6%, respectively (Shepherd et al., 2001).

Based on the remaining vegetation in the area, the proposed clearing is not considered to be a significant remnant vegetation in an extensively cleared area.

^{** (}Department of Natural Resources and Environment 2002)

^{***} Within the Intensive Landuse Zone

Methodology

Shepherd et al. (2001);

Hopkins et al. (2001);

Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002);

EPA (2000); Mattiske (1998);

GIS Databases:

- Pre-European Vegetation - DA 10/01;

- Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia EA 18/10/00;
- Mattiske Vegetation CALM 24/3/98

Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.

Comments

Proposal is at variance to this Principle

The proposal involves clearing 0.005ha of native sedges and rushes within a minor watercourse for an access way: therefore clearing is at variance to this Principle.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

- Hydrography, Linear DOE 1/2/04;
- Geomorphic Wetlands (Mgt Categories), Augusta to Walpole DEC;
- EPP. Wetlands 2004 (DRAFT) DOE 21/7/04

Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

Due to the nature of the clearing, 0.005ha and 4 native trees, there is likely to be no impact on the area leading to land degradation, as the area will remain vegetated.

Methodology

GIS databases:

- Acid Sulphate Soil Risk Map, SCP DoE 01/02/04;
- Salinity Risk LM 25m DOLA 00;
- -Topographic contours, Statewide DOLA 12/09/02

Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The area proposed to be cleared is surrounded by privately owned farmland that is heavily cleared, with an Unallocated Crown Land reserve along the Wilgarrup River, to the west of the property. The nearest Conservation Commission vested land is a proposed Conservation Park 600 metres to the south and Dingup State Forest one kilometre to the west.

Given the nature of the clearing and the remaining surrounding vegetation in the local area, the proposal sites are highly unlikely to function as ecological linkages to nearby conservation areas.

Methodology

Keighery (1994);

GIS databases:

- CALM Managed Lands and Waters CALM 1/07/05;
- Register of National Estate EA 28/01/03

Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The property is within Zone B of the Warren River Water Reserve, managed by the Department of Water (DoW) under the Country Areas Water Supply Act (CAWS). Zone B is of moderate salinity risk.

Due to the nature of the clearing, 0.005ha and 4 native trees, the proposal is unlikely to impact on the quality of surface or underground water as the area will remain vegetated.

Methodology

GIS databases:

- Hydrographic Catchments, Catchments DOW;
- Rainfall, Mean Annual BOM 30/09/01;
- Public Drinking Water Source Areas (PDWSA) DOW

Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

Due to the nature of the proposed clearing, 0.005ha and 4 native trees, it is unlikely to cause or exacerbate flooding within the local area.

Methodology

GIS databases:

- Hydrography, linear -DOE 01/02/04;
- Topographic Contours, Statewide DOLA 12/09/02;
- Rainfall, Mean annual BOM 30/09/01

Planning instrument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.

Comments

The property is zoned Rural under the Shire of Manjimup TPS No.2. The shire was given an opportunity to comment on the proposal; however has not provided a response.

The applicant has advised that planning approval has not yet been obtained from the shire.

No public submissions have been received for this proposal.

Methodology

Assessor's comments

Purpose

Method Applied

Comment area (ha)/ trees

Building or Structure

Removal

Mechanical 0.005

The application has been assessed against the clearing principles, planning instruments and other matters in accordance with s510 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986, and the proposed clearing is at variance to Principle (f).

5. References

Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Biodiversity Action Planning. Action planning for native biodiversity at multiple scales; catchment bioregional, landscape, local. Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.

Havel, J.J. and Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (2002) Review of management options for poorly represented vegetation complexes, Conservation Commission.

Hopkins, A.J.M., Beeston, G.R. and Harvey J.M. (2001) A database on the vegetation of Western Australia. Stage 1. CALMScience after J. S. Beard, late 1960's to early 1980's Vegetation Survey of Western Australia, UWA Press.

Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

Mattiske Consulting (1998) Mapping of vegetation complexes in the South West forest region of Western Australia, CALM. Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R. and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001) Native Vegetation in Western Australia, Extent, Type and Status. Resource Management Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.

6. Glossary

Term

Meaning

BCS

Biodiversity Coordination Section of DEC

CALM

Department of Conservation and Land Management (now BCS)

DAFWA

Department of Agriculture and Food

DEC

Department of Environment and Conservation

DEP

Department of Environmental Protection (now DEC)

DoE DoIR Department of Environment

DRF

Department of Industry and Resources

EPP

Declared Rare Flora

GIS ha

Environmental Protection Policy Geographical Information System

TEC

Hectare (10,000 square metres) Threatened Ecological Community

WRC

Water and Rivers Commission (now DEC)