

Clearing Permit Decision Report

1. Application details

1.1. Permit application details

Permit application No.:

2367/1

Permit type:

Area Permit

1.2. Proponent details

Proponent's name:

MR Bernard John & Cheryl Florence Rochester

1.3. Property details

Property:

LOT 4 ON PLAN 23356 (House No. 43 HEARLE MUMBALLUP 6225)

LOT 4 ON PLAN 23356 (House No. 43 HEARLE MUMBALLUP 6225)

Local Government Area:

Shire Of Donnybrook-Balingup

Colloquial name:

1.4. Application

Clearing Area (ha)

No. Trees

Method of Clearing

For the purpose of:

25 M

Mechanical Removal

Horticulture

2. Site Information

2.1. Existing environment and information

2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

Vegetation Description

Clearing Description

Vegetation Condition

Comment

3. Assessment of application against clearing principles

(a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

Comments

To be assessed.

Methodology

(b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

Comments

To be assessed.

Methodology

(c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

Comments

To be assessed.

Methodology

(d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.

Comments

To be assessed.

Methodology

(e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

Comments

To be assessed.

Methodology

(f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.

Comments

To be assessed.

Methodology

(g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

Comments

To be assessed.

Methodology

(h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

Comments

To be assessed.

Methodology

(i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

Comments

To be assessed.

Methodology

(j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.

Comments

The proposal to clear up to 25 trees for the purpose of gravel extraction and orchard expansion is unlikely to have any significant environmental impacts. Aerial photography suggests that the vegetation is Completely Degraded (Keighery 1994) consisting of scattered paddock trees. There are no declared rare flora or threatened ecological communities in the vicinity of the project. The vegetation to be cleared is well represented in the local area, and would not have a detrimental impact on fauna.

There is a minor perennial watercourse within the property that has little riparian vegetation remaining. The proposed clearing is at closest 40m from the trees and is unlikely to be vegetation directly associated with the watercourse. Clearing of these 25 trees is unlikely to significantly increase impacts on the watercourse.

It is considered that it is not likely to be at variance with any of the clearing principles.

Methodology

Hopkins et al. (2001)

Keighery (1994)

Shepherd (2006) Shepherd et al (2001)

GIS Databases:

- CALM Managed Lands and Waters CALM 01/06/05
- Bridgetown 50cm Orthomosaic DLI 04
- Hydrography linear DOW 13/7/06
- Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia EA 18/10/00
- Local Government Authorities DLI 8/07/04
- Pre European Vegetation DA 01/01
- SAC Biodatasets accessed 25 Mar 08

Planning instrument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.

Comments

A surface water licence is current for the property (DOC48875)

An Extractive Industry licence is current for the property (DOC49020).

Methodology

4. Assessor's comments

Purpose Method Applied

Comment

area (ha)/ trees

Horticulture Removal

Mechanical

The assessment found that the clearing was not likely to be at variance with any of the clearing

5. References

Hopkins, A.J.M., Beeston, G.R. and Harvey J.M. (2001) A database on the vegetation of Western Australia. Stage 1. CALMScience after J. S. Beard, late 1960's to early 1980's Vegetation Survey of Western Australia, UWA Press. Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of

WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

Sac Bio Datasets (25/03/08). Department of Environment and Conservation, Sac Bio Datasets, Kensington, Western Australia. Shepherd (2006). Adapted from: Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R., and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001), Native Vegetation in Western Australia. Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture Western Australia, South Perth. Includes subsequent updates for 2006 from Vegetation Extent dataset ANZWA1050000124.

Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R. and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001) Native Vegetation in Western Australia, Extent, Type and Status. Resource Management Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.

6. Glossary

Term Meaning

Biodiversity Coordination Section of DEC BCS

Department of Conservation and Land Management (now BCS) CALM

Department of Agriculture and Food DAFWA

DEC Department of Environment and Conservation Department of Environmental Protection (now DEC) DEP

DoE Department of Environment

Department of Industry and Resources DoIR

DRF Declared Rare Flora

Environmental Protection Policy EPP Geographical Information System GIS Hectare (10,000 square metres) ha TEC Threatened Ecological Community

Water and Rivers Commission (now DEC) WRC

