



## 1. Application details

### 1.1. Permit application details

Permit application No.: 2389/1  
Permit type: Area Permit

### 1.2. Proponent details

Proponent's name: Frederick Parnell & Rozlyn Irene Dittmann

### 1.3. Property details

Property: LOT 1312 ON PLAN 110201 (House No. 19374 SOUTH WESTERN UPPER CAPEL 6239)  
LOT 1312 ON PLAN 110201 (House No. 19374 SOUTH WESTERN UPPER CAPEL 6239)  
Local Government Area: Shire Of Donnybrook-Balingup  
Colloquial name:

### 1.4. Application

| Clearing Area (ha) | No. Trees | Method of Clearing | For the purpose of: |
|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 0.99               |           | Mechanical Removal | Grazing & Pasture   |
| 1                  |           | Mechanical Removal | Grazing & Pasture   |

## 2. Site Information

### 2.1. Existing environment and information

#### 2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

| Vegetation Description | Clearing Description | Vegetation Condition | Comment |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|
|                        |                      |                      |         |

## 3. Assessment of application against clearing principles

### (a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

Comments  
To be assessed.

Methodology

### (b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

Comments  
To be assessed.

Methodology

### (c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

Comments  
To be assessed.

Methodology

### (d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.

Comments  
To be assessed.

Methodology

**(e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.**

**Comments**

To be assessed.

**Methodology**

**(f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.**

**Comments**

To be assessed.

**Methodology**

**(g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.**

**Comments**

To be assessed.

**Methodology**

**(h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.**

**Comments**

To be assessed.

**Methodology**

**(i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.**

**Comments**

To be assessed.

**Methodology**

**(j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.**

**Comments**

The proposed clearing of 1.0 hectare for the purpose of grazing and pasture is unlikely to have significant environmental impacts. The vegetation under application is considered to comprise individual trees within a parkland-cleared paddock.

The parkland-cleared nature has significantly reduced the biological values of the vegetation and it is therefore unlikely to be supporting declared rare flora or threatened ecological communities known to occur in the local area (10 kilometre radius). The vegetation type proposed for clearing is well represented in the local area and hence, would not have a detrimental impact on fauna.

The area under application does not lie adjacent or within a watercourse / wetland or areas managed for conservation and given the scale, is unlikely to cause appreciable land degradation, deterioration in the quality of surface / underground water, or cause localised flooding.

Given the above, the proposed clearing is considered not likely to be at variance to all ten clearing principles.

**Methodology**

**Planning instrument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.**

**Comments**

The Shire of Donnybrook-Balingup (2008) raises no objections to the proposed clearing, given the small scale and degraded nature of the land.

There is one native title claim over the area under application (Gnaarla Karla Booja); however as the property is privately owned the granting of a clearing permit is a secondary approval and does not constitute a future act under the Native Title Act 1993.



**Methodology** No public submissions have been received for this application.  
Shire of Donnybrook-Balingup (2008);

GIS Databases:  
- Native Title Claims - DLI 7/11/05

#### 4. Assessor's comments

| Purpose           | Method             | Applied area (ha)/ trees | Comment   |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Grazing & Pasture | Mechanical Removal | 0.99                     | The application has been assessed against the clearing principles, planning instruments and other matters in accordance with s51O of the Environmental Protection Act 1986, and the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to all ten clearing Principles. |
| Grazing & Pasture | Mechanical Removal | 1                        |   |

#### 5. References

- Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.
- Mattiske, E.M. and Havel, J.J. (1998). Vegetation mapping in the South West of Western Australia. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth.
- Northcote, K. H. with Beckmann G G, Bettenay E., Churchward H. M., van Dijk D. C., Dimmock G. M., Hubble G. D., Isbell R. F., McArthur W. M., Murtha G. G., Nicolls K. D., Paton T. R., Thompson C. H., Webb A. A. and Wright M. J. (1960-68): 'Atlas of Australian Soils, Sheets 1 to 10, with explanatory data'. CSIRO and Melbourne University Press: Melbourne.
- Sac Bio Datasets (22/8/07). Department of Environment and Conservation, Sac Bio Datasets, Kensington, Western Australia.
- Shepherd, D.P. (2006). Adapted from: Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R., and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001), Native Vegetation in Western Australia. Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture Western Australia, South Perth. Includes subsequent updates for 2006 from Vegetation Extent dataset ANZWA1050000124.
- Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R. and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001) Native Vegetation in Western Australia, Extent, Type and Status. Resource Management Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.

#### 6. Glossary

| Term  | Meaning  |
|-------|--|
| BCS   | Biodiversity Coordination Section of DEC                 |
| CALM  | Department of Conservation and Land Management (now BCS) |
| DAFWA | Department of Agriculture and Food                       |
| DEC   | Department of Environment and Conservation               |
| DEP   | Department of Environmental Protection (now DEC)         |
| DoE   | Department of Environment                                |
| DoIR  | Department of Industry and Resources                     |
| DRF   | Declared Rare Flora                                      |
| EPP   | Environmental Protection Policy                          |
| GIS   | Geographical Information System                          |
| ha    | Hectare (10,000 square metres)                           |
| TEC   | Threatened Ecological Community                          |
| WRC   | Water and Rivers Commission (now DEC)                    |

