



Clearing Permit Decision Report

1. Application details

1.1. Permit application details

Permit application No.: 2410/1
Permit type: Purpose Permit

1.2. Proponent details

Proponent's name: Metals Exploration Limited

1.3. Property details

Property: Miscellaneous Licence 69/13
Local Government Area: Shire of Ngaanyatjaraku
Colloquial name: Wingellina Project North

1.1. Application

Clearing Area (ha)	No. Trees	Method of Clearing	For the purpose of:
5		Mechanical Removal	Groundwater exploration drilling

2. Background

2.1. History (including previous clearing permits, compensation paid, caveats on title deeds etc.)

Date	Comments
31 March 2008	Advertised 31 March 2008

2.2. Existing environment and information

2.2.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

Vegetation Description	Clearing Description	Vegetation Condition	Comment
<p>Beard Vegetation Associations have been mapped at a 1:250,000 scale for the whole of Western Australia, and are a useful tool to examine the vegetation extent in a regional context. One Beard vegetation association is located within the application area (GIS Database):</p> <p>230; Mosaic: Medium sparse woodland; desert oak between sand dunes / hummock grasslands, grass steppe; hard spinifex, <i>Triodia basedowii</i> (GIS Database).</p> <p>Outback Ecology (2008) conducted a desktop flora and vegetation assessment of the application area in May 2008. No on ground site assessment was undertaken when preparing this desktop study. Subsequently the evaluations provided on the likelihood of flora and vegetation occurring within the application area are estimations based on available literature and aerial imagery (Outback Ecology, 2008). Outback Ecology (2008) propose the vegetation of the application area is:</p> <p>1. Sandplains - low open woodlands of either desert oak or mulga over <i>Triodia basedowii</i> hummock grasslands;</p> <p>2. Fringing Ranges - low open woodlands of ironwood and corkwoods over tussock or hummock grasses often; and</p> <p>3. On Ranges - mixed wattle scrub or <i>Callitris glaucophylla</i> woodlands over hummock and tussock grassland.</p>	<p>Metals Exploration Limited (Metals Exploration) proposes to clear five hectares of native vegetation within a purpose permit boundary of 6,110 hectares (Metals Exploration, 2008). The proposed clearing is for the purpose of groundwater drilling on Miscellaneous Licence 69/13. The proposed clearing will be undertaken mechanically with a raised blade (Metals Exploration, 2008).</p>	<p>Pristine: No obvious signs of disturbance (Keighery, 1994).</p> <p>To</p> <p>Very Good: Vegetation structure altered; obvious signs of disturbance (Keighery, 1994).</p>	<p>Metals Exploration commissioned Outback Ecology (2008) to conduct a desktop flora survey of the application area in May 2008. Some landscape and soil characteristics were provided from Metals Exploration geologists.</p> <p>It is likely due to the remoteness of the proposal that the vegetation would be in pristine to very good condition. However, the Giles-Mulga Park Road passes through the middle of the application area running north-south (GIS Database), which is likely to have introduced some weed species and caused some degradation to existing vegetation.</p>

3. Assessment of application against Clearing Principles

(a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

Comments **Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle**

The application area is situated approximately 75 kilometres north of the Aboriginal community of Wingellina in the Mann-Musgrave Block subregion of the Central Ranges Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia (IBRA) bioregion (GIS Database). This subregion is characterised by a high proportion of Proterozoic ranges (both volcanic and quartzites) and derived soil plains, interspersed with red quaternary sandplains with some Permian exposure (Graham and Cowan, 2001).

Within the application area sandplains support low open woodlands of either desert oak or mulga over *Triodia basedowii* hummock grasslands, while low open woodlands of ironwood and corkwoods over tussock or hummock grasses often fringe ranges (Graham and Cowan, 2001). The ranges support mixed wattle scrub or *Callitris glaucophylla* woodlands over hummock and tussock grasslands (Outback Ecology, 2008)

Outback Ecology (2008) were commissioned by Metals Exploration in May 2008 to conduct a desktop flora and fauna study of the application area and surrounds. Based on database searches, 10 Priority Flora species were recorded within 100 kilometres of the application area, however, none were recorded within the application area. No Declared Rare Flora were recorded within the search area (Outback Ecology, 2008).

Two fauna species of conservation significance were recorded as likely to occur within the application area and a further nine species were recorded as possibly occurring within the application area (Outback Ecology, 2008).

Although conservation significant species of flora and fauna have been recorded within and in close proximity to the application area, it does not suggest the application area is higher in biodiversity than other surrounding areas.

Feral animals are reported to pose a threat to the biodiversity of the Mann-Musgrave Block bioregion although the impacts are largely unknown (Outback Ecology, 2008). Feral herbivores such as rabbits and camels have been reported to be widespread which have had unquantified impacts on the biodiversity of the region (Outback Ecology, 2008). The ecological impacts and extent of feral carnivores such as foxes and cats is largely unknown, however, they appear to have taken a large toll on mammal species within the bioregion. Forty one mammal species have been registered in the bioregion, however, 17 of those are now reported to be extinct from the bioregion (ANRA, 2008).

Changes to fire regimes are a major threat to the biodiversity within the Mann-Musgrave bioregion (Outback Ecology, 2008). Examination of Landgate Satellite Remote Sensing Services Fire Scar Mapping (accurate to one kilometre) by Outback Ecology, indicated that fires had burnt extensive areas of the application area over the past 10 years, with some areas having been burned several times (Outback Ecology, 2008).

The vegetation habitat types occurring within the application area are well represented in the region (Shepherd et al., 2001), and the application area is unlikely to be of higher biodiversity value than the surrounding areas (Outback Ecology, 2008). Given that the application area is situated in a very remote location, the introduction of weeds may pose a threat to the biodiversity of the region. Should the permit be granted, It is recommended a condition be placed on the permit for the purposes of weed management.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology ANRA (2008)
Graham and Cowan (2001)
Outback Ecology (2008)
Shepherd et al. (2001)
GIS Database:
- Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia
- Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (Subregions)

(b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

Comments **Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle**

No detailed vertebrate or invertebrate fauna surveys have been conducted over the application area, however, a search of the following databases was conducted on behalf of the proponent by Outback Ecology (2008):

- Department of Environment and Conservation's (DEC's) Threatened and Priority Fauna Database;
- the West Australian Museum Faunabase;
- Birds Australia database; and
- the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Protected Matters Report.

The database searches revealed two species of conservation significant fauna likely to occur within the application area and a further nine species which were recorded as possibly occurring within the application area (Outback Ecology, 2008). In total, seven birds, two mammals and two reptiles of conservation significance were identified. These are shown below, including their conservation status in accordance with the *Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice, 2008*, The *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* and DEC's Priority Fauna list (Outback Ecology, 2008).

Name	<i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i>	<i>Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice, 2008</i>	DEC's Priority list	Occurrence likelihood
Mulgara – <i>Dasyercus cristicauda</i>	Vulnerable	Schedule 1	-	Possible
Black-footed Rock wallaby – <i>Petrogale lateralis</i> spp	Vulnerable	Schedule 1	-	Possible
Malleefowl – <i>Leipoa ocellata</i>	Vulnerable	Schedule 1	-	Possible
Princess Parrot – <i>Polytelis alexandrae</i>	Vulnerable	-	Priority 4	Possible
Major Mitchell's Cockatoo – <i>Cacatua leadbeateri</i>	-	Schedule 4	-	Possible
Slender-billed Thornbill – <i>Acanthiza iredlei iredlei</i>	Vulnerable	-	-	Possible
Australian Bustard – <i>Ardeotis australis</i>	-	-	Priority 4	Likely
Bush Stone-curlew – <i>Burhinus grallarius</i>	-	-	Priority 4	Possible
Rainbow Bee-eater – <i>Merops ornatus</i>	Migratory	-	-	Likely
Great Desert Skink – <i>Egernia kintorei</i>	Vulnerable	Schedule 1		Possible
Woma – <i>Aspidites ramsayi</i>		Schedule 4	Priority 4	Possible

Based on habitat preferences, it is unlikely all of these species listed above would occur in the application area. Species of conservation significance are of greatest concern due to their limited distribution, meaning native vegetation in some areas may be significant habitat for conservation. The conservation significant species most likely to occur in the project site are discussed below.

The Australian Bustard is distributed sporadically across Australia and typically occurs in open country preferring grasslands, low shrublands and grassy woodlands (DNRA, Northern Territory Government, 2008). The presence of suitable habitat within the application area (open to lightly timbered woodlands, grasslands) suggest that this species is likely to occur. Individuals of this species move readily, tracking rainfall, fires and food sources (e.g. grass hopper outbreaks) across the landscape opportunistically. Their movements are not well defined. However, they are believed to be nomadic or irruptive in the arid and semi-arid regions and migratory with regular north-south movements in relation to wet/dry seasons (DNRA, Northern Territory Government, 2008). Due to this species ability to utilise a wide variety of habitats across Australia it is unlikely the clearing of five hectares of native vegetation within a purpose permit boundary of 6,110 hectares would represent significant habitat for this species.

The Rainbow Bee-eater occupies numerous habitats including open woodlands, semi-arid scrub and grassland (Outback Ecology, 2008). It occurs in farmland, orchards, vineyards, and is regularly recorded in other disturbed habitats including road side vegetation and in quarries, mines or gravel pits where they often breed (DEHWA, 2008). Within Australia this species is distributed across much of the mainland and occurs on several near shore islands. Outside of Australia the Rainbow Bee-eater also has a wide distribution occurring in eastern Indonesia, Papua New Guinea and the Solomon Islands (DEHWA, 2008). This species has been listed under the *EPBC Act 1999* as a Migratory species and therefore is not necessarily threatened. Population size and population trends have not been quantified, but the population size is assumed to be reasonably large, and there is little documented evidence of population declines (DEHWA, 2008). Due to this species wide distribution across many countries and many habitat types it is unlikely the proposed clearing of five hectares of native vegetation would represent significant habitat for this species.

The Great Desert Skink is a burrowing species of skink found in a variety of desert habitats on sandy, clay and loamy soils (DEHWA, 2008). The Great Desert Skink is sparsely distributed over the Greater Sandy Desert, the Gibson Desert, the Great Victoria Desert and across the Northern Territory. The main threat to this species is changes to fire patterns and feral animals such as foxes and cats (DEHWA, 2008). Based on habitat preferences it is possible the Great Desert Skink would exist in the application area. However, given the large range of the lizard and the small disturbance footprint of five hectares fragmented over a purpose permit boundary of approximately 6,110 hectares, it is unlikely this would represent significant habitat for this species.

The Woma Python is a nocturnal, terrestrial python, sheltering in hollow logs, animal burrows or thick vegetation (Outback Ecology, 2008). Its distribution extends from the Pilbara coast of Western Australia, north to the

Eightymile Beach area, and south-west Western Australia, from Cape Peron south and east to the eastern Goldfields. It also extends into northern South Australia and the south-west edge of Queensland (Western Australian Museum, 2008). The Woma favours open myrtaceous heath on sandplains, and dunefields dominated by spinifex (Western Australian Museum, 2008). Due to the extensive range of the Woma across arid regions of Australia, it is unlikely the clearing of five hectares of suitable habitat will represent significant habitat for this species.

The vegetation types identified within the application area were typical of the bioregion (Outback Ecology, 2008). There were no habitats identified within the application area that would be specifically necessary for the continued existence of indigenous fauna in Western Australia (Outback Ecology, 2008).

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology DEWHA (2008)
DNRA, Northern Territory Government (2008)
Outback Ecology (2008)
Western Australian Museum (2008)

(c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

According to available databases there are no known records of Declared Rare Flora (DRF) or Priority Flora within the application area (Outback Ecology, 2008).

Outback Ecology (2008) conducted a desktop flora and vegetation assessment over the application area and surrounding areas. This survey revealed, 10 species of Priority flora listed under the DEC's Priority Flora list within a 100 kilometre radius of the application area. These species include: *Fuirena nudiflora* (Priority 1), *Goodenia gibbosa* (Priority 1), *Isotropis winneckeii* (Priority 1), *Schoenus centralis* (Priority 1), *Acacia auricoma* (Priority 3), *Calotis latiuscula* (Priority 3), *Eucalyptus sparsa* (Priority 3), *Lythrum paradoxum* (Priority 3), *Prostanthera centralis* (Priority 3) and *Comesperma viscidulum* (Priority 4).

Fuirena nudiflora, *Acacia auricoma*, *Schoenus centralis*, and *Eucalyptus sparsa* are not likely to occur within the application area. These species prefer ephemeral creek and drainage lines (Outback Ecology, 2008). According to available databases there are no drainage lines within the application area (GIS Database). Given that these habitats are the preferred location for the three species listed above, it is unlikely the proposed clearing will impact on the continued existence of these species.

Isotropis winneckeii, *Calotis latiuscula* and *Lythrum paradoxum* prefer habitats associated with rocky rises, ridges or gullies (Outback Ecology, 2008). Given that these areas are not the preferred locations to drill for ground water, it is unlikely the vegetation associated with rocky rises, ridges and gullies would be cleared under this proposal (Outback Ecology, 2008). Therefore it is unlikely that native vegetation necessary for the continued existence of the three species listed above will be impacted upon.

The remaining species; *Goodenia gibbosa*, *Prostanthera centralis* and *Comesperma viscidulum* have the highest potential to occur within the application area (Outback Ecology, 2008). These species have previously been recorded 42, 35 and 40 kilometres from the application area respectively. No records have been noted from within the application area, however, given that no systematic flora survey has been conducted over the application area these species may occur (Outback Ecology, 2008). It is unlikely the proposed clearing will impact on the continued existence of these species. The small size of the proposed clearing (five hectares within a purpose permit boundary of 6,110 hectares) and the sporadic clearing pattern associated with exploration reduces possible impacts on Priority Flora.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be a variance to this Principle.

Methodology Outback Ecology (2008)
GIS Database
-Hydrology Linear

(d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no known Threatened Ecological Communities (TEC's) within the application area (GIS Database). The nearest known TEC's occurs approximately 750 kilometres to the south-west of the application area (GIS Database). It is highly unlikely this community will be impacted upon by this proposal.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology GIS Database
-Threatened Ecological Communities

(e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

Comments **Proposal is not at variance to this Principle**

The application area is within the Central Ranges Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia (IBRA) bioregion (GIS Database). According to Shepherd et al. (2001) there is approximately 100% of the pre-European vegetation remaining in the Central Ranges bioregion. The vegetation of the application area is classified as Beard Vegetation Association 230; Mosaic: Medium sparse woodland; desert oak between sand dunes / hummock grasslands, grass steppe; hard spinifex, *Triodia basedowii* (GIS Database). There is approximately 100% of the pre-European vegetation remaining of Beard Vegetation Association 230 in the Central Ranges bioregion (Shepherd et al., 2001).

Whilst Beard Vegetation Association 230 is not represented in conservation reserves, the application area does not represent a significant remnant of vegetation in the wider regional area. The proposed clearing is unlikely to reduce the extent of Beard Vegetation Association 230 below current recognised threshold levels, below which species loss increases significantly.

	Pre-European area (ha)*	Current extent (ha)*	Remaining %*	Conservation Status**	% of Pre-European area in IUCN Class I-IV Reserves (and current %)
IBRA Bioregion – Central Ranges	4,701,518	4,700,202	~ 100	Least Concern	0.0
Beard veg assoc. – State					
230	1,453,288	1,451,250	~ 99.9	Least Concern	0.0 (0.0)
Beard veg assoc. – Bioregion					
230	1,180,953	1,180,953	~ 100	Least Concern	0.0 (0.0)

* Shepherd et al. (2001) updated 2005

** Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002)

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this Principle.

Methodology Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002)
Shepherd et al. (2001)
GIS Database
-Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia
-pre-European Vegetation

(f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.

Comments **Proposal is not at variance to this Principle**

According to available databases, there are no watercourses, drainage lines or wetlands within the application area (GIS Database).

The vegetation types identified by Outback Ecology (2008) are not examples of riparian vegetation, and are common in the landscape.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this Principle.

Methodology Outback Ecology (2008)
GIS Database
-Hydrology Linear

(g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The methods of clearing are proposed to be raised blade and lowered blade (Metals Exploration, 2008). Raised blade methods ensure equipment blades are above the ground level to minimise soil displacement and erosion potential. This type of clearing is preferred for access tracks as it leaves soil and root systems intact and minimises erosion potential.

Lowered blade methods present a higher potential for soil displacement. However, this type of clearing will only be used within drill pad sites where management practices will ensure land degradation is minimised. Such practices will include the establishment of proper drainage systems and minimising cleared areas to prevent erosion (Metals Exploration, 2008).

Metals Exploration (2008) will minimise vegetation clearing by utilising existing tracks and naturally sparse areas to ensure land degradation is further reduced. The Giles-Mulga Park Road passes through the middle of the application area. Metals Exploration (2008) intends to utilise this road as a main passage way, drilling most holes immediately adjacent to the road. This reduces the proposed clearing and therefore reduces land degradation risk.

Provided cleared areas are rehabilitated post clearing, the potential for land degradation will be reduced. Should a permit be granted it is recommended that conditions be placed on the permit for the purposes of rehabilitation.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology Metals Exploration (2008)

(h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The application area falls within an Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA) called the Ranges of the Western Desert (GIS Database). The ESA was registered in 1978 and covers an area of 8,019,568 hectares (DEWHA, Australian Heritage Database, 2008). The Australian Heritage Commission deemed that this area has indigenous values of National Estate significance (DEWHA, Australia Heritage Database, 2008). The proposed clearing is not likely to impact upon environmental values of the area.

The application area is located within the Red Book Area 12.92 – Ranges of the Western Desert (GIS Database). Special features of this area have been noted as mountain ranges. In the eastern region of Western Australia are many mountain ranges which are the western extension of the central Australian range complex. They reach their western limit in the Warburton Range, and are varied in size, topography, geology and biology. The flora of the ranges can be diverse, however, many species may be dormant until decent rainfall (Conservation Through Reserves Committee, 1978).

Despite the area being on the Register of National Estate for natural values, it is considered that the clearing to take place is low impact and of a small scale and will not significantly impact on the environmental values of the conservation area.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology Conservation Through Reserves Committee (1978)
DEWHA, Australian Heritage Database (2008)
GIS Database
- Environmentally Sensitive Areas

(i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The application area is not situated within a Public Drinking Water Source Area (PDSWA) (GIS Database).

Groundwater within the application area is fresh to brackish, between 1,000 - 3,000 milligrams per litre of dissolved solids (GIS Database). Given the large size of the Canning Groundwater Province (46,575,378 hectares) and the relatively small size of the proposed clearance area (five hectares) it is unlikely that the clearing project will impact on the quality of the groundwater (GIS Database).

The application area is relatively flat and is not associated with any permanent or ephemeral watercourses or water bodies (GIS Database).

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology GIS Database
- Groundwater Salinity, Statewide
- Hydrography, linear
- Public Drinking Water Source Area

(j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

According to available databases no floodways or areas of flooding exist within the application area (GIS Database). The area shows a gentle relief in topography (Metals Exploration, 2008). Given that the proposed clearing (five hectares) is spread out over a very large purpose permit boundary (6,110 hectares) it is unlikely to cause or exacerbate the incidence of flooding.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology Metals Exploration (2008)
GIS Database
- FMD Floodplain Map Index

Planning instrument, Native Title, RIWI Act Licence, EP Act Licence, Works Approval, Previous EPA decision or other matter.

Comments

The clearing permit application was advertised by the Department of Industry and Resources (now Department of Mines and Petroleum), inviting submissions from the public and direct interest parties. One direct interest submission was received 11 weeks after the submission period had closed.

This submission raises concerns relating to understanding the nature of native vegetation clearing. The direct interest party was adequately informed of the clearing permit process.

There is one native title claim over the application area (GIS Database). This claim (WC04/003) has been registered with the National Native Title Tribunal on behalf of the claimant group (GIS Database). However, the mining tenement has been granted in accordance with the future act regime of the *Native Title Act 1993* and the nature of the Act (i.e. the proposed clearing activity) has been provided for in that process, therefore, the granting of a clearing permit is not a future act under the *Native Title Act 1993*.

There are no registered Sites of Aboriginal Significance within the application area (GIS Database). It is the proponent's responsibility to comply with the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972* and ensure that no Sites of Aboriginal Significance are damaged through the clearing process.

It is the proponent's responsibility to liaise with the Department of Environment and Conservation and the Department of Water to determine whether a Works Approval, Water Licence, Bed and Banks Permit, or any other licences or approvals are required for the proposed works.

Methodology GIS Database:
-Aboriginal Sites of Significance
-Native Title Claims

4. Assessor's comments

Comment

The proposal has been assessed against the Clearing Principles and is not likely to be at variance to Principles (a), (b), (c), (d), (g), (h), (i) and (j), and is not at variance to Principles (e) and (f).

Should the permit be granted, it is recommended that conditions be imposed on the permit for the purposes of record keeping, permit reporting, weed management and rehabilitation.

5. References

Australian Natural Resource Atlas (ANRA) (2008) Biodiversity Assessment Central Ranges Bioregion
www.anra.gov.au/topics/vegetation/assessment/wa/ibra-great-victoria-desert.html. Published by the Department of the Environment and Water Resources.

Conservation Through Reserves Committee (1974) Conservation Reserves in Western Australia, Report of the Conservation Through Reserves Committee on systems eight -twelve to the Environmental Protection Authority 1977. Western

- Australia.
- Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Biodiversity Action Planning. Action planning for native biodiversity at multiple scales; catchment bioregional, landscape, local. Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.
- Department of Natural Resources and the Arts, Northern Territory Government (2008) Threatened Species of the Northern Territory - Australian Bustard *Ardeotis australis*
http://www.nt.gov.au/nreta/wildlife/animals/threatened/pdf/birds/australian_bustard_vu.pdf
- Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (2008) *Merops ornatus* - Rainbow Bee-eater. West Australian Government, viewed on 18/7/08 at www.environment.gov.au.
- Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, Australian Heritage Database (2008) Ranges of the Western Desert, Laverton-Warburton Rd, Warburton via Laverton, WA, Australia. [Http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl](http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl).
- Graham, D & Cowan, M. (2001) Central Ranges 1 (CR1 – Mann-Musgrave Block subregion) In a Biodiversity Audit of Western Australia's 53 Biogeographic subregions. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth, Western Australia.
- Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.
- Metals Exploration (2008) Application for clearing permit, supporting document, Western Australia.
- Outback Ecology (2008) Wingellina Nickel Project, unpublished report for Metals Exploration Limited, Western Australia.
- Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R. and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001) Native Vegetation in Western Australia, Extent, Type and Status. Resource Management Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.
- West Australian Museum (2008) FaunaBase and WA FaunaList website, viewed 11/6/2008 at <http://www.museum.wa.gov.au/faunabase/prod/index.htm>.

6. Glossary

Acronyms:

BoM	Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Government.
CALM	Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.
DAFWA	Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia.
DA	Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.
DEC	Department of Environment and Conservation
DEH	Department of Environment and Heritage (federal based in Canberra) previously Environment Australia
DEP	Department of Environment Protection (now DoE), Western Australia.
DIA	Department of Indigenous Affairs
DLI	Department of Land Information, Western Australia.
DMP	Department of Mines and Petroleum.
DoE	Department of Environment, Western Australia.
DoIR	Department of Industry and Resources, Western Australia.
DOLA	Department of Land Administration, Western Australia.
DoW	Department of Water
EP Act	Environment Protection Act 1986, Western Australia.
EPBC Act	Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Federal Act)
GIS	Geographical Information System.
IBRA	Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia.
IUCN	International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources – commonly known as the World Conservation Union
RIWI	Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914, Western Australia.
s.17	Section 17 of the Environment Protection Act 1986, Western Australia.
TECs	Threatened Ecological Communities.

Definitions:

{Atkins, K (2005). *Declared rare and priority flora list for Western Australia, 22 February 2005*. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Como, Western Australia} :-

- P1** **Priority One - Poorly Known taxa:** taxa which are known from one or a few (generally <5) populations which are under threat, either due to small population size, or being on lands under immediate threat, e.g. road verges, urban areas, farmland, active mineral leases, etc., or the plants are under threat, e.g. from disease, grazing by feral animals, etc. May include taxa with threatened populations on protected lands. Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in urgent need of further survey.
- P2** **Priority Two - Poorly Known taxa:** taxa which are known from one or a few (generally <5) populations, at least some of which are not believed to be under immediate threat (i.e. not currently endangered). Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in urgent need of further survey.
- P3** **Priority Three - Poorly Known taxa:** taxa which are known from several populations, at least some of which are not believed to be under immediate threat (i.e. not currently endangered). Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in need of further survey.

- P4** **Priority Four – Rare taxa:** taxa which are considered to have been adequately surveyed and which, whilst being rare (in Australia), are not currently threatened by any identifiable factors. These taxa require monitoring every 5–10 years.
- R** **Declared Rare Flora – Extant taxa (= Threatened Flora = Endangered + Vulnerable):** taxa which have been adequately searched for, and are deemed to be in the wild either rare, in danger of extinction, or otherwise in need of special protection, and have been gazetted as such, following approval by the Minister for the Environment, after recommendation by the State's Endangered Flora Consultative Committee.
- X** **Declared Rare Flora - Presumed Extinct taxa:** taxa which have not been collected, or otherwise verified, over the past 50 years despite thorough searching, or of which all known wild populations have been destroyed more recently, and have been gazetted as such, following approval by the Minister for the Environment, after recommendation by the State's Endangered Flora Consultative Committee.

{Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2005} [Wildlife Conservation Act 1950] :-

- Schedule 1** **Schedule 1 – Fauna that is rare or likely to become extinct:** being fauna that is rare or likely to become extinct, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.
- Schedule 2** **Schedule 2 – Fauna that is presumed to be extinct:** being fauna that is presumed to be extinct, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.
- Schedule 3** **Schedule 3 – Birds protected under an international agreement:** being birds that are subject to an agreement between the governments of Australia and Japan relating to the protection of migratory birds and birds in danger of extinction, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.
- Schedule 4** **Schedule 4 – Other specially protected fauna:** being fauna that is declared to be fauna that is in need of special protection, otherwise than for the reasons mentioned in Schedules 1, 2 or 3.

{CALM (2005). Priority Codes for Fauna. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Como, Western Australia} :-

- P1** **Priority One: Taxa with few, poorly known populations on threatened lands:** Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from one or a few localities on lands not managed for conservation, e.g. agricultural or pastoral lands, urban areas, active mineral leases. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.
- P2** **Priority Two: Taxa with few, poorly known populations on conservation lands:** Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from one or a few localities on lands not under immediate threat of habitat destruction or degradation, e.g. national parks, conservation parks, nature reserves, State forest, vacant Crown land, water reserves, etc. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.
- P3** **Priority Three: Taxa with several, poorly known populations, some on conservation lands:** Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from several localities, some of which are on lands not under immediate threat of habitat destruction or degradation. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.
- P4** **Priority Four: Taxa in need of monitoring:** Taxa which are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and which are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection, but could be if present circumstances change. These taxa are usually represented on conservation lands.
- P5** **Priority Five: Taxa in need of monitoring:** Taxa which are not considered threatened but are subject to a specific conservation program, the cessation of which would result in the species becoming threatened within five years.

Categories of threatened species (Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999)

- EX** **Extinct:** A native species for which there is no reasonable doubt that the last member of the species has died.
- EX(W)** **Extinct in the wild:** A native species which:
 (a) is known only to survive in cultivation, in captivity or as a naturalised population well outside its past range; or
 (b) has not been recorded in its known and/or expected habitat, at appropriate seasons, anywhere in its past range, despite exhaustive surveys over a time frame appropriate to its life cycle and form.
- CR** **Critically Endangered:** A native species which is facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.
- EN** **Endangered:** A native species which:
 (a) is not critically endangered; and
 (b) is facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.
- VU** **Vulnerable:** A native species which:
 (a) is not critically endangered or endangered; and
 (b) is facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.
- CD** **Conservation Dependent:** A native species which is the focus of a specific conservation program, the

cessation of which would result in the species becoming vulnerable, endangered or critically endangered within a period of 5 years.