

Clearing Permit Decision Report

1. Application details

1.1. Permit application details

Permit application No.:

2876/1

Permit type:

Area Permit

1.2. Proponent details

Proponent's name:

Department of Environment and Conservation

1.3. Property details

Property:

0.62

KUNJIN AGRICULTURAL AREA LOT 150 (BULLARING 6373)

Local Government Area:

Colloquial name:

Shire Of Corrigin

1.4. Application

Clearing Area (ha)

No. Trees

Method of Clearing

For the purpose of:

Mechanical Removal

Restoration

2. Site Information

2.1. Existing environment and information

2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

Vegetation Description

Beard Vegetation Association 1023 - Medium woodland; York gum, wandoo & salmon gum (Eucalyptus salmonophloia) **Clearing Description**

The proposed clearing is for 0.62 hectares to assist with rehabilitation of an unused gravel pit. The vegetation within the area proposed to be cleared appears to be in a degraded condition (Keighery, 1994) and is subject to edge effects.

Vegetation Condition

Degraded: Structure severely disturbed; regeneration to good condition requires intensive management (Keighery 1994)

Comment

Vegetation condition has been based on aerial photography (Corrigin North 1.4m Orthomosaic - Landgate 2001).

3. Assessment of application against clearing principles

(a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The area proposed for clearing comprises of 0.62 hectares of vegetation surrounding an unused gravel pit. The vegetation appears to be in degraded condition (Keighery 1994) and is subject to edge effects. The vegetation consists of the beard vegetation association 1023, which consists of a medium woodland including york gum, wandoo & salmon gum (Shepherd 2007).

There are no known records of threatened fauna or threatened ecological communities occurrences and there are three known occurrences of priority flora species and three of declared rare flora within a 10km radius of the area proposed.

However given the size and condition of the area it is unlikely that the proposed clearing is at variance with this principle.

Methodology

Keighery 1994

Shepherd (2007) GIS database:

- SAC Biodatasets accessed 06 Jan 09
- Declared Rare and Priority Flora List CALM 13/08/03
- Pre European Vegetation DA 01/01
- (b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no known occurrences of threatened or priority fauna within the local area (10km radius). Given the

small size of the area under application and that the clearing is to enable rehabilitation of an unused gravel pit it is not considered to represent a significant habitat for fauna.

Methodology

GIS database:

- SAC Biodatasets - accessed 06 Jan 09

(c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are three populations of rare flora and three populations of priority flora within the local area (10km radius). The closest being Calothamnus brevifolius a priority four species that is known to occur 3.4km west of the application area. The closest DRF is 7.3km south west of the application area.

On 04 September 2008 a search was undertaken of the application area by the Department of Environment and Conservation and flora specimens collected and identified with the assistance of Toolibin Catchment Recovery. No rare or priority flora were identified within or nearby to the application area.

All of the DRF and priority populations occur within the same beard vegetation association (1023) and the same soil type (Va66) and may occur within the application area.

Given the vegetation condition and the small area it is considered unlikely to be a significant habitat for rare flora.

Methodology

Keighery (1994)

GIS database:

- SAC Biodatasets accessed 06 Jan 09
- Declared Rare and Priority Flora List CALM 13/08/03
- Soils, Statewide DA 11/99
- Pre European Vegetation DA 01/01

(d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no known records of Threatened Ecological Communities (TEC) within a 10km radius of the proposed clearing. It is unlikely that the proposed clearing will impact on any known TEC's.

Methodology

GIS Database:

- SAC Biodatasets - accessed 06 Jan 09

(e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

Comments

Proposal is at variance to this Principle

The proposed clearing is located in the Shire of Corrigin and within the Avon Wheatbelt Bioregion. The extent remaining within these areas is 4.8% and 15.2% respectively.

The vegetation under application is identified as Beard vegetation association 1023, which is classified as medium woodland; York gum, wandoo and salmon gum, has a representation of 6.4% of the pre-European extent remaining and is considered to be endangered (Department of Natural Resources and Environment 2002; Shepherd et al. 2001)

The conservation status of the proposed clearing, the extent of vegetation remaining in the local and regional areas and the extent of vegetation complex remaining the area under application indicate that the area be considered a significant remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared. Therefore the proposed clearing is at variance to this Principle.

It is noted that the proposed clearing is for a small area of regrowth to reshape a gravel pit to the surrounding contours in the landscape to allow for successful rehabilitation and revegetation of the pit. A condition will be placed on the permit to ensure the area proposed to be cleared and the gravel pit are rehabilitated and revegetated.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

- Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia EA 18/10/00
- Local Government Authorities DLI 8/07/04
- Pre European Vegetation DA 01/01
- SAC Biodatasets accessed 06 Jan 09

(f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no mapped wetlands within a 10km radius of the proposed clearing. However there are numerous unnamed minor non-perennial watercourses within a 10km radius. Of these only three are within a 1km radius, with the closest being 530m to the north west. There are no major watercourses mapped within a 10km radius.

Given the size, and condition of the application area and its location to nearby watercourses it is unlikely that the vegetation consists of riparian vegetation or vegetation growing in associated with a watercourse or wetland.

Methodology

GIS Database:

- CALM Managed Lands and Waters CALM 01/06/05
- Hydrography linear DOW 13/7/06
- Hydrography linear (hierarchy) DoW 13/7/06

(g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The area has a ground water salinity of 14000-35000mg/L. The soil type consists of hard alkaline yellow mottled soils and hard alkaline red soils. The area has a low rainfall of 350mm and a high evaporation rate of 2000mm. Given these conditions and the size of the clearing it is unlikely that soil erosion or salinisation is likely to occur as a result of this clearing proposal.

Given the above and that the clearing is to enable successful rehabilitation of an unused gravel pit the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance with this principle.

Methodology

Northcote et al. (1968)

GIS Database:

- Hydrography, linear DOW 13/7/06
- Average Annual Rainfall Isohyets WRC 29/09/98
- Annual Evaporation Contours (isopleths) WRC 29/09/98
- Salinity Risk LM 25m DOLA 00
- Hydrogeology, statewide DOW 13/07/06
- Soils, Statewide DA 11/99

(h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no conservation areas within a 10km radius of the application area.

Given the distance from nearby conservation areas and the small area to be cleared it is unlikely the proposed clearing will impact on nearby conservation areas environmental values.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

- CALM Managed Lands and Waters CALM 01/06/05
- System 1 to 5 and 7 to 12 areas DEC 11/7/06
- System 6 Conservation Reserves DEP 06/95
- Register of National Estate Environment Australia, Australian and world heritage division 12 Mar 02

(i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The proposed area is not in any Public Drinking Water Source Area and is in the Swan Avon - Main Avon Catchment area. The area is over 500m from the nearest mapped non perennial watercourse. The area has an evaporation rate of 2000mm combined with a rainfall rate of 350mm. Hydrogeology mapping indicates the area has a low permeability.

Given the size of the area to be cleared, the low rainfall, high evaporation rates and the distance to watercourses the proposed clearing is unlikely to impact on groundwater or surface water quality.

Methodology

GIS Database:

- Evapotransporation Isopleths WRC 29/09/98
- Hydrographic catchments, catchments DoW 01/06/07
- Hydrography, linear DOW 13/7/06

- Mean Annual Rainfall Isohytes (1975 2003) DEC 02/08/05
- Public Drinking Water Source Areas (PDWSAs) 07/02/06

(j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The area under application has rocks with low permeability, a rainfall of 350mm and an evaporation rate of 2000mm.

Given the small size of the area cleared, rainfall and evaporation rates the proposal is considered unlikely to be at variance with this principle

Methodology

GIS database:

- Evaporation Isopleths WRC 29/09/98
- Mean Annual Rainfall Isohytes (1975 2003) DEC 02/08/05
- Hydrogeology, statewide DOW 13/07/06

Planning instrument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.

Comments

No submissions were received. The proposed is not within a RIWI area.

The area under application is vested as a crown reserve 16210. The Department of Environment and Conservation has a licence over crown reserve 16210 for the purpose of rehabilitation. The proposal is to enable re-shaping and ripping of the gravel pit and is considered to be consistent with the vested purpose.

Methodology

GIS database

- Native Title Claims LA 2/5/07
- RIWI Act, Areas DOW

4. Assessor's comments

Comment

The application has been assessed against the clearing principles, planning instruments and other matters in accordance with s510 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986, and the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to the clearing Principles.

5. References

Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

Northcote, K. H. with Beckmann G G, Bettenay E., Churchward H. M., van Dijk D. C., Dimmock G. M., Hubble G. D., Isbell R. F., McArthur W. M., Murtha G. G., Nicolls K. D., Paton T. R., Thompson C. H., Webb A. A. and Wright M. J. (1960-68): 'Atlas of Australian Soils, Sheets 1 to 10, with explanatory data'. CSIRO and Melbourne University Press: Melbourne.

Shepherd, D.P. (2007). Adapted from: Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R., and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001), Native Vegetation in Western Australia. Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture Western Australia, South Perth. Includes subsequent updates for 2006 from Vegetation Extent dataset ANZWA1050000124.

6. Glossary

Term Meaning

BCS Biodiversity Coordination Section of DEC

CALM Department of Conservation and Land Management (now BCS)

DAFWA Department of Agriculture and Food

DEC Department of Environment and Conservation
DEP Department of Environmental Protection (now DEC)

DoE Department of Environment

DoIR Department of Industry and Resources

DRF Declared Rare Flora

EPP Environmental Protection Policy
GIS Geographical Information System
ha Hectare (10,000 square metres)
TEC Threatened Ecological Community
WRC Water and Rivers Commission (now DEC)