

Clearing Permit Decision Report

1. Application details

1.1. Permit application details				
Permit application No.:	3125/1			
Permit type:	Purpose Permit			
1.2. Proponent details				
Proponent's name:	Crescent Gold Limited			
1.3. Property details				
Property:	Mining Lease 38/270			
Local Government Area:	Shire of Laverton			
Colloquial name:	Laverton Gold Project – Craiggiemore Pit			
1.4. Application				
Clearing Area (ha) No.	Trees Method of Clearing	For the purpose of:		
32.2	Mechanical Removal	Mineral Production		
2. Site Information				

2.1. Existing environment and information

2.1.1. Description of the	he native vegetation under application
Vegetation Description	Beard Vegetation Associations have been mapped at a 1:250,000 scale for the whole of Western Australia. One Beard Vegetation Association has been mapped within the application area (GIS Database; Shepherd et al., 2001).
	18: Low woodland; mulga (<i>Acacia aneura</i>)
	The application area was surveyed by Western Botanical staff in July 2007 (MBS Environmental, 2008). The following vegetation types were identified within the application area (MBS Environmental, 2008):
	Rocky Slopes:
	Stony Ironstone Mulga Shrub lands (SIMS): Acacia aneura, Acacia ramulosa var. ramulosa, Acacia spp. aff. quadrimarginea scrub over Eremophila forrestii subsp. forrestii, Scaevola spinescens (narrow leaf form), Senna artemisioides subsp. helmsii, Senna artemisioides subsp. filifolia low scrub.
	Drainage Lines:
	Drainage Tract Mulga Shrub land (DRMS): Vegetation composition is highly variable and is largely composed of species common to surrounding vegetation units. Dominated by <i>Acacia aneura</i> low forest over highly variable understorey, reflecting species present in adjacent habitats, consisting of shrubs, grasses and herbs.
	Three species of introduced flora were recorded within the application area: Pepper Tree (<i>Schinus molle</i>), Wild Watermelon (<i>Citrullus lanatus</i>) and Gooseberry Gourd (<i>Cucumis myriocarpus</i>) (Western Botanical, 2007).
Clearing Description	Crescent Gold Limited has proposed to clear up to 32.2 hectares of native vegetation within an application area of approximately 109.5 hectares (GIS Database). The proposed clearing is located approximately 3.5 kilometres south-south-west of Laverton (GIS Database). The areas cleared will be for waste rock stockpile, a pit, ore stockpile, laydown areas, topsoil stockpiles, site office and self bunded fuel tanks (MBS Environmental, 2008).
	Crescent Gold Limited intends to clear using bulldozers and the vegetation is to be stockpiled for use in rehabilitation. The application area is located within the Craiggiemore deposit, which has been subject to historical mining activities. Currently the Craiggiemore Project consists of an existing pit, three waste rock stockpiles and a haul road (MBS Environmental, 2008).
Vegetation Condition	Good: Structure significantly altered by multiple disturbance; retains basic structure/ability to regenerate (Keighery, 1994)
	To Degraded: Structure severely disturbed; regeneration to good condition requires intensive management (Keighery, 1994).
Comment	The vegetation condition was derived from a vegetation survey conducted by Western Botanical (2007).
	Page 1

_	•			
2 - E - E - E - E - E - E - E - E - E -	Accecement	ot applicatio	on against clearir	na principles
	Assessment		in against cicain	ig principics

(a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The application area occurs within the Eastern Murchison (MUR1) sub-region of the Murchison Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia (IBRA) bioregion (GIS Database). This sub-region is characterised by internal drainage, and extensive areas of elevated red desert sand plains with minimal dune development (CALM, 2001). It contains salt-lake systems associated with the occluded Paleodrainage system (CALM, 2001). This sub-region has broad plains of red-brown soils and breakaway complexes as well as red sand plains (CALM, 2001). The vegetation is dominated by Mulga woodlands often rich in ephemerals, hummock grasslands, saltbush shrub lands and Halosarcia shrub lands (CALM, 2001).

A vegetation survey of the application area and surrounding vegetation identified 109 native flora species belonging to 53 genera from 33 families (MBS Environmental, 2008). This is considered to be biologically diverse. Mimoscaceae (15), Chenopodiaceae (14) and Myoporaceae (13) families were the most diverse within the survey area (MBS Environmental, 2008). This is typical of the floristics of the Murchison IBRA bioregion.

Three alien weed species were recorded within the vegetation survey area (Western Botanical, 2007). Weeds have the potential to alter the biodiversity of an area, competing with native vegetation for available resources and making areas more fire prone. This in turn can lead to greater rates of infestation and further loss of biodiversity if the area is subject to repeated fires. None of these species are listed as 'Declared Plant' species under the *Agriculture and Related Resources Protection Act 1976* by the Department of Agriculture and Food (DAFWA). Should a clearing permit be granted, it is recommended that a condition be imposed for the purposes of weed management.

An area search of the Department of Environment and Conservation's online fauna database conducted by the assessing officer suggests that the application area is diverse in reptile species (DEC, 2009). The database search found 45 reptile species as potentially occurring within the application area, or within a 20 kilometre radius of the application area.

Although the application area is high in floral and faunal diversity, it is not likely to have greater diversity than similar areas within the region, particularly as parts of the application area have been degraded by previous disturbance from mining and pastoral activities. The landforms, vegetation types and fauna habitats in the application area are well represented in the Murchison Region (MBS Environmental, 2008; GIS Database). It is not likely that the application area comprises a higher level of biological diversity than other undisturbed areas within the sub-region.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology CALM (2001)

DEC (2009) MBS Environmental (2008) Western Botanical (2007) GIS Database - Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia

(b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The assessing officer has conducted a search of the Department of Environment and Conservation's online fauna database between the coordinates 122.6011°E, 28.4693°S and 122.1759°E, 28.8529°S, representing a 20 kilometre radius around the application area.

This search identified 5 Amphibian, 8 Mammalian, 14 Avian and 45 Reptilian species that may occur within the application area (DEC, 2009). Of these, the following species of conservation significance has previously been recorded within the search area: Bilby (*Macrotis lagotis*), Numbat (*Myrmecobius fasciatus*), Bernier Is. Banded Hare-wallaby (*Lagostrophus fasciatus* subsp. *fasciatus*) and the Princess Parrot (*Polytelis alexandrae*).

Coffey Environments (2008) conducted a desktop search of

- Western Australian Museums online database (26.5°- 28.5°S and 121.5°- 123°E);
- Department of Environment and Conservation's (DEC) Threatened Fauna database (26.5° 28.5°S and 121.5°- 123°E); and the
- Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation (EPBC) ACT 1999 online database (26.5° - 28.5°S and 121.5°- 123°E (Coffey Environments, 2008).

In addition to those species listed above, the following fauna species of conservation significance were

identified through this database search: Mulgara (*Dasycercus cristicauda*), Malleefowl (*Leipoa ocellata*), Giant Desert Skink (*Egernia kintorei*), Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus*), *Branchinella apophysata*, Australian Bustard (*Ardeotis australis*), Slender Billed Thornbill (*Acanthiza iredalei iredalei*), Rainbow Bee-eater (*Merops ornatus*), Great Egret (*Ardea alba*), Oriental Plover (*Charadrius veredus*) and the Fork Tailed Swift (*Apus pacificus*).

A vegetation survey conducted by Western Botanical (2007) recorded two habitat types as occurring within the application area:

- Stony Ironstone Mulga Shrublands (SIMS) Acacia aneura, Acacia ramulosa var. ramulosa, Acacia spp. aff. quadrimarginea scrub over Eremophila forrestii subsp. forrestii, Scaevola spinescens (narrow leaf form), Senna artemisioides subsp. helmsii, Senna artemisioides subsp. filifolia low scrub.
- Drainage Tract Mulga Shrubland (DRMS) Vegetation composition is highly variable and is largely composed of species common to surrounding vegetation units. Dominated by *Acacia aneura* low forest over highly variable understorey, reflecting species present in adjacent habitats, consisting of shrubs, grasses and herbs (Western Botanical, 2007).

Coffey Environments (2008) conducted a fauna survey of the application area in May 2008. It was observed that mulga woodland on a rocky-clay substrate is the dominant vegetation type within the application area (Coffey Environments, 2008). The vegetation type described by Coffey Environments (2008) is well represented throughout the Laverton region and the application area is extensively disturbed due to historical mining activities (MBS Environmental, 2008). The Craiggiemore deposit has been subject to historical mining operations, with the application area currently consisting of the existing Craiggiemore pit, three waste rock stockpiles and a haul road (MBS Environmental, 2008).

Given the small size of the application area (32.2 hectares) and that approximately 60% of the application area consists of previously disturbed land it is unlikely that the proposed clearing will significantly impact on fauna habitat.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology Coffey Environments (2008) DEC (2009) MBS Environmental (2008) Western Botanical (2007)

(c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

According to available databases, no Declared Rare Flora (DRF) or Priority Flora species occur within the application area (GIS Database).

A flora survey was conducted over the application area by Western Botanical staff on 21 - 23 July 2007 (Western Botanical, 2007). This survey involved the area being traversed by one team of two people via a four wheel drive and on foot where appropriate. Different vegetation groups encountered during the surveys were described and the vegetation associations were examined for the presence or absence of any Declared Rare Flora and Priority Flora species (Western Botanical, 2007). No species of Declared Rare or Priority flora were recorded during the flora survey.

Relatively low rainfall in the months prior to the survey meant that most annuals were absent during the survey period. However, a desktop database search of the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) known DRF, Priority-Listed Flora and Threatened Ecological Communities (TEC's) indicated that four known annuals of conservation significance may occur within the application area. Namely, these are: *Vittadinia cervicularis* var. *oldfieldii* (P1), *Goodenia lyrata* (P1), *Gunniopsis propinqua* (P3) and *Gunniopsis rubra* (P3) (Western Botanical, 2007).

Vittadinia cervicularis var. *oldfieldii* (P1) is an annual herb, growing 0.1 - 0.3 metres high (Western Australian Herbarium, 2009). Two populations of this species have previously been recorded from Laverton and Merredin (Western Australian Herbarium, 2009). It is unlikely that this species would be found within the application area due to the previous disturbance the area has suffered.

Goodenia lyrata (P1) is a prostrate herb with lyrate leaves and is associated with red sandy loam and near claypans (Western Australian Herbarium, 2009). Previous records indicate that 6 populations of this species have been identified with infrequent abundance (Western Australian Herbarium, 2009).

Gunniopsis propinqua (P3) is a prostrate annual herb, 0.03 - 0.1 metres tall with white and pink flowers (Western Australian Herbarium, 2009). This species is associated with stony sandy loam and lateritic outcrops (Western Australian Herbarium, 2009). Records indicate that 15 populations *G. propinqua* have previously been recorded, and is considered to be common in frequency (Western Australian Herbarium, 2009).

Gunniopsis rubra (P3) is a prostrate annual herb, 0.01 - 0.03 metres high (Western Australian Herbarium, 2009). This species has previously been recorded 23 times, with population sizes varying from isolated plants to in common (Western Australian Herbarium, 2009). Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle. Methodology Western Australian Herbarium (2009) Western Botanical (2007) **GIS** Database - Declared Rare and Priority Flora List Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the (d) maintenance of a threatened ecological community. Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle A search of available databases reveals that there are no Threatened Ecological Communities (TECs) within the application area (GIS Database). There are no TEC's located within the East Murchison IBRA sub-region (CALM, 2001). MBS Environmental (2008) reported that no Threatened Ecological Communities were identified during the flora survey of the application area. The application area is located approximately 6.5 kilometres north-east of Mount Jumbo, which is listed as an 'ecosystem at risk' in 'A Biodiversity Audit of Western Australia's 53 Biogeographical Subregions in 2002' (CALM, 2001). The Mount Jumbo Range Vegetation Complex has been given a status of vulnerable, with threatening processes being listed as grazing pressure, feral animals (goats and rabbits) and changed fire regimes (CALM, 2001). The vegetation types according to the National Vegetation Inventory System (NVIS), listed as occurring in this area are mixed species arid Acacia woodlands and shrub lands. The Mount Jumbo Range Vegetation Complex is listed as being in good condition although vulnerable (CALM, 2001). The Banded Ironstone Hills are another 'ecosystem at risk' within close proximity to the application area (ANRA, 2008). There are Banded Ironstone Formation (BIF) communities restricted to three small areas within the Craiggiemore locality, totalling 17 hectares (Western Botanical, 2007). The nearest of these is located approximately 0.6 kilometres north of the application area (MBS Environmental, 2008). The Banded Ironstone Formation communities have been given a status of vulnerable, with threatening processes being listed as grazing pressure, feral animals (goats and rabbits) and changed fire regimes (ANRA, 2008). Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle. Methodology ANRA (2008) CALM (2001) MBS Environmental (2008) Western Botanical (2008) **GIS** Database - Threatened Ecological Communities (e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared. Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle The application area falls within the IBRA Murchison bioregion (GIS Database). Shepherd et al. (2001) report that approximately 100% of the pre-European vegetation still exists in this Bioregion. The vegetation in the application area is recorded as Beard Vegetation Association 18: Low woodland; mulga (Acacia aneura) (GIS Database; Shepherd et al., 2001). According to Shepherd et al., (2001) approximately 100% of Beard Vegetation Association 18 remains within the Murchison bioregion (see table below).

		Pre-European area (ha)*	Current extent (ha)*	Remaining %*	Conservation Status**	Pre-European % in IUCN Class I-IV Reserves
	IBRA Bioregion – Murchison	28,120,558	28,120,558	~100.0%	Least Concern	~1.1%
	Beard veg assoc. – State		I			
	18	19,892,437	19,890,348	~100.0%	Least Concern	~2.1%
	Beard veg assoc. – Bioregion					
	18	12,406,248	12,403,248	~100.0%	Least Concern	~0.4%
	* Shepherd et al. (200 ** Department of Natu		d Environment (20)02)		
	Based on the above, t	he proposed clea	ring is not at varia	nce to this Pri	nciple.	
Methodology	Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Shepherd et al. (2001) updated 2005 GIS Database - Pre-European Vegetation - Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia					
	vegetation should ne ted with a watercou			n, or in asso	ciation with, a	n environment
Comments	Proposal is at variance to this Principle According to available GIS Databases, there are no permanent watercourses within the application area, however, there are two minor, non-perennial watercourses within the application area (GIS Database).					
	Based on vegetation mapping conducted by Western Botanical (2007) there would appear to be riparian vegetation present within the application area (GIS Database; MBS Environmental, 2008). The following vegetation community is present within the application area and is indicative of riparian vegetation:					
	Drainag	e Tract Mulga Sh	rub land (DRMS)		
	The vegetation associated with the drainage channels is likely to be a fauna refuge and as such disturbance should be kept to a minimum.					
	The application area experiences a rainfall of approximately 233 millimetres/year according to the nearest recording station at Laverton (BoM, 2009). The application area also experiences a pan evaporation rate of approximately 3,200 millimetres/year (Luke et al., 1987). Based on this, the watercourses within the application area would only be expected to carry water during high rainfall events as during normal rainfall events surface water is either quickly utilised by vegetation or lost to evaporation.					
	Based on the above, t located within the app unlikely to result in an patterns are not distur	lication area is onl y significant impac	ly likely to flow fol	lowing signific	ant rainfall, the p	
Methodology	BoM (2009) Luke et al. (1987) MBS Environmental (2 GIS Database - Hydrography - Linea	-				
	vegetation should ne gradation.	ot be cleared if	the clearing of	the vegetat	tion is likely to	cause appreciable
Comments	Proposal may be a					
	The application area h 1994). The application 2008):	as been surveyed	by the Departme			
		g Land System and System				

The Brooking Land System is described as prominent ridges of banded iron formation, supporting mulga shrub lands; occasional minor halophytic communities in the south-east (Van Vreeswyk et al., 1994). An analysis of aerial photography for the application area reveals the application area is most likely to fall within the 'narrow drainage tracts' and 'stony plains' land units of the Brooking Land System. The stone mantles of these land units provide effective protection against soil erosion but the disturbance or removal of stone mantles may initiate soil erosion (Van Vreeswyk et al., 1994). The vegetation described by Van Vreeswyk et al. (1994) accurately reflects the vegetation types described in the vegetation surveys conducted over the area (MBS Environmental, 2008).

The Violet Land System is described as undulating stony and gravely plains and low rises, supporting mulga shrub lands (Van Vreeswyk et al., 1994). An analysis of aerial photography for the application area reveals the application area is most likely to fall within the 'narrow drainage tracts', 'lateritic sandy plains', 'stony plains' and 'hardpan plains' land units of the Violet Land System. The soils of these land units are not susceptible to erosion due to abundant stony mantles except in the narrow drainage tracts land unit which are mildly susceptible to water erosion (Van Vreeswyk et al., 1994). In circumstances where the mantle is removed or disturbed, the soil can become moderately susceptible to water erosion. The vegetation described by Van Vreeswyk et al. (1994) accurately reflects the vegetation types described in vegetation surveys conducted over the area (MBS Environmental, 2008).

Based on the above the proposed clearing may be at variance to this Principle. Should a permit be granted, it is recommended that a condition be imposed on the permit to retain vegetative material and topsoil, as well as a requirement to stage clearing whereby the purpose for which the clearing has been authorised takes place within six months of the clearing.

Methodology MBS Environmental (2008) Van Vreeswyk et al. (1994) GIS Database

- Rangeland Land System Mapping

(h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The application area is located approximately 127 kilometres to the south-south-west of the De La Poer Range Nature Reserve (GIS Database). At this distance it is not likely that the vegetation within the application area provides a buffer to a conservation area, or is important as an ecological linkage to a conservation area. The vegetation types within the application area are well replicated in other land systems within the Murchison region. Consequently, their conservation status is under no threat.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

- Methodology GIS Database - CALM Managed Lands and Waters
- (i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

According to available databases, the application area is located within an unassigned Public Drinking Water Source Area (PDWSA) (GIS Database). The Department of Water (DoW) considered the proposal and provided no comment (DoW, 2009).

There are no permanent water bodies or watercourses within the application area (GIS Database). The application area is located in an arid region, with mainly winter rainfall (CALM, 2001). With an average rainfall of approximately 233 millimetres/year (BoM, 2009) and an annual pan evaporation rate of 3,200 millimetres/year (Luke et al., 1987), there is little surface flow during normal seasonal rains. The proposed clearing is not likely to cause the quality of surface water to deteriorate.

The application area is located within the Yilgarn Goldfields Groundwater Province (GIS Database). The groundwater salinity within the application area is approximately 1,000 - 3,000 milligrams/Litre Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (GIS Database). Given the size of the area to be cleared (32.2 hectares) compared to the size of the Yilgarn Goldfields Groundwater Province (29,644,595 hectares) (GIS Database), the proposed clearing is not likely to cause salinity levels within the application area to alter significantly.

Groundwater has been measured at depths of 66 metres and was found to be alkaline and highly saline (MBS Environmental, 2008). The proposed clearing is not likely to cause groundwater quality to deteriorate.

There are no known groundwater dependent ecosystems within the application area (GIS Database).

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology BoM (2009) CALM (2001) DoW (2009) Luke et al. (1987) MBS Environmental (2008) **GIS** Database - Groundwater Provinces - Groundwater Salinity, Statewide - Hydrography - Linear - Public Drinking Water Source Area - Potential Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the (j) incidence or intensity of flooding. Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle The application area is located within the Lake Carey catchment area (GIS Database). The size of the area to be cleared (32.2 hectares) in relation to the size of the Lake Carey catchment area (11,378,213 hectares) is not likely to lead to an increase in flood height or duration (GIS Database). The application area receives low rainfall (approximately 233 millimetres/year), usually experienced during the winter months (CALM, 2001). The water systems located within and in close proximity to the application area are dry for the majority of the year and only flow during and immediately after significant rainfall (MBS Environmental, 2008). It is likely that during times of intense rainfall there may be some localised flooding in adjacent areas. Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle. Methodology CALM (2001) MBS Environmental (2008) **GIS** Database - Hydrographic Catchments - Catchments Planning instrument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter. Comments

There is one Native Title Claim (WC99-001) over the area under application. This claim has been registered with the National Native Title Tribunal on behalf of the claimant group. However, the tenement has been granted in accordance with the future act regime of the *Native Title Act 1993* and the nature of the act (i.e. the proposed clearing activity) has been provided for in that process, therefore the granting of a clearing permit is not a future act under the *Native Title Act 1993*.

There are no known Aboriginal sites of significance within the application area (GIS Database). The nearest known Aboriginal site of significance (ID_16081) is located approximately 2.1 kilometres north-north-east of the application area (GIS Database). It is the proponent's responsibility to comply with the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972* and ensure that no Aboriginal sites of significance are damaged through the clearing process.

The application area is located within an unassigned Public Drinking Water Source Area and is situated outside of the Laverton Water Reserve (MBS Environmental, 2008; GIS Database). The Department of Water (DoW) considered the proposal and provided no comment (DoW, 2009).

It is the proponent's responsibility to liaise with the Department of Environment and Conservation and the Department of Water, to determine whether a Works Approval, Water Licence, Bed and Banks Permit, or any other licences or approvals are required for the proposed works.

No public submissions were received in regard to this Clearing Permit application.

Methodology

DoW (2009) MBS Environmental (2009)

GIS Database

- Aboriginal Sites of Significance

- Native Title Claims

- Public Drinking Water Source Area (PDWSA)

4. Assessor's comments

Comment

The proposal has been assessed against the Clearing Principles, and the proposal is at variance to Principle (f), may be at variance to Principle (g), is not likely to be at variance to Principles (a), (b), (c),(d), (h), (i) and (j) and is not at variance to Principle (e).

It is recommended that should a permit be granted, conditions be imposed on the permit for the purpose of weed management, retaining vegetative material and topsoil, staged clearing, record keeping and permit reporting.

5. References

ANRA (2008) Australian Natural Resources Atlas - Murchison. http://www.anra.gov.au/topics/vegetation/assessment/wa/ibramur-ecosystem-threats.html (Accessed September 28 2008)

- BoM (2009) Bureau of Meteorology Website Climate Averages by Number, Averages for LAVERTON. www.bom.gov.au/climate/averages/tables/cw_012045.shtml (Accessed 3 June 2009)
- CALM (2001) A Biodiversity Audit of Western Australia's 53 Biogeographical Subregions. Murchison 1 (MUR1 East Murchison subregion) Department of Conservation and Land management, Western Australia
- Coffey Environments (2008) Level 1 Fauna Assessment Craiggiemore Deposit Laverton Gold Project. Prepared for Crescent Gold Limited. Unpublished Report dated June 2008
- DEC (2009) NatureMap Department of environment and Conservation and Western Australian Museum. http://naturemap.dec.wa.gov.au/default.aspx (Accessed 9 June 2009)
- Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Biodiversity Action Planning. Action planning for native biodiversity at multiple scales; catchment bioregional, landscape, local. Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.
- DoW (2009) Water Quality Advice. Advice to assessing officer, Native Vegetation Assessment Branch, Department of Mines and Petroleum (DMP), received (18 June 2009). Department of Water, Western Australia
- Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.
- Luke, G.J., Burke, K.L. and O'Brien, T.M. (1987) Evaporation Data for Western Australia. Resource Management Technical Report No. 65. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia
- MBS Environmental (2008) Purpose Permit Application: Laverton Gold Project, Craiggiemore Pit (M38/270). Native Vegetation Management Plan and Assessment of Clearing Principles. Prepared for Crescent Gold Limited. Unpublished Report dated July 2008
- Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R. and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001) Native Vegetation in Western Australia, Extent, Type and Status. Resource Management Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia (updated 2005).

Van Vreeswyk, A.M.E., Payne, A.L., Hennig, P., and Leighton, K.A. (1994) An Inventory and Condition Survey of Rangelands in the North-eastern Goldfields, Western Australia, Department of Agriculture, Western Australia

Western Australian Herbarium (2009) - FloraBase - The Western Australian Flora. Department of Environment and Conservation. http://florabase.calm.wa.gov.au/ (Accessed 9 June 2009)

Western Botanical (2007) Flora and Vegetation of the Craiggiemore Project Area and Associated Haul Road Alignment. Prepared for MBS Environmental Pty Ltd. Unpublished Report dated November 2007

6. Glossary

<u>Acronyms:</u>

ВоМ	Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Government.
CALM	Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.
DAFWA	Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia.
DA	Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.
DEC	Department of Environment and Conservation
DEH	Department of Environment and Heritage (federal based in Canberra) previously Environment Australia
DEP	Department of Environment Protection (now DoE), Western Australia.
DIA	Department of Indigenous Affairs
DLI	Department of Land Information, Western Australia.
DMP	Department of Mines and Petroleum, Western Australia.
DoE	Department of Environment, Western Australia.
DolR	Department of Industry and Resources, Western Australia.
DOLA	Department of Land Administration, Western Australia.
DoW	Department of Water
EP Act	Environment Protection Act 1986, Western Australia.
EPBC Act	Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Federal Act)
GIS	Geographical Information System.
IBRA	Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia.
IUCN	International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources – commonly known as the World
	Conservation Union
RIWI	Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914, Western Australia.
s.17	Section 17 of the Environment Protection Act 1986, Western Australia.
TECs	Threatened Ecological Communities.

Definitions:

{Atkins, K (2005). Declared rare and priority flora list for Western Australia, 22 February 2005. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Como, Western Australia} :-

- P1 Priority One Poorly Known taxa: taxa which are known from one or a few (generally <5) populations which are under threat, either due to small population size, or being on lands under immediate threat, e.g. road verges, urban areas, farmland, active mineral leases, etc., or the plants are under threat, e.g. from disease, grazing by feral animals, etc. May include taxa with threatened populations on protected lands. Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in urgent need of further survey.
- P2 Priority Two Poorly Known taxa: taxa which are known from one or a few (generally <5) populations, at least some of which are not believed to be under immediate threat (i.e. not currently endangered). Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in urgent need of further survey.
- P3 Priority Three Poorly Known taxa: taxa which are known from several populations, at least some of which are not believed to be under immediate threat (i.e. not currently endangered). Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in need of further survey.
- P4 Priority Four Rare taxa: taxa which are considered to have been adequately surveyed and which, whilst being rare (in Australia), are not currently threatened by any identifiable factors. These taxa require monitoring every 5–10 years.
- **R Declared Rare Flora Extant taxa** (= *Threatened Flora = Endangered + Vulnerable*): taxa which have been adequately searched for, and are deemed to be in the wild either rare, in danger of extinction, or otherwise in need of special protection, and have been gazetted as such, following approval by the Minister for the Environment, after recommendation by the State's Endangered Flora Consultative Committee.
- X Declared Rare Flora Presumed Extinct taxa: taxa which have not been collected, or otherwise verified, over the past 50 years despite thorough searching, or of which all known wild populations have been destroyed more recently, and have been gazetted as such, following approval by the Minister for the Environment, after recommendation by the State's Endangered Flora Consultative Committee.

{Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2005} [Wildlife Conservation Act 1950] :-

- Schedule 1 Fauna that is rare or likely to become extinct: being fauna that is rare or likely to become extinct, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.
- Schedule 2 Fauna that is presumed to be extinct: being fauna that is presumed to be extinct, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.
- Schedule 3 Schedule 3 Birds protected under an international agreement: being birds that are subject to an agreement between the governments of Australia and Japan relating to the protection of migratory birds and birds in danger of extinction, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.
- Schedule 4 Other specially protected fauna: being fauna that is declared to be fauna that is in need of special protection, otherwise than for the reasons mentioned in Schedules 1, 2 or 3.

{CALM (2005). Priority Codes for Fauna. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Como, Western Australia} :-

- P1 Priority One: Taxa with few, poorly known populations on threatened lands: Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from one or a few localities on lands not managed for conservation, e.g. agricultural or pastoral lands, urban areas, active mineral leases. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.
- P2 Priority Two: Taxa with few, poorly known populations on conservation lands: Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from one or a few localities on lands not under immediate threat of habitat destruction or degradation, e.g. national parks, conservation parks, nature reserves, State forest, vacant Crown land, water reserves, etc. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.
- P3 Priority Three: Taxa with several, poorly known populations, some on conservation lands: Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from several localities, some of which are on lands not under immediate threat of habitat destruction or degradation. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.
- P4 Priority Four: Taxa in need of monitoring: Taxa which are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and which are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection, but could be if present circumstances change. These taxa are usually represented on conservation lands.
- **P5 Priority Five: Taxa in need of monitoring**: Taxa which are not considered threatened but are subject to a specific conservation program, the cessation of which would result in the species becoming threatened within five years.

Categories of threatened species (Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999)

- **EX Extinct:** A native species for which there is no reasonable doubt that the last member of the species has died.
- EX(W) Extinct in the wild: A native species which:

- (a) is known only to survive in cultivation, in captivity or as a naturalised population well outside its past range; or
- (b) has not been recorded in its known and/or expected habitat, at appropriate seasons, anywhere in its past range, despite exhaustive surveys over a time frame appropriate to its life cycle and form.
- **CR Critically Endangered:** A native species which is facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.

EN Endangered: A native species which:

- (a) is not critically endangered; and
- (b) is facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.
- VU Vulnerable: A native species which:
 - (a) is not critically endangered or endangered; and
 - (b) is facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.
- **CD Conservation Dependent:** A native species which is the focus of a specific conservation program, the cessation of which would result in the species becoming vulnerable, endangered or critically endangered within a period of 5 years.