

# **Clearing Permit Decision Report**

# 1. Application details

1.1. Permit application details

Permit application No.:

3339/1

Permit type:

Area Permit

1.2. Proponent details

Proponent's name:

Shire of West Arthur

1.3. Property details

Property:

LOT 299 ON PLAN 186886 ( DARKAN 6392)

DARKAN TOWNSITE LOT 295 (House No. 2 MOODIARRUP DARKAN 6392)

Local Government Area:

Colloquial name:

Clearing Area (ha)

1.4. Application

No. Trees

**Method of Clearing** 

For the purpose of: Miscellaneous

Mechanical Removal

# 2. Site Information

# 2.1. Existing environment and information

# 2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

# **Vegetation Description**

Beard vegetation association:

6772: Eucalyptus Woodlands 'Williams' over Daviesia mixed open shrublands/Acacia mixed open heath

#### Clearing Description

The proposal is to clear 0.35 ha or 86 native trees for the purpose of community sporting facilities, grounds and access roads.

#### Vegetation Condition

Degraded: Structure severely disturbed; regeneration to good condition requires intensive management (Keighery 1994)

#### Comment

A site inspection was not undertaken by the regional office. The condition of the native vegetation under application was determined through aerial photography (Darkan 50cm Orthomosaic-Landgate 2006)

#### Mattiske vegetation association:

DK3: Open woodland of Allocasuarina huegeliana-Acacia acuminata with occasional Eucalyptus rudis and Eucalyptus wandoo on variable slopes near granite outcrops and woodland of Eucalyptus astringens-Eucalyptus wandoo on breakaways in the arid zone.

# 3. Assessment of application against clearing principles

# (a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

#### Comments

# Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

Three species of priority flora and two species of priority fauna are known from the local area (10 km radius). The area under application (0.35 ha) consists of predominantly parkland cleared mature trees and therefore is not thought to comprise an area of high biodiversity.

#### Methodology

Keighery (1994) DEC (2009) ANCA (1996) EPA (2000)

#### GIS database:

- Darkan 50cm Orthomosaic Landgate 2006
- CALM Managed Lands and Waters CALM 01/06/05
- SAC Biodatasets accessed 19-10-09
- Mattiske Vegetation (01/03/1998)
- Declared Rare and Priority Flora List DEC 2009
- Heddle Vegetation Complexes DEP 22/06/95
- Pre European Vegetation DA 01/01

- Clearing Regulations, Environmentally Sensitive Areas 2009
- NLWRA, Current Extent of Native Vegetation 20 Jan 2001
- (b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

# Comments Proposal may be at variance to this Principle

Two fauna species listed as threatened species occur within the local area (10 km radius): the Western Rosella (inland ssp) Platycercus icterotis xanthogenys and the Brush-tailed Phascogale Phascogale tapoatafa. Both taxa area also Federally listed species under the EPBC Act 1999 and are listed as 'vulnerable'. Two priority four fauna species are also recorded within the local area: the Western Brush Wallaby Macropus irma and the Carpet Python Morelia spilota imbricata.

Although the vegetation within the applied area is degraded in condition, the mature trees may still be suitable habitat for bird species and potentially the Brush-tailed Phascogale for nesting (hollows) and feeding. Therefore it is considered that the vegetation under application may be at variance to this Principle. A fauna management condition has been placed on the permit to mitigate this impact.

#### Methodology

**DEC 2009** 

EPBC Act (1999)

Keighery (1994)

#### GIS database:

- Darkan 50cm Orthomosaic Landgate 2006
- DEC Managed Lands and Waters -
- Mattiske Vegetation (01/03/1998)
- SAC Biodatasets accessed 19-10-09
- Hydrography linear DOW 13/7/06
- Hydrography linear (hierarchy) DoW 13/7/06
- (c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

# Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

One species of rare flora has been recorded within the local area (10 km radius). As this species is known from seasonally wet soils in moss swards & herbfields among granite rocks it is highly unlikely to occur in the applied area.

Additionally, given the degraded condition of the vegetation, it is unlikely that rare flora will occur within the area under application.

### Methodology

DEC (2009 Nature map)

DEC (2009)

WA Herbarium

Mattiske Consulting (1998)

#### GIS database:

- Darkan 50cm Orthomosaic Landgate 2006
- Declared Rare and Priority Flora List DEC 2009
- Mattiske Vegetation (01/03/1998)
- Heddle Vegetation Complexes DEP 22/06/95
- Pre European Vegetation DA 01/01
- SAC Biodatasets accessed Oct 09
- Soils, Statewide DA 11/99
- (d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.

### Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

No TECs or PECs have been recorded within the local area (10 km radius). Given that the applied area consists of 86 trees only, it is highly unlikely that the applied area is part of, or necessary for the maintenance of, a significant ecological community.

### Methodology TEC Database (Accessed Oct 2009)

# GIS Database:

- Darkan 50cm Orthomosaic Landgate 2006
- SAC Biodatasets accessed Oct 09
- Mattiske Vegetation (01/03/1998)

- Heddle Vegetation Complexes DEP 22/06/95
- Pre European Vegetation DA 01/01
- Soils, Statewide DA 11/99

# (e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

#### Comments

# Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) supports the retention of remnant native vegetation to a 30% threshold level as recommended in the National Objectives Targets for Biodiversity Conservation below which, species loss appears to accelerate exponentially at an ecosystem level (EPA, 2000).

The vegetation types under application retains less than this 30% threshold:

,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Pre-European (ha)	Current extent Re (ha)	emaining (%)	% In reserves DEC Managed Land
IBRA Bioregions* Jarrah Forest^	4,506,665	2,440,940	54.2	69.3
Shire*				
West Arthur	283,205	79,145	27.9	37.3
Mattiske Vegetation Complex DK3	** 72,896	8,552	11.7	N/A
Beard Vegetation Association 4	n* 1,054,279	254,656	24.1	25.4
Beard Vegetation Association 4	with Bioregion 1,022,712	* 247,941	24.2	25.8

<sup>\* (</sup>Shepherd et al. 2007)

However, the vegetation under application is in a degraded condition and therefore is unlikely to represent these vegetation complexes. Therefore, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this Principle.

#### Methodology

ANZECC (2000)

DEC (2009)

EPA (2000)

Hopkins et al. (2001)

Mattiske Consulting (1998)

Shepherd (2007)

Shepherd et al (2001)

GIS Databases:

- Darkan 50cm Orthomosaic Landgate 2006
- Heddle Vegetation Complexes DEP 1995
- Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia EA 2000
- Local Government Authorities DLI 2004
- Mattiske Vegetation CALM 1998
- Pre European Vegetation DA 2001
- SAC Biodatasets accessed Oct 09
- NLWRA, Current Extent of Native Vegetation 2001

# (f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.

#### Comments

### Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

No ANCA or EPP Lakes occur within the local area (10 km radius) and only two small wetlands are mapped (Namine Swamp and an un-named swamp). Neither is in close enough proximity to be significantly affected by the proposed clearing.

Two major, non perennial water course traverse the local area but are over 5 km from the proposed clearing and are therefore unlikely to be signficantly affected by the proposed clearing.

<sup>\*\* (</sup>Mattiske Consulting 1998)

<sup>^</sup> Area within Intensive Land Use Zone

No riparian vegetationis proposed clearing and given the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance.

#### Methodology

DEC (2009)

DoE (2005)

EPP (1992)

WRC (2001)

**GIS Databases:** 

- Darkan 50cm Orthomosaic Landgate 2006
- ANCA wetlands Environment Australia 1999
- DEC Managed Lands and Waters DEC 2009
- EPP Lakes Policy Area DEP 1997
- EPP Wetlands EPA 2004
- Clearing Regulations ESAs 2005
- Geomorphic Wetlands (Mgt Categories), SCP DEC 2007
- Hydrography linear DOW 2006
- Hydrography linear (hierarchy) DoW 2006
- Ramsar wetlands DEC 2003

# (g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

#### Comments

#### Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The soils are mapped as "valley plains in which some salinity is usually present: chief soils are hard neutral, and also alkaline, yellow mottled soils. Associated are small areas of many other soils including minor areas of sands."

The area proposed to be cleared is not within a mapped risk area for Acid Sulphate Soils or within a mapped Sainity Risk Area. The risk of salinity, eutrophication, wind erosion and water erosion causing land degradation are considered to be low. Therefore the area under application is considered to be not likely to be at variance to this principle.

#### Methodology

Northcote et al. (1968)

GIS Databases:

- Darkan 50cm Orthomosaic Landgate 2006
- ASS Risk Map DEC 2006
- Av Annual Rainfall Isohyets WRC 1998
- Annual Isopleths WRC 1998
- Hydrogeology, statewide DOW 2006
- Hydrographic catchments, catchments & subcatchments DoW 2007
- Hydrography, linear DOW 2006
- Salinity Risk LM 25m DOLA 2000
- Soils, Statewide DA 1999
- Topographic contours statewide DOLA and ARMY 2002
- Hydrogeology, Statewide 2002

# (h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

#### Comments

# Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are two nature reserves within the local area (10 km radius) but both area over 6 km from the proposed clearing. The proposed clearing will not significantly effect the conservation values of either area and does not form part of a significant ecological linkage.

Therefore the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

#### Methodology

GIS Databases:

- Darkan 50cm Orthomosaic Landgate 2006
- DEC Managed Lands and Waters DEC 2005
- Hydrography, linear DOW 2006
- Register of National Estate Environment Australia, 2002

# (i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

#### Comments

### Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The proposed clearing is within the Upper Blackwood Catchment (Hardy Estuary Blackwood River) Hydrographic Catchment area. Given the small application area, the proposed removal of native vegetation is

Page 4

unlikely to significantly degrade water quality within the area. Therefore, the proposal is not likely to be at variance to this principle.

### Methodology

Keighery (1994)

DEC (2009)

DEC (2006)

DOW (2008)

GIS databases:

- Darkan 50cm Orthomosaic Landgate 2006
- Evapotransporation Isopleths WRC 1998
- Groundwater Salinity Statewide DoW 2006
- Hydrographic catchments, catchments & subcatchments- DoW 2007
- Hydrography, linear DOW 2006
- Mean Annual Rainfall Isohytes DEC 2005
- Salinity Risk LM 25m DOLA 00
- Topographic Contours Statewide DOLA 2002

# (j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.

### Comments

# Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The clearing of 0.35 ha (approximately 86 trees) in an area that is not low-lying and has relatively free draining soils, would have a negligible effect on flooding episodes.

Therefore the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

#### Methodology

Keighery (1994)

DEC (2009)

GIS databases:

- Darkan 50cm Orthomosaic Landgate 2006
- Environmental Impact Assessments EPA 2007
- Evaporation Isopleths WRC 1998
- Hydrographic catchments, catchments & subcatchments- DoW 2007
- Hydrography, linear DoW 2006
- Mean Annual Rainfall Isohytes DEC 2005
- Topographic Contours, Statewide DOLA 2002

# Planning instrument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.

#### Comments

No submissions from the public have been received.

No EP Act licences or approvals are required.

The Town Planning Scheme Zone is Parks & Recreation, which is compatible with the proposed purpose of the clearing (Sporting facilities).

The applied area is within the EPA Position Paper No.2 Agriculture Region in which "... the removal of biodiversity has already been too much and agricultural practises have not been able to mimic the ecological function performed by the former native plant communities" (EPA 2000). "Now all existing remnant vegetation is important, and it should be managed to ensure its retention" (EPA 1988). Although the purpose of the clearing is not for agriculture, it is still within a highly cleared landscape.

# Methodology

EPA (2000)

EPA (1988)

GIS database:

- Cadastre Landgate Dec 07
- Native Title Claims LA 2/5/07
- RIWI Act, Groundwater Areas DoW 13/07/06
- RIWI Act, Irrigation Districts DoW 13/07/06
- Town Planning Scheme Zones MFP 31/08/98
- Country Area Water Supply Act (Part IIA) Clearing Control Catchments 29/06/2006
- Aboriginal Sites of Significance 26 April 2007
- Public Drinking Water Source Areas (PDWSAs) ? 07/02/06

#### 4. Assessor's comments

#### Comment

The application has been assessed against the clearing principles, planning instruments and other matters in accordance with s510 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986, and the proposed clearing is may be at variance to Principle (b) and is not likely to be at variance to the remaining clearing Principles.

#### 5. References

AGPS (2001) The national objective and targets for biodiversity conservation 2001-2005. Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.

ANCA (1996) A Directory of Important Wetlands in Australia. Second Edition. Australian Nature Conservation Agency, Canberra

Brown A., Thomson-Dans C. and Marchant N.(1998). Western Australia's Threatened Flora, Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.

Burbidge, A. (2004) Threatened Animals of Western Australia, Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth, Western Australia.

Commonwealth of Australia (2001) National Targets and Objectives for Biodiversity Conservation 2001-2005, AGPS, Canberra.

Cresswell, I.D. and Thomas, G.M. (1997) Terrestrial and Marine Protected Areas in Australia. Environment Australia Biodiversity Group, Canberra. February 1997, Background Report Part H - National Estate Report, Joint Tasmanian; Commonwealth Steering Committee, Cc/o Public Land Use Commission, Hobart, TAS.

DEC (2009) REGION Regional Advice. Department of Environment and Conservation Trim Ref DOC101732.

Department of Conservation and Land Management (2002) A Biodiversity Audit of Western Australia's 53 Biogeographical Subregions.

EPA (1992) Environmental Protection (Swan Coastal Plain Lakes) Policy 1992. Western Australian Government Gazette, 24 December 1992, pp 6287-93.

EPA (1998) Environmental Protection (South West Wetlands) Policy 1998.

EPA (1999) Review of the Environmental Protection (Swan Coastal Plains Lakes) Policy 1992, Environmental Protection Authority, Western Australia.

EPA (2000) Environmental protection of native vegetation in Western Australia. Clearing of native vegetation, with particular reference to the agricultural area. Position Statement No. 2. December 2000. Environmental Protection Authority, Western Australia.

EPA (2001) Environmental Protection of Wetlands. Preliminary Position Statement No.4. Perth, Western Australia.

EPA (2002) Terrestrial Biological Surveys as an element of biodiversity protection. Position Statement No. 3. March 2002. Environmental Protection Authority, Western Australia.

EPA (2004) Guidance for the Assessment of Environmental Factors - Terrestrial Flora and Vegetation Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment in Western Australia. Guidance Statement No 51. Environmental Protection Authority, Western Australia.

EPA (2004) Guidance for the Assessment of Environmental Factors: Terrestrial Fauna Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment in Western Australia. Guidance Statement No 56. Environmental Protection Authority, Western Australia.

Government of Western Australia (1997) Wetlands Conservation Policy for Western Australia, Department of Conservation and Land Management and the Water and Rivers Commission, Perth WA.

Heddle, E. M., Loneragan, O. W., and Havel, J. J. (1980) Vegetation Complexes of the Darling System, Western Australia. In Department of Conservation and Environment, Atlas of Natural Resources, Darling System, Western Australia.

Hopkins, A.J.M., Beeston, G.R. and Harvey J.M. (2001) A database on the vegetation of Western Australia. Stage 1. CALMScience after J. S. Beard, late 1960's to early 1980's Vegetation Survey of Western Australia, UWA Press.

JANIS Forests Criteria (1997) Nationally agreed criteria for the establishment of a comprehensive, Adequate and Representative reserve System for Forests in Australia. A report by the Joint ANZECC/MCFFA National Forest Policy Statement Implementation Sub-committee. Regional Forests Agreement process. Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.

Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

Mattiske, E.M. and Havel, J.J. (1998) Vegetation Complexes of the South-west Forest Region of Western Australia. Maps and report prepared as part of the Regional Forest Agreement, Western Australia for the Department of Conservation and Land

Management and Environment Australia.

Northcote, K. H. with Beckmann G G, Bettenay E., Churchward H. M., van Dijk D. C., Dimmock G. M., Hubble G. D., Isbell R. F., McArthur W. M., Murtha G. G., Nicolls K. D., Paton T. R., Thompson C. H., Webb A. A. and Wright M. J. (1960-68): 'Atlas of Australian Soils, Sheets 1 to 10, with explanatory data'. CSIRO and Melbourne University Press: Melbourne.

Sac Bio Datasets (10-10-09). Department of Environment and Conservation, Sac Bio Datasets, Kensington, Western Australia.

Schoknecht N. (2002) Soil Groups of Western Australia. A simple guide to the main soils of Western Australia. Resource Management Technical Report 246. Edition 3

Shah, B. (2006) Conservation of Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo on the Swan Coastal Plain, Western Australia. December 2006. Carnaby's Black-Cockatoo Recovery Project. Birds Australia, Western Australia.

Shepherd, D.P. (2007). Adapted from: Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R., and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001), Native Vegetation in Western Australia. Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture Western Australia, South Perth. Includes subsequent updates for 2006 from Vegetation Extent dataset ANZWA1050000124.

Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R. and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001) Native Vegetation in Western Australia, Extent, Type and Status. Resource Management Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.

Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R. and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001a) Native Vegetation in Western Australia, Extent, Type and Status. Resource Management Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia (updated 2005).

Water and Rivers Commission (2001). Position Statement: Wetlands, Water and Rivers Commission, Perth.

Western Australian Herbarium (1998). FloraBase The Western Australian Flora. Department of Environment and Conservation. http://florabase.dec.wa.gov.au/ Accessed on Monday, 10 October 2009.

# 6. Glossary

WRC

Term	Meaning
BCS	Biodiversity Coordination Section of DEC
CALM	Department of Conservation and Land Management (now BCS)
DAFWA	Department of Agriculture and Food
DEC	Department of Environment and Conservation
DEP	Department of Environmental Protection (now DEC)
DoE	Department of Environment
DoIR	Department of Industry and Resources
DRF	Declared Rare Flora
EPP	Environmental Protection Policy
GIS	Geographical Information System
ha	Hectare (10,000 square metres)
TEC	Threatened Ecological Community

Water and Rivers Commission (now DEC)