

Clearing Permit Decision Report

1. Application details

Permit application details

Permit application No.: 361/1 Permit type: Area Permit

1.2. Proponent details

Proponent's name: **MR Peter John Tuthill**

Property details 1.3.

Property: LOT 12094 ON PLAN 201691 (MANJIMUP (S))

Local Government Area:

Colloquial name:

Shire Of Manjimup

Application

Clearing Area (ha) No. Trees Method of Clearing For the purpose of: Mechanical Removal Timber Harvesting

Site Information

Existing environment and information

2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

Clearing Description Vegetation Description Vegetation Condition 30 trees 30 trees Completely Degraded:

No longer intact; completely/almost completely without native species

(Keighery 1994)

Assessment of application against clearing principles

(a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle

> The area consists of isolated paddock trees and small stands spread across the location and is not representative of vegetation considered to be of a high level of biological diversity.

Methodology EPA (2000).

(b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

> There was no request for assessment by CALM. Aerial Photography indicates that the vegetation my provide some habitat for fauna species, however the level of disturbance within the site is likely to limit the habitat value

Comment

of the vegetation.

Methodology GIS databases: Pemberton 1.4m Orthormasaic - DOLA 99

Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, significant flora.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

Caladenia harringtoniae (Declared Rare Flora) occurs approximately 6.2km from the site. There are 5 other

specimens in the local area (10km radius).

The condition of the vegetation and disturbance to the site limits the potential conservation value of the vegetation it is therefore unlikely that the proposed clearing will impact on significant flora.

Methodology GIS databases: Declared Rare and Priority Flora List - CALM 13/08/03

(d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a significant ecological community.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no records of Threatened Ecological Communities (TEC) within the local area (10km radius).

There is a low probability of the proposed clearing being at variance with this principle.

Methodology GIS databases:

- Threatened Ecological Communities CALM 15/7/03
- Threatened Plant Communities DEP 06/95.

(e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle

The application is located in the Jarrah Forest Bioregion in the Shire of Manjimup. The extent of native vegetation in these areas is 58.3% and 83.9% respectively (Shepherd et al. 2001).

reserves/CALM	Pre-European	Current extent Remaining		Conservation**	% In
16261 V62/CALIVI	(ha)*	(ha)*	(%)*	status	managed land
IBRA Bioregion - Jarrah Forest***	4544335	2 624 301	58.3	Least Concern	
Shire of Manjimup	705 670	591 748	83.9	Least Concern	
Vegetation type: Beard: Unit 3	3 046 385	2 197 837	72.1	Least Concern	10.1
Mattiske: Bevan 1 (BE1) Yornup (YR) Collis 1 (CO1) Corbalup (CL1)	767 844 192 520 51 058 151 768	657 120 129 834 34 542 115 381	85.6 67.4 67.7 76.0	Least Concern Least Concern Least Concern Least Concern	

^{* (}Shepherd et al. 2001)

The vegetation under application is of Least Concern as the remaining vegetation is over 30%. The State Government is committed to the National Objectives Targets for Biodiversity Conservation which includes a target that prevents clearance of ecological communities with an extent below 30% of that present pre-1750 (Department of Natural Resources and Environment, 2002; EPA, 2000).

Methodology

Hopkins et al. (2001); Havel (2002); Shepherd et al. (2001).

GIS databases:

- Mattiske Vegetation CALM 24/3/98
- Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia EM 18/10/00
- Pre European Vegetation DA 01/01.

(f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.

Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle

Proposed clearing is 100 to 300m from the second order water course within the property.

The proposed clearing is not considered to impact on the water course within the property.

Methodology GIS databases: Hydrography Linear - DoE 1/2/04

(g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There is no information for Acid Sulphate Soils on the property. Groundwater salinity is mapped at 500 - 1000 mg/L. Salinity is mapped at a low risk area.

^{** (}Department of Natural Resources and Environment 2002)

^{***} Within the Intensive Landuse Zone

It is not likely that the proposed clearing is a variance to this principle.

Methodology GIS databases: Groundwater Salinity, Statewide - 22/02/00

(h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The North Donnelly State Forest is approximately 1.7km north east of the proposed clearing.

The proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this principle.

Methodology GI

- GIS database:
- CALM Managed Lands and Waters CALM 1/06/04
- Register of National Estate EA 28/01/03
- System 6 Conservation Reserves DEP 06/95.

(i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The proposed clearing is not within a gazetted public drinking water supply area and is not likely to degrade water quality.

Methodology GIS databases:

- Public Drinking Water Source Areas (PDWSAs) - DOE 29/11/04

(j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence of flooding.

Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle

Flooding impacts are unlikely to occur as a result of the proposed clearing due to its size.

Methodology GIS databases:

- Topographic Contours, Statewide - DOLA 12/09/02

Planning instrument or other matter.

Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle

No planning issues or other issues have been raised by the Shire of Manjimup.

The property is zoned RURAL.

Methodology GIS database: Town Planning Scheme Zones - MFP 8/98.

4. Assessor's recommendations

Purpose Method Applied Decision Comment / recommendation area (ha)/ trees

Timber Mechanical 30 **Grant** The proposed clearing is not at variance to the principles. Harvesting Removal

5. References

Keighery, BJ (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.