Government of **Western Australia**
Department of **Mines and Petroleum**

Clearing Permit Decision Report

1. Application details

1.1. Permit application details

Permit application No.: 3901/1
Permit type: Purpose Permit

1.2. Proponent details

Proponent's name: **GME Resources Limited**

1.3. Property details

Property: Mining Lease 39/717
Mining Lease 39/819
Miscellaneous Licence L39/177
Local Government Area: Shire of Leonora
Colloquial name: Hepi Trial Mine

1.4. Application

Clearing Area (ha)	No. Trees	Method of Clearing	For the purpose of:
47		Mechanical Removal	Mineral Production

1.5. Decision on application

Decision on Permit Application: Grant
Decision Date: 30 December 2010

2. Site Information

2.1. Existing environment and information

2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

Vegetation Description Beard vegetation associations have been mapped at a 1:250,000 scale for the whole of WA, and are a useful tool to examine the vegetation extent in a regional context. One Beard vegetation association is located within the area proposed to be cleared (GIS Database). This vegetation association is: Beard vegetation association 18: Low woodland; mulga (*Acacia aneura*).

A vegetation survey of the project area was completed in April 2007 by Paul Armstrong & Associates (2007). As a result of the survey, five different vegetation communities were identified within the project area. These are:

1. **Mulga Scrub on uplands and slopes:** The upper stratum was Scrub, dominated by *Acacia aneura* growing 3 to 5 metres tall; over Open Low Scrub with no species dominating; over Open Dwarf Scrub, dominated by *Scaevola spinescens* growing to 0.7 metres tall; over Open Dwarf Scrub dominated by *Eremophila pantonii* growing to 0.4 metres tall;

2. **Low Shrubland on plain:** The upper stratum was scattered patches of Scrub dominated variously by *Acacia aneura* or *Hakea preissii* growing 2 to 4 metres tall; over Open Dwarf Scrub with no species dominating growing to 1.5 metres tall; over Dwarf Scrub dominated by *Maireana triptera* and *Frankenia georgei* growing to 0.3 metres tall;

3. **Mulga Scrub on plains:** The upper stratum was Scrub with occasional patches of Thicket dominated by *Acacia aneura* growing 3 to 5 metres tall; over Open Low Scrub dominated by *Acacia tetragonophylla* and *Eremophila georgei* growing to 1.5 metres tall; over Open Dwarf Scrub dominated by *Scaevola spinescens* growing to 0.6 metres tall; over Very Open Low Grass dominated by *Enneapogon caerulescens* growing to 0.2 metres tall;

4. **Mulga Open Scrub at base of hills:** This association occurred at the base of the hills where runoff was greater than that which occurs on the low plains. The dominant and upper stratum was Open Scrub dominated by *Acacia aneura* growing to 3 metres tall; over Open Low Scrub with no species dominating; over Open Dwarf Scrub dominated by *Scaevola spinescens* growing to 0.5 metres tall; and

5. **Mulga Thicket along drainage lines:** The upper stratum was Thicket dominated by *Acacia aneura* growing 4 to 6 metres tall; over Low Heath dominated by *Scaevola spinescens* growing to 0.7 metres tall; over Open Dwarf Scrub D dominated by *Eremophila pantonii* growing to 0.4 metres tall.

The application area shows signs of disturbance in the form of exploration gridlines, laydown area and access tracks (Paul Armstrong & Associates, 2007). The entire application area has been subject to grazing as it is located within the Minara Pastoral Station (GIS Database).

Three weeds of significance were identified during the flora survey. These were: Pie Melon (*Citrullus lanatus*), Black Berry Nightshade (*Solanum nigrum*) and Prickly Paddy Lemon (*Cucumis myriocarpus*) (Paul Armstrong & Associates, 2007).

Clearing Description

GME Resources propose to clear 47 hectares for the Hepi Trial Mine Project within a 47 hectare purpose permit boundary (Rapallo, 2007).

Clearing for the project will occur in two stages:

1. clearing for trial pit mining; and
2. clearing for expanded trial pit mining (Rapallo, 2007).

During stage one of the project, infrastructure will include:

- an open pit mine of approximately 10.65 hectares (found in the south-west corner of the application area on low breakaways);
- a waste rock landform and ore stockpile area of approximately 11.08 hectares (to be built in the far eastern side of the project area on the lower plains);
- a mine access road (running from north to south in the north-east of the application area on upper portions of the flat plains); and
- a haul road approximately 4.9 hectares in size (connecting the waste rock landform and the pit area, which also covers the lower plains to upland areas).

During stage two, the trial pit will be expanded a further 20.37 hectares to the eastern boundary of the central portion of the application area (Rapallo, 2007).

Vegetation Condition

Good: Structure significantly altered by multiple disturbance; retains basic structure/ability to regenerate (Keighery, 1994).

Comment

The application area shows signs of disturbance in the form of exploration gridlines, laydown area and access tracks (Paul Armstrong & Associates, 2007). The entire application area has been subject to grazing as it is located within the Minara Pastoral Station (GIS Database).

3. Assessment of application against clearing principles

(a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The clearing permit application is located within the East Murchison Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia (IBRA) subregion (GIS Database). The subregion is rich and diverse in both its flora and fauna however most species are wide ranging and usually occur in at least one, and often several subregions (Cowan, 2001). The application area is located within the Minara pastoral station (GIS Database).

According to Cowan (2001), vegetation in the subregion is dominated by Mulga Woodlands often rich in ephemerals, hummock grasslands, saltbush shrublands and samphires. Most of the vegetation mentioned above was identified by Paul Armstrong & Associates (2007) as occurring in the application area, and noted as being common.

A vegetation survey of the project area was completed in April 2007. In total 71 native plant taxa from 27 families were identified (Paul Armstrong & Associates, 2007). This is indicative of a moderate level of species diversity within the project area. During the vegetation survey there were five Priority Flora species that were identified in the application area: *Calytrix erosipetala*, *Cratystylis centralis*, *Frankenia georgei*, and *Hybanthus floribundus* subsp. *chloroxanthus* - all Priority 3, and *Hemigenia exilis* - Priority 4. In addition there were three species of interest recorded: *Alectryon oleifolius* subsp. *oleifolius* (range extension), *Atriplex quinii* (poorly collected), and *Olearia calcarea* (poorly collected). The presence of the Priority Flora and species of interest within the application area is likely to contribute to the biodiversity values of the area.

However, further survey work completed by Paul Armstrong & Associates (2007) found that there were other populations of the abovementioned Priority Flora species and species of interest outside of the application area, on a local and regional level. The exception was *Alectryon oleifolius* subsp. *oleifolius*, as only one specimen was identified within the application area and no other populations were located in the surrounding areas. However *Alectryon oleifolius* subsp. *oleifolius* has a wide distribution, within the Carnarvon, Gascoyne, Pilbara and Geraldton Sandplain bioregions (Western Australian Herbarium, 2010).

A fauna survey of the application area was completed by Rapallo (2007). No species of conservation significance were recorded. According to Rapallo (2007) the application area is largely a heterogeneous Acacia dominated habitat. Five habitat types were identified within the project area, including Mulga Uplands and Slopes, Mulga Open Scrub at the Base of Hills, Mulga Plain, Low Shrubland Plain and Mulga Drainage. None of these habitat types are known to be significant on a local or regional level (Rapallo, 2007).

The application area is located within the Minara Pastoral Station (GIS Database, 2007). Paul Armstrong & Associates (2007) reported that grazing pressure from goats has degraded land within the application area, resulting in a reduced density of shrub stratum within vegetation associations. Other forms of disturbance within the application area include exploration grid lines and vehicle tracks. The presence of gridlines and vehicle tracks has reduced the condition of the vegetation within the application area.

During the flora survey three weed species were recorded: Citrullus lanatus, Solanum nigrum and Cucumis myriocarpus, restricted to the edges of disturbed areas such as gridlines and tracks. The presence of introduced flora species reduces the biodiversity value of the proposed clearing area. Care must be taken to

ensure that the proposed clearing activities do not spread or introduce weed species to non-infested areas.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology Cowan (2001)
Paul Armstrong & Associates (2007)
Rapallo (2007)
Western Austrlian Herbarium (2010)
GIS Database:
- IBRA WA (Regions - Sub Regions)
- Pastoral Leases

Officer Lesley Polomka

(b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

A level one reconnaissance fauna survey was conducted by Rapallo (2007) within the application area. This involved a desktop review of fauna in the region and a site investigation to verify the information (Rapallo, 2007).

The desktop survey identified 54 species of reptiles, 26 species of extant mammals and 75 bird species which may occur within the project area (Rapallo, 2007). Of these species, four were listed under the *Western Australian Wildlife Conservation Act 1950*. These are the Malleefowl (*Leipoa ocellata*), Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus*), Gecko (*Branchinella apophysata*) and Australian Bustard (*Ardeotis australis*) (Rapallo, 2007). There were also a further four species identified which are listed under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation* (EPBC) *Act 1999* (Rapallo, 2007). These are the Slender-billed Thornbill (western) (*Acanthiza iredalei iredalei*), Rainbow Bee-eater (*Merops ornatus*), Oriental Plover (*Charadrius veredus*) and Great Egret (*Ardea alba*).

Based on habitat preferences and known distributions the following species are most likely to be found within the application area: the Rainbow Bee-eater, Australian Bustard and the Slender-billed Thornbill.

The Rainbow Bee-eater (migratory species) was not identified during the ground survey of the project area (Rapallo, 2007). The Rainbow Bee-eater is distributed across much of mainland Australia and on several near shore islands, in a wide range of habitats. It is unlikely the habitat of the Rainbow Bee-eater will be significantly impacted from the proposed clearing, as the habitats of the project area are well represented locally and regionally (Cowan, 2001).

The Australian Bustard (Department of Environment & Conservation - Priority 4) is limited to the arid areas of Northern and Central Australia (Caughley et al., 1986). It is found in tussock grasslands, *Triodia* hummock grassland, grassy woodland and low shrublands (Garnett & Crowley, 2000). Rapallo (2007) stated that the Australian Bustard was not recorded during the ground survey of the project area. During good seasons when grasses are present it is possible that the species may frequent the area, however it would not be dependant on the area for habitat. The proposed clearing area is therefore unlikely to represent significant habitat.

The Slender-billed Thornbill (Vulnerable) occurs in arid and semi-arid regions of southern Western Australia through to south-western South Australia (DEWR, 2007). It inhabits treeless Chenopod shrublands but prefers saline flats associated with salt lakes, particularly where there is samphire (Garnett & Crowley, 2000). It is possible that the species may inhabit the Chenopods of the Low Shrubland Plain in the project area, however this habitat is well represented locally and regionally (Rapallo, 2007).

One species of local conservation significance may occur in the Hepi Project Area; the Burrowing Pygopodid Legless-lizard (*Aprasia picturata*) (Rapallo, 2007). This species is only known from two specimens collected less than 10 kilometres from the project area, plus a third specimen collected near Wiluna. Its habitat is known as low eroded granite hills covered in *Acacia* scrub in the Murrin-Murrin/Minara area (Rapallo, 2007). Rapallo (2007) have stated that based on the information above, it is possible that *Aprasia picturata* may inhabit the small outcrop of coarse grain mafic in the project area. However, during a site visit, Rapallo's herpetologist noted that the habitat of the application area (granite hills) was not suitable to this species. Therefore it is unlikely that the proposed clearing area is representative of significant habitat for this species.

A ground survey of the project area was completed in April 2007 (Rapallo, 2007). According to Rapallo (2007) the project area is largely a heterogeneous *Acacia* dominated habitat. There were five habitat types identified within the project area, these were: Mulga Uplands and Slopes, Mulga Open Scrub at the Base of Hills, Mulga Plain, Low Shrubland Plain and Mulga Drainage. None of the habitat types mentioned are known to be significant locally or regionally (Cowan, 2001), and all are well represented in surrounding areas.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology Caughley et al (1986)
Cowan (2001)
DEWR (2007)
Garnett & Crowley (2000)

Rapallo (2007)

(c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

A vegetation survey and rare flora search of the application area was completed by Paul Armstrong & Associates in April 2007. The survey involved a search of the Department of Environment and Conservation's (DEC) rare flora database to identify any significant flora species that occur in the general area. A ground survey was commissioned to search for DRF or Priority Species identified in the database search, and to describe the different plant communities of the application area (Paul Armstrong & Associates, 2007).

The database search identified one Declared Rare Flora (DRF) species as having the potential to occur in the general area. However no DRF were recorded during the survey (Paul Armstrong & Associates, 2007).

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology Paul Armstrong & Associates (2007)

(d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no known Threatened Ecological Communities (TEC's) located within a 50 kilometre radius of the application area (GIS Database). No known TEC's were identified during the flora survey of the application area (Paul Armstrong & Associates, 2007). None of the TEC's identified by Cowan (2001) within the East Murchison subregion, are located within or near the application area.

Based on the above, the proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology

Cowan (2001)
Paul Armstrong & Associates (2007)
GIS Database:
- Threatened Ecological Sites Buffered

(e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

Comments

Proposal is not at variance to this Principle

The area applied to be cleared is located within the Murchison IBRA bioregion (Shepherd, 2007). According to Shepherd (2007) there is approximately 100% of Pre-European vegetation remaining within the bioregion. The vegetation of the application area is classified as Beard vegetation association 18 - Low woodland; mulga (*Acacia aneura*) (GIS Database). This vegetation association remains at approximately 100% of pre-European extent in the state and also in the Murchison bioregion (Shepherd, 2007). As a result the area proposed to clear does not represent a significant remnant of vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

	Pre-European area (ha)*	Current extent (ha)*	Remaining %*	Conservation Status**	% of Pre-European area in IUCN Class I-IV Reserves (and current %)
IBRA Bioregion – Murchison	21,794,202	21,794,202	~ 100	Least Concern	8.5
Beard veg assoc. – State					
18	19,891,436	19,891,436	~ 100	Least Concern	5.8
Beard veg assoc. – Bioregion					
18	12,403,248	12,403,248	~ 100	Least Concern	4.3

* Shepherd (2007)
** Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002)

Based on the above, the proposal is not at variance to this Principle.

Methodology

Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002)
Shepherd (2007)
GIS Database:
- IBRA WA (Regions - Sub Regions)

- Pre-European Vegetation

(f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.

Comments

Proposal may be at variance to this Principle

There is a minor non-perennial watercourse located on the western side of the application area (GIS Database). The vegetation located within this watercourse is described as Mulga Thicket along drainage lines. Paul Armstrong & Associates (2007) state that this vegetation type was thicker than other areas and this is likely to be a result of the position of this area in the landscape where more water is likely to collect. However, it was also stated that this vegetation was not confined to drainage lines and was not riparian in nature, and therefore would not be considered to be environmentally significant (Paul Armstrong & Associates, 2007).

Based on the above, the proposed clearing may be at variance to this Principle. However, there is already a vehicle track which intersects this area and GME Resources have committed to utilising this track, and stated it will not need to be widened (GME Resources, 2007). As a result, there will be no significant impacts to vegetation situated within this watercourse.

Methodology

Paul Armstrong & Associates (2007)
GME Resources (2007)
GIS Databases:
- Geodata, Lakes
- Hydrography, linear

(g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

Comments

Proposal may be at variance to this Principle

The application area lies within both the Leonora Land System and the Hootanui Land System (GIS Database).

Part of the southern and eastern portions of the application area lie within the Leonora Land System (GIS Database). This area includes the proposed open pit, workshop office and part of the adjoining access track and haul road (Rapallo, 2007). The Leonora Land System is described as low greenstone hills and stony plains, supporting mixed Chenopod shrublands (Pringle et al, 1994). The application area contains two landforms of the Leonora Land System; these are the hills and lower footslopes. The hills portion of the land system (which is where the pit is to be located) is described as rounded hills (up to 40 metres of relief) with abundant mantles of greenstone pebbles, local patches of ferruginous duricrust; gently inclined upper slopes locally with calcrete outcrop and rubble. The lower footslopes (where the access track, haul road and workshop office are proposed) are very gently inclined lower slopes with moderate to abundant mantles of quartz, ironstone and greenstone pebbles local calcrete outcrop and rubble (Pringle et al, 1994). Both of these areas have substantial stony mantle covers and therefore are not susceptible to erosion (Pringle et al, 1994).

The northern half of the application area is found in the Hootanui Land System (GIS Database). This land system is described as breakaways, hills and ridges with extensive saline gravelly and stony lower plains, supporting scattered halophytic low shrublands (Pringle et al, 1994). Clearing within this land system will be required for the access track, haul road, turn around area and ore and waste bodies (Rapallo, 2007). There are several land units of the Hootanui Land System which will be required to be cleared these include stony plains, alluvial plains and creeklines.

The majority of the application area is protected from erosional forces as a stony mantle is present; however there is a small drainage line located in the western region of the application area which is not protected by a stony mantle. Given the summer rainfall events associated with dissipating cyclonic activities (Bureau of Meteorology, 2010), it is possible that the removal of native vegetation may cause erosion within this area. However, GME Resources have stated there is already a vehicle track which crosses this area which they will utilise during mining operations. Therefore there will be no further disturbance to the creek bed (Rapallo, 2007). The remainder of the application area is protected by a stony mantle and is less likely to erode; however, the removal of the stony mantle during clearing may initiate soil erosion.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing may be at variance to this Principle. However, all disturbances to the land surface made as a result of the Hepi Project will be rehabilitated after the completion of the project. The implementation of rehabilitation management measures will assist to mitigate the potential for land degradation (Rapallo, 2007). These measures include:

- minimising the area requiring vegetation removal;
- use of existing tracks and disturbed areas;
- conducting topsoil-stripping activities during periods of low winds;
- stockpiling of topsoil for use in rehabilitation; and
- ensuring all staff and contractors are subject to GME Resources Environmental Induction.

Methodology

Bureau of Meteorology (2010)

Pringle et al (1994)
Rapallo (2007)
GIS Database:
- Rangeland Land System Mapping
- Topographic Contours, Statewide

(h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The closest conservation area to the Hepi Project Area is Goongarrie National Park, which is situated approximately 110 kilometres to the south-west (GIS Database). There are no other conservation areas nearby. It is therefore unlikely that there would be any detrimental effects to the environmental values of this conservation area from the proposal.

Based on the above, the proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology

GIS Database:
- DEC Tenure

(i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The proposal is not located within a Public Drinking Water Source Area (GIS Database).

The majority of the land surface in the application area is made up of a gravelly stony mantle, with the size of this mantle becoming more prominent in the hills than on the plains. The soil found in these areas has a very low erosion potential due to the protective covering from the mantle against wind and water forces (Pringle et al, 1994). As a result it is unlikely that sedimentation would result from clearing activities in the majority of the application area.

There is a minor, non-perennial drainage line located on the western edge of the application area (GIS Database). It is not a defined river or creek system and does not have riparian vegetation, rather thicker Mulga vegetation in places of soil deposition (Rapallo, 2007). GME Resources will not need to widen the access track which intersects the drainage line (Rapallo, 2007) and it is unlikely that the proposed clearing will have any significant impact on surface water quality within the application area.

It is not expected that the proposed clearing will significantly impact the groundwater of the area.

Based on the above, the proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology

Pringle et al (1994)
Rapallo (2007)
GIS Database:
- Hydrography, linear
- Public Drinking Water Source Areas (PDWSAs)

(j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.

Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The climate of the region is semi-arid, with an average annual rainfall of 260 millimetres, although there may be considerable variation from year to year (Bureau of Meteorology, 2010). The most reliable rains occur in winter from cold fronts arriving from the west, and cloud bands from the north-west. Although rare, decaying tropical cyclones originating off the north-west coast can also move through the Goldfields, producing heavy rains and occasional localised flooding (Bureau of Meteorology, 2010).

During heavy rainfall, water is likely to move down gradient towards a large perennial watercourse located to the north of the application area (GIS Database). This is likely to occur in either via sheet flows in the central and eastern upper parts of the application area, or via a non-perennial drainage line in the western side of the application area.

Leonora is the nearest town to the area under application and it has an annual evaporation rate of 3,473 millimetres per year (Bureau of Meteorology, 2010), This is 13 times the annual rainfall and it is therefore unlikely that the proposed clearing will increase the incidence or intensity of natural flooding events.

Based on the above, the proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology

Bureau of Meteorology (2010)

GIS Database:
- Hydrography, linear

Planning instrument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.

Comments

The clearing permit application was advertised on 23 August 2010 by the Department of Mines and Petroleum inviting submissions from the public. One submission was received in relation to this application regarding aboriginal heritage issues. A written response was provided on the matters raised.

There are no native title claims over the area under application (GIS Database). The mining tenure has been granted in accordance with the future act regime of the *Native Title Act 1993* and the nature of the act (i.e. the proposed clearing activity) has been provided for in that process, therefore, the granting of a clearing permit is not a future act under the *Native Title Act 1993*.

There are no registered Aboriginal Sites of Significance located within the application area (GIS Database). It is the proponent's responsibility to comply with the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972* and ensure that no Aboriginal Sites of Significance are damaged through the clearing process.

It is the proponent's responsibility to liaise with the Department of Environment and Conservation and the Department of Water, to determine whether a Works Approval, Water Licence, Bed and Banks Permit, or any other licences or approvals are required for the proposed works.

Methodology

GIS Database:
- Aboriginal Sites of Significance
- Native Title NNTT

4. References

Bureau of Meteorology (2010) Kalgoorlie-Boulder Area Climate and History. URL: http://www.bom.gov.au/weather/wa/kalgoorlie/climate_and_history.shtml

Caughley G, Grice D, and Short J (1986) Density and Distribution of the Australian Bustard Ardeotis australis. Biological Conservation 35: 259-267.

Cowan, M (2001) Murchison 1 (MUR1 - East Murchison subregion) Subregional description and biodiversity values, dated August 2001. In: "A biodiversity Audit of Western Australia's 53 Biogeographical Subregions in 2002". Report published by the Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth, Western Australia.

Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Biodiversity Action Planning. Action planning for native biodiversity at multiple scales; catchment bioregional, landscape, local. Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.

DEWR (2007) Acanthiza iredalei iredalei - Slender-billed Thornbill (western), URL: http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=25967.

Garnett, S.T., & Crowley, G.M. (2000) The Action Plan for Australian Birds. Department of the Environment and Water Resources, URL: http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/publications/action/birds2000/pubs/australian-bustard.pdf

GME Resources (2007) Additional information for clearing permit application. GME Resources Limited.

Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

Paul Armstrong & Associates (2007) Vegetation Survey and Rare Flora Search of the Hepi Project Area - Niwest Laterite Project - Conducted in April 2007. Unpublished report for GME Resources Pty Ltd. Perth, Western Australia.

Pringle H.J., Van Vreeswyk A.M., & Gilligan S.A. (1994) An inventory and condition survey of rangelands in the north-eastern Goldfields, Western Australia. Department of Agriculture. Western Australia.

Rapallo (2007) Hepi Trial Mine Clearing Permit Supporting Documentation for GME Resources, Revised November 2007. November 2007.

Shepherd, D.P. (2007) Adapted from: Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R., and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001), Native Vegetation in Western Australia. Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture Western Australia, South Perth.

Western Australian Herbarium (1998-2010). Florabase - The Western Australian Flora. Department of Environment and Conservation. http://florabase.dec.wa.gov.au/

5. Glossary

Acronyms:

BoM	Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Government
CALM	Department of Conservation and Land Management (now DEC), Western Australia
DAFWA	Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia
DEC	Department of Environment and Conservation, Western Australia
DEH	Department of Environment and Heritage (federal based in Canberra) previously Environment Australia
DEP	Department of Environment Protection (now DEC), Western Australia
DIA	Department of Indigenous Affairs
DLI	Department of Land Information, Western Australia
DMP	Department of Mines and Petroleum, Western Australia
DoE	Department of Environment (now DEC), Western Australia
DoIR	Department of Industry and Resources (now DMP), Western Australia
DOLA	Department of Land Administration, Western Australia
DoW	Department of Water
EP Act	Environmental Protection Act 1986, Western Australia
EPBC Act	Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Federal Act)
GIS	Geographical Information System
ha	Hectare (10,000 square metres)
IBRA	Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia
IUCN	International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources – commonly known as the World Conservation Union
RIWI Act	Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914, Western Australia
s.17	Section 17 of the Environment Protection Act 1986, Western Australia
TEC	Threatened Ecological Community

Definitions:

{Atkins, K (2005). *Declared rare and priority flora list for Western Australia, 22 February 2005*. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Como, Western Australia} :-

P1 **Priority One - Poorly Known taxa**: taxa which are known from one or a few (generally <5) populations which are under threat, either due to small population size, or being on lands under immediate threat, e.g. road verges, urban areas, farmland, active mineral leases, etc., or the plants are under threat, e.g. from disease, grazing by feral animals, etc. May include taxa with threatened populations on protected lands. Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in urgent need of further survey.

P2 **Priority Two - Poorly Known taxa**: taxa which are known from one or a few (generally <5) populations, at least some of which are not believed to be under immediate threat (i.e. not currently endangered). Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in urgent need of further survey.

P3 **Priority Three - Poorly Known taxa**: taxa which are known from several populations, at least some of which are not believed to be under immediate threat (i.e. not currently endangered). Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in need of further survey.

P4 **Priority Four – Rare taxa**: taxa which are considered to have been adequately surveyed and which, whilst being rare (in Australia), are not currently threatened by any identifiable factors. These taxa require monitoring every 5–10 years.

R **Declared Rare Flora – Extant taxa** *(= Threatened Flora = Endangered + Vulnerable)*: taxa which have been adequately searched for, and are deemed to be in the wild either rare, in danger of extinction, or otherwise in need of special protection, and have been gazetted as such, following approval by the Minister for the Environment, after recommendation by the State's Endangered Flora Consultative Committee.

X **Declared Rare Flora - Presumed Extinct taxa**: taxa which have not been collected, or otherwise verified, over the past 50 years despite thorough searching, or of which all known wild populations have been destroyed more recently, and have been gazetted as such, following approval by the Minister for the Environment, after recommendation by the State's Endangered Flora Consultative Committee.

{Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2005} [Wildlife Conservation Act 1950] :-

Schedule 1 **Schedule 1 – Fauna that is rare or likely to become extinct:** being fauna that is rare or likely to become extinct, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.

Schedule 2 **Schedule 2 – Fauna that is presumed to be extinct:** being fauna that is presumed to be extinct, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.

Schedule 3 **Schedule 3 – Birds protected under an international agreement:** being birds that are subject to an agreement between the governments of Australia and Japan relating to the protection of migratory birds and birds in danger of extinction, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.

Schedule 4 **Schedule 4 – Other specially protected fauna:** being fauna that is declared to be fauna that is in need of special protection, otherwise than for the reasons mentioned in Schedules 1, 2 or 3.

{CALM (2005). *Priority Codes for Fauna*. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Como, Western Australia} :-

P1 **Priority One: Taxa with few, poorly known populations on threatened lands**: Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from one or a few localities on lands not managed for conservation, e.g. agricultural or pastoral lands, urban areas, active mineral leases. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.

P2 **Priority Two: Taxa with few, poorly known populations on conservation lands**: Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from one or a few localities on lands not under immediate threat of habitat destruction or degradation, e.g. national parks, conservation parks, nature reserves, State forest, vacant Crown land, water reserves, etc. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.

P3 **Priority Three: Taxa with several, poorly known populations, some on conservation lands**: Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from several localities, some of which are on lands not under immediate threat of habitat destruction or degradation. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.

P4 **Priority Four: Taxa in need of monitoring**: Taxa which are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and which are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection, but could be if present circumstances change. These taxa are usually represented on conservation lands.

P5 **Priority Five: Taxa in need of monitoring**: Taxa which are not considered threatened but are subject to a specific conservation program, the cessation of which would result in the species becoming threatened within five years.

Categories of threatened species (*Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999)*

EX **Extinct:** A native species for which there is no reasonable doubt that the last member of the species has died.

EX(W) **Extinct in the wild:** A native species which:
(a) is known only to survive in cultivation, in captivity or as a naturalised population well outside its past range; or
(b) has not been recorded in its known and/or expected habitat, at appropriate seasons, anywhere in its past range, despite exhaustive surveys over a time frame appropriate to its life cycle and form.

CR **Critically Endangered:** A native species which is facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.

EN **Endangered:** A native species which:
(a) is not critically endangered; and
(b) is facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.

VU **Vulnerable:** A native species which:
(a) is not critically endangered or endangered; and
(b) is facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.

CD **Conservation Dependent:** A native species which is the focus of a specific conservation program, the cessation of which would result in the species becoming vulnerable, endangered or critically endangered within a period of 5 years.