

## **Clearing Permit Decision Report**

## 1. Application details

1.1. Permit application details

Permit application No.: 5407/1

Permit type: Purpose Permit

1.2. Proponent details

Proponent's name: Process Minerals International Pty Ltd

1.3. Property details

Property: Mining Lease 47/1439

Miscellaneous Licence 47/559

Local Government Area: Shire of East Pilbara
Colloquial name: Phil's Creek Haul Road

1.4. Application

Clearing Area (ha) No. Trees Method of Clearing For the purpose of:

11.575 Mechanical Removal Haul Road, Associated Infrastructure and Borrow Pits

1.5. Decision on application

Decision on Permit Application: Grant

Decision Date: 14 February 2013

### 2. Site Information

## 2.1. Existing environment and information

#### 2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

**Vegetation Description** 

Beard vegetation associations have been mapped for the whole of Western Australia and are useful to look at vegetation in a regional context. The following Beard vegetation associations are located within the application area (GIS Database):

- 29: Sparse low woodland; mulga, discontinuous in scattered groups; and
- 82: Hummock grasslands, low tree steppe; snappygum over Triodia wiseana.

The application area was surveyed as part of a larger Level 2 flora and vegetation survey of the proposed haul road. The survey was conducted by Rapallo on 20 to 24 November 2011 (Rapallo, 2012b). The following two vegetation types were identified within the application area:

#### Floodplains and Drainage Lines

B. Open low *Corymbia hamersleyana* woodland, or mixed open shrubland dominated by *Gossypium robinsonii*, *G. australe*, *Acacia hilliana*, *A. inaequilatera*, *A. pyrifolia*, *A. maitlandii*, *A.adoxa* var. *subglabra*, *Eremophila fraseri* subsp. *parva*, *Senna artemisioides* subsp. *oligophylla* or *Grevillea wickhamii* with emergent eucalypts *Corymbia hamersleyana* or *Eucalyptus leucophloia* subsp. *leucophloia*, over dense mixed shrubs, and dense hummock grasses such as *Eriachne mucronata*, \**Cenchrus ciliaris*, \**Themeda triandra*, \**Aristida holathera* var. *holathera*, \**Triodia epactia*, \**Triodia wiseana* and \**T. lanigera*. On clay-loam or sandy loam with BIF shales.

C. Open low mixed woodland of *Eucalyptus gamophylla*, *E.?victrix*, *E. xerothermica* and *Corymbia hamersleyana*, or tall mixed shrubland dominated by *Acacia inaequilatera*, *Gossypium robinsonii*, *Grevillea wickhamii* or *Petalostylis labicheoides* with scattered eucalypts as listed, over open to dense small shrubs, and mixed hummock grasses such as *Themeda triandra*, *Triodia lanigera* and *T. pungens*, *T.wiseana*. On sandy or loamy clay with ironstone gravels.

## **Clearing Description**

Process Minerals International Pty Ltd (Process Minerals) has applied to clear 11.575 hectares within an application area of approximately 13.3 hectares (GIS Database). The application area is located approximately 80 kilometres north west of Newman (GIS Database).

The purpose of the application is to construct a portion of the proposed haul road linking Phil's Creek Iron Ore Mine to the Munjina Roy Hill Road. This includes borrow pits, vegetation and topsoil stockpile areas and flood protection structures such as culverts and floodways. The application area consists of two separate areas, one over Miscellaneous Licence 47/559 and the other over Mining Lease 47/1439. Miscellaneous Licence 47/559 covers approximately 2.1 kilometres (5.441 hectares) of the proposed haul road and Mining Lease 47/1439 covers approximately 2.0 kilometres (6.134 hectares) of the proposed haul road. The average width of the proposed haul road is 20 metres. Clearing will be by mechanical means.

### **Vegetation Condition**

Excellent: Vegetation structure intact; disturbance affecting individual species, weeds non-aggressive (Keighery, 1994):

То

Very Good: Vegetation structure altered; obvious signs of disturbance (Keighery, 1994).

#### Comment

Vegetation condition was determined by Rapallo (2012b).

The flora and vegetation survey was conducted during the dry season and some ephemeral or cryptic taxa may not have been visible at the time of the survey. Some plants were difficult to identify due to being 'browned off' by the heat (Rapallo, 2012b).

## 3. Assessment of application against clearing principles

## (a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

### Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

Seven vegetation communities were identified within the proposed haul road survey area (approximately 382.5 hectares) (Rapallo, 2012b). Two of these occur within the application area and are associated with floodplains and drainage lines (Rapallo, 2013). Rapallo (2012b) considered all vegetation types to be well represented in the region.

A total of 153 plant taxa (including subspecies and varieties) from 71 genera were recorded within the proposed haul road survey area (Rapallo, 2012b). Three weed species were identified including Mexican Poppy (*Argemone ochroleuca*), Buffel Grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*) and Mimosa Bush (*Vachellia farnesiana*). Potential impacts from weeds as a result of the proposed clearing may be minimised by the implementation of a weed management condition.

Available databases show no Threatened or Priority Flora or Threatened Ecological Communities have been recorded within the application area (GIS Database). The application area is located within the buffer of the Priority 1 Fortescue Marsh Priority Ecological Community (PEC). However, the proposed clearing is located approximately 28 kilometres south of the marsh and is therefore unlikely to impact the Fortescue Marsh.

No Threatened Flora species were recorded within the proposed haul road survey area (Rapallo, 2012b). One Priority 3 Flora species. Rhagodia sp. Hamersley, was recorded at one location, however, this was recorded outside the application area, approximately two kilometres south east at its closest point. Rapallo (2012a) has indicated other Priority Flora species may have gone undetected during the survey due to access constraints and timing of the survey in the dry season. Access constraints resulted in helicopter travel between the 50 metre by 50 metre quadrats and as such not all parts of the application area were traversed on foot. According to Naturemap (DEC, 2013), three Priority Flora species have been recorded within ten kilometres of the application area. Rapallo (2012a) indicates two of these species, Stylidium weeliwolli (Priority 2) and Goodenia nuda (Priority 4), are likely to occur within the survey area. Stylidium weeliwolli has been recorded at two locations between five and ten kilometres from the application area with one record occuring on the edge of permanent water and the second occuring near Weeli Wolli Creek (Western Australian Herbarium, 2013). This species occurs on gritty sand soil, sandy clay on the edge of watercourses (Western Australian Herbarium, 2013). As the application area contains minor, non-perennial watercourses (GIS Database), it is unlikely the application area represents significant habitat for this species. Goodenia nuda is known from 56 records over a widespread area (Western Australian Herbarium, 2013) and is unlikely to be significantly impacted by the proposed clearing if present.

A Level 1 vertebrate fauna survey by Rapallo on 8 to 10 November and 30 November to 1 December 2011 recorded a total of 76 fauna species comprising 15 reptile, 52 bird and nine mammal species within the proposed haul road survey area (Rapallo, 2012c). According to Rapallo (2012c), the habitats of the project area were all typical of the Pilbara bioregion, especially its expression in the Hamersley subregion.

Considering the above the floristic and faunal diversity of the application area is likely to be typical of the Pilbara bioregion.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

#### Methodology

DEC (2013)

Rapallo (2012a)

Rapallo (2012b)

Rapallo (2012c)

Rapallo (2013)

Western Australian Herbarium (2013)

GIS Database:

- Hydrography, linear
- Threatened and Priority Flora
- Threatened Ecological Sites Buffered

## (b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

## Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

A Level 1 vertebrate fauna survey of the proposed haul road was undertaken by Rapallo on 8 to 10 November and 30 November to 1 December 2011. Nine habitats were identified during the survey and were associated with various land systems (Rapallo, 2012c). The two land systems mapped over the application area (Newman and Boolgeeda land systems) (GIS Database) are associated with breakaway/ridgeline habitat and plain habitat. Rapallo (2012c) describes the breakaway/ridgeline habitat type as 'Ironstone rocky *Triodia epactia* breakaway and outcroppings. Top and sides of breakaway support hakea, mixed acacia and snappy gums over sparse Spinifex and Senna'. This habitat type is found along the edge of valleys in the Newman land system. The plain habitat type is described as 'Flat areas with red-brown pezolite silt and ironstone pebbles. Approximately 30-50% cover of *Triodia epactia* and *T. basedowii*. Sparse (<5%) mixed shrubs up to 3 metres including bloodwood, *Senna*, eucalypts, *Grevillia wickhamii*, and mallee' (Rapallo, 2012c). This habitat type is found in the north eastern portion of the application area in the Boolgeeda land system. According to Rapallo (2012c), the habitats of the project area were all typical of the Pilbara bioregion, especially its expression in the Hamersley subregion.

A total of 76 fauna species comprising 15 reptile, 52 bird and nine mammal species were recorded within the proposed haul road survey area (Rapallo, 2012c). Conservation significant fauna detected include the Northern Quoll (*Dasyurus hallucatus*) (Endangered; Schedule 1), Australian Bustard (*Ardeotis australis*) (Priority 4) and Rainbow Bee-eater (*Merops ornatus*) (Marine; Migratory under *EPBC Act*, Schedule 3). Three active and two dormant mounds of the Western Pebble-mound Mouse (*Pseudomys chapmani*) (Priority 4) were also recorded. One of the dormant mounds was recorded in the application area in Miscellaneous Licence 47/559 (Rapallo, 2013). This species occurs on gentle slopes with suitable sized stones for constructing pebble mounds and is relatively widespread in the Pilbara. Rapallo (2012c) recommends active mounds are avoided where possible. None of the other records occur within the application area.

The Northern Quoll was detected as single recordings on motion detecting cameras at two separate locations in habitats adjacent to the haul road alignment (cameras were placed in caves and under rock overhangs at the bases of mesas and banded ironstone outcrops). One of these was recorded just outside of adjacent Miscellaneous Licence 47/555 approximately 250 metres north east of the application area at the closest point (Rapallo, 2012d). According to Rapallo (2012c), the Northern Quoll population in the area is likely to be of low density given the limited number of camera detections. Rapallo (2012d, 2013) states that habitats in the application area are low lying and aligned to valley floors and do not include suitable denning habitat such as outcrops, overhangs, caves and crevices. However, it does include drainage associated habitats which are suitable for dispersal and foraging. Potential denning habitat includes some rocky breakaways along the edge of valleys, adjacent to parts of the application area. These rocky habitats may also support the Pilbara Olive Python (*Liasis olivaceus barroni*) (Vulnerable; Schedule 1), Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus*) (Vulnerable; Schedule 4) and Blindsnake (*Ramphotyphlops ganei*) (Priority 1). Based on the above, Rapallo (2012c) recommended that clearing be avoided within 50 metres of rocky outcrops, overhangs and caves. According to Rapallo (2013), no suitable denning habitat occurs within 50 metres of the application area. This is supported by aerial imagery (GIS Database).

The application area is also likely to support the Australian Bustard, Rainbow Bee-eater and several other conservation significant species (Rapallo, 2012c). However, based on factors such as species mobility, core or preferred habitat requirements and the availability of similar habitat in surrounding areas, these species are unlikely to be significantly impacted by the proposed clearing.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

#### Methodology Rapallo (2012c)

Rapallo (2012d) Rapallo (2013) GIS Database:

- Rangeland Land System Mapping
- Weeli Wolli 50cm Orthomosaic

## (c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

### Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

According to available databases, there are no records of Threatened Flora species within the application area (GIS Database). No Threatened Flora species were recorded within the application area or larger survey area during the vegetation survey undertaken on 20 to 24 November 2011 (Rapallo, 2012b). The nearest record of Threatened Flora is located approximately 8.5 kilometres south west of the application area (GIS Database). According to Naturemap (DEC, 2013), this record is Threatened Flora species, *Lepidium catapycnon*. This species is known to occur on skeletal soils and hillsides (Western Australian Herbarium, 2013). Rapallo (2012a) has indicated this species is likely to occur within the survey area based on habitat assessment and database searches. Based on the abovementioned habitat description, vegetation community A may comprise suitable habitat for this species as it is located on ridgetops and slopes. This vegetation community occurs in the larger survey area but was not identified within the application area.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

### Methodology DEC (2013)

Rapallo (2012a) Rapallo (2012b)

Western Australian Herbarium (2013)

GIS Database:

- Threatened and Priority Flora

# (d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.

## Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

According to available databases, there are no known Threatened Ecological Communities (TECs) within the application area (GIS Database). The nearest known TEC is approximately 75 kilometres south east of the application area (GIS Database).

No TECs were recorded during the vegetation survey (Rapallo, 2012b).

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

#### Methodology

Rapallo (2012b)

GIS Database:

- Threatened Ecological Sites Buffered

## (e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

## Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle

The application area falls within the Pilbara Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia (IBRA) bioregion in which approximately 99.6% of the pre-European vegetation remains (see table) (GIS Database, Government of Western Australia, 2011).

The vegetation of the application area has been mapped as the following Beard vegetation associations (GIS Database):

29: Sparse low woodland; mulga, discontinuous in scattered groups; and

82: Hummock grasslands, low tree steppe; snappygum over *Triodia wiseana*.

Approximately 99.5% of Beard vegetation association 82 and approximately 99.9% of Beard vegetation association 29 remains at both a state and bioregional level (Government of Western Australia, 2011). Therefore the area proposed to be cleared does not represent a significant remnant of native vegetation within an area that has been extensively cleared.

|                               | Pre-European<br>area (ha)* | Current extent (ha)* | Remaining<br>%* | Conservation<br>Status** | Pre-European % in DEC Managed Lands |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| IBRA Bioregion –<br>Pilbara   | 17,804,427                 | 17,729,352           | ~99.6           | Least<br>Concern         | 8.35                                |
| Beard veg assoc.  – State     |                            |                      |                 |                          |                                     |
| 29                            | 7,903,991                  | 7,900,200            | ~99.9           | Least<br>Concern         | 5.22                                |
| 82                            | 2,565,901                  | 2,553,217            | ~99.5           | Least<br>Concern         | 10.49                               |
| Beard veg assoc.  – Bioregion |                            |                      |                 |                          |                                     |
| 29                            | 1,133,220                  | 1,132,939            | ~99.9           | Least<br>Concern         | 1.98                                |
| 82                            | 2,563,583                  | 2,550,899            | ~99.5           | Least<br>Concern         | 10.50                               |

<sup>\*</sup> Government of Western Australia (2011)

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this Principle.

#### Methodology

Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002)

Government of Western Australia (2011)

GIS Database:

- IBRA WA (Regions - Sub Regions)

<sup>\*\*</sup> Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002)

## (f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.

## Comments Proposal is at variance to this Principle

There are several minor non-perennial watercourses that cross the application area (GIS Database). Available databases show there are numerous minor non-perennial watercourses in the surrounding area (GIS Database). The fauna survey identified a major drainage line within the proposed haul road survey area, however, this is not located within the application area (Rapallo, 2012c).

Proposed impacts to watercourses include construction of creek crossings (culverts and floodways) and infilling of some minor drainage lines to construct the haul road (Rapallo, 2012d; Rapallo, 2013). No diversion of any major creek line is required. Vegetation within the application area is associated with floodplains and minor drainage lines (vegetation types B and C) (Rapallo, 2012b). Rapallo (2012d, 2013) considers these vegetation types as typical Pilbara watercourse vegetation complexes. Rapallo (2012d) recommends avoiding larger trees and shrubs at creek crossings as these help to maintain bank and sediment structure during flow events. Potential impacts to riparian vegetation as a result of the proposed clearing may be minimised by the implementation of a vegetation management condition.

Several water management structures are proposed including drainage diversion channels to redirect surface runoff around the haul road, culverts and floodways where drainage lines cross the haulage road and spoon drains to divert overland flow from the haulage road (Rapallo, 2012d). Creek crossings will be designed to avoid significant changes in flow velocities and construction will be undertaken during the dry season where possible (Rapallo, 2012d; Rapallo, 2013).

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is at variance to this Principle.

#### Methodology R

Rapallo (2012b) Rapallo (2012c) Rapallo (2012d) Rapallo (2013) GIS Database:

- Hydrography, linear
- Rivers

## (g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

## Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The application area has been mapped as occurring on the Newman and Boolgeeda land systems (GIS Database). The Newman land system consists of hills and ranges, supporting hard spinifex grasslands. Relief can be up to 450 metres. The Boolgeeda land system consists of stony lower slopes and plains below hill systems supporting hard and soft spinifex grasslands and mulga shrubland (Van Vreeswyk et al., 2004). These land systems are generally not prone to erosion (Van Vreeswyk et al., 2004).

Several water management structures are proposed including culverts and floodways where drainage lines cross the haulage road, drainage diversion channels and spoon drains (Rapallo, 2012d). Creek crossings will be designed to avoid significant changes in flow velocities and construction will be undertaken during the dry season where possible (Rapallo, 2012d; Rapallo, 2013). Process Minerals will manage road construction to prevent significant erosion, scouring and movement of sediment from entering waterways (Rapallo, 2013). Given the land systems are generally not prone to erosion and the abovementioned management measures, it is unlikely the proposed clearing will cause appreciable land degradation.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

#### Methodology

Rapallo (2012d) Rapallo (2013)

Van Vreeswyk et al. (2004)

GIS Database:

- Rangeland Land System Mapping

# (h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

## Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The application area does not lie within any conservation areas or Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) managed lands (GIS Database). The nearest conservation reserve is Karijini National Park, located approximately 60 kilometres west, north west of the application area (GIS Database). Based on the distance between the application area and Karijini National Park, the proposed clearing is not likely to

impact the environmental values of any conservation area.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

#### Methodology

GIS Database:

- DEC Tenure

# (i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

#### Comments

#### Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

According to available databases, the application area is not located within a Public Drinking Water Source Area (PDWSA) (GIS Database). There are no permanent waterbodies or watercourses within the application area, however, there are several minor, non-perennial watercourses that occur within the application area (GIS Database).

The annual average rainfall is 400 millimetres and the average annual evaporation rate is between 3,400 and 3,600 millimetres (GIS Database). Based on these averages, any surface water within the application area is likely to only remain for short periods following significant rainfall events.

According to available databases, groundwater salinity within the application area is between 500 and 1,000 milligrams/Litre Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (GIS Database). This is considered fresh to marginal. The proposed clearing is not expected to cause salinity levels within the application area to alter.

Several water management structures are proposed including culverts and floodways, designing creek crossings to avoid significant changes in flow velocities and construction during the dry season where possible (Rapallo, 2012d; Rapallo, 2013). Process Minerals will manage road construction to prevent significant erosion, scouring and movement of sediment from entering waterways (Rapallo, 2013).

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

#### Methodology

Rapallo (2012d)

Rapallo (2013)

GIS Database:

- Evaporation Isopleths
- Groundwater Salinity, Statewide
- Hydrography, linear
- Public Drinking Water Source Areas (PDWSAs)
- Rainfall, Mean Annual

# (j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.

#### Comments

## Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The application area is located within the Fortescue River catchment area (GIS Database). Given the size of the area to be cleared (11.575 hectares) in relation to the size of the catchment area (2,975,192 hectares) (GIS Database), the proposed clearing is not likely to increase the potential of flooding on a local or catchment scale.

With an average annual rainfall of 400 millimetres and an average annual evaporation rate of between 3,400 and 3,600 millimetres there is likely to be little surface flow during normal seasonal rains (GIS Database). Whilst large rainfall events may result in flooding of the area, the proposed clearing is not likely to lead to an increase in incidence or intensity of flooding.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

## Methodology

GIS Database:

- Evaporation Isopleths
- Hydrographic Catchments Catchments
- Rainfall, Mean Annual

## Planning instrument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.

#### Comments

The clearing permit application was advertised on 21 January 2013 by the Department of Mines and Petroleum (DMP) inviting submissions from the public. One submission was received stating the granting of the clearing permit application will not be supported. A response has been provided to the interested party.

There are two native title claims over the area under application: WC05/6 and WC11/6 (GIS Database). These claims have been registered with the Native Title Tribunal on behalf of the claimant groups. However, the mining tenure has been granted in accordance with the future act regime of the *Native Title Act 1993* and the nature of the act (i.e. the proposed clearing activity) has been provided for in that process, therefore the granting of a

Page 6

clearing permit is not a future act under the Native Title Act 1993.

According to available databases, there are no registered Aboriginal Sites of Significance within the application area (GIS Database). It is the proponent's responsibility to comply with the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972* and ensure that no Aboriginal Sites of Significance are damaged through the clearing process.

It is the proponent's responsibility to liaise with the Department of Environment and Conservation and the Department of Water to determine whether a Works Approval, Water Licence, Bed and Banks Permit, or any other licences or approvals are required for the proposed works.

## Methodology GIS Database:

GIS Dalabase.

- Aboriginal Sites of Significance
- Native Title Claims Registered with the NNTT

### 4. References

- DEC (2013) NatureMap Mapping Western Australia Biodiversity, Department of Environment and Conservation. http://naturemap.dec.wa.gov.au/default.aspx, viewed February 2013.
- Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Biodiversity Action Planning. Action planning for native biodiversity at multiple scales; catchment bioregional, landscape, local. Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.
- Government of Western Australia (2011) 2011 Statewide Vegetation Statistics incorporating the CAR Reserve Analysis (Full Report). WA Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth.
- Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.
- Rapallo (2012a) Further Information provided to the assessing officer for CPS 5342/1 by Rapallo in email correspondence dated 6 and 12 December 2012.
- Rapallo (2012b) Level 2 Flora and Vegetation Survey of Phil's Creek Haul Road for Process Minerals International.

  Unpublished report for Process Minerals International Pty Ltd dated February 2012.
- Rapallo (2012c) Level 1 Phil's Creek Vertebrate Fauna Survey for Process Minerals International. Unpublished report for Process Minerals International Ptv Ltd dated February 2012.
- Rapallo (2012d) Phil's Creek Iron Ore Project Supporting Information for a Native Vegetation Clearing Permit Application Purpose Permit Haul Road on L47/627. Unpublished report for Process Minerals International Pty Ltd dated December 2012.
- Rapallo (2013) Rapallo Response to Department of Mines and Petroleum Comments. Provided 11 February 2013.
- Van Vreeswyk, A.M, Payne, A.L, Leighton, K.A & Hennig, P (2004) Technical Bulletin No. 92: An inventory and condition survey of the Pilbara region, Western Australia. Department of Agriculture, South Perth, Western Australia.
- Western Australian Herbarium (2013) Florabase The Western Australian Flora. Department of Environment and Conservation. Available online at http://florabase.dec.wa.gov.au/, viewed February 2013.

### 5. Glossary

## Acronyms:

**BoM** Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Government

CALM Department of Conservation and Land Management (now DEC), Western Australia

**DAFWA** Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia

**DEC** Department of Environment and Conservation, Western Australia

**DEH** Department of Environment and Heritage (federal based in Canberra) previously Environment Australia

**DEP** Department of Environment Protection (now DEC), Western Australia

**DIA** Department of Indigenous Affairs

DLI Department of Land Information, Western Australia
 DMP Department of Mines and Petroleum, Western Australia
 DoE Department of Environment (now DEC), Western Australia

**DolR** Department of Industry and Resources (now DMP), Western Australia

**DOLA** Department of Land Administration, Western Australia

**DoW** Department of Water

**EP Act** Environmental Protection Act 1986, Western Australia

**EPBC Act** Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Federal Act)

GIS Geographical Information System
ha Hectare (10,000 square metres)

**IBRA** Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia

IUCN International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources – commonly known as the World

Conservation Union

RIWI Act Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914, Western Australia

s.17 Section 17 of the Environment Protection Act 1986, Western Australia

TEC Threatened Ecological Community

#### **Definitions:**

{Atkins, K (2005). Declared rare and priority flora list for Western Australia, 22 February 2005. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Como, Western Australia}:-

- Priority One Poorly Known taxa: taxa which are known from one or a few (generally <5) populations which are under threat, either due to small population size, or being on lands under immediate threat, e.g. road verges, urban areas, farmland, active mineral leases, etc., or the plants are under threat, e.g. from disease, grazing by feral animals, etc. May include taxa with threatened populations on protected lands. Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in urgent need of further survey.
- P2 Priority Two Poorly Known taxa: taxa which are known from one or a few (generally <5) populations, at least some of which are not believed to be under immediate threat (i.e. not currently endangered). Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in urgent need of further survey.
- P3 Priority Three Poorly Known taxa: taxa which are known from several populations, at least some of which are not believed to be under immediate threat (i.e. not currently endangered). Such taxa are under consideration for declaration as 'rare flora', but are in need of further survey.
- P4 Priority Four Rare taxa: taxa which are considered to have been adequately surveyed and which, whilst being rare (in Australia), are not currently threatened by any identifiable factors. These taxa require monitoring every 5–10 years.
- R Declared Rare Flora Extant taxa (= Threatened Flora = Endangered + Vulnerable): taxa which have been adequately searched for, and are deemed to be in the wild either rare, in danger of extinction, or otherwise in need of special protection, and have been gazetted as such, following approval by the Minister for the Environment, after recommendation by the State's Endangered Flora Consultative Committee.
- **Declared Rare Flora Presumed Extinct taxa**: taxa which have not been collected, or otherwise verified, over the past 50 years despite thorough searching, or of which all known wild populations have been destroyed more recently, and have been gazetted as such, following approval by the Minister for the Environment, after recommendation by the State's Endangered Flora Consultative Committee.

{Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2005} [Wildlife Conservation Act 1950] :-

- Schedule 1 Fauna that is rare or likely to become extinct: being fauna that is rare or likely to become extinct, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.
- Schedule 2 Schedule 2 Fauna that is presumed to be extinct: being fauna that is presumed to be extinct, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.
- Schedule 3 Birds protected under an international agreement: being birds that are subject to an agreement between the governments of Australia and Japan relating to the protection of migratory birds and birds in danger of extinction, are declared to be fauna that is need of special protection.
- Schedule 4 Other specially protected fauna: being fauna that is declared to be fauna that is in need of special protection, otherwise than for the reasons mentioned in Schedules 1, 2 or 3.

{CALM (2005). Priority Codes for Fauna. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Como, Western Australia}:-

- P1 Priority One: Taxa with few, poorly known populations on threatened lands: Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from one or a few localities on lands not managed for conservation, e.g. agricultural or pastoral lands, urban areas, active mineral leases. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.
- P2 Priority Two: Taxa with few, poorly known populations on conservation lands: Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from one or a few localities on lands not under immediate threat of habitat destruction or degradation, e.g. national parks, conservation parks, nature reserves, State forest, vacant Crown land, water reserves, etc. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.
- Priority Three: Taxa with several, poorly known populations, some on conservation lands: Taxa which are known from few specimens or sight records from several localities, some of which are on lands not under immediate threat of habitat destruction or degradation. The taxon needs urgent survey and evaluation of conservation status before consideration can be given to declaration as threatened fauna.
- P4 Priority Four: Taxa in need of monitoring: Taxa which are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and which are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection, but could be if present circumstances change. These taxa are usually represented on conservation lands.
- **P5 Priority Five: Taxa in need of monitoring**: Taxa which are not considered threatened but are subject to a specific conservation program, the cessation of which would result in the species becoming threatened within five years.

## Categories of threatened species (Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999)

- **EX Extinct:** A native species for which there is no reasonable doubt that the last member of the species has died.
- **EX(W) Extinct in the wild:** A native species which:
  - (a) is known only to survive in cultivation, in captivity or as a naturalised population well outside its past

range; or

- (b) has not been recorded in its known and/or expected habitat, at appropriate seasons, anywhere in its past range, despite exhaustive surveys over a time frame appropriate to its life cycle and form.
- **CR Critically Endangered:** A native species which is facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.
- **EN Endangered:** A native species which:
  - (a) is not critically endangered; and
  - (b) is facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.
- **VU Vulnerable:** A native species which:
  - (a) is not critically endangered or endangered; and
  - (b) is facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future, as determined in accordance with the prescribed criteria.
- **CD Conservation Dependent:** A native species which is the focus of a specific conservation program, the cessation of which would result in the species becoming vulnerable, endangered or critically endangered within a period of 5 years.