

# 1. Application details

1.1. Permit application de Permit application No.: Permit type:	etails 543/1 Area Permit		
1.2. Proponent details Proponent's name:	MJ & RK Ferrari		
1.3. Property details Property: Local Government Area: Colloquial name:	WILLIAMS LOCATION 15030 ( CROSSMAN 6390) Shire Of Boddington Lease No. 1601 Location No 15030 Greys Rd		
1.4. ApplicationClearing Area (ha)No. T1.52. Site Information	Trees Method of Clearing Mechanical Removal	<b>For the purpose of:</b> Timber Harvesting	

## 2.1. Existing environment and information

### 2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

# Vegetation Description

Beard vegetation associations: 3- Medium forest; jarrah-marri 4- Medium woodland; marri & wandoo (Shepherd et al, 2001)

Matiske vegetation complexes:

Coate (Ce) - Low open woodland of Eucalyptus marginata subsp. marginata-Corymbia calophylla-Allocasuarina fraseriana-Banksia ilicifolia and low open woodland of Melaleuca preissiana-Banksia littoralis on broad depressions in upper gullies in perhumid and humid zones.

Coolakin (Ck) - Woodland of Eucalyptus wandoo with mixtures of Eucalyptus patens, Eucalyptus marginata subsp. thalassica and Corymbia calophylla on the valley slopes in arid and perarid zones.

Michibin (Mi) - Open woodland of Eucalyptus wandoo over Acacia acuminata with some Eucalyptus loxophleba on valley slopes, with low woodland of Allocasuarina huegeliana on or near shallow granite outcrops in arid and perarid zones.

Yalanbee (Y6) - Woodland of Eucalyptus wandoo-Eucalyptus accedens, less consistently open forest of Eucalyptus marginata fs24 subsp. thalassica - Corymbia calophylla on lateritic uplands and breakaway landscapes in arid and perarid zones.

(Mattiske Consulting, 1998)

**Clearing Description** 

The proposal includes the clearing of 12 trees for the purpose of providing fencing materials, and paddock improvement for machinery operation.

The vegetation under application is primarily open woodland of Corymbia calophylla, which has been heavily grazed in the past, leading to an almost complete lack of understorey vegetation (Darralyn Ebsury, personal communication, 21/6/2005).

#### **Vegetation Condition**

Degraded: Structure severely disturbed; regeneration to good condition requires intensive management (Keighery 1994)

#### Comment

Aerial photography of the Boddington region shows an area which has been significantly altered through agricultural practises. Vegetation within the local area surrounding Williams Location 15030 is relatively sparse, comprising primarily of small isolated stands of remnant vegetation and vegetated hill tops but with larger remnants to the north, east, and south.

3. Assessment of application against clearing principles							
(a) Native	vegetation should not be o	leared if it co	omprises a h	nigh level of	biological diversi	ty.	
Comments	Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle Vegetation within Williams Location 15030 has been significantly modified through historic agricultural practises. The trees under application are mainly isolated paddock trees, located within or adjacent to cropping paddocks. Based on the relatively close proximity to large stands of remnant vegetation, it is considered unlikely that the vegetation under application comprises a high level of biological diversity.						
Methodology	GIS Database: Brookton-Boddington 1m Orthomosaic - DLI 01/04						
	egetation should not be cl ance of, a significant habi					ssary for the	
Comments	<b>Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle</b> Vegetation under application is described as dead and dying Eucalyptus lane-poolei Maiden and Eucalyptus marginata. Large trees may provide some habitat for fauna species, however, the level of disturbance within the vegetation is likely to limit the habitat value of the site.						
Methodology	GIS Database: Brookton-Boddington 1m Orthomosaic - DLI 01/04						
	vegetation should not be c ant flora.	leared if it in	cludes, or is	s necessary	for the continued	existence of,	
Comments	<b>Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle</b> There are no known Declared Rare or Priority Flora (DRF) present within the boundaries of Williams location 15030. While the local area surrounding these properties does contains 19 known populations of DRF, it is considered unlikely that the proposed clearing is at variance to the principles, based on the selective removal of trees and the vegetation quality of the areas under application.						
Methodology	GIS Database: Declared Rare	e and Priority Fl	ora List - CAL	M 13/08/03			
	vegetation should not be on nance of a significant ecol			e whole or a	part of, or is nece	essary for the	
Comments	Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle There are no known Threatened Ecological Communities within Williams Location 15030, or within the local area (10 km radius) surrounding the application.						
Methodology	GIS Database: Threatened Ecological Communities - CALM 15/7/03						
	vegetation should not be o s been extensively cleared		significant	as a remnan	t of native vegeta	tion in an area	
Comments	<b>Proposal may be at variance to this Principle</b> The vegetation within William Location 15030 is part of Beard vegetation associations 3 and 4 (Hopkins et al, 2001), as well as Mattiske Consulting (1998) complexes Ce, Ck, Mi, Y6. Of these vegetation types, Michibin complex and association 4 have representations below 30% of there previous extent.						
	The State Government is committed to the National Objective Targets for Biodiversity Conservation, which inclue targets that prevent clearance of ecological communities with an extent below 30% of that present pre-1750 (Department of Natural Resources and Environment 2002; EPA 2000). Beyond this value, species extinction is believed to occur at an exponential rate and any further clearing map have irreversible consequences for the conservation of biodiversity and is, therefore, not supported.						
	reserves/CALM-	Pre-European	Current	Remaining	Conservation	% in	
		area (ha)	extent (ha)	%*	status**	managed land	
	IBRA Bioregion - Jarrah Fore		4,544,335	2,665,480	58.7%	Least concern	
	Shire of Boddington Beard vegetation association	195,281	138,327	70.8%	Least concern		
	- 3	3,046,385	2,197,837	72.1%	Least concern	10.1%	

1,247,834

242,947

1,338,992

1,345,524

1,583,884

292,993

237,288

573,908

356,512

814,609

23.5%

97.7%

42.9%

26.5%

51.4%

Least concern

Least concern

Vulnerable

Depleted

Vulnerable

- 3

- 4

- Ce

- Ck

- Mi

- Y6

Mattiske vegetation complex

14.8%

	* (Shepherd et al. 2001) ** (Department of Natural Resources and Environment 2002)		
Methodology	Hopkins et al (2001)		
	Mattiske Consulting (1998)		
	Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002)		
	EPA (2000) Shepherd et al (2001)		
	vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment Ited with a watercourse or wetland.		
Comments	Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle		
	Williams Location 15030 does contain some minor non-perennial watercourses, although the area under application does not correspond with the location of these watercourses. It is therefore considered unlikely that		
	the removal of the vegetation under application is at variance to this principle.		
Mathadalaws			
Methodology	GIS Database: Hydrography, linear - DOE 1/02/04		
(g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.			
Comments	Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle		
	DAWA (2005) define the soils within Williams Location 15030 primarily as hillslopes containing soils formed by the weathering of fresh rock, with rock outcrops being quite common, and valley floors subtended by the steep		
	slopes of the Michibin unit; yellow duplex soils and a lower sandy terrace.		
	The vegetation under application for the surpass of timber hervesting consists of dead trace contained		
	The vegetation under application for the purpose of timber harvesting consists of dead trees scattered throughout 1.5 hectares of degraded remnant bush (Darralyn Ebsury, personal communication, 21/6/2005, and		
	is therefore not likely to cause appreciable on-site or off-site land degradation.		
Methodology	DAWA (2005)		
	vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on ironmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.		
Comments	Proposal is not likely to be at youispes to this Principle		
	Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle		
	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve		
	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under application, it is considered unlikely that it would contribute significantly to ecological linkages to stands of		
	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under		
Methodology	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under application, it is considered unlikely that it would contribute significantly to ecological linkages to stands of remnant vegetation. GIS Database: Brookton-Boddington 1m Orthomosaic - DLI 01/04		
Methodology	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under application, it is considered unlikely that it would contribute significantly to ecological linkages to stands of remnant vegetation.		
(i) Native	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under application, it is considered unlikely that it would contribute significantly to ecological linkages to stands of remnant vegetation. GIS Database: Brookton-Boddington 1m Orthomosaic - DLI 01/04		
(i) Native	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under application, it is considered unlikely that it would contribute significantly to ecological linkages to stands of remnant vegetation. GIS Database: Brookton-Boddington 1m Orthomosaic - DLI 01/04 GIS Database: CALM Managed Lands and Waters - CALM 01/08/04 vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration uality of surface or underground water.		
(i) Native (in the q	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under application, it is considered unlikely that it would contribute significantly to ecological linkages to stands of remnant vegetation. GIS Database: Brookton-Boddington 1m Orthomosaic - DLI 01/04 GIS Database: CALM Managed Lands and Waters - CALM 01/08/04 vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration uality of surface or underground water. Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle While the drainage lines within Williams Location 15030 are identified as being slightly salt affected, the		
(i) Native (in the q	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under application, it is considered unlikely that it would contribute significantly to ecological linkages to stands of remnant vegetation. GIS Database: Brookton-Boddington 1m Orthomosaic - DLI 01/04 GIS Database: CALM Managed Lands and Waters - CALM 01/08/04 vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration uality of surface or underground water. Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle		
(i) Native (in the q	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under application, it is considered unlikely that it would contribute significantly to ecological linkages to stands of remnant vegetation. GIS Database: Brookton-Boddington 1m Orthomosaic - DLI 01/04 GIS Database: CALM Managed Lands and Waters - CALM 01/08/04 vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration uality of surface or underground water. Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle While the drainage lines within Williams Location 15030 are identified as being slightly salt affected, the		
<ul> <li>(i) Native v in the q</li> <li>Comments</li> <li>Methodology</li> <li>(j) Native v</li> </ul>	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under application, it is considered unlikely that it would contribute significantly to ecological linkages to stands of remnant vegetation. GIS Database: Brookton-Boddington 1m Orthomosaic - DLI 01/04 GIS Database: CALM Managed Lands and Waters - CALM 01/08/04 vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration uality of surface or underground water. Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle While the drainage lines within Williams Location 15030 are identified as being slightly salt affected, the proposed clearing of dead vegetation is not likely to impact on the quality of surface of groundwater.		
<ul> <li>(i) Native v in the q</li> <li>Comments</li> <li>Methodology</li> <li>(j) Native v</li> </ul>	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under application, it is considered unlikely that it would contribute significantly to ecological linkages to stands of remnant vegetation. GIS Database: Brookton-Boddington 1m Orthomosaic - DLI 01/04 GIS Database: CALM Managed Lands and Waters - CALM 01/08/04 vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration uality of surface or underground water. Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle While the drainage lines within Williams Location 15030 are identified as being slightly salt affected, the proposed clearing of dead vegetation is not likely to impact on the quality of surface of groundwater. GIS Database: Salinity Mapping LM 25m - DOLA 00 vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the		
<ul> <li>(i) Native v in the q</li> <li>Comments</li> <li>Methodology</li> <li>(j) Native v inciden</li> </ul>	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under application, it is considered unlikely that it would contribute significantly to ecological linkages to stands of remnant vegetation. GIS Database: Brookton-Boddington 1m Orthomosaic - DLI 01/04 GIS Database: CALM Managed Lands and Waters - CALM 01/08/04 vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration uality of surface or underground water. Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle While the drainage lines within Williams Location 15030 are identified as being slightly salt affected, the proposed clearing of dead vegetation is not likely to impact on the quality of surface of groundwater. GIS Database: Salinity Mapping LM 25m - DOLA 00 vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the co of flooding.		
<ul> <li>(i) Native v in the q</li> <li>Comments</li> <li>Methodology</li> <li>(j) Native v inciden</li> </ul>	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under application, it is considered unlikely that it would contribute significantly to ecological linkages to stands of remnant vegetation. GIS Database: Brookton-Boddington 1m Orthomosaic - DLI 01/04 GIS Database: CALM Managed Lands and Waters - CALM 01/08/04 vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration uality of surface or underground water. Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle While the drainage lines within Williams Location 15030 are identified as being slightly salt affected, the proposed clearing of dead vegetation is not likely to impact on the quality of surface of groundwater. GIS Database: Salinity Mapping LM 25m - DOLA 00 vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the ce of flooding. Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle The vegetation under application is not associated with any waterlogged and riverine areas, and thus is not		
<ul> <li>(i) Native v in the q</li> <li>Comments</li> <li>Methodology</li> <li>(j) Native v inciden</li> <li>Comments</li> <li>Methodology</li> </ul>	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under application, it is considered unlikely that it would contribute significantly to ecological linkages to stands of remnant vegetation. GIS Database: Brookton-Boddington 1m Orthomosaic - DLI 01/04 GIS Database: CALM Managed Lands and Waters - CALM 01/08/04 vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration uality of surface or underground water. Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle While the drainage lines within Williams Location 15030 are identified as being slightly salt affected, the proposed clearing of dead vegetation is not likely to impact on the quality of surface of groundwater. GIS Database: Salinity Mapping LM 25m - DOLA 00 vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the ce of flooding. Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle The vegetation under application is not associated with any waterlogged and riverine areas, and thus is not likely to cause or exacerbate the incidence of flooding.		
<ul> <li>(i) Native v in the q</li> <li>Comments</li> <li>Methodology</li> <li>(j) Native v inciden</li> <li>Comments</li> <li>Methodology</li> </ul>	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under application, it is considered unlikely that it would contribute significantly to ecological linkages to stands of remnant vegetation. GIS Database: Brookton-Boddington 1m Orthomosaic - DLI 01/04 GIS Database: CALM Managed Lands and Waters - CALM 01/08/04 vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration uality of surface or underground water. Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle While the drainage lines within Williams Location 15030 are identified as being slightly salt affected, the proposed clearing of dead vegetation is not likely to impact on the quality of surface of groundwater. GIS Database: Salinity Mapping LM 25m - DOLA 00 vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the co of flooding. Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle The vegetation under application is not associated with any waterlogged and riverine areas, and thus is not likely to cause or exacerbate the incidence of flooding. GIS Database: Hydrography, linear - DOE 01/02/04 strument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.		
<ul> <li>(i) Native v in the q</li> <li>Comments</li> <li>Methodology</li> <li>(j) Native v inciden</li> <li>Comments</li> <li>Methodology</li> <li>Planning instanting</li> </ul>	No conservation areas were identified near Williams Location 15030, with the nearest CALM Nature Reserve located approximately 7.5 kilometres to the south. Due to the degraded nature of the vegetation under application, it is considered unlikely that it would contribute significantly to ecological linkages to stands of remnant vegetation. GIS Database: Brookton-Boddington 1m Orthomosaic - DLI 01/04 GIS Database: CALM Managed Lands and Waters - CALM 01/08/04 vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration uality of surface or underground water. Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle While the drainage lines within Williams Location 15030 are identified as being slightly salt affected, the proposed clearing of dead vegetation is not likely to impact on the quality of surface of groundwater. GIS Database: Salinity Mapping LM 25m - DOLA 00 vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the ce of flooding. Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle The vegetation under application is not associated with any waterlogged and riverine areas, and thus is not likely to cause or exacerbate the incidence of flooding. GIS Database: Hydrography, linear - DOE 01/02/04		

Methodology

### 4. Assessor's recommendations

Purpose	Method Applie area (h	d Decision a)/ trees	Comment / recommendation
Timber Harvesting	Mechanical 1.5 Removal	Grant	The assessable criteria have been addressed and the proposal may be at variance to Principle (e). The nature of the vegetation to be cleared has been highly altered through historical impacts, and is not likely to be representative of the original vegetation on site. The assessing officer therefore recommends that the permit should be granted.

#### 5. References

DAWA (2005) AGMaps Land Manager CD-ROM. Department of Agriculture Western Australia.

Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Biodiversity Action Planning. Action planning for native biodiversity at multiple scales ; catchment bioregional, landscape, local. Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.

EPA (2000) Environmental protection of native vegetation in Western Australia. Clearing of native vegetation, with particular reference to the agricultural area. Position Statement No. 2. December 2000. Environmental Protection Authority.

Hopkins, A.J.M., Beeston, G.R. and Harvey J.M. (2001) A database on the vegetation of Western Australia. Stage 1. CALMScience after J. S. Beard, late 1960's to early 1980's Vegetation Survey of Western Australia, UWA Press.

Keighery, BJ (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

Mattiske Consulting (1998) Mapping of vegetation complexes in the South West forest region of Western Australia, CALM. Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R. and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001) Native Vegetation in Western Australia, Extent, Type and Status. Resource Management Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.