

Clearing Permit Decision Report

1. Application details

| 1.1. Permit application details | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Permit application No.: | 5640/2 | | | |
| Permit type: | Purpose Permit | | | |
| 1.2. Proponent details | | | | |
| Proponent's name: | Mobile Concreting Solutions Pty Ltd | | | |
| 1.3. Property details | | | | |
| Property: | Mining Lease 45/1232 Miscellaneous Licence 45/328 | | | |
| | | | | |
| Local Government Area: | Town of Port Hedland | | | |
| Colloquial name: | Indee Sand Quarry | | | |
| 1.4. Application | | | | |
| Clearing Area (ha) No. 1 | Trees Method of Clearing For the purpose of: | | | |
| 100.988 | Mechanical Removal Mineral Production and Associated Activities | | | |
| 1.5. Decision on application | | | | |
| Decision on Permit Application: | 4 May 2017 | | | |
| Decision Date: | Granted | | | |
| | | | | |

2. Site Information

2.1. Existing environment and information

2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application Vegetation Description The application area has been mapped as the following three Beard vegetation associations (GIS Database).

93: Hummock grasslands, shrub steppe; kanji over soft spinifex;

619: Medium woodland; river gum (Eucalyptus camaldulensis); and

647: Hummock grasslands, dwarf-shrub steppe; Acacia translucens over soft spinifex.

A Level 1 flora and vegetation survey of the application area was undertaken by Astron Environmental Services (Astron) (2013) on 30 May and 1 June 2012 (Astron, 2013). The vegetation survey identified the following eight vegetation types in the application area:

River Levee with red sands and loams

R1i: Acacia tumida with A. trachycarpa closed tall shrubland over *Triumfetta propinqualCorchorus incanus* subsp.incanus over *Eriachne obtuse*, *Eragrostis eriopoda*, *Aristida hygrometrica* and *Triodia schenzii*.

River Flood Plain and Outer River Bank with deep red alluvial sands

R3i: Corymbia hamersleyana open woodland over Acacia inaequilatera/A. tumida open tall shrubland over Triodia lanigera hummock grassland with some T. epactia.

R3ii: Corymbia hamersleyana open woodland over Acacia inaequilatera tall open heath with A. orthocarpa, A. acradenia, A. trachycarpa over Triodia lanigera, T. epactia mixed hummock grassland.

River Bed and Inner Banks with washed sands, stones and gravels

R51: *Melaleuca argentea* scattered to open low woodland over very scattered *Crotalaria cunninghamii, Petalostylis labicheoides* and *Cajanus cinereus.*

R5ii: *Melaleuca argentea* scattered tall trees over mixed *Acacia trachycarpa*, *M. Iasiandra*, *A. pyrifolia* var *morrisonii* mixed open shrubland.

R5iii: *Eucalyptus victrix* open low woodland over *Acacia trachycarpa* tall shrubland with mixed *A. coriacea, A. orthocarpa, A. tumida, Grevillea wickhamii* over very scattered *Triodia longiceps, T. lanigera, T. epactia* hummocks and sedges *Cyperus vaginatus* and *C. blakeanus.*

R5iv: Acacia trachycarpa/A. tumida tall closed shrublandover Corchorus incanus subsp. incanus, Sida rohlenae open mixed low shrubland over open *Triodia epactia/T. lanigera* open hummock grassland. Scattered *Eucalyptus victrix*.

Level Sandy Loamy Plain with scalds

M6i: Triodia lanigera hummock grassland on red loamy plain with intrusions of sandy scald.

Clearing Description Indee Sand Quarry Project

Mobile Concreting Solutions Pty Ltd (MCS) proposes to clear up to 100.988 hectares of native vegetation within a total boundary of 102.016 hectares for the purpose of mineral production. Clearing is required for extracting sand,

| | | clearing for access tracks, installation of the screening plant and pit and associated infrastructure. The project is located approximately 50 kilometres south, south-west of Port Hedland in the Town of Port Hedland. |
|------------------------|---|---|
| Vegetation Condition | | Excellent: Vegetation structure intact; disturbance affecting individual species, weeds non-aggressive (Keighery, 1994) |
| Comment | | Clearing permit CPS 5640/1 was granted by the Department of Mines and Petroleum (DMP) on 1 August 2013 and authorised the clearing of up to 91 hectares of native vegetation within a clearing permit boundary of approximately 91.02 hectares for the purpose of mineral production and associated activities. |
| | | MCS has applied to amend CPS 5640/1for the purpose of increasing the clearing authorised (from 91 hectares to 100.988 hectares) and increasing the clearing permit boundary. |
| 2 100000 | montofo | pplication against clearing principles |
| 3. Assessi Comments | MCS has up to 100 | applied to amend CPS 5640/1 for the purpose of increasing the amount of native vegetation clearing 0.988 hectares and increasing the clearing permit boundary. The area has been previously cleared f the approved permit boundary. This permit amendment is to cover the clearing of regrowth |
| | Priority E | survey undertaken by Astron (2013) did not locate Threatened flora, Priority flora or Threatened or cological Communities within the amended clearing area (Astron, 2013). It is unlikely that the I clearing will impact biodiversity in the area. |
| | conserva existing v significan on the flo present a habitat (A | surveys have been undertaken over the amendment area. However, a desktop search of tion significant fauna species was undertaken by Astron (2013). Astron (2013) considered that the regetation potentially supports a diverse range of fauna, particularly avian fauna. However, the most at avian fauna habitat occurs in the Turner River. The majority of the amended application area occurs bodplain and outer river bank areas which do not contain significant avian fauna habitat. The habitats are not restricted to the amended application area and are unlikely to represent significant fauna Astron, 2013). The amendment area does not contain habitat critical for fauna species and the I clearing will not impact significant fauna habitat. |
| | requiring | ise the potential impact to fauna habitat, a fauna management condition remains on the permit native vegetation two metres in height or greater or within the drip line of trees to be retained. No of this vegetation shall occur without prior CEO approval. |
| | (Astron, 2 the amen | er River (ephemeral watercourse) is located within and adjacent to the amended application area 2013; GIS Database). However, only a very small amount of riparian vegetation will be cleared within ided permit area. MCS proposes to mine sand in the Turner River in approximately 2.5 hectare in campaigns during dry periods (MCS, 2017). |
| | cleared h | nded application area is located within the River land system and river bed areas proposed to be have a very high susceptibility to soil and wind erosion when vegetation cover is removed. A staged condition will remain on the amended permit to manage impacts from erosion and associated with ctivities. |
| | proposed | osed amendment is unlikely to result in any significant change to the environmental impacts of the I clearing. The assessment against the clearing principles remains consistent with the assessment d in the CPS 5640/1 decision report. |
| Methodology | Astron (2 Keighery MCS (20 | (1994) |
| Planning ins | strument, | Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter. |
| Comments | | |
| | been regi tenure ha the act (i. | one Native Title Claim (WC1999/003) over the area under application (DAA, 2017). This claim has istered with the National Native Title Tribunal on behalf of the claimant group. However, the mining as been granted in accordance with the future act regime of the <i>Native Title Act 1993</i> and the nature of e. the proposed clearing activity) has been provided for in that process, therefore the granting of a permit is not a future act under the <i>Native Title Act 1993</i> . |
| | proponer | e no registered Aboriginal sites of significance within the application area (DAA, 2017). It is the nt's responsibility to comply with the <i>Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972</i> and ensure that no Aboriginal sites cance are damaged through the clearing process. |
| | Parks and | roponent's responsibility to liaise with the Department of Environment Regulation, Department of d Wildlife and the Department of Water to determine whether a Works Approval, Water Licence, Bed is Permit, or any other licences or approvals are required for the proposed works. |
| | The clear | ring permit application was advertised on 27 March 2017 inviting submissions from the public. There Page 2 |

were no submissions received.

Methodology DAA (2017)

4. References

Astron (2013) Indee Sand Quarry Level 1 Flora and Vegetation Survey. Report prepared by Astron Environmental Services for Mobile Concreting Solutions Pty Ltd, Perth, Western Australia, January, 2013.

DAA (2017) Aboriginal Heritage Inquiry System, Government of Western Australia, Department of Aboriginal Affairs, Perth http://maps.dia.wa.gov.au/AHIS2/ Accessed 23 March 2017.

Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

MCS (2017) Mobile Concreting Solutions, Mine Plan Addendum, MCS Indee Sand Quarry. Report prepared by Mobile Concreting Solutions Pty Ltd, Perth, Western Australia, January, 2017.

5. Glossary

Acronyms:

| ВоМ | Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Government |
|----------|--|
| DAA | Department of Aboriginal Affairs, Western Australia |
| DAFWA | Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia |
| DEC | Department of Environment and Conservation, Western Australia (now DPaW and DER) |
| DER | Department of Environment Regulation, Western Australia |
| DMP | Department of Mines and Petroleum, Western Australia |
| DRF | Declared Rare Flora |
| DotEE | Department of the Environment and Energy, Australian Government |
| DoW | Department of Water, Western Australia |
| DPaW | Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia |
| DSEWPaC | Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (now DotEE) |
| EPA | Environmental Protection Authority, Western Australia |
| EP Act | Environmental Protection Act 1986, Western Australia |
| EPBC Act | Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Federal Act) |
| GIS | Geographical Information System |
| ha | Hectare (10,000 square metres) |
| IBRA | Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia |
| IUCN | International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources - commonly known as the |
| | World Conservation Union |
| PEC | Priority Ecological Community, Western Australia |
| RIWI Act | Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914, Western Australia |
| TEC | Threatened Ecological Community |

Definitions:

т

{DPaW (2015) Conservation Codes for Western Australian Flora and Fauna. Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia}:-

Threatened species:

Published as Specially Protected under the *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950*, listed under Schedules 1 to 4 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice for Threatened Fauna and Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice for Threatened Flora (which may also be referred to as Declared Rare Flora).

Threatened fauna is that subset of 'Specially Protected Fauna' declared to be 'likely to become extinct' pursuant to section 14(4) of the Wildlife Conservation Act.

Threatened flora is flora that has been declared to be 'likely to become extinct or is rare, or otherwise in need of special protection', pursuant to section 23F(2) of the Wildlife Conservation Act.

The assessment of the conservation status of these species is based on their national extent and ranked according to their level of threat using IUCN Red List categories and criteria as detailed below.

CR Critically endangered species

Threatened species considered to be facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild. Published as Specially Protected under the *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950*, in Schedule 1 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice for Threatened Fauna and Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice for Threatened Flora.

EN Endangered species

Threatened species considered to be facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild. Published as Specially Protected under the *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950*, in Schedule 2 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice for Threatened Fauna and Wildlife Conservation

(Rare Flora) Notice for Threatened Flora.

VU Vulnerable species

Threatened species considered to be facing a high risk of extinction in the wild. Published as Specially Protected under the *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950*, in Schedule 3 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice for Threatened Fauna and Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice for Threatened Flora.

EX Presumed extinct species

Species which have been adequately searched for and there is no reasonable doubt that the last individual has died. Published as Specially Protected under the *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950*, in Schedule 4 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice for Presumed Extinct Fauna and Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice for Presumed Extinct Flora.

IA Migratory birds protected under an international agreement

Birds that are subject to an agreement between the government of Australia and the governments of Japan (JAMBA), China (CAMBA) and The Republic of Korea (ROKAMBA), and the Bonn Convention, relating to the protection of migratory birds. Published as Specially Protected under the *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950*, in Schedule 5 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice.

CD Conservation dependent fauna

Fauna of special conservation need being species dependent on ongoing conservation intervention to prevent it becoming eligible for listing as threatened. Published as Specially Protected under the *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950,* in Schedule 6 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice.

OS Other specially protected fauna

Fauna otherwise in need of special protection to ensure their conservation. Published as Specially Protected under the *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950*, in Schedule 7 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice.

P Priority species

Species which are poorly known; or

Species that are adequately known, are rare but not threatened, and require regular monitoring. Assessment of Priority codes is based on the Western Australian distribution of the species, unless the distribution in WA is part of a contiguous population extending into adjacent States, as defined by the known spread of locations.

P1 Priority One - Poorly-known species:

Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less) which are potentially at risk. All occurrences are either: very small; or on lands not managed for conservation, e.g. agricultural or pastoral lands, urban areas, road and rail reserves, gravel reserves and active mineral leases; or otherwise under threat of habitat destruction or degradation. Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from one or more locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and appear to be under immediate threat from known threatening processes. Such species are in urgent need of further survey.

P2 Priority Two - Poorly-known species:

Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less), some of which are on lands managed primarily for nature conservation, e.g. national parks, conservation parks, nature reserves and other lands with secure tenure being managed for conservation. Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from one or more locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and appear to be under threat from known threatening processes. Such species are in urgent need of further survey.

P3 Priority Three - Poorly-known species:

Species that are known from several locations, and the species does not appear to be under imminent threat, or from few but widespread locations with either large population size or significant remaining areas of apparently suitable habitat, much of it not under imminent threat. Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from several locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and known threatening processes exist that could affect them. Such species are in need of further survey.

P4 Priority Four - Rare, Near Threatened and other species in need of monitoring:

(a) Rare. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and that are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection, but could be if present circumstances change. These species are usually represented on conservation lands.

(b) Near Threatened. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed and that are close to qualifying for Vulnerable, but are not listed as Conservation Dependent.

(c) Species that have been removed from the list of threatened species during the past five years for reasons other than taxonomy.

Principles for clearing native vegetation: Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity. (a) (b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia. Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare (c) flora. Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the (d) maintenance of a threatened ecological community. Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that (e) has been extensively cleared. Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated (f) with a watercourse or wetland. Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land (g) degradation. (h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area. Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the (i) quality of surface or underground water. Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the (j) incidence or intensity of flooding.