

Gravel Pit Revegetation Plan for Holland Tank Road Gravel Pit Extension, Kent.



Prepared for the Shire of Kent
March 2019



PO Box 9179, Picton WA 6229
enquiries@ecoedge.com.au

Version	Origin	Review	Review date	Ecoedge release approval	Issue date
V1	C. Spencer	D. Brace			
V2	R. Smith				
Final Draft	D. Brace	Shire of Kent	10/3/2019	11/3/2019	11/3/2019
Final	D. Brace				25/6/2019

Contents

Statement of limitations	6
Reliance on data	6
Report for benefit of client	6
1 Introduction	7
1.1 Purpose of the plan	7
1.2 Impact mitigation	7
1.3 Plan preparation details	8
2 Background of revegetation site	12
2.1 Planning considerations	12
2.2 Conservation security	12
2.3 Site location and description	12
3 Climate	12
3.1 Vegetation	13
3.1.1 Environmental weeds and declared pest plants	14
3.1.2 Vegetation units	14
3.1.3 Vegetation condition	14
4 Fauna	16
5 Current disturbance and threats	16
5.1.1 Historical disturbance	16
5.1.2 Existing land use disturbances	16
5.1.3 Physical site factors	16
5.1.4 Existing Biological Site Conditions:	17
6 Revegetation commitments	17
6.1 Vision	17
6.2 Objectives	17
6.3 Reference site floristic data collection	18
6.4 Species list compilation	18
6.5 Targets and completion criteria	21
7 Revegetation techniques	23
7.1 Pit establishment	23
7.1.1 Clearing	23

7.1.2	Topsoil and overburden removal and stockpiling	23
7.1.3	Gravel extraction.....	24
7.2	Pit reinstatement	24
7.2.1	Site profiling.....	24
7.2.2	Ripping	25
7.2.3	Top soil and overburden application	25
7.2.4	Replacement of cleared vegetation.....	25
7.3	Revegetation	25
7.3.1	Direct seeding	25
7.3.2	Planting seedlings	26
7.3.3	Planting seedlings	28
7.3.4	Staging.....	28
8	Maintenance and contingency measures	29
9	Monitoring and analysis	31
10	Schedule and Budget	32
11	References	39

Table of Tables

Table 1.	Average rainfall and temperature data for the Project Area	13
Table 2.	Priority flora taxa found during the field survey.....	13
Table 3.	Project Area species list and commercial availability.	19
Table 4.	Completion criteria measures.....	21
Table 5.	Vegetation unit completion criteria.....	22
Table 6.	Recommended first season planting species list and quantities.....	28
Table 7.	Possible staging options for the revegetation project.....	29
Table 8.	Revegetation program maintenance and contingency measures.....	30
Table 9.	Revegetation program monitoring and analysis measures.	31
Table 11.	2019 year 1 schedule and budget.....	32
Table 12.	2020 year 2 schedule and budget for quotation requirements	33
Table 13.	2021 year 3 schedule and budget for quotation.	35
Table 14.	2022 year 4 schedule and budget for quotation purposes.....	36
Table 15.	2023 year 5 schedule and budget for quotation purposes.....	37
Table 16.	2024 year 6 schedule and budget for quotation purposes.....	38

Table of Figures

Figure 1. Aerial photograph showing the location of the Project Area.....9

Figure 2. The Project Area in context of surrounding land uses.10

Figure 3. The Project Area approximate clearing and subsequent revegetation boundary. ...11

Figure 4. Tall Open Shrubland over Shrubland.....14

Figure 5. Condition of vegetation within the Survey Area.15

Figure 6. Possible staging options for the clearing and use of topsoil29

Statement of limitations

Reliance on data

In the preparation of this report, Ecoedge has relied on data, surveys, analyses, designs, plans and other information provided by the Client and other individuals and organisations, most of which are referred to in the report. Unless stated otherwise in the report, Ecoedge has not verified the accuracy or completeness of the data. To the extent that the statements, opinions, facts, information, conclusions and/or recommendations in the report are based in whole or in part on the data, those conclusions are contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of the data. Ecoedge will not be liable in relation to incorrect conclusions should any data, information or condition be incorrect or have been concealed, withheld, unavailable, misrepresented or otherwise not fully disclosed to Ecoedge.

Report for benefit of client

The report has been prepared for the benefit of the Client and for no other party. Ecoedge assumes no responsibility and will not be liable to any other person or organisation for or in relation to any matter dealt with or conclusions expressed in the report, or for any loss or damage suffered by any other person or organisation arising from matters dealt with or conclusions expressed in the report (including, without limitation, matters arising from any negligent act or omission of Ecoedge or for any loss or damage suffered by any other party relying on the matters dealt with or conclusions expressed in the report). Other parties should not rely upon the report or the accuracy or completeness of any conclusions and should make their own enquiries and obtain independent advice in relation to such matters.

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose of the plan

The Shire of Kent (the Shire) propose to undertake an extension to a gravel pit located approximately 2.1 kilometres west of the Newdegate_Pingrup Road intersection on the north side of Holland Tank Road (the 'Survey Area') (**Figure 1, 2 and 3**).

These works will require the clearing of approximately 1.16 hectare (ha) of native vegetation and are required in order to provide for the future ongoing road maintenance works within the Shire.

Ecoedge was engaged by the Shire in September 2018 to prepare a revegetation plan for the rehabilitation of the cleared land to mitigate the impact of the clearing activities (the Project Area)

This revegetation plan aligns with State guidelines for the preparation of a revegetation plan for Clearing Permits (DWER, 2018).

1.2 Impact mitigation

The proposed revegetation activities will mitigate impacts of clearing in the following ways.

The revegetation activities mean that the proposed clearing will not result in a permanent loss of vegetation at site and that there will be no net loss of vegetated areas.

The revegetated area will restore the overall functioning of the ecological linkage/corridor for the migration of fauna and flora.

Revegetation processes will mitigate potential impacts to infiltration and drainage caused by extraction activities. The exposed clay layer will be ripped and covered with overburden, top soil and mulch. This will slow water flow and facilitate localised water infiltration.

Restored vegetation and proposed weed control activities will impede potential recolonisation of cleared areas by weeds.

Site restoration efforts will promote positive community attitudes in the local and broader community about responsible custodianship of the environment

1.3 Plan preparation details

Clearing Permit number: To be confirmed

Revegetation Plan

Author: Colin Spencer (B.Sc. (Hons)), Botanist, Debbie Brace (B.Sc Hons) Director, Ecoedge.

Experience:

- Ecoedge Botanist, 2018 to present
- Local Government Environmental Officer, 10 years (City of Bunbury)
- Local Government Bushland Regenerator, 3 years (City of Melville)
- Catchment Management Group Bushland Regenerator and Environmental Education officer, 3 years (Bayswater Integrated Catchment Management Group)




Figure 1. Aerial photograph showing the location of the Project Area.




Figure 2. The Project Area in context of surrounding land uses.




Figure 3. The Project Area approximate clearing and subsequent revegetation boundary.

2 Background of revegetation site

2.1 Planning considerations

- Area: 1.15 ha
- Tenure: Crown Land
- Management arrangement: Shire of Kent
- Zoning: Road Reserve

2.2 Conservation security

The site will be managed for road reserve purposes – consistent with its reservation – on an ongoing basis. The use of the land for conservation / revegetation activities is consistent with the area's reservation and will not be an impediment for ongoing use of this site for this purpose.

2.3 Site location and description

The Project Area ¹ is situated within the Western Mallee (MAL2) sub-region of the Mallee biogeographic region, as defined in the Interim Biogeographical Regionalisation for Australia (IBRA) (Commonwealth of Australia, 2016).

The Project Area occurs within the South Eastern Zone of Ancient Drainage (SEZAD). The SEZAD generally comprises a smooth to irregularly undulating plain dominated by salt lake chains in the main valleys with duplex and lateritic soils on the uplands.

It occurs within a corridor of vegetation approximately 170 m wide that runs along the north side of Holland Road between Lake Bryde Nature Reserve and the Hollands Road Nature Reserve. In this region remnant road side vegetation is often the only vegetation that links patches of remnant bushland within the largely cleared agricultural landscape (**Figure 2 & Figure 3**).

3 Climate

The Project Area experiences a Mediterranean climate with cool wet winters and warm dry summers. The annual average rainfall is 357.7 mm per year.

Monthly average rainfall and temperature data for the Project Area is presented in **Table 1**.

¹ Information and maps for this section is taken from the Flora Survey report in which the Project Area was referred to as the Survey Area.

Table 1. Average rainfall and temperature data for the Project Area

Month	Rainfall ¹	Temp max ²	Temp min ²
Jan	16.4	28.7	13.9
Feb	18	27.9	14.3
Mar	21.8	26	13.2
Apr	22.6	22.8	11.2
May	43	18.9	8.6
Jun	51.7	16.1	6.7
Jul	48.1	15	5.8
Aug	40.6	15.8	5.9
Sep	32.8	17.9	6.5
Oct	25.4	21.3	8.2
Nov	20.4	24.5	10.5
Dec	15.5	27.2	12.4
Annual	357.7		

1. based on climate data for Pingrup Weather Station, Station No. 10627 (Bureau of Meteorology, 2019).
2. based on climate data for Ongerup Weather Station, Station No. 10622 (Bureau of Meteorology, 2019).

3.1 Vegetation

A Reconnaissance and Targeted flora and vegetation survey of the project area was conducted by Ecoedge on 13 September 2018 in accordance with State and Commonwealth requirements for the bioregion and species and communities present, and the Environmental Protection Authority's 'Technical Guidance' (EPA, 2016a) (Ecoedge, 2019b).

Forty species of vascular flora were identified in the Survey Area (**Table 3**).

Four Priority listed flora were recorded during the survey (**Table 2**).

Table 2. Priority flora taxa found during the field survey.

No.	Taxon Name	Cons. Status
1	<i>Daviesia uncinata</i>	P3
2	<i>Banksia pteridifolia</i> subsp. <i>inretita</i>	P2
3	<i>Drosera grievei</i>	P1
4	<i>Synaphea flexuosa</i>	P2

3.1.1 Environmental weeds and declared pest plants

No environmental weeds or Declared pest plants were found within the Survey Area.

3.1.2 Vegetation units

One vegetation unit was identified and mapped in the Survey Area and is described as follows:

Tall open shrubland of *Allocasuarina acutivalvis* over shrubland of *A. microstachya*, *Banksia armata*, *B. erythrocephala*, *B. pteridifolia*, *Grevillea cagiana*, *Hakea cygna* subsp. *cygna*, *H. incrassata*, *Leptospermum erubescens*, *Melaleuca pungens* and *Verticordia grandiflora* on yellow-grey sandy loam over laterite (**Figure 4**).

This vegetation unit does not resemble a Threatened or Priority ecological community.



Figure 4. Tall Open Shrubland over Shrubland.

3.1.3 Vegetation condition

Most of the vegetation in the Survey Area was in 'Excellent' condition, the exception being a small area adjacent to the current gravel pit, and an old vehicle track which were classed as 'Degraded' (**Figure 5**).




Figure 5. Condition of vegetation within the Survey Area.

4 Fauna

A Level 1 Fauna survey and a targeted search for Carnaby's black cockatoo habitat/site use was conducted on the 2 October 2018 (Ecoedge 2019a). The surveys were conducted in accordance with Technical Guidance - Terrestrial Fauna Surveys (EPA 2016b) and Technical Guidance – Sampling Methods for Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna (EPA 2016c).

The fauna assessment determined that it was unlikely that any fauna species of conservation significance would be significantly impacted on by the proposed clearing. No specific revegetation measures are therefore required to meet the potential need of these species.

5 Current disturbance and threats

5.1.1 Historical disturbance

There is an old disused track which runs north south through the project area. This is no longer in use and is slowly regenerating with native flora.

5.1.2 Existing land use disturbances

The Project Areas is relatively free of conflicting lawful e.g. grazing, or unauthorised land use disturbances e.g. unauthorised 4wd use and rubbish dumping, that could lead to deterioration of the condition of the bushland within the Project Area. There has been previous minimal disturbance to the site from the adjacent gravel pit operations and there is evidence of recolonisation of native vegetation.

5.1.3 Physical site factors

Impeded infiltration and drainage: Gravel extraction will expose the underlying clay, and may impede drainage and alter surface and subsurface water flows. The dense clay could impede root development and uptake of the water and oxygen by plants leading to poor establishment and ongoing development of plants at site.

Erosion: The cleared area may be exposed to water and wind erosion; however this is unlikely to be an issue based on experience in adjacent cleared gravel areas which have a similar profile and level of exposure to the weather. The low annual rainfall together with the presence of large expanses of intact vegetation around the Project Area provide a lower risk of strong erosive forces.

Cleared edges adjacent to roads and previously cleared areas: Vegetation located adjacent to cleared areas are likely be subject to increased soil temperatures, evaporation and transpiration due to increased wind and solar exposure. This will favour some plant species

e.g. colonising species such as those of the Fabaceae family but may compromise establishment and growth of other species.

Weather: The main potential impact to revegetation is from long hot dry seasons. A drier than average winter together with higher than average summer temperatures could have a significant impact on the establishment of vegetation.

Vandalism: Vandalism, rubbish dumping etc is a potential impact to revegetation works, however the Shire has advised, based on experience over years, that this is not a problem at the gravel pit due to its isolation.

5.1.4 Existing Biological Site Conditions:

Weeds: No weeds were recorded within the Project Area during the flora survey (Ecoedge, 2019). The Project Area's isolated location within an intact tract of native vegetation is likely to be a primary reason for the site remaining relatively free of weeds.

Plant disease: Observation of the flora at site showed no obvious and significant visual signs of vegetation decline due to disease. The plant pathogen *Phytophthora cinnamomi* is not considered to be a threat in the area due to the average annual rainfall being lower than 400 mm (Dieback Working Group & Threatened Species Network, 2005).

Feral animals: There are known foxes and rabbits in the area, however local knowledge suggests these will not be a problem. If rabbits or other pest animals become a problem, predated on the seedlings, then mitigation measures will be required.

6 Revegetation commitments

6.1 Vision

Vegetation at the site is self-sustaining and broadly representative of the pre-clearing vegetation unit represented.

6.2 Objectives

1. Re-establishment of the pre-cleared vegetation unit through targeted direct seeding and planting of seedlings to establish dominant plant species, where possible.
2. Facilitate natural establishment of native flora through post-extraction pit profiling, breakup of exposed clay and use of topsoil, habitat rock/debris and overburden.
3. Minimise threats to establishment of natural plants and seedlings by managing weeds and physical disturbance at the site

6.3 Reference site floristic data collection

Site observations during the 2018 spring survey was used to generate the completion targets and criteria for the revegetation project (**Section 3.1.2**). The key reference data for the project is presented below:

Project Area

Shrubland

- Area:1.15 ha
- Estimated species richness: 40 species
- Estimated species density: 7500 stems/ hectare (s/ha)
- Weed cover: Nil

6.4 Species list compilation

The Project Area species list together with their respective availability of seedlings and seeds is provided in **Table 3**. This information provides the basis for the establishment of revegetation targets associated with the planting of seedlings. The availability of each species will be determined by the season, what can be propagated and what is locally commercially available at the required time.

Table 3. Project Area species list and commercial availability.

No	Family Name	Species Name	Plant type	Seedlings currently commercially available (Yes/No)	Seed commercially available (Yes/No)	Ease of propagation
1	Fabaceae	<i>Chorizema aciculare</i>	Herb	No	Yes	Challenging
2	Polygalaceae	<i>Comesperma scoparium</i>	Herb	No	No	Challenging
3	Haemodoraceae	<i>Conostylis seorsiflora</i>	Herb	No	No	Challenging
4	Goodeniaceae	<i>Dampiera juncea</i>	Herb	No	No	Challenging
5	Droseraceae	<i>Drosera grievii</i>	Herb	No	No	Challenging
6	Droseraceae	<i>Drosera macrantha</i>	Herb	No	No	Challenging
7	Stylidiaceae	<i>Stylidium piliferum</i>	Herb	No	No	Challenging
8	Cyperaceae	<i>Mesomelaena preissii</i>	Sedge	No	No	Challenging
9	Cyperaceae	<i>Schoenus brevisetis</i>	Sedge	No	No	Challenging
10	Fabaceae	<i>Acacia acutata</i>	Shrub	No	No	Ok
11	Fabaceae	<i>Acacia bidentata</i>	Shrub	No	No	OK
12	Casuarinaceae	<i>Allocasuarina acutivalvis</i>	Shrub	No	Yes	May be Ok
13	Casuarinaceae	<i>Allocasuarina microstachya</i>	Shrub	No	Yes	May be Ok
14	Ericaceae	<i>Andersonia sprengelioides</i>	Shrub	No	No	Challenging
15	Proteaceae	<i>Banksia armata</i>	Shrub	No	No	May be Ok
16	Proteaceae	<i>Banksia erythrocephala</i>	Shrub	No	No	May be Ok
17	Proteaceae	<i>Banksia pteridifolia subsp. inretita</i>	Shrub	No	No	May be Ok
18	Proteaceae	<i>Banksia violacea</i>	Shrub	No	Yes	May be Ok
19	Dasygogonaceae	<i>Calectasia valida</i>	Shrub	No	No	Challenging
20	Myrtaceae	<i>Chamelaucium ciliatum</i>	Shrub	No	No	Challenging
21	Rhamnaceae	<i>Cryptandra nutans</i>	Shrub	No	No	Challenging
22	Fabaceae	<i>Daviesia audax</i>	Shrub	No	No	May be Ok

No	Family Name	Species Name	Plant type	Seedlings currently commercially available (Yes/No)	Seed commercially available (Yes/No)	Ease of propagation
23	Fabaceae	<i>Daviesia uncinata</i>	Shrub	No	No	May be Ok
24	Fabaceae	<i>Gompholobium scabrum</i>	Shrub	No	Yes	May be Ok
25	Proteaceae	<i>Grevillea cagiana</i>	Shrub	No	No	May be Ok
26	Proteaceae	<i>Grevillea uncinulata</i>	Shrub	No	No	May be Ok
27	Proteaceae	<i>Hakea cygna subsp. cygna</i>	Shrub	No	Yes	Ok
28	Proteaceae	<i>Hakea incrassata</i>	Shrub	No	Yes	May be Ok
29	Proteaceae	<i>Hakea sp photo 338</i>	Shrub	No	No	May be Ok
30	Dilleniaceae	<i>Hibbertia gracilipes</i>	Shrub	No	No	Challenging
31	Myrtaceae	<i>Leptospermum erubescens</i>	Shrub	Yes	Yes	Ok
32	Myrtaceae	<i>Melaleuca pungens</i>	Shrub	No	Yes	Ok
33	Myrtaceae	<i>Micromyrtus triptycha</i>	Shrub	No	No	Challenging
34	Proteaceae	<i>Petrophile ericifolia</i>	Shrub	No	Yes	Challenging
35	Proteaceae	<i>Petrophile rigida</i>	Shrub	No	Yes	Challenging
36	Orchidaceae	<i>Pterostylis recurva</i>	Shrub	No	No	Challenging
37	Fabaceae	<i>Pultenaea indira subsp. indira</i>	Shrub	No	No	Challenging
38	Goodeniaceae	<i>Scaevola spinescens</i>	Shrub	No	No	Challenging
39	Proteaceae	<i>Synaphea flexuosa</i>	Shrub	No	No	Challenging
40	Myrtaceae	<i>Verticordia grandiflora</i>	Shrub	No	No	Challenging
Totals				1	11	5 (Ok) 14 (May be Ok)

6.5 Targets and completion criteria

Species richness targets have been determined by an assessment of commercially available seedlings and the ease of which plants may be propagated **Table 3**. Plants which were classified as Challenging in the table are regarded as unlikely to be available in commercial quantities for the revegetation project.

It is considered that broadly representative pre-clearing vegetation will be achieved across the project area through attention to the revegetation criteria presented in **Table 4**. The completion criteria for these targets is provided in **Table 5**.

Density targets have been determined by what is deemed fiscally reasonable for the Shire to achieve over five years following all techniques of revegetation including, use of topsoil, direct seeding and planting of seedlings.

Table 4. Completion criteria measures.

	Criterion	Measure	Units	Comments
A	Species richness	Total species richness (site)	Species count	Measure based on Survey of Project Area
B	Species density	Total number of plants of all species per hectare	Stems/ha (s/ha)	Measure based on assessment of random quadrats
		Dominant shrub density		
C	Weed cover	Minor non-competitive species and major competitive species	% cover	Measure based on Survey of Project Area
		Declared pest plants	Individual plants	Measure based on Survey of Project Area

Table 5. Vegetation unit completion criteria.

Criterion	Baseline floristic data (Table 6)	Five year completion targets	Five year completion criteria	Comments
A	Total species richness <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 40 species • 5-14 species potentially commercially available 	Minimum Project Area species richness is 12.5 % commercially viable available species.	A minimum of 5 species occurring within the Project Area.	This level of species richness is considered achievable based on current availability of seedlings, seed and general ease of propagation. Only between 5 and 14 species in Table 3 are potentially commercially available. This fraction is the only part for which the Shire has any control over.
B	Plant density <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7500 p/ha per species 	Minimum plant density (p/ha) is 20% of baseline data.	A minimum of 1500 native plant stems established per hectare. 1.15 ha x 1500 = 1725 stems	A 20% target is considered achievable. The low rainfall combined with long hot summers make the success of planted seedlings hard to predict. The sites isolation makes watering of seedlings impractical.
C (i)	Percentage cover environmental weeds both minor and major competitive species <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <1% 	Total combined weed cover should not exceed 10% baseline data.	The revegetation site should have no more than 10% cover of either minor and major environmental weeds	It is possible that there will be some colonisation of the site by environmental weeds following site works due to the high level of disturbance. The 10% cover target is applied to this criterion as it may be hard to guarantee complete control of all weeds, especially if they cannot be controlled by selective herbicides.
C (ii)	Declared Pest Plants <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nil 	Total number of Declared Pest plants should not exceed baseline data.	No Declared Pest plants recorded across the Project Area.	A list of Declared Pest plants in the Shire of Kent is available on the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development website.

7 Revegetation techniques

Recommended techniques for the revegetation of cleared areas within the gravel pit are provided below.

7.1 Pit establishment

7.1.1 Clearing

The area to be cleared must be noticeably defined in the field prior to commencement of clearing operations, so that the machinery operators are aware of project boundaries.

The scrub and small trees should be pushed over, crushed and broken up by a bulldozer. This broken up vegetation will be scraped up and removed together with the topsoil, **Section 6.1.2**. The broken-up vegetation will be a source of organic matter, nutrients, seed and habitat that will enhance revegetation outcomes.

Larger and more unmanageable trees can be collected and set aside with a rake bucket, these can be reapplied as habitat following final application of topsoil.

Where possible seed should be collected from the preclearing vegetation prior to clearing operations this seed can be used for direct seeding and supply of seedlings, see **Section 6.3.1**.

7.1.2 Topsoil and overburden removal and stockpiling

Topsoil

The topsoil is of critical importance; it is rich in seed, organic material and beneficial microbes that will support the natural colonization of native plants. It is the only effective means of re-establishing a diverse plant community following clearing operations. The removal of top soil should therefore be carefully managed as follows:

- Within the limits of on-ground practicality, topsoil (the top 100 mm to 150 mm, if possible) should be removed and stockpiled separately at a predetermined site.
- Topsoil less than 12 months old will provide the best revegetation results as viability to generate seedlings decreases after this period. Research by Alcoa has shown that stockpiling can result in losses of up to 80-90% of potential germination (GoA, 2006). Increased depth of topsoil excavation is unnecessary and not beneficially to revegetation outcomes. Studies show that most seed is stored within the top 10 cm of soil and that increased depth of top soil stripping correlated to significantly decreased recruitment of native seedlings following reuse of topsoil. Increased removal of topsoil dilutes seed quantities and leads to over burying of seed (Rockich, *etal* 2000, Government of Australia (GoA), 2006). To this end, mixing topsoil with underlying material during the stockpiling and stripping process should be avoided, where possible.

- Top soil should be stored in piles or wind rows between two and three metres in height, the lower the better (GoA, 2006). Decreased regeneration may be attributed to decomposition of seed when exposed to elevated temperatures and moisture conditions within a stock pile, which is more prevalent in larger stockpiles.
- Topsoil should ideally be removed in late summer or early autumn after the native plants have dropped their seeds and before the onset of winter rains.
- Topsoil stockpiles should be located so that they can be practically and easily re-spread.

Overburden

Any overburden, if present, should also be strategically removed and stockpiled separately to topsoil. This material will be utilised at a later date to increase the depth of soil under the revegetation area.

7.1.3 Gravel extraction

It is recommended that, where possible, the pit is not worked to the clay layer, but that a 100 mm – 200 mm layer of friable material is left across the base of the pit to assist with the establishment and long-term growth of vegetation. Observations of long-term success of gravel pit restorations correlate with increased depth and quality of soil to the impervious clay layer (GoA, 2006, P White 2019, pers comm, 21 January Operations Officer (Lake Bryde) Wheatbelt Region, DBCA)).

Some gravel should also be set aside for the creation of batters and pit profiling.

7.2 Pit reinstatement

7.2.1 Site profiling

The Project Area should be shaped to approximate the pre-extraction profile, with the understanding that finished levels will generally be lower than the original height. The sides and floors of the pit shall be formed to a flowing finish merging in with undisturbed areas. It is recommended that pit batters are between a 1:4 and 1:6 gradient (Main Roads Western Australia (MRWA, 2009; Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC), 2008).

It is also important that the pit profile does not result in un-natural ponding of water and that any potential drainage is directed away. This will assist in replication of preclearing habitats and drainage patterns.

Where possible spoil / surplus soil from other areas in the vicinity can be imported to site and used to assist with reprofiling (e.g. from road widening projects). The importation and use of these products can introduce weeds to site, especially if sourced from adjacent to agricultural areas. Where this material is used it should be buried as deep in the profile as possible and covered with overburden and topsoil. Special attention should be paid to the monitoring and management of weeds in these areas.

7.2.2 Ripping

Ripping of the subsurface clay will increase the potential depth of available soil and improve drainage resulting in better revegetation outcomes.

The Project Area should be ripped as much as is practically possible with the aim to creating as much friable subsurface soil as can be achieved. The amount of ripping required will depend on the nature of the clay, however as a minimum the following is recommended:

Ripping across the contours to a minimum depth of 500 mm (more is better) with 1000 mm spacings (less is better) followed by ripping along the contour at the same depth and spacing. Site ripping will be dependent on machinery capable of breaking through the clay base.

7.2.3 Top soil and overburden application

Overburden, if available, should be spread consistently over all bare areas to be revegetated. This can either be done before or after ripping. Ripping the overburden can help mix the soil layers.

Topsoil should then be uniformly spread across the revegetation area. This should ideally be done in Autumn when the soil is dry, prior to the onset of winter rains to optimise germination of stored seeds. Research shows that seed persists better when moved when the soil is dry (GoA, 2006).

7.2.4 Replacement of cleared vegetation

Larger logs (and boulders) set aside in the clearing process could be replaced across the revegetation site for habitat purposes. This provides habitat for native fauna moving back into the area.

Plant material bearing seed from future extraction areas nearby, can be applied to the Project Area to supplement seed stock.

7.3 Revegetation

7.3.1 Direct seeding

Direct seeding is known to compliment / support revegetation outcomes of revegetation. It is recommended that seed used for direct seeding is collected from the same provenance and preferably from the proposed clearing area and adjacent bushland prior to site clearing activities. Seed collected can be also used for the propagation of seedlings for the project (**Section 6.3.2**).

Obtaining seed

Seed can be purchased off the shelf from seed merchants but this material will generally not be collected from anywhere close the Project Area. It's generally preferred that seed is harvested locally as the parent plant stock is better adapted to local conditions.

Seed collection generally occurs over summer and early autumn following spring flowering. Optimum diversity of seed is generally collected over two or more seasons owing to variability in seed set. This could be included in the long-term plan for the Shire.

Typical target quantity of seed for revegetation projects is between 2.5 – 5 kg per hectare depending on desired revegetation outcomes, but guaranteeing even the lowest target may be both fiscally and practically challenging given the low site plant species richness, potential unavailability of seed, staggered seed set and general isolation of the site.

Seed pre-treatment

Instead of setting collection quantities it is recommended that seed is collected by a reputable seed collector on a schedule of rates basis over a set period of time. For example five 7.5 hour collecting days are allocated over one season to harvest as much seed as possible, firstly from all vegetation within the proposed clearing areas and then as time allows from the same species within a provenance boundary of about 20km. This should achieve a reasonable value for money outcome.

Seed will be supplied bagged and 'clean' if source from a professional seed collector. Some species need to be pre-treated prior to application. Pre-treatment methods including scarification, heat treatment and exposure to smoke to break seed dormancy and enhance germination. Pre-treatment can be undertaken by seed suppliers. The organisation of pre-treatment may be a condition of the supply of seed by either the seed merchant or collector.

Spreading seed

Seed is to be evenly spread across the entire site after the application of the topsoil. The area should be lightly harrowed or chained to incorporated the seed into the top soil horizon.

7.3.2 [Planting seedlings](#)

Obtaining seedlings

Planting of seedlings is perhaps the surest way of ensuring a successful revegetation outcome because it is not reliant solely on nature and variables associated with plant establishment can be managed to a degree. For this reason, desired revegetation targets of plant species richness and plant densities have been set so that they can realistically

attained via planting of seedlings only (**Section 6.3.2**). It is expected that natural regeneration from topsoil will occur and that the desired targets may be exceeded, especially where fresh top soil and good pit reinstatement practices are undertaken.

An important limitation to be considered is the commercial availability of seedlings. Many plant species are not available from nurseries either due to a lack of demand for the species, or because they are either difficult to propagate, or cannot be propagated. Of the 40 species in **Table 3**, one species is readily available and between five and 13 are likely to be available based on an assessment of their ease of propagation.

Sufficient lead times need to be factored in to ensuring plant availability, especially where seedlings have to be propagated from locally collected propagation material (i.e. of a local provenance). A minimum of 18 months is required to supply local provenance seedlings where local provenance seed material is not available (the seeds are collected in summer and propagated in following summer ready for planting in winter). A minimum of six months is required where seeds are available.

Nurseries specialising in the supply of native plants will be able to advise on desired quantities of seed to be supplied for propagation purposes. Bearing in mind that sufficient seed will need to be set aside for any remedial or infill planting required over the life of the project. There should be ample quantities for this from the direct seed collecting activities (**Section 6.3.1**).

Seedling quality

It is imperative that seedlings are supplied in a good condition with optimum chances of survival. Seedlings should be purchased from a nursery accredited under the Nursery Industry Accreditation Scheme Australia.

The following basic seedling quality standards are recommended.

Seedlings should:

- be weed and disease free
- have a well-developed root system, that is not compacted or spiralling
- have a good root depth to plant height ratio – maximum height of seedlings 250 mm
- be hardened off prior to supply

For further advice on seedling quality refer to Mullan, G. D. and White, P. J. (2001). *Seedling Quality: Making informed choices*. Bushcare and the Department of Conservation and Land Management, available at <https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/conservation-management/salinity/seedlingqual22-10-02.pdf>

7.3.3 Planting seedlings

Seedlings will need to be installed following the application of topsoil and direct seed.

Plants are to be mixed and evenly distributed across the site. Plants should be installed adjacent to, or into rip lines, where possible, to maximise their access to any subsurface water.

It is recommended that seedlings are installed in the second year of gravel pit restoration following an assessment of the outcomes of topsoil and direct seeding initiatives. Seedling quantities should then be calculated to achieve the desired revegetation targets (**Section 5.5**).

A planting list with quantities recommended for the first planting year is provided in **Table 6**. The first column shows an amount to achieve the desired species richness and density targets. The second column includes an additional 50% to account for possible attrition. It is recommended that more plants are planted at the start of the project to account for attrition.

Table 6. Recommended first season planting species list and quantities

No.	Commercially available species	Plant quantity	First year + 50%
1	<i>Chorizema aciculare</i>	81	121
2	<i>Allocasuarina acutivalvis</i>	162	243
3	<i>Allocasuarina microstachya</i>	162	243
4	<i>Banksia armata</i>	162	243
5	<i>Banksia violacea</i>	243	364
6	<i>Gompholobium scabrum</i>	162	243
7	<i>Hakea cygna subsp. cygna</i>	243	364
8	<i>Leptospermum erubescens</i>	243	364
9	<i>Melaleuca pungens</i>	243	364
10	<i>Pultenaea indira subsp. indira</i>	81	121
Totals		1782	2549

7.3.4 Staging

The revegetation of the gravel pit can be approach in a number of ways, especially as the already cleared area provides opportunities for immediate reuse of topsoil. A conceptual diagram showing an incremental and one stage revegetation operation are provided in **Figure 6**. Some advantages and disadvantages of these options are presented in **Table 7** to assist the Shire in determine its preferred course of action. The schedule and budget in

Section 6.6 provides an indication of financial and timeline implications for a one stage operation.




Figure 6. Possible staging options for the clearing and use of topsoil

Table 7. Possible staging options for the revegetation project.

Incremental clearing and revegetation	One stage clearing and revegetation
<p>Advantages: Allows for improvements to be implanted along the way Has less vegetation cleared at one time.</p>	<p>Advantages: Plant and machinery mobilised once. The project has best chance to be fully completed within a 5 year t timeframe.</p>
<p>Disadvantages: More mobilisation of plant and equipment May prolong the project more than five years.</p>	<p>Disadvantages: More vegetation cleared at once time, interrupting the vegetated corridor.</p>

8 Maintenance and contingency measures

The aim of the maintenance and contingency measures are to ensure as best as possible positive outcomes of revegetation activities and that the revegetation targets are successfully achieved. The health of plants within the revegetation area can be impacted by a range of factors as identified in **Section 4.1**. Maintenance and contingency measures to mitigate against the significant potential impacts are provided in **Table 8**, below.

Table 8. Revegetation program maintenance and contingency measures.

Threat and Consequence	Maintenance measures	Trigger	Contingency measures
Drought - Plant death, poor plant health	Sound planting and pit establishment and reinstatement practices.	Observed death of plants such that revegetation targets will not be met.	Undertake remedial planting to offset shortfall in plant species, during the next rains/wet season.
Weeds - Plant death, poor plant health, competition for light and water	Practice good hygiene to prevent weed infestation. Develop and implement work practices for contractors and staff to ensure weeds are not introduced to site: Ensure seedlings are purchased from a Nursery accredited under the Nursery Industry Accreditation Scheme Australia. Ensure all vehicles and machinery are clean prior to entry at site (COE) Shire staff undertakes quarterly site inspections and implements control as necessary. Consider installation of a sign akin to the following: <p style="text-align: center;">Gravel Pit Restoration Area Please keep the area weed & disease free vehicles and machinery must be clean on entry.</p>	Significant weeds including all Declared Pest Plants in the Shire of Kent are identified within and adjacent to the Project Area.	Weeds occurring within the Project Area and in project buffer zone are to be managed and treated.
Vandalism - Damaged and dead plants	Undertake quarterly inspection of gravel pit that site is free from vandalism. Consider placement of gravel bunds/logs/gates to limit access to revegetation areas.	Site shows evidence of vandalism such that revegetation targets will not be met.	Install physical barriers to limit access to the revegetation area.
Animal grazing - plant death	Shire officers undertake quarterly inspection of the Project Area and surrounding areas for evidence of animal grazing, especially prior to the onset of the wet growing season.	Visual inspections with high numbers of grazing animals (rabbits, kangaroos, stock) are identified as primary cause of plant death such that revegetation targets will not be met.	Undertake emergency measures to reduce numbers for example via the use of pindone or targeted release of Rabbit Haemorrhagic Disease Virus (RHDV) by appropriately authorised persons.

Threat and Consequence	Maintenance measures	Trigger	Contingency measures
Fire -- plant death	Ensure obligations consistent with fire hazard warning categories are implemented. Ensure vehicles are fitted with appropriate fire extinguishing devices.	Fire causes damage to revegetation area such that revegetation targets will not be met.	Assess outcomes of damage – initiate remedial planting of seedlings, application of brush and direct seed as necessary. Advise DWER on damage and proposed remedial actions

9 Monitoring and analysis

Monitoring and reporting measures to demonstrate attainment of revegetation outcomes are provided in **Table 9** below.

Table 9. Revegetation program monitoring and analysis measures.

Measure	Monitoring type	measure	Frequency
Plant species richness	Flora and Vegetation surveillance survey over Project Area	Total number of plant species recorded across the survey area	Annually during spring.
Plant density	Installation of 1 permanent and 2 random 10 x 10 m quadrats within the Project Area	Average density of target plant species in quadrats as indication of overall site density per species or structural element. Overall plant density estimated by quadrats	Annually during spring.
Vegetation health	Assessment of planted seeding health within permanent and random quadrats.	Average assessed health of all planted seedlings within quadrats as either: excellent, moderate, or poor. Estimated seedling age, given that the survivorship of seedlings is assumed to increase with age. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <1 yr • 1-2 years • >2 years 	Annually during spring.
Vegetation health	Photo-monitoring of permanent quadrat and two	Visual observation of plant health	Annually during spring
Weed cover	Weed mapping	Percentage cover	Annually during spring

10 Schedule and Budget

A schedule and budget has been prepared based on the clearing and revegetation of one cell of a gravel pit. This is presented in **Table 11** to **Table 14** below. This should only be used as a general guide to schedule, quote and cost these works.

Table 10. 2019 year 1 schedule and budget

Action	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	Unit cost	Total cost \$ ex GST	Responsibility
	2019														
Submit clearing permit application			x	x									\$ 200.00	\$200.00	Shire
Receive clearing permit application					x	x									Shire/consultant
Appoint seed collection contract								x	x						Shire
Appoint seedling supply contract								x	x						Shire
Appoint earth moving contracts								x	x						Shire
Seed collection												x			Contractor
Unforeseen costs															
													Total Cost	\$	

Table 11. 2020 year 2 schedule and budget for quotation requirements

Action	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	Unit cost	Total cost \$ ex GST	Responsibility
	2020														
Seed Collection	x	x	x											\$	Contractor
Seed processing														\$	Contractor
Project Area monitoring - rabbits, vandalism, weeds		x		x				x			x				Shire
Contingency works, repairs pest control, weed control etc	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			Shire / Contractor
Submit 1st annual compliance assessment report to DWER					x										Shire
Vegetation Clearing			x	x									\$ X/ hectare		Contractor
Removing and stockpiling top soil and overburden			x	x									\$ x / hour		Contractor
Site profiling cleared area			x	x									\$ x / hour		Contractor
Ripping of Project Area			x	x									\$ x / hour		Contractor
Application of overburden and topsoil			x	x									\$ x / hour		Contractor
Direct seeding / chaining and harrowing				x	x								\$ x / hour		Seed contractor Shire/Contractor

Action	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	Unit cost	Total cost \$ ex GST	Responsibility
	2020														
Return habitat logs				x	x								\$ x / hour		Shire/Contractor
Revegetation monitoring and report										x			Quotation		Consultant
Order seedlings for remedial plantings											x	x	Quotation		Shire
Unforeseen cost															
													Total Cost	\$	

Table 12. 2021 year 3 schedule and budget for quotation.

Action	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	Unit cost	Total cost \$ ex GST	Responsibility	
	2021															
Project Area monitoring - rabbits, vandalism, weeds		x		x				x			x					Shire
Contingency works, repairs pest control, weed control etc	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x				Shire / Contractor
Submit 2 nd annual compliance assessment report to DWER					x											Shire/consultant
Install seedlings					x	x										Shire/contractor
Revegetation monitoring and report										x						Consultant
Order remedial seedlings											x	x				Shire
Unforeseen cost																
													Total Cost			

Table 13. 2022 year 4 schedule and budget for quotation purposes.

Action	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	Unit cost	Total cost \$ ex GST	Responsibility	
	2021															
Project Area monitoring - rabbits, vandalism, weeds		x		x				x				x				Shire
Contingency works, repairs pest control, weed control etc	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x				Shire/contractor
Submit 3rd annual compliance assessment report to DWER					x											Shire/consultant
Install seedlings					x	x										Shire/contractor
Revegetation monitoring and report										x						Consultant
Order remedial seedlings												x	x			
Unforeseen cost																
													Total Cost	\$		Quotation required

Table 14. 2023 year 5 schedule and budget for quotation purposes.

Action	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	Unit cost	Total cost \$ ex GST	Responsibility	
2021																
Project Area monitoring - rabbits, vandalism, weeds		x		x				x			x					Shire
Contingency works, repairs pest control, weed control etc	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		\$2,000	Shire/Contractor	
Submit 4 th annual compliance assessment report to DWER					x										Shire/consultant	
Install seedlings					x	x							\$2 per seedling x 250	\$500		
Final revegetation monitoring and report										x			Site and quadrat survey		Shire/consultant	
Unforeseen cost																
													Total Cost	\$		

Table 15. 2024 year 6 schedule and budget for quotation purposes.

Action	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	Unit cost	Total cost \$ ex GST	Responsibility
	2021														
Project Area monitoring Rabbits, Vandalism		x		x											Shire
Contingency works, repairs pest control, weed control etc	x	x	x	x	x										Shire/contractor
Submit final annual compliance assessment report to DWER					x										Shire/consultant
Receive closure on project from DWER						x	x	x							
Unforeseen cost															
													Total Cost	\$	

11 References

- Bureau of Meteorology (2019) Climate data online, Pingrup Weather Station, Station 10627, Accessed 17/01/2019. <http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/?ref=ft>
- Bureau of Meteorology (2019) Climate data online, Ongerup Weather Station, Station 10627, Accessed 17/01/2019. <http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/?ref=ft>
- Commonwealth of Australia (2016). *Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (IBRA), Version 7 (Subregions)*. Department of the Environment and Energy. <https://data.gov.au/dataset/interim-biogeographic-regionalisation-for-australia-ibra-version-7>
- Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (2018). A Guide to Preparing Revegetation Plans for Clearing Permits under Part V of the Environmental Protection Act 1986, Government of Western Australia.
- Department of Environment and Conservation (2008) Guidelines of the Management and Rehabilitation of Basic Raw Material Pits.
- Dieback Working Group & Threatened Species Network (2005). Managing Phytophthora Dieback in Bushland, A Guide for Landholders and Community Conservation Groups.
- Ecoedge (2019a). *Fauna Habitat Assessment Proposed Borrow Pit Hollands Tank Road*, Unpublished report to the Shire of Kent.
- Ecoedge (2019b). *Reconnaissance and Targeted Flora and Vegetation Survey at Hollands Tank Road*. Unpublished report to the Shire of Kent.
- Environmental Protection Authority of WA (2016a). *Technical Guidance Flora and Vegetation Surveys for Environmental Impact*. EPA, Perth, Western Australia. http://www.epa.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/Policies_and_Guidance/EPA/Technical/Guidance/FloraandVegetationsurvey_Dec13.pdf Accessed 29 September 2017.
- Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) (2016b). Technical Guidance - Terrestrial Fauna Surveys.
- Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) (2016c). Technical Guidance – Sampling Methods for Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna.
- Government of Australia (2006) Mine Rehabilitation, Leading practices sustainable development program for the Mining Industry.
- Main Roads Western Australia (2009) Specification 303, Pits and Quarries.

Mullan, G. D. and White, P. J. (2001). Seedling Quality: Making informed choices. Bushcare and the Department of Conservation and Land Management, available at <https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/conservation-management/salinity/seedlingqual22-10-02.pdf>

Rockich, D. Dixon, K., Sivasithamparam, K. and Meney, K. (2000) Topsoil Handling and Storage Effects on Woodland Restoration in Western Australia, Restoration Ecology Vol. 8 No. 2. pp 196-208.

Tille, P. J. (2006). *Soil-landscapes of Western Australia's rangelands and arid interior*. Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia. Report 313.