

CLEARING PERMIT

Granted under section 51E of the Environmental Protection Act 1986

PERMIT DETAILS

Area Permit Number:8856/1File Number:DWERVT5593Duration of Permit:From 18 July 2020 to 18 July 2022

PERMIT HOLDER

Forest Products Commission

LAND ON WHICH CLEARING IS TO BE DONE

Lot 1 on Diagram 67189, Frankland River

AUTHORISED ACTIVITY

The Permit Holder shall not clear more than 59.9 hectares of native vegetation within the area cross-hatched yellow on attached Plan 8856/1.

CONDITIONS

1. Avoid, minimise and reduce the impacts and extent of clearing

In determining the amount of native vegetation to be cleared authorised under this Permit, the Permit Holder must have regard to the following principles, set out in order of preference:

- (a) avoid the clearing of native vegetation;
- (b) minimise the amount of native vegetation to be cleared; and
- (c) reduce the impact of clearing on any environmental value.

2. Dieback and weed control

When undertaking any clearing or other activity authorised under this Permit, the Permit Holder must take the following steps to minimise the risk of the introduction and spread of *weeds* and *dieback*:

- (a) clean earth-moving machinery of soil and vegetation prior to entering and leaving the area to be cleared;
- (b) ensure that no known *dieback* or *weed*-affected soil, *mulch*, *fill* or other material is brought into the area to be cleared; and
- (c) restrict the movement of machines and other vehicles to the limits of the areas to be cleared.

3. Records must be kept

The Permit Holder must maintain the following records for activities done pursuant to this Permit, in relation to the clearing of native vegetation authorised under this Permit:

- (a) the location where the clearing occurred, recorded using a Global Positioning System (GPS) unit set to Geocentric Datum Australia 1994 (GDA94), expressing the geographical coordinates in Eastings and Northings or decimal degrees;
- (b) the date that the area was cleared;
- (c) the size of the area cleared (in hectares);
- (d) actions taken to avoid, minimise and reduce the impacts and extent of clearing in accordance with condition 1 of this Permit; and
- (e) actions taken to minimise the risk of the introduction and spread of *dieback* and *weeds* in accordance with condition 2 of this Permit.

4. Reporting

The Permit Holder must provide to the *CEO* the records required under condition 3 of this Permit, when requested by the *CEO*.

DEFINITIONS

The following meanings are given to terms used in this Permit:

CEO: means the Chief Executive Officer of the Department responsible for the administration of the clearing provisions under the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*;

dieback means the effect of *Phytophthora* species on native vegetation;

fill means material used to increase the ground level, or fill a hollow;

mulch means the use of organic matter, wood chips or rocks to slow the movement of water across the soil surface and to reduce evaporation;

weed/s means any plant -

- (a) that is a declared pest under section 22 of the *Biosecurity and Agriculture Management Act 2007*; or
- (b) published in a Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions Regional Weed Rankings Summary, regardless of ranking; or
- (c) not indigenous to the area concerned.

Mathew Gannaway MANAGER NATIVE VEGETATION REGULATION

Officer delegated under Section 20 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986

25 June 2020

Plan 8856/1

116°42′0.000″



Legend CPS areas approved to clear Local Government Authorities	0 250 500 m
— Roads Image	Me Sannaway
Cadastre - LGATE 218	Officer delegated under section 20 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 GOVERNMENT OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA WA Crown Copyright 2018



1. Application details			
1.1. Permit application det	ails		
Permit application No.:	8856/1		
Permit type:	Area Permit		
1.2. Applicant details			
Applicant's name:	Forest Products Commission		
Application received date:	01 April 2020		
1.3. Property details			
Property: Local Government Authority:	Lot 1 on Diagram 67189, Frankland Riv Shire of Cranbrook	er	
Localities:	Frankland River		
1.4. Application			
Clearing Area (ha) No. Tre	es Method of Clearing	Purpose category:	
59.9	Burning	Plantation	
1.5. Decision on applicatio	<u></u>		
1.5. Decision on application Decision on Permit Application:	Grant		
Decision Date:	25 June 2020		
Reasons for Decision:	instruments and other matters in acc Protection Act 1986 (EP Act). It has been	earing permit application has been assessed against the clearing principles, planning ments and other matters in accordance with section 510 of the <i>Environmental</i> <i>ction Act 1986</i> (EP Act). It has been concluded that the proposed clearing is at variance rrinciple (f) and is not likely to be at variance with any of the remaining clearing les.	
	will impact upon vegetation growing in inundation. The Delegated Officer n	d Officer has determined that the proposed clearing a association with a wetland and areas subject to oted the completely degraded condition of the ly cleared and impacted by grazing and determined nimal.	
	weeds and dieback into adjacent areas of to adjacent remnant vegetation, a wee placed on the permit. The weed and die machinery to be clean of weeds when e known dieback or weed-affected soil, m	that the proposed clearing may cause the spread of of remnant vegetation. To mitigate potential impacts ed and dieback management condition has been sback management condition requires earth-moving ntering and exiting the clearing area, ensure that no ulch, fill or other material is brought into the area to of machines and other vehicles to the limits of the	
		rmit subject to conditions, the Delegated Officer s not likely to have any unacceptable impacts to the	
2. Site Information			
Clearing Description		es of native vegetation within Lot 1 on Diagram of establishing a pine plantation (Figure 1).	
Vegetation Description	The vegetation proposed to be cleared was determined from photographs provided by the applicant. The application area comprises of previously cleared areas consisting of weeds, non-native grasses with scattered native reeds (Forest Products Commission, 2020).		
	 Frankland Hills FH5, which have been d UC1: Mosaic of open woodland of a slopes, and open woodland of a depressions in humid and subl UC3: Open forest of <i>Euca calophylla</i> on slopes in humid a FH5: Mosaic of low open woodland of a slope woodland of a	d of <i>Eucalyptus wandoo-Corymbia calophylla</i> on <i>Eucalyptus occidentalis-Eucalyptus rudis</i> in broad humid zones; <i>alyptus marginata</i> subsp. <i>marginata-Corymbia</i> and subhumid zones; and odland of <i>Melaleuca cuticularis</i> , tall shrubland of mal <i>Eucalyptus rudis</i> on valley floors in humid to	

Vegetation Condition	The application area is determined to be in a Completely I described as being no longer intact, completely/almost comp (Keighery, 1994).	
Soil type	 The application area is mapped as the following land subsys 'Frankland Hills 4 Subsystem' which is described slopes and footslopes including swamp depression are common with Loamy gravel and Semi-wet soil 'Unicup 1 Subsystem' which is described as flat to deep sand is dominant with semi wet soil, pale sh gravel (Schoknecht et al., 2004). 	as poorly drained flats, low ns. Duplex sandy gravel so s; and gently undulating plains. Pa
Comment	The local area considered in the assessment of this application radius from the perimeter of the application area.	n is defined as a 10 kilomet
N Reserver (8856/1 x BB56/ 8856/1	Road 88556/1 88556/1 B8556/1 LOT 1 ON PLAN 67189 8856/1	COT. 12673 ON PLAN 208993
Legend		8856/1 LOT 2 ON PLAN 6

 Legend
 CPS layers

 CPS areas applied to clear

 base layers

 Road Centrelines

 Cadastre - LGATE 218

 Local Government Authority (LGA) Boundaries (LGATE-233)

 Image

Figure 1: Application Area cross hatched blue



Figure 2: Photograph of application area (Forest Products Commission, 2020)



Figure 3: Photograph of application area (Forest Products Commission, 2020)

3. Minimisation and mitigation measures

The applicant has avoided areas of intact vegetation located within Lot 1 and has proposed to clear areas in completely degraded (Keighery, 1994) condition only.

4. Assessment of application against clearing principles

Given the completed degraded (Keighery, 1994) condition of the application area that has been previously grazed and the mapped soil type, the application area is not likely to contain any threatened or priority flora species, is unlikely to comprise the whole or a part of, or be necessary for the maintenance of a priority ecological community or threatened ecological community, is not likely comprise significant habitat for fauna and is not considered to comprise a high level of biodiversity.

The vegetation within the application has been identified as vegetation complexs 'Unicup UC1', 'Unicup UC2' and 'Frankland Hills FH5' of which there is approximately 63, 31 and 51 per cent of their pre-European native vegetation extents remaining, resepctively (Government of Western Australia, 2019). The local area retains approximately 60 per cent native vegetation. Given this, the application area is not considered to be a significant remnant within an extensively cleared area.

A swamp and areas subject of inundation have been mapped within the application area. A minor watercourse has been mapped adjacent to the application area that separates two areas under application. From photographs provided by the applicant, it has been determined that the application area comprises of riparian vegetation and therefore the application is considered to be growing in association with a wetland or watercourse. Given the completely degraded (Keighery, 1994) condition of the application area, the proposed clearing is not likely have a significant impact on any watercourse or wetlands located within the vicinity of the application area.

The proposed clearing may indirectly impact nearby watercourses or wetlands through run off and sedimentation. However, given the completely degraded (Keighery, 1994) condition of the application area impacts are likely to be minimal and short term and the proposed clearing is not likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface water.

The closest conservation area 'Kodjinup Nature Reserve' is located approximately 140 metres north of the application area. Cowerup Nature Reserve is located approximately 700 metres south of the application area. Given the completely degraded (Keighery, 1994) condition of the application area and the distance to the closest conservation area, the proposed clearing is not likely to directly impact upon any conservation areas. No ecological linkages are likely to be severed as a result of the proposed clearing is not likely to impact upon fauna movement between conservation areas located within the local area.

Noting the extent of the proposed clearing and the condition of the vegetation within the application area, the proposed clearing is not likely to exacerbate or contribute to land degradation, deteriorate the quality of underground water, cause or exacerbate flooding than that which is currently present.

The application area is located adjacent to remnant native vegetation. The proposed clearing may indirectly impact this vegetation through the spread of weeds and dieback. Weed and dieback management practices will help mitigate this risk.

Given the above, the proposed clearing is at variance with Principle (f) and is not likely to be at variance with the remaining clearing principles.

Planning instruments and other relevant matters.

No Aboriginal sites of significance have been mapped within the application area.

The clearing permit application was advertised on the DWER website on 30 April 2020 with a 21 day submission period. No public submissions have been received in relation to this application.

Lot 1 has previously been under investigation by DWER for unlawful clearing undertaken by the previous landowner. The investigation has now been closed. This application has been submitted by the new landowner and the assessment has been undertaken taking into consideration the application areas current state post any unlawful clearing investigations.

5. References

Government of Western Australia. (2019). 2018 South West Vegetation Complex Statistics. Current as of March 2019. WA Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth, <u>https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset/dbca</u>

Forest Products Commission (2020) Supporting Information for Clearing Permit Application CPS 88561. DWER Ref: A1881232 and A1881248.

Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

Mattiske, E.M. and Havel, J.J. (1998) Vegetation Complexes of the South-west Forest Region of Western Australia. Maps and report prepared as part of the Regional Forest Agreement, Western Australia for the Department of Conservation and Land Management and Environment Australia.

Schoknecht, N., Tille, P. and Purdie, B. (2004) Soil-landscape mapping in South-Western Australia – Overview of Methodology and outputs' Resource Management Technical Report No. 280. Department of Agriculture.

GIS Databases:

- •
- •
- Aboriginal Sites of Significance DBCA Managed Estate Geomorphic Wetlands Swan Coastal Plain •
- Groundwater salinity

- Hydrography, hierarchy Hydrography, linear Land Degradation datasets SAC Bio Datasets
- •
 •
 •
- Soils, Statewide •
- Topographic contours
- Vegetation Complexes South West