

Methodology GIS Database:

Lake Lefroy 1.4m Orthomosaic - DLI 02

(c)	Native v rare flo	vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, ra.				
Com	nments	<b>Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle</b> There are no known Declared Rare Flora within 50 km of proposed clearing. However there are 15 known priority flora populations within this area. Two of these priority species (Acacia websteri and Eremophila praecox) occur on the same vegetation type.				
		No rare or priority species were identified during the flora survey of the project area (Western Botanical, 2004).				
Metl	hodology	Western Botanical (2004) (DoE Trim No. HD26371). GIS Datasets Decalred Rare and Priority Flora List - CALM 1/7/05				
(d)		vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the nance of a threatened ecological community.				
Con	nments	<b>Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle</b> There are no known TEC's within 50 km of the proposed clearing.				
Meth	hodology	GIS Database: Threatened Ecological Communities - CALM 12/4/05				
(e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.						
Com	nments	<b>Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle</b> The State Government is committed to the National Objectives and Targets for Biodiversity Conservation which in includes a target that prevents clearance of ecological communities with an extent below 30% of that present pre- European Settlement (Department of Natural Resources and Environment, 2002; EPA, 2000).				
		Vegetation complexes in this application are well above the recommended minimum of 30% representation.				
		The vegetation at the site consists of Beard Vegetation Association 9 - Medium woodland; coral gum (E. torquata) and goldfields blackbutt (E. lesouesii) (Hopkins et al. 2001) of which Hopkins et al (2001) states there is 99.7% of the pre-European extent remaining (Shepherd et al. 2001).				
		This vegetation type is therefore of least concern for biodiversity conservation (Department of Natural Resources and Environment 2002).				
Meth	hodology	Shepherd et al. (2001) Hopkins et al. (2001) Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) EPA (2000) GIS database: Pre-European Vegetation - DA 01/01				
(f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.						
Com	nments	<b>Proposal is not at variance to this Principle</b> The vegetation to be cleared is not associated with a wetland or watercourse.				
Meth	hodology	GIS Databases: Hydrology, linear - DOE 1/2/04; RAMSAR, Wetlands - CALM 21/10/02				
(g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.						
Com	nments	<b>Proposal is not at variance to this Principle</b> The mean annual rainfall inthe area is 250 mm and the mean annual evaporation rates is between 2600-2800mm. There is little surface flow during a normal rainfall season, therefore land degradation through erosion would be negligible. The area under application is for prospecting and hence the clearing will not be concentrated in the one area. This will also reduce the risk of land degradation on and off-site.				
Met	hodology	GIS Databases: Page 2				

Topographic Contours, Statewide - DOLA 12/09/02 Lakes 250K-GA Evaporation Isopleths - BOM 09/98

# (h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

### **Comments** Proposal is not at variance to this Principle

There are 4 CALM managed lands within 50km radius of the proposed clearing. The closest Kambalda Nature Reserves is located about 7km south east of the proposed clearing.

The area applied to be cleared does not contribute to, provide a buffer for, or provide an ecological linkage to any of these conservation areas. This proposal is therefore not at variance to this principle.

- Methodology GIS Database: CALM Managed Lands and Waters 1/06/04
- (i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

#### Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The proposal is not likely to affect surface water quality as there are no watercourses within the proposed clearing area and the groundwater is highly saline. The mean annual rainfall is 250mm and the mean annual evaporation is 2600 - 2800mm as such run off is likely to be minimal. The low rainfall and high evaporation rate also infers low recharge rates.

#### Methodology GIS Database: Groundwater Salinity, Statewide - 22/02/00 Rainfall, Mean Annual - BOM 30/09/01 Evaporation Isopleths - BOM 09/98

(j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.

#### Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle

Given the small and scattered nature of clearing (10 ha), the little surface flow due to low rainfall and high evaporation rates, and the distance to the nearest lake or watercourse, the clearing as proposed is unlikely to be at variance with this principle.

Methodology GIS Databases:- Rivers 250K - GA Lakes 250K - GA

#### Planning instrument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.

#### Comments

No submissions were received and there are no other relevant approvals or planning instruments that affect this proposal.

#### Methodology

4. Assessor's recommendations

Purpose	Method	Applied area (ha)/ trees	Decision	Comment / recommendation
Mineral Exploration	Mechanical Removal	10	Grant	The clearing principles have been addressed and it is considered that the clearing as proposed is not likely to be at variance to any of them.
				Given the small area proposed to be cleared, the assessing officer recommends that the clearing permit be granted with the revegetation and reporting conditions.

#### 5. References

AGPS (2001) The national objective and targets for biodiversity conservation 2001-2005. Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.

Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Biodiversity Action Planning. Action planning for native biodiversity at multiple scales ; catchment bioregional, landscape, local. Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.

EPA (2000) Environmental protection of native vegetation in Western Australia. Clearing of native vegetation, with particular reference to the agricultural area. Position Statement No. 2. December 2000. Environmental Protection Authority.

Hopkins, A.J.M., Beeston, G.R. and Harvey J.M. (2001) A database on the vegetation of Western Australia. Stage 1. CALMScience after J. S. Beard, late 1960's to early 1980's Vegetation Survey of Western Australia, UWA Press. Keighery, BJ (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R. and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001) Native Vegetation in Western Australia, Extent, Type and Status. Resource Management Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.

Western Botanical (February 2004) Flora, vegetation and habitats of the South Kal Mines, Pty LtdHoldings and Surrounding Area, WA. TRIM ref. HD26371

## 6. Glossary

Term CALM DAWA	Meaning Department of Conservation and Land Management
DEP	Department of Agriculture Department of Environmental Protection (now DoE)
DEF DoE	Department of Environment
DoIR	Department of Industry and Resources
DRF	Declared Rare Flora
EPP	Environmental Protection Policy
GIS	Geographical Information System
ha	Hectare (10,000 square metres)
TEC	Threatened Ecological Community
WRC	Water and Rivers Commission (now DoE)