

Clearing Permit Decision Report

1. Application details					
1.1. Permit application details					
Permit application No.:	936	\$8/1 			
Permit type:	Pu	pose Permit			
1.2. Proponent deta	ils Ca	idus Pasourcas Limitad			
1.2 Drenerty details	J	iuus Resources Linneu			
Property:	S Mir	Mining Lease 45/668			
	Mis	Miscellaneous Licences 45/590, 45/591, 45/592			
Local Government Area:	Shi	re of East Pilbara			
Colloquial name:	Big	Schist Pipeline Corridor			
1.4. Application					
Clearing Area (ha)	No. Trees	Method of Clearing Mechanical Removal	For the purpose of: Pipeline and Associated Infrastructure		
1.5 Decision on an	nligation	Moonanioaritoriotai			
Decision on Permit Applica	ation: Gra	ant			
Decision Date:	16	November 2021			
2. Site information					
2.1. Existing enviro	nment an	d information			
2.1.1. Description of th	e native v	egetation under application			
Vegetation Description	The vegeta 82: Hummo	The vegetation of the application area is broadly mapped as the following Beard vegetation associations: 32: Hummock grasslands, low tree steppe; snappy gum over <i>Triodia wiseana</i> ;			
	587: Mosaid grasslands,	shrub-steppe; kanji over <i>Triodia pu</i>	ee-steppe; snappy gum over <i>Triodia wiseana /</i> Hummock <i>ngens</i> (GIS Database).		
	A flora and during 16-2 2021):	vegetation survey was conducted ov 1 March 2021. The following vegeta	rer the application area by Rapallo Environmental (Rapallo) ion types were recorded within the application area (Rapallo,		
	A – Acacia Low woodla mixed shrul and/or <i>Trioo</i> <i>Corymbia</i> h	<i>inaequilatera</i> over <i>Triodia epactia</i> nd to medium shrubland of <i>Acacia i</i> os including <i>Corchorus parviflorus, A</i> <i>lia wiseana</i> hummock grassland occ amersleyana isolated low trees.	a and <i>T. wiseana</i> on stony plain naequilatera with Grevillea wickhamii, Grevillea pyramidalis; over icacia ptychophylla and Acacia orthocarpa; over <i>Triodia epactia</i> sasionally dominated by <i>Triodia longiceps</i> . Occasional emergent		
	B – Grevilla plain Tall open to shrubs inclu grassland d vegetation o <i>Corymbia</i> h	ea pyramidalis and Acacia species sparse shrubland of Grevillea pyran Iding Corchorus parviflorus, Acacia ominated by Triodia epactia, Triodia condition, or grassland dominated by amersleyana isolated low trees.	s over Triodia species or *Cenchrus ciliaris on sandy loam nidalis, with Acacia inaequilatera and Acacia pyrifolia; over mixed bivenosa and Acacia trachycarpa; over either hummock wiseana, or Triodia longiceps in areas with Good to Very Good *Cenchrus ciliaris in areas rated Poor to Degraded. Occasional		

C – Acacia inaequilatera and Grevillea wickhamii over Indigofera monophylla over Triodia wiseana, Triodia brizoides and Arivela viscosa on hills and rises

Tall open to sparse shrubland of *Acacia inaequilatera*, *Grevillea wickhamii*, and *Acacia pyrifolia*; over *Indigofera monophylla* shrubland with *Corchorus parviflorus*; over *Triodia wiseana and Triodia brizoides* hummock grassland in areas with Good to Very Good vegetation condition, or herbland of *Arivela viscosa* in areas affected by recent fire. Occasional *Corymbia hamersleyana* isolated low trees.

D – Eucalyptus victrix and Corymbia hamersleyana with Melaleuca species over *Cenchrus ciliaris and Triodia longiceps on major drainage

Eucalyptus victrix open woodland; over open low woodland of Corymbia hamersleyana, Acacia pyrifolia and Atalaya hemiglauca with occasional Melaleuca argentea or Melaleuca glomerata; over sparse low to medium shrubs dominated by Gossypium australe, over *Cenchrus ciliaris grassland, with Cyperus vaginatus sedgeland and occasional Triodia longiceps hummock grassland.

	E – Corymbia hamersleyana and Acacia pyrifolia with occasional Melaleuca argentea over *Cenchrus ciliaris and Triodia epactia on medium drainage Mixed open woodland dominated by Corymbia hamersleyana, with Acacia pyrifolia, Grevillea wickhamii and Melaleuca argentea; over tall to medium shrubland dominated by Acacia trachycarpa; over *Cenchrus ciliaris grassland or Triodia epactia and/or Triodia wiseana hummock grassland, with Arivela viscosa herbland in areas affected by recent fire.
	F – Indigofera monophylla over Arivela viscosa and Triodia epactia on minor drainage Acacia inaequilatera sparse woodland or emergent low trees (unburnt areas); over shrubland dominated by Indigofera monophylla with Acacia inaequilatera low shrubs; over Arivela viscosa herbland in recently burnt areas or Triodia epactia and Triodia wiseana open hummock grassland; over sedges. Occasional Corymbia hamersleyana isolated low trees.
	G – Acacia inaequilatera and Corymbia hamersleyana over Triodia wiseana on hill crests and slopes Acacia inaequilatera and Corymbia hamersleyana sparse low woodland; over isolated dwarf shrubs; over Triodia wiseana open hummock grassland.
	* denotes weed species.
Clearing Descripti	ion Big Schist Pipeline Corridor. Calidus Resources Limited proposes to clear up to 30.07 hectares of native vegetation within a boundary of approximately 250.9 hectares, for the purpose of pipeline and associated infrastructure. The project is located approximately 3.75 kilometres south of Marble Bar, within the Shire of East Pilbara.
Vegetation Condit	tion Excellent: Vegetation structure intact; disturbance affecting individual species, weeds non-aggressive (Keighery, 1994).
	to
	Degraded: Structure severely disturbed; regeneration to good condition requires intensive management (Keighery, 1994).
Comment	The vegetation condition was derived from a vegetation survey conducted by Rapallo (2021).
	The proposed clearing is for a borefield pipeline and associated road required to facilitate development of the Warrawoona Gold Project (Calidus, 2021).
	The construction of the road to service the pipeline will utilise existing pastoral tracks where possible (Calidus, 2021)
3. Assessme	nt of application against Clearing Principles
(a) Native veg	getation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biodiversity.
Comments P	Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle
T R c c <i>E</i>	The clearing permit application area is located within the Chichester subregion of the Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (IBRA) Pilbara Bioregion (GIS Database). The Chichester subregion is haracterised by undulating Archaean granite, basalt plains and basaltic ranges. Plains support shrub steppe haracterised by <i>Acacia inaequilatera</i> over <i>Triodia wiseana</i> hummock grasslands, while ranges support <i>Eucalyptus leucophloia</i> (CALM, 2002).
A b s ic d	a reconnaissance flora and vegetation survey was conducted over the application area by Rapallo (2021) etween 16-21 March 2021. The vegetation of the application area is dominated by <i>Acacia</i> hrubland/woodland, <i>Grevillea</i> shrubland, and <i>Triodia</i> hummock grassland, interspersed by larger <i>Eucalyptus</i> , <i>Mal</i> eluca and <i>Corymbia</i> species (Rapllo, 2021). No Threatened or Priority Ecological Communities were dentified as potentially occurring within the application area and none of the vegetation types mapped and escribed are listed as Threatened or Priority Ecological Communities, 2021).
A fi J (I W th re s	total of 125 flora species from 76 genera and 30 families were recorded within the application area, including ve introduced species (Rapallo, 2021). A desktop assessment identified six Priority flora species that may otentially occur within the application area due to the presence of suitable habitat and proximity of records: <i>osephinia</i> sp. Woodstock (P1), <i>Heliotropium muticum</i> (P3), <i>Heliotropium murinum</i> (P3), <i>Euphorbia clementii</i> P3), <i>Eragrostis crateriformis</i> (P3), and <i>Ptilotus mollis</i> (P4) (Rapallo, 2021). No Priority flora were recorded vithin the application area during the field assessment (Rapallo, 2021). None of the vegetation types recorded nat may support any of the Priority species listed are restricted to the application area, and are well epresented in the surrounds (Calidus, 2021; Rapallo, 2021). It is unlikely that the proposed clearing will ignificantly impact the conservation status of any Priority flora species.

A desktop assessment identified a total of 329 vertebrate fauna species which have previously been recorded within the application area and surrounds (Rapallo, 2021). This includes 47 mammals (37 native and 10 nonnative), 162 birds, 106 reptiles and ten amphibians (Rapallo, 2021). Of the 329 fauna species, 32 are of conservation significance, including nine mammal, 19 bird and four reptile species (Rapallo, 2021). A total of 54 vertebrate species, comprising of 12 mammals, 34 birds, and eight reptiles were recorded during the field assessment of the application (Calidus, 2021; Rapallo, 2021). Four conservation significant fauna species were recorded within the application area: Northern quoll (*Dasyurus hallucatus*, EN), ghost bat (*Macroderma gigas*, VU), Pilbara leaf-nosed bat (*Rhinonicteris aurantia*, VU), and grey falcon (*Falco hypoleucos*, VU) (Rapallo, 2021). None of the fauna habitats within the application area are primary breeding or roosting habitat for these species (Rapallo, 2021). It is unlikely that the proposed clearing will significantly impact fauna assemblage.

The vegetation associations, fauna habitats and landform types present within the application area, are well represented in surrounding areas (Calidus, 2021; Rapallo, 2021; GIS Database). The application area is unlikely to represent an area of higher biodiversity than surrounding areas, in either a local or regional context.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology Calidus (2021) CALM (2002)

Rapallo (2021)

GIS Database:

- IBRA Australia
- Pre-European Vegetation
- Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities Boundaries
- Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities Buffers
- Threatened and Priority Flora
- Threatened Fauna

(b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna.

Comments Proposal may be at variance to this Principle

The following seven fauna habitats have been recorded within the application area (Rapallo, 2021):

- Stony plain
- Sandy/loam plain
- Major drainage
- Medium drainage
- Minor drainage
- Hills and rises
- Hillcrest/hillslope

The majority of the application area consists of stony plain (42%), sandy/loam plain (23%), and hills and rises (18%) (Rapallo, 2021). Major drainage and sandy/loam plain habitats are deemed to be of high significance as they potentially provide suitable foraging, breeding, and dispersal habitat for multiple species of conservation significance (Rapallo, 2021). The remaining habitats are considered to be of moderate significance, due to providing foraging/dispersal habitat or are known to support priority or migratory species (Rapallo, 2021). None of the fauna habitats present are restricted to the application area, all fauna habitats are well represented in the surrounds and are common and widespread throughout the region (Rapallo, 2021).

The major drainage habitat provides a number of microhabitats and a stable source of food and water for various fauna species (Rapallo, 2021). Four conservation significant fauna species were identified within the major drainage habitat during the fauna assessment: Northern quoll (*Dasyurus hallucatus*, EN), ghost bat (*Macroderma gigas*, VU), Pilbara leaf-nosed bat (*Rhinonicteris aurantia*, VU), and grey falcon (*Falco hypoleucos*, VU) (Rapallo, 2021). Approximately 3% (9 hectares) of the application area consists of major drainage habitat (Rapallo, 2021). It is not anticipated that any of the recorded fauna species will be significantly impacted by the clearing of a narrow corridor within this habitat type (Rapallo, 2021). The habitats found within the application area provide dispersal and foraging habitat, and none are expected to provide critical denning or roosting habitat for any of these species (Rapallo, 2021). Potential impacts to larger vegetation within drainage habitats may be minimised by a restricted clearing condition, which will be of benefit to available fauna habitat and various fauna species.

The sandy/loam plain habitat was determined to have high significance due to the potential for greater bilby (*Macrotis lagotis*, VU), brush-tailed mulgara (*Dasycercus blythi*, P4), and spectacled hare-wallaby (*Lagorchestes conspicillatus leichardti*, P4) breeding, foraging and dispersal habitat (Rapallo, 2021). None of these species were identified during the fauna assessment, however were rated as highly likely or likely to occur within the application area (Rapallo, 2021). Additional fauna species considered highly likely to likely to occur within the application area are: Pilbara olive python (*Liasis olivaceus barroni*, VU), long-tailed dunnart (*Sminthopsis longicaudata*, P4), and western pebble-mound mouse (*Pseudomys chapmani*, P4). Potential impacts to greater bilby, brush-tailed mulgara, spectacled hare-wallaby, Pilbara olive python, long-tailed dunnart, and western pebble-mound mouse as a result of the proposed clearing may be minimised by the implementation of a fauna management condition that requires pre-clearance surveys to determine the presence of these fauna species within the application area and relocated if found (Calidus, 2021; Rapallo,

	2021). Based on the above, the proposed clearing may be at variance to this Principle.
Methodology	Calidus (2021) Rapallo (2021)
	GIS Database: - Imagery - Pre-European Vegetation - Threatened Fauna
(c) Native threate	vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, ned flora.
Comments	Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle There are no known records of Threatened flora within the application area (GIS Database). Flora surveys of the application area did not record any species of Threatened flora (Rapallo, 2021). The vegetation of the application area is unlikely to support habitat for any Threatened flora, and the known distributions or Threatened flora in the region area unlikely to intersect the application area (Rapallo, 2021).
	The vegetation associations within the application area are common and widespread within the region (Rapallo, 2021; GIS Database), and the vegetation proposed to be cleared is unlikely to be necessary for the continued existence of any species of Threatened flora.
	Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.
Methodology	Rapallo (2021)
	GIS Database: - Pre-European Vegetation - Threatened and Priority Flora
(d) Native mainte	vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the nance of a threatened ecological community.
Comments	Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle There are no known Threatened Ecological Communities (TECs) located within or in close proximity to the application area (GIS Database).
	A flora and vegetation survey of the application area did not identify any TECs (Rapallo, 2021).
	Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.
Methodology	Rapallo (2021)
	GIS Database:
	- Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities Buffers
(e) Native	- Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities Buffers vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area s been extensively cleared
(e) Native that ha Comments	- Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities Buffers vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area s been extensively cleared. Proposal is not at variance to this Principle

	Pre-European area (ha)*	Current extent (ha)*	Remaining %*	Conservation Status**	Pre-European % in DBCA managed lands
IBRA Bioregion – Pilbara 17,808,657		17,731,764	~99	Least Concern	10.12
Beard vegetation as – WA	sociations				
82	2,565,901	2,553,206	~99	Least Concern	11.51
93	3,044,309	3,040,640	~99	Least Concern	1.96
587	580,728	580,696	~99	Least Concern	21.24
Beard vegetation as – Pilbara Bioregion	sociations				
82	2,563,583	2,550,888	~99	Least Concern	11.52
93	3,042,114	3,038,471	~99	Least Concern	1.96
587	580,728	580,696	~99	Least Concern	21.24

* Government of Western Australia (2019)

** Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002)

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this Principle.

Methodology Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Government of Western Australia (2019)

GIS Database:

- IBRA Australia

- Pre-European Vegetation

(f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.

Comments Proposal is at variance to this Principle

There are no permanent watercourses or wetlands within the area proposed to clear (Calidus, 2021; Rapallo, 2021; GIS Database). Multiple ephemeral drainage lines intersect the application area (GIS Database). These drainage lines are dry for most of the year, and flow following significant rainfall (Calidus, 2021; Rapallo, 2021).

The vegetation assessment of the application area identified four groundwater dependent species were recorded within vegetation type D: *Melaleuca argentea*, *Eucalyptus victrix*, *Melaleuca glomerata*, and *Atalaya hemiglauca* (Rapallo, 2021).

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is at variance to this Principle. Potential impacts to vegetation growing in association with watercourses may be minimised by the implementation of a restricted clearing condition which will prevent the clearing of large trees within drainage lines.

Methodology Calidus (2021) Rapallo (2021)

> GIS Database: - Hydrography, linear

(g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The application area lies within the Boolgeeda, Capricorn, Granitic, Macroy, Rocklea, and Talga land systems (GIS Database). These land systems have been mapped and described in technical bulletins produced by the former Department of Agriculture (now the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development).

The Boolgeeda land system is described as stony lower slopes and plains below hill systems supporting hard and soft spinifex grasslands and mulga shrublands (Van Vreeswyk et al., 2004). This land system is not generally susceptible to erosion (Van Vreeswyk et al., 2004).

The Capricorn land system consists of gills and ridges of sandstone and dolomite supporting shrubby hard and soft spinifex grasslands (Van Vreeswyk et al., 2004). This land system is resistant to erosion (Van Vreeswyk et al., 2004).

The Granitic land system is described as rugged granitic hills supporting shrubby hard and soft spinifex grasslands (Van Vreeswyk et al., 2004). This land system is not generally susceptible to erosion (Van Vreeswyk et al., 2004).

The Macroy land system is described as stony plains and occasional tor fields based on granite supporting hard and soft spinifex grasslands (Van Vreeswyk et al., 2004). Soils within this system are not likely to erode (Van Vreeswyk et al., 2004).

The Rocklea land system is described as basalt hills, plateaux, lower slopes and minor stony plains supporting hard spinifex (and occasionally soft spinifex) grasslands (Van Vreeswyk et al., 2004). This land system has very low erosion hazard. (Van Vreeswyk et al., 2004).

The Talga land system consists of hills and ridges of greenstone and chert and stony plains supporting hard and soft spinifex grasslands (Van Vreeswyk et al., 2004). This land system is not susceptible to erosion (Van Vreeswyk et al., 2004).

The proposed clearing is unlikely to cause appreciable land degradation.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology Van Vreeswyk et al. (2004)

GIS Database: - Landsystem Rangelands

(h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no conservation areas in the vicinity of the application area. The nearest DBCA (formerly DPaW) managed land is the former Meentheena Pastoral Lease which is located approximately 33.5 kilometres east of the application area (GIS Database). The proposed clearing is unlikely to impact on the environmental values of any conservation area.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology GIS Database: - DPaW Tenure

(i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no Public Drinking Water Source Areas within or in close proximity to the application area (GIS Database). The nearest PDWSA is Marble Bar Water Reserve, located approximately 0.1 kilometres north of the application area (GIS Database). There are no permanent watercourses or wetlands within the area proposed to clear (Rapallo, 2021; GIS Database). Drainage lines in the region are dry for most of the year, flowing briefly immediately following significant rainfall (Rapallo, 2021). The proposed clearing is unlikely to result in significant changes to surface water flows.

The proposed clearing is unlikely to cause deterioration in the quality of underground water.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology Rapallo (2021)

GIS Database:

- Hydrography, Linear
- Public Drinking Water Source Areas

(j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.		
Comments	Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle The climate of the Pilbara bioregion is semi-arid, with a low average rainfall of approximately 403.1 millimetres per year (BoM, 2021). Drainage lines in the area are dry for most of the year, only flowing following significant rainfall in the summer months (Calidus, 2021).	
	There are no permanent water courses or waterbodies within the application area (GIS Database). Drainage lines are common in the region and temporary localised flooding may occur briefly following heavy rainfall events (Calidus, 2021). However, the proposed clearing is unlikely to increase the incidence or intensity of natural flooding events.	
	Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.	
Methodology	BoM (2021) Calidus (2021)	
	GIS Database: - Hydrography, linear	
Planning In:	strument, Native Title, previous EPA decision or other matter.	
Comments	The clearing permit application was advertised on 6 August 2021 by the Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DMIRS), inviting submissions from the public. No submissions were received in relation to this application.	
	There are two native title claims (WC1999/008; WC1999/008) over the area under application (DPLH, 2021). These claims have respectively been registered with the National Native Title Tribunal and determined by the Federal Court on behalf of the claimant groups. However, the mining tenure has been granted in accordance with the future act regime of the <i>Native Title Act 1993</i> and the nature of the act (i.e. the proposed clearing activity) has been provided for in that process, therefore, the granting of a clearing permit is not a future act under the <i>Native Title Act 1993</i> .	
	There are no registered Aboriginal Sites of Significance within the application area (DPLH, 2021). It is the proponent's responsibility to comply with the <i>Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972</i> and ensure that no Aboriginal Sites of Significance are damaged through the clearing process.	
	It is the proponent's responsibility to liaise with the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation and the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, to determine whether a Works Approval, Water Licence, Bed and Banks Permit, or any other licences or approvals are required for the proposed works.	
Methodology	DPLH (2021)	

4. References

- BoM (2021) Bureau of Meteorology Website Climate Data Online, Marble Bar. Bureau of Meteorology. http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/ (Accessed 21 October 2021).
- Calidus (2021) Warrawoona Gold Project: Big Schist Pipeline Infrastructure, NVCP Application, Supplementary Information Report. Prepared by Calidus Resources Limited, July 2021.
- CALM (2002) A Biodiversity Audit of Western Australia's 53 Biogeographic Subregions in 2002. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.
- Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Biodiversity Action Planning. Action planning for native biodiversity at multiple scales; catchment bioregional, landscape, local. Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.
- DPLH (2021) Aboriginal Heritage Inquiry System. Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage. https://espatial.dplh.wa.gov.au/AHIS/index.html?viewer=AHIS (Accessed 20 October 2021).
- Government of Western Australia (2019) 2018 Statewide Vegetation Statistics incorporating the CAR Reserve Analysis (Full Report). Current as of March 2019. WA Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth. https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset/dbca-statewide-vegetation-statistics
- Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.
- Rapallo (2021) Flora and Vertebrate Fauna Assessment of the Big Schist Pipeline Corridor. Prepared by Rapallo Environmental, for Calidus Resources Limited, June 2021.
- Van Vreeswyk, A.M.E., Payne, A.L., Leighton, K.A. and Hennig, P. (2004) An inventory and condition survey of the Pilbara Region, Western Australia. Technical Bulletin No. 92. Department of Agriculture, South Perth, Western Australia.

5. Glossary

Acronyms:

BC Act BoM DAA DAFWA DAWE DBCA DBCA DER DMIRS DMP DoEE DoW DPaW DPIRD DPLH DRF DWER EP Act EPA EPBC Act GIS ha IBRA IUCN	Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016, Western Australia Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Government Department of Aboriginal Affairs, Western Australia (now DPLH) Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia (now DPIRD) Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, Australian Government Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Western Australia Department of Environment Regulation, Western Australia (now DWER) Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety, Western Australia Department of Mines and Petroleum, Western Australia (now DMIRS) Department of Mines and Petroleum, Western Australia (now DMIRS) Department of Water, Western Australia (now DWER) Department of Vater, Western Australia (now DWER) Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia (now DBCA) Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia (now DBCA) Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage, Western Australia Declared Rare Flora (now known as Threatened Flora) Department of Water and Environmental Regulation, Western Australia Environmental Protection Act 1986, Western Australia Environmental Protection Act 1986, Western Australia Environmental Protection Authority, Western Australia Environmental Protection Authority, Western Australia Environmental Protection Authority, Western Australia Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Federal Act) Geographical Information System Hectare (10,000 square metres) Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources – commonly known as the
PEC RIWI Act TEC	World Conservation Union Priority Ecological Community, Western Australia <i>Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914</i> , Western Australia Threatened Ecological Community
	- ,

Definitions:

{DBCA (2019) Conservation Codes for Western Australian Flora and Fauna. Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Western Australia}:-

T <u>Threatened species:</u>

Listed by order of the Minister as Threatened in the category of critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable under section 19(1), or is a rediscovered species to be regarded as threatened species under section 26(2) of the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act).

Threatened fauna is that subset of 'Specially Protected Fauna' listed under schedules 1 to 3 of the *Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018* for Threatened Fauna.

Threatened flora is that subset of 'Rare Flora' listed under schedules 1 to 3 of the *Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018* for Threatened Flora.

The assessment of the conservation status of these species is based on their national extent and ranked according to their level of threat using IUCN Red List categories and criteria as detailed below.

CR Critically endangered species

Threatened species considered to be "facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines".

Listed as critically endangered under section 19(1)(a) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 20 and the ministerial guidelines. Published under schedule 1 of the *Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018* for critically endangered fauna or the *Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018* for critically endangered flora.

EN Endangered species

Threatened species considered to be "facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines".

Listed as endangered under section 19(1)(b) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 21 and the ministerial guidelines. Published under schedule 2 of the *Wildlife Conservation* (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 for endangered fauna or the *Wildlife Conservation* (Rare Flora) Notice 2018 for endangered flora.

VU Vulnerable species

Threatened species considered to be "facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines".

Listed as vulnerable under section 19(1)(c) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 22 and the ministerial guidelines. Published under schedule 3 of the *Wildlife Conservation* (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 for vulnerable fauna or the *Wildlife Conservation* (Rare Flora) Notice 2018 for vulnerable flora.

Extinct Species:

EX Extinct species

Species where "there is no reasonable doubt that the last member of the species has died", and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 24 of the BC Act).

Published as presumed extinct under schedule 4 of the *Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018* for extinct fauna or the *Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018* for extinct flora.

EW Extinct in the wild species

Species that "is known only to survive in cultivation, in captivity or as a naturalised population well outside its past range; and it has not been recorded in its known habitat or expected habitat, at appropriate seasons, anywhere in its past range, despite surveys over a time frame appropriate to its life cycle and form", and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 25 of the BC Act).

Currently there are no threatened fauna or threatened flora species listed as extinct in the wild. If listing of a species as extinct in the wild occurs, then a schedule will be added to the applicable notice.

Specially protected species:

Listed by order of the Minister as specially protected under section 13(1) of the BC Act. Meeting one or more of the following categories: species of special conservation interest; migratory species; cetaceans; species subject to international agreement; or species otherwise in need of special protection.

Species that are listed as threatened species (critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable) or extinct species under the BC Act cannot also be listed as Specially Protected species.

MI Migratory species

Fauna that periodically or occasionally visit Australia or an external Territory or the exclusive economic zone; or the species is subject of an international agreement that relates to the protection of migratory species and that binds the Commonwealth; and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 15 of the BC Act).

Includes birds that are subject to an agreement between the government of Australia and the governments of Japan (JAMBA), China (CAMBA) and The Republic of Korea (ROKAMBA), and fauna subject to the *Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals* (Bonn Convention), an environmental treaty under the United Nations Environment Program. Migratory species listed under the BC Act are a subset of the migratory animals, that are known to visit Western Australia, protected under the international agreements or treaties, excluding species that are listed as Threatened species.

Published as migratory birds protected under an international agreement under schedule 5 of the *Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018.*

CD Species of special conservation interest (conservation dependent fauna)

Fauna of special conservation need being species dependent on ongoing conservation intervention to prevent it becoming eligible for listing as threatened, and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 14 of the BC Act).

Published as conservation dependent fauna under schedule 6 of the *Wildlife Conservation* (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018.

OS Other specially protected species

Fauna otherwise in need of special protection to ensure their conservation, and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 18 of the BC Act).

Published as other specially protected fauna under schedule 7 of the *Wildlife Conservation* (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018.

P <u>Priority species:</u>

Possibly threatened species that do not meet survey criteria, or are otherwise data deficient, are added to the Priority Fauna or Priority Flora Lists under Priorities 1, 2 or 3. These three categories are ranked in order of priority for survey and evaluation of conservation status so that consideration can be given to their declaration as threatened fauna or flora.

Species that are adequately known, are rare but not threatened, or meet criteria for near threatened, or that have been recently removed from the threatened species or other specially protected fauna lists for other than taxonomic reasons, are placed in Priority 4. These species require regular monitoring.

Assessment of Priority codes is based on the Western Australian distribution of the species, unless the distribution in WA is part of a contiguous population extending into adjacent States, as defined by the known spread of locations.

P1 Priority One - Poorly-known species

Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less) which are potentially at risk. All occurrences are either: very small; or on lands not managed for conservation, e.g. agricultural or pastoral lands, urban areas, road and rail reserves, gravel reserves and active mineral leases; or otherwise under threat of habitat destruction or degradation. Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from one or more locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and appear to be under immediate threat from known threatening processes. Such species are in urgent need of further survey.

P2 Priority Two - Poorly-known species

Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less), some of which are on lands managed primarily for nature conservation, e.g. national parks, conservation parks, nature reserves and other lands with secure tenure being managed for conservation. Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from one or more locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and appear to be under threat from known threatening processes. Such species are in urgent need of further survey.

P3 Priority Three - Poorly-known species

Species that are known from several locations, and the species does not appear to be under imminent threat, or from few but widespread locations with either large population size or significant remaining areas of apparently suitable habitat, much of it not under imminent threat. Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from several locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and known threatening processes exist that could affect them. Such species are in need of further survey.

P4 Priority Four - Rare, Near Threatened and other species in need of monitoring

(a) Rare. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and that are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection but could be if present circumstances change. These species are usually represented on conservation lands.

(b) Near Threatened. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed and that are close to qualifying for vulnerable but are not listed as Conservation Dependent.

(c) Species that have been removed from the list of threatened species during the past five years for reasons other than taxonomy.