

## **Clearing Permit Decision Report**

## 1. Application details

1.1. Permit application details

Permit application No.: 9380/1

Permit type: Purpose Permit

1.2. Proponent details

Proponent's name: Juno Minerals Limited

1.3. Property details

Property: General Purpose Lease 29/21

Miscellaneous Licences 29/116; 29/117; and 29/123

Local Government Area: Shire of Menzies

Colloquial name: Yunndaga Rail Siding Project

1.4. Application

Clearing Area (ha) No. Trees Method of Clearing For the purpose of:

69.5 Mechanical Removal Mineral Production and Associated Activities

1.5. Decision on application

Decision on Permit Application: Gra

Decision Date: 14 December 2021

### 2. Site Information

### 2.1. Existing environment and information

2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

**Vegetation Description** The vegetation of the application area is broadly mapped as the following Beard vegetation associations:

18: Low woodland; mulga (Acacia aneura);

20: Low woodland; mulga mixed with Allocasuarina cristata & Eucalyptus sp.; and

251: Low woodland; mulga & Allocasuarina cristata (GIS Database).

A flora and vegetation survey was conducted over the application area by Western Botanical in April, 2021. The following vegetation associations were recorded within the application area (Western Botanical, 2021):

Mulga Shrubland;

Scattered Low Shrubland; and

Eucalypt Open woodland.

Clearing Description Yunndaga Rail Siding Project.

Juno Minerals Limited proposes to clear up to 69.5 hectares of native vegetation within a boundary of approximately 70.483 hectares, for the purpose of mineral production and associated activities. The project is

located approximately one kilometres east of Menzies, within the Shire of Menzies.

Very Good: Vegetation structure altered; obvious signs of disturbance (Keighery, 1994);

То

Degraded: Structure severely disturbed; regeneration to good condition requires intensive management

(Keighery, 1994).

Comment The vegetation condition was derived from a vegetation survey conducted by Western Botanical (2021).

## 3. Assessment of application against Clearing Principles

## (a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biodiversity.

#### Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The clearing permit application area is located within the East Murchison subregion of the Murchison Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia (IBRA) bioregion (GIS Database). The East Murchison subregion represents a total area of approximately 7.8 million hectares, and is characterised by an arid climate with a mainly winter rainfall of approximately 200-250 millimetres (CALM, 2002). The subregion is rich and diverse in both its flora and fauna however most species are wide ranging and usually occur in at least one, and often several adjoining subregions (CALM, 2002).

Vegetation in the subregion is dominated by mulga woodlands, often rich in ephemerals, hummock grasslands, saltbush shrublands and samphires (CALM, 2002).

Outback Ecology (2013) conducted a Level 1 flora and fauna survey of the application area, comprised of a desktop review of relevant databases and previous surveys conducted over the area, and an on-site flora, vegetation and fauna assessment. A reconnaissance survey and gap analysis was also subsequently undertaken by Western Botanical in April, 2021.

The desktop review identified several species of flora and fauna of conservation significance, with the potential to occur within the project area, based on known distributions and habitat preferences (Outback Ecology, 2013; Western Botanical, 2021). However, the majority of these species were considered very unlikely to occur within the application area due to limited availability of suitable habitat and the extent of previous vegetation disturbance (Outback Ecology, 2013; Western Botanical, 2021).

The on-site survey consisted of a comprehensive traverse of the project area on-foot, focussed on verifying the results of the desktop review and identifying the presence of any conservation significant flora or fauna, or significant fauna habitat features. No flora, fauna, or fauna habitats of conservation significance were identified within the application area (Outback Ecology, 2013; Western Botanical, 2021).

There are no known Priority Ecological Communities (PEC's) located within or in close proximity to the application area (GIS Database). None of the vegetation associations recorded during the survey of the application area were consistent with PEC's known to occur in the region, and no banded ironstone habitat likely to support known regional PEC vegetation associations was recorded (Outback Ecology, 2013; Western Botanical, 2021).

Western Botanical (2021) reported significant weed infestation within the application area and recorded a total of 19 weed species during the survey, however, none of these weed species were classified as declared plants for the Menzies district (Western Botanical, 2021). Weeds have the potential to alter the biodiversity of an area, competing with native vegetation for available resources and making areas more fire prone. Potential impacts to biodiversity as a result of the proposed clearing may be minimised by the implementation of a weed management condition.

Three fauna habitats have been identified within the application area:

- Mulga Shrubland;
- Scattered Low Shrubland; and
- Eucalypt Open woodland.

These habitat types are common and widespread within the subregion, and are unlikely to function as ecological linkages or refugia (Western Botanical, 2021).

The application area is located partly within the Adelong and Jeedamya pastoral stations (GIS Database), and previous vegetation disturbance has occurred from grazing activities, with some areas very heavily grazed (Outback Ecology, 2013; Western Botanical, 2021). The region also has a long mining history, and parts of the application area have suffered substantial disturbance from historical mining and mineral exploration activities (GIS Database; Outback Ecology, 2013; Western Botanical, 2021).

The vegetation associations, fauna habitats and landform types present within the application area, are well represented in surrounding areas (Western Botanical, 2021; GIS Database). The application area is unlikely to represent an area of higher biodiversity than surrounding areas, in either a local or regional context.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

#### Methodology

CALM (2002) Outback Ecology (2013)

Western Botanical (2021)

#### GIS Database:

- IBRA Australia
- Pre-European Vegetation
- Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities Boundaries
- Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities Buffers
- Threatened and Priority Flora
- Threatened Fauna

## (b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna.

## Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

Western Botanical (2021) conducted a fauna survey of the application area, and identified the following three fauna habitat types within the application area:

- Mulga Shrubland;
- · Scattered Low Shrubland; and
- Eucalypt Open woodland.

These habitat types are well represented in surrounding areas and no significant fauna habitat features were recorded within the application area (Western Botanical, 2021).

Desktop surveys identified 25 vertebrate fauna species of conservation significance with the potential to occur in the vicinity of the application area, based on known distributions and habitat preferences (Western Botanical, 2021). However, none of these species were recorded during the fauna survey of the application area and Western Botanical (2021) considered that none of these species were likely to be dependent on the habitat within the areas proposed to be cleared.

The proposed clearing is unlikely to have any significant impact on fauna habitats at either a local or regional scale.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

## Methodology Western Botanical (2021)

GIS Database:

- Imagery
- Pre-European Vegetation
- Threatened Fauna

## (c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, threatened flora.

#### Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no known records of Threatened flora within the application area (GIS Database). Flora surveys of the application area did not record any species of Threatened flora (Western Botanical, 2021).

The vegetation associations within the application area are common and widespread within the region (Western Botanical, 2021; GIS Database), and the native vegetation proposed to be cleared is unlikely to be necessary for the continued existence of any species of Threatened flora.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

### Methodology Western Botanical (2021)

GIS Database:

- Pre-European Vegetation
- Threatened and Priority Flora

## (d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.

## Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no known Threatened Ecological Communities (TECs) located within or in close proximity to the application area (GIS Database).

A flora and vegetation survey of the application area did not identify any TECs (Western Botanical, 2021).

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

### Methodology Western Botanical (2021)

GIS Database:

- Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities Boundaries
- Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities Buffers

## (e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

## Comments Proposal is not at variance to this Principle

The application area falls within the Murchison Bioregion of the Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (IBRA) (GIS Database). Approximately 99% of the pre-European vegetation still exists in the IBRA Murchison Bioregion (Government of Western Australia, 2019). The application area is broadly mapped as Beard vegetation associations 18: Low woodland; mulga (*Acacia aneura*); 20: Low woodland; mulga mixed with *Allocasuarina cristata* and *Eucalyptus* sp.; and 251: Low woodland; mulga and *Allocasuarina cristata* (GIS Database). Approximately 99% of the pre-European extent of each of these vegetation associations remains uncleared at both the state and bioregional level (Government of Western Australia, 2019).

Therefore, the application area does not represent a significant remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

	Pre-European area (ha)*	Current extent (ha)*	Remaining %*	Conservation Status**	Pre-European % in DBCA managed lands
IBRA Bioregion  – Murchison	28,120,587	28,044,823	~99	Least Concern	7.77
Beard vegetation associations  – WA					
18	19,892,306	19,843,148	~99	Least Concern	6.62
20	1,129,103	1,292,475	~99	Least Concern	19.38
251	173,096	172,865	~99	Least Concern	69.61
Beard vegetation associations  – Murchison Bioregion					
18	12,403,172	12,363,252	~99	Least Concern	4.96
20	1,174,259	1,171,631	~99	Least Concern	15.49
251	58,012	57,780	~99	Least Concern	9.33

<sup>\*</sup> Government of Western Australia (2019)

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not at variance to this Principle.

## Methodology

Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Government of Western Australia (2019)

GIS Database:

- IBRA Australia
- Pre-European Vegetation

## (f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.

## **Comments** Proposal is at variance to this Principle

There are no permanent watercourses or wetlands within the area proposed to clear (Western Botanical, 2021; GIS Database). Several seasonal creek lines pass through the application area (GIS Database). Creek lines in the region are dry for most of the year, only flowing briefly immediately following significant rainfall (Western Botanical, 2021).

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is at variance to this Principle. Potential impacts to vegetation growing in association with the watercourse may be minimised by the implementation of a watercourse management condition.

#### Methodology Western Botanical (2021)

GIS Database:

- Hydrography, Lakes
- Hydrography, linear

<sup>\*\*</sup> Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002)

## (g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

## Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The majority of the application area is broadly mapped as falling within the Moriarty land system, with very small sections of the application area mapped as the Bunyip, Rainbow and Yowie land systems (GIS Database).

The Moriarty Land System is characterised by low greenstone rises and stony plains, supporting chenopod shrublands with patchy eucalypt over-storeys. The lower slopes, alluvial plains and narrow drainage tracts of this land system are moderately susceptible to water erosion if vegetation cover is removed (Pringle et al., 1994).

The Bunyip Land System is characterised by gilgaied tracts draining greenstone hills, supporting mixed halophytic shrublands, occasionally with a black oak over-storey. The alluvial plains of this land system are slightly susceptible to soil erosion if vegetation cover is removed (Pringle et al., 1994).

The Rainbow Land System is characterised by hardpan plains supporting mulga shrublands. This land system is generally not susceptible to soil erosion (Pringle et al., 1994).

The Yowie Land System is characterised by sandy plains supporting shrublands of mulga and bowgada with patchy wanderrie grasses. This land system is generally not susceptible to soil erosion (Pringle et al., 1994).

Clearing will be kept to the minimum possible and erosion control measures will be utilised to minimise potential erosion (Western Botanical, 2021). The proposed clearing for a transport corridor is unlikely to result in appreciable land degradation.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

#### Methodology Pringle et al. (1994)

Western Botanical (2021)

GIS Database:

- Landsystem Rangelands
- Soils, Statewide

# (h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

## Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no conservation areas in the vicinity of the application area. The nearest DBCA (formerly DPaW) managed land is the former Goongarrie pastoral station which is located approximately 20 kilometres south of the application area (GIS Database). The proposed clearing is unlikely to impact on the environmental values of any conservation area.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

## Methodology

GIS Database:

- DPaW Tenure

# (i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

#### Comments

## Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no Public Drinking Water Source Areas within or in close proximity to the application area (GIS Database). The Priority 1 Menzies Water Reserve lies approximately one kilometre north of the application area, however it is unlikely the proposed clearing will impact on this water reserve (Western Botanical, 2021; GIS Database).

There are no permanent watercourses or wetlands within the area proposed to clear (GIS Database). Creek lines in the region are dry for most of the year, only flowing briefly immediately following significant rainfall. The proposed clearing is unlikely to result in significant changes to surface water flows.

The proposed clearing is unlikely to cause deterioration in the quality of underground water.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

## Methodology

Western Botanical (2021)

GIS Database:

- Hydrography, Linear

- Public Drinking Water Source Areas

## (j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.

### Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The climate of the region is arid, with a low average rainfall of approximately 254 millimetres per year (BoM, 2021). Drainage lines in the area are dry for most of the year, only flowing briefly immediately following significant rainfall (BoM, 2021; Western Botanical, 2021).

There are no permanent water courses or waterbodies within the application area (GIS Database). Seasonal drainage lines are common in the region and temporary localised flooding may occur briefly following heavy rainfall events. However, the proposed clearing is unlikely to increase the incidence or intensity of natural flooding events.

Based on the above, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

#### Methodology

BoM (2021)

Western Botanical (2021)

GIS Database:

- Hydrographic Catchments Catchments
- Hydrography, linear

### Planning Instrument, Native Title, previous EPA decision or other matter.

#### Comments

The clearing permit application was advertised on 17 August 2021 by the Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DMIRS), inviting submissions from the public. One submissions was received in relation to this application raising no objections.

There are three native title claims over the area under application (DPLH, 2021). These claims have been registered with the National Native Title Tribunal on behalf of the claimant groups. However, the mining tenure has been granted in accordance with the future act regime of the *Native Title Act 1993* and the nature of the act (i.e. the proposed clearing activity) has been provided for in that process, therefore, the granting of a clearing permit is not a future act under the *Native Title Act 1993*.

There is one registered Aboriginal Sites of Significance within the application area (DPLH, 2021). It is the proponent's responsibility to comply with the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972* and ensure that no Aboriginal Sites of Significance are damaged through the clearing process.

It is the proponent's responsibility to liaise with the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation and the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, to determine whether a Works Approval, Water Licence, Bed and Banks Permit, or any other licences or approvals are required for the proposed works.

Methodology DPLH (2021)

## 4. References

- BoM (2021) Bureau of Meteorology Website Climate Data Online, Menzies. Bureau of Meteorology. <a href="http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/">http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/</a> (Accessed 9 December 2021).
- CALM (2002) A Biodiversity Audit of Western Australia's 53 Biogeographic Subregions in 2002. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.
- Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Biodiversity Action Planning. Action planning for native biodiversity at multiple scales; catchment bioregional, landscape, local. Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.
- DPLH (2021) Aboriginal Heritage Inquiry System. Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage. <a href="https://espatial.dplh.wa.gov.au/AHIS/index.html?viewer=AHIS">https://espatial.dplh.wa.gov.au/AHIS/index.html?viewer=AHIS</a> (Accessed 10 December 2021).
- Government of Western Australia (2019) 2018 Statewide Vegetation Statistics incorporating the CAR Reserve Analysis (Full Report). Current as of March 2019. WA Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth. <a href="https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset/dbca-statewide-vegetation-statistics">https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset/dbca-statewide-vegetation-statistics</a>
- Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.
- Outback Ecology (2013) Jupiter Mines Limited Central Yilgarn Iron Project Level 1 Flora and Fauna Assessment Menzies Bypass and Yunndaga Rail Siding. Unpublished report prepared for Juno Minerals Limited by Outback Ecology Services, June 2013.
- Pringle, H.J.R., Van Vreeswyk, A.M.E., and Gilligan, S.A. (1994) An Inventory and Condition Survey of the north-eastern Goldfields, Western Australia. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.

Western Botanical (2021) Native Vegetation Clearing Permit Application – Menzies Bypass to Yunndaga Rail Siding.
Unpublished report prepared for Juno Minerals Limited by Western Botanical, August 2021.

### 5. Glossary

## **Acronyms:**

BC Act Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016, Western Australia

BoM Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Government

DAA Department of Aboriginal Affairs, Western Australia (now DPLH)

DAFWA Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia (now DPIRD)

DAWE
Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, Australian Government
DBCA
Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Western Australia
DER
Department of Environment Regulation, Western Australia (now DWER)
DMIRS
Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety, Western Australia
DMP
Department of Mines and Petroleum, Western Australia (now DMIRS)

Dobe Department of the Environment and Energy (now DAWE)
Dob Department of Water, Western Australia (now DWER)

**DPaW** Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia (now DBCA)

DPIRD Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia

**DPLH** Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage, Western Australia

**DRF** Declared Rare Flora (now known as Threatened Flora)

**DWER** Department of Water and Environmental Regulation, Western Australia

**EP Act** Environmental Protection Act 1986, Western Australia **EPA** Environmental Protection Authority, Western Australia

EPBC Act Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Federal Act)

GIS Geographical Information System
ha Hectare (10,000 square metres)

IBRA Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia

**IUCN** International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources – commonly known as the

World Conservation Union

PEC Priority Ecological Community, Western Australia

RIWI Act Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914, Western Australia

TEC Threatened Ecological Community

## **Definitions:**

{DBCA (2019) Conservation Codes for Western Australian Flora and Fauna. Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Western Australia}:-

#### T Threatened species:

Listed by order of the Minister as Threatened in the category of critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable under section 19(1), or is a rediscovered species to be regarded as threatened species under section 26(2) of the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act).

**Threatened fauna** is that subset of 'Specially Protected Fauna' listed under schedules 1 to 3 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 for Threatened Fauna.

**Threatened flora** is that subset of 'Rare Flora' listed under schedules 1 to 3 of the *Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018* for Threatened Flora.

The assessment of the conservation status of these species is based on their national extent and ranked according to their level of threat using IUCN Red List categories and criteria as detailed below.

#### CR Critically endangered species

Threatened species considered to be "facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines".

Listed as critically endangered under section 19(1)(a) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 20 and the ministerial guidelines. Published under schedule 1 of the *Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018* for critically endangered fauna or the *Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018* for critically endangered flora.

## EN Endangered species

Threatened species considered to be "facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines".

Listed as endangered under section 19(1)(b) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 21 and the ministerial guidelines. Published under schedule 2 of the Wildlife Conservation

(Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 for endangered fauna or the Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018 for endangered flora.

## VU Vulnerable species

Threatened species considered to be "facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines".

Listed as vulnerable under section 19(1)(c) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 22 and the ministerial guidelines. Published under schedule 3 of the *Wildlife Conservation* (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 for vulnerable fauna or the *Wildlife Conservation* (Rare Flora) Notice 2018 for vulnerable flora.

#### **Extinct Species:**

#### EX Extinct species

Species where "there is no reasonable doubt that the last member of the species has died", and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 24 of the BC Act).

Published as presumed extinct under schedule 4 of the *Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018* for extinct fauna or the *Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018* for extinct flora.

#### EW Extinct in the wild species

Species that "is known only to survive in cultivation, in captivity or as a naturalised population well outside its past range; and it has not been recorded in its known habitat or expected habitat, at appropriate seasons, anywhere in its past range, despite surveys over a time frame appropriate to its life cycle and form", and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 25 of the BC Act).

Currently there are no threatened fauna or threatened flora species listed as extinct in the wild. If listing of a species as extinct in the wild occurs, then a schedule will be added to the applicable notice.

#### **Specially protected species:**

Listed by order of the Minister as specially protected under section 13(1) of the BC Act. Meeting one or more of the following categories: species of special conservation interest; migratory species; cetaceans; species subject to international agreement; or species otherwise in need of special protection.

Species that are listed as threatened species (critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable) or extinct species under the BC Act cannot also be listed as Specially Protected species.

## MI Migratory species

Fauna that periodically or occasionally visit Australia or an external Territory or the exclusive economic zone; or the species is subject of an international agreement that relates to the protection of migratory species and that binds the Commonwealth; and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 15 of the BC Act).

Includes birds that are subject to an agreement between the government of Australia and the governments of Japan (JAMBA), China (CAMBA) and The Republic of Korea (ROKAMBA), and fauna subject to the *Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals* (Bonn Convention), an environmental treaty under the United Nations Environment Program. Migratory species listed under the BC Act are a subset of the migratory animals, that are known to visit Western Australia, protected under the international agreements or treaties, excluding species that are listed as Threatened species.

Published as migratory birds protected under an international agreement under schedule 5 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018.

### CD Species of special conservation interest (conservation dependent fauna)

Fauna of special conservation need being species dependent on ongoing conservation intervention to prevent it becoming eligible for listing as threatened, and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 14 of the BC Act).

Published as conservation dependent fauna under schedule 6 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018.

### OS Other specially protected species

Fauna otherwise in need of special protection to ensure their conservation, and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 18 of the BC Act).

Published as other specially protected fauna under schedule 7 of the *Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018.* 

#### P Priority species:

Possibly threatened species that do not meet survey criteria, or are otherwise data deficient, are added to the Priority Fauna or Priority Flora Lists under Priorities 1, 2 or 3. These three categories are ranked in order of priority for survey and evaluation of conservation status so that consideration can be given to their declaration as threatened fauna or flora.

Species that are adequately known, are rare but not threatened, or meet criteria for near threatened, or that have been recently removed from the threatened species or other specially protected fauna lists for other than taxonomic reasons, are placed in Priority 4. These species require regular monitoring.

Assessment of Priority codes is based on the Western Australian distribution of the species, unless the distribution in WA is part of a contiguous population extending into adjacent States, as defined by the known spread of locations.

### P1 Priority One - Poorly-known species

Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less) which are potentially at risk. All occurrences are either: very small; or on lands not managed for conservation, e.g. agricultural or pastoral lands, urban areas, road and rail reserves, gravel reserves and active mineral leases; or otherwise under threat of habitat destruction or degradation. Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from one or more locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and appear to be under immediate threat from known threatening processes. Such species are in urgent need of further survey.

#### P2 Priority Two - Poorly-known species

Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less), some of which are on lands managed primarily for nature conservation, e.g. national parks, conservation parks, nature reserves and other lands with secure tenure being managed for conservation. Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from one or more locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and appear to be under threat from known threatening processes. Such species are in urgent need of further survey.

#### P3 Priority Three - Poorly-known species

Species that are known from several locations, and the species does not appear to be under imminent threat, or from few but widespread locations with either large population size or significant remaining areas of apparently suitable habitat, much of it not under imminent threat. Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from several locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and known threatening processes exist that could affect them. Such species are in need of further survey.

## P4 Priority Four - Rare, Near Threatened and other species in need of monitoring

- (a) Rare. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and that are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection but could be if present circumstances change. These species are usually represented on conservation lands.
- (b) Near Threatened. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed and that are close to qualifying for vulnerable but are not listed as Conservation Dependent.
- (c) Species that have been removed from the list of threatened species during the past five years for reasons other than taxonomy.