

## **CLEARING PERMIT**

Granted under section 51E of the Environmental Protection Act 1986

#### PERMIT DETAILS

Area Permit Number: 971 / 1

File Number: 22161

Duration of Permit: From 4 June 2006 to 4 June 2008

#### PERMIT HOLDER

HBH Consultants on behalf of WA Kaolin Holdings

#### LAND ON WHICH CLEARING IS TO BE DONE

LOT 3 ON PLAN 42679 (Ward Road, EAST ROCKINGHAM 6168)

#### **AUTHORISED ACTIVITY**

- 1. Clearing of up to 1.34 hectares of native vegetation within the area cross-hatched yellow on attached Plan 971/1.
- 2. Selective removal of grasses and shrubs less than 1.5m in height within an area of 0.7 hectares marked cross-hatched red on attached Plan 971/1.

#### **CONDITIONS**

1. Nil.

Paul Rosair

Director of Regional Operations, Department of Environment Officer delegated under Section 20 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986

4 May 2006



# **Clearing Permit Decision Report**

### 1. Application details

Permit application details

Permit application No.:

971/1

Permit type:

Area Permit

1.2. Proponent details

Proponent's name:

HBH Consultants on behalf of WA Kaolin Holdings

1.3. Property details

Property:

LOT 3 ON PLAN 42679 (Ward Road, EAST ROCKINGHAM 6168)

Local Government Area:

City Of Rockingham

Colloquial name:

1.4. Application Clearing Area (ha)

No. Trees

Method of Clearing

For the purpose of:

2.04

Cutting

Industrial

#### Site Information

## **Existing environment and information**

### 2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

#### Vegetation Description

Beard Vegetation Association 3048:

Shrublands: scrub-heath on the Swan Coastal Plain.

Shepherd et al.(2001)

Heddle Vegetation Complex -

Quindalup Complex: Coastal dune complex low closed forest and closed scrub.

Heddle et al.(1980)

#### **Clearing Description**

The proposal includes clearing of 2.04 hectares of native vegetation for the development of an industrial site. Eucalyptus gomphocephala trees will be retained where they are potential human safety hazard, and the portion of the application is in Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA) will only be grass raked or slashed to reduce weeds.

The vegetation under application consists primarily sparse of understorey Acacia saligna, A.rostellifera, Xanthorrhoea preissii and weed species, with an occassional very sparse overstorey E.gomphocephala and Melaleuca sp.

### Vegetation Condition

Degraded: Structure severely disturbed; regeneration to good condition requires intensive management (Keighery 1994)

#### Comment

The vegetation condition was obtained during a site visit on the 6th of December 2005.

### Assessment of application against clearing principles

#### (a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

#### Comments

## Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The vegetation under application is in a degraded condition, with minimal overstorey over most of the area under application, and an understorey consisting primarily of weeds. Given this, and that the lot is located within an industrial area, the area under application is not considered to comprise a high level of biological diversity.

Methodology

Site visit 6/12/05

(f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.

### Comments Proposal may be at variance to this Principle

There are a number of Conservation Category Wetlands (CCW) within the local area (5km radius of the application), the closest of which is located approximately 2km to the south. These wetlands support a high level of ecological attributes and functions and are the highest priority wetlands for protection (Water and Rivers Commission 2001). The coastal waterline is also located approximately 700m to the northwest of the area under application. No wetlands have been mapped within the area under application, however some wetland dependent vegetation was observed during the site visit.

Given the distance to the nearest CCW, the proposal is considered unlikely to impact wetlands of conservation significance.

Methodology

Water and Rivers Commission (2001)

GIS Databases:

Geomorphic Wetlands (Mgt Categories), Swan Coastal Plain - DOE 15/9/04

Hydrography, linear (hierarchy) - DOE 13/4/05

(g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

### Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The area under application consists of coastal dune formations backed by the low-lying deposits of inlets and estuaries, with chief soils of calcareous sands. Although there is a low risk of salinity and acid sulphate soils in the area under application, the soil type has a susceptibility to erosion and the removal of vegetation will further expose soils to the elements.

Due to the low relief of the area and the degraded condition of the vegetation, it is not considered likely that the removal of the vegetation under application will result in a significant increase in land degradation. Furthermore, a Part V Works Approval has been issued, which includes provisions for dust control.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

Acid Sulfate Soil Risk Map, SCP - DOE 04/11/04

Salinity Risk LM 25m - DOLA 00 Soils, Statewide - DA 11/99

Topographic Contours, Statewide - DOLA 12/09/02

(h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

#### Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The Bush Forever site and CALM managed Leda Nature Reserve, is located approximately 3.5km southeast of the area under application. There are no other conservation areas within a 5km radius of the area under application. Due to the distance to the nearest conservation area, and the degraded condition of the vegetation under application, the proposed clearing is not considered likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any nearby conservation area.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

CALM Managed Lands and Waters - CALM 1/07/05

(i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

#### Comments

### Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The area under application is not located within a Public Drinking Water Source Area (PDWSA). There is a low risk of salinity and acid sulphate soils within the area under application; therefore the clearing of vegetation as proposed is not likely to cause a deterioration of groundwater quality.

The nearest waterbody is the coastal waterline, which is located approximately 700m to the west. Given this distance, the low relief in the area, and the small size of the area under application, the clearing as proposed is not likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface water.

Methodology

GIS Databases:

Acid Sulfate Soil Risk Map, SCP - DOE 04/11/04

Salinity Risk LM 25m - DOLA 00

Topographic Contours, Statewide - DOLA 12/09/02

## 6. Glossary

Term Meaning

CALM Department of Conservation and Land Management

DAWA Department of Agriculture

DEP Department of Environmental Protection (now DoE)

DoE Department of Environment

DoIR Department of Industry and Resources

DRF Declared Rare Flora

EPP Environmental Protection Policy
GIS Geographical Information System
ha Hectare (10,000 square metres)
TEC Threatened Ecological Community
WRC Water and Rivers Commission (now DoE)