

(08) 6162 8980 PO Box 437, Leederville, WA 6903 enquiries@westenv.com.au

Department of Water and Environmental Regulation Prime House 8 Davidson Terrace Joondalup WA 6027

ATTENTION: Nelia Maritz / Meenu Vitarana CPS 9879/1 - Bussell Highway RR Clearing Permit Application

# SUBJECT: CPS 9879/1 - KARRIDALE WEST ROAD RESERVE – REVEGETATION MANAGEMENT PLAN

This Revegetation Management Plan (RMP) has been prepared to support application for CPS 9879/1. See Figure 1 for CPS 9879/1 application area. The RMP reiterates key matters and updates some aspects of information previously provided in responses dated 27 January, 5 January and 6 December to the Department's requests for further information.

#### **Revegetation Area Extent Confirmation**

With reference to Figure 2, we confirm that previous information in response dated 27 January 2023 (document A22.004-LTR-CPSD\_03\_FINAL) showing extent of revegetation area outside of Lot 411 (Lots as shown drawing 924-03-001 in Appendix 1) was erroneous. The proposed revegetation area is wholly contained within Lot 411. An updated version of Figure 2 is provided.

The proposed revegetation area is 1 ha in size, approximating 60 m wide by 170 m long and is shown in Figure 2. The proposed revegetation area improves connections for existing vegetated areas located to the north and south that will be retained within:

- the Bushby Road reserve; and
- vegetated Lots to the north
- vegetated road reserve to the north.

The area of proposed revegetation is greater than three times the proposed impact area. Revegetation will primarily target replacement of habitat for both Black Cockatoo species and Western Ringtail Possum. A corridor width of 40 m is generally recognised as being sufficient to support fauna movement and being sufficient to sustainably withstand edge effects. The proposed revegetation is 60 m wide, exceeding this recognised corridor width.

# **Revegetation Area Conservation Covenant**

The proposed revegetation will be formally protected in perpetuity under a Conservation Covenant agreement. This agreement will be implemented as a condition of the clearing permit approval and will be implemented alongside issuing of new lot titles as part of the subdivision.

#### **Revegetation Plan Approach**

Revegetation will predominately comprise Peppermint (*Agonis flexuosa*) Marri (*Corymbia calophylla*). This reflects the vegetation types within the project area, the vegetation that is proposed to be impacted, and the ability for both species to provide key habitat for both Western Ringtail Possums and Black Cockatoo species.

Peppermint to Marri planting will be done on a ratio of 3:1 reflecting the ratios observed in existing vegetation within the project area. Proposed planting density will be such that establishment of Peppermint and Marri trees will be at approximate 5 m intervals. This is proposed to provide sufficient growing room for each tree, with a target outcome of an interconnected canopy of mature trees. This equates to approximately 400 trees established. In order to achieve this target an allowance of 100% additional initial tubestock planting has been allowed to account for normal failure rate of non-irrigated tubestock plantings. This equates to planting of 800 trees.

Other locally endemic mid storey and ground cover species will also be planted to enhance habitat diversity and offer opportunities for a wider range of common species. Given the degraded nature of the impacted vegetation, the intent of the revegetation is not to establish a vegetation community that resembles a local intact vegetation community, but rather an area of native vegetation that supports local wildlife and is self-sustaining.

#### **Completion Criteria**

Completion criteria have been prepared considering the key aim of providing habitat for both Western Ringtail Possums and Black Cockatoo species and in the context of the degraded nature of the vegetation being impacted, for which this mitigation planting is being undertaken. The proposed establishment and monitoring and maintenance period is for 3 years following initial planting.

Completion criteria include species density and cover, weed impacts and grazing impacts. The vegetation will be monitored across the proposed 3-year establishment period with the trajectory of establishment assessed to determine compliance with target criteria up to the final monitoring event. The target criteria and the proposed monitoring methodology are described below in Table 1.



**Table 1 Completion Criteria** 

Criteria	Target	Monitoring Methodology
Key species abundance	Establishment of 300 Peppermint and 100 Marri trees across the 1 ha site. Density of 1 tree per 25m2	Establishment of three monitoring transects. Transects to be 5m width by 50m length (following planting rows). Abundance of key species scored. Average of 10 trees per transect required to meet target.
Overall plant abundance and cover	Establishment of 60% of tubestock from initial planting density rate.  Native species cover of 20% by end of year 3.	Monitoring of three 5m x 20m sub quadrats anywhere within the three 5m x 50m monitoring transects. Abundance of all planted species scored and % native foliar cover estimated.  Trajectory of foliar cover increase assessed year by year to determine trend.
Weed cover	Weed species and cover do not appear to be significantly limiting the establishment of native vegetation	Observation of weeds present and impact by experienced revegetation specialist across the three monitoring transects
Grazing	Grazing (by rabbits or kangaroos) is impacting <25% of vegetation present and does not appear to be significantly limiting the establishment of native vegetation	Observation of grazing evidence and impact by experienced revegetation specialist across the three monitoring transects

Monitoring is proposed to be undertaken annually for the 3-year monitoring and maintenance period. This is discussed in detail in following sections.

# **Species Selection and Quantity**

Species selected for revegetation are local endemics which are commercially available as tubestock, and which offer habitat value to local fauna species. The species selected also align with those of the Landscape Implementation Plan prepared for the Local Development Plan (See Appendix A) which is approved by the Local Government. If required shrub and groundcover species may be substituted for suitable alternatives. Tree species must be implemented as specified due to identified fauna habitat values of the particular species.

**Table 2 Revegetation Species List** 

Species	Stratum	Quantity (for 1 ha)	Comment
Agonis flexuosa	Tree	600	Key forage- Western Ringtail Possum
Corymbia calophylla	Tree	200	Key forage- Black Cockatoos
Acacia extensa	Shrub	40	
Acacia myrtifolia	Shrub	40	
Calothamnus quadrifidus	Shrub	40	
Melaleuca incana	Shrub	40	Forage-western ringtail possum
Taxandria juniperina	Shrub	40	Forage-western ringtail possum
Taxandria parviceps	Shrub	40	Forage-western ringtail possum
Meeboldina scariosa	Groundcover	160	
Ficinia nodosa	Groundcover	160	
Total		1360	

**Table 3 Implementation Plan** 

Revegetation Activity	Item	Specification	Volume	Schedule	Responsibility
Site Prep	Install fencing	Install suitable fencing to limit access by stock, rabbits and kangaroo. Steel picket and straight wire with rabbit mesh or small ringlock with folded toe at base to reduce burrowing.  Limiting site access will also reduce the risk of spreading weeds during construction or through future residents entering the Site.  Long term fencing consistent with Conservation Covenant requirements.	Approx. 500m with minimum two access gates (one north one south)	Prior to planting of tubestock.  May be undertaken post ripping and herbicide application	Fencing contractor
Site Prep	Rip planting lines	Due to loam-clay soils, stock compaction of soils and existing thatch of pasture grass rip planting lines to depth of at least 10cm. Rip with multi tined attachment. Use of combination ripping and mounding plough or furrow scraping attachment recommended.	One ha area. Planting undertaken in 5 m wide corridors	3-6 weeks following initial knock down herbicide application	Landscape contractor
	Weed control pre planting	Knockdown spray with Glyphosate across planting area pre ripping works. Recommend via boom spray unit. Two events may be required several weeks apart to achieve effective knockdown.  3-4 weeks post ripping undertake final knockdown spray with Glyphosate.	1 ha boom spray glyphosate- allow 3x events	Initial events autumn. Final event early winter.	Licensed weed contractor
Weed Control	Ongoing spot spraying	Spot spray Glyphosate around planting rows. Recommend Quik Spray reel system or similar. Allow for two events annually. Aim of weed control is to support establishment of tubestock, not targeting removal or high level of suppression of weed loads. Hand weeding around tubestock may be considered as an alternative technique. Spot spraying is proposed to be undertaken for up to 5 years to support establishment.	Allow for two events of 1ha spot spray	Winter and spring events	Licensed weed contractor
Revegetation	Initial planting	Allow minimum two weeks following final herbicide application event pre planting. Tube-stock planting via Pottiputki, small augur or similar. Species list and quantities as specified in Table 2 above.	1360 tubestock plus planting labour	Winter following rainfall (at least 2 weeks following last herbicide application).	Landscape contractor
J	Infill planting	Infill planting effort with allowance for 1/3 of original planting rate as required	453 tubestock plus planting labour	1 year after initial planting Late winter/spring	Landscape contractor

# **Contingency Measures**

Table 4 describes potential contingency actions to correct or mitigate issues arising that appear to be preventing revegetation from trending towards achieving completion criteria or for unexpected destructive events.

**Table 4 Contingency Measures** 

Completion Criteria	Potential Issue	Corrective Actions
Plant abundance and cover	Plant abundance and cover below completion criteria by year 3 or monitoring and opinion of revegetation expert that vegetation not trending towards meeting criteria	Undertake infill planting with 1/3 original rate allowance.  Further infill may be required if criteria continue to not be met.
Key species abundance	Abundance of key species Marri and Peppermint trees. below completion criteria by year 3 or monitoring and opinion of revegetation expert that vegetation not trending towards meeting criteria	Undertake infill planting with 1/3 original rate allowance.  Further infill may be required if criteria continue to not be met.
Weeds	Weed abundance identified as significantly limiting establishment of revegetation	Increase frequency of weed control events. Use monitoring to inform required frequency.
Grazing	Monitoring indicates that grazing is impacting on >25% of tubestock, or grazing is identified as a significant factor limiting revegetation success	Inspect and repair fencing. Increase frequency of fencing inspections. Undertake program of rabbit bating if issue. Consider increasing height of fencing if Kangaroos are issue.
Whole project	Catastrophic event such as site wide bushfire or flooding for extended period	Undertake post impact monitoring and identify corrective actions plan. Repeat works following this RMP may be required if progress to date has been destroyed.

### **Monitoring Plan**

Monitoring is proposed to be undertaken annually for the 3-year monitoring and maintenance period. Monitoring will be undertaken by an experienced revegetation specialist and will follow methodology as described in Table 5 below. Monitoring reports will be provided to DWER as required under clearing permit reporting conditions. Monitoring reports will provide a summary of works undertaken during monitoring period and results of assessment. Report will also provide an assessment of trajectory or compliance with completion criteria and will provide management recommendations or corrective actions to be implemented as required.

Monitoring is proposed to be undertaken in spring during peak weed growth period and allowing time for planning of infill planting in following winter if required.

**Table 5 Monitoring Plan** 

Completion Criteria	Target	Monitoring Methodology
Overall site condition	Not specified	Establish photo monitoring points at 6 locations, being each end of three 50m monitoring transects. Comments from experienced revegetation specialist on revegetation status.
Key species abundance	Establishment of 300 Peppermint and 100 Marri trees across the 1 ha site. Density of 1 tree per 25m2	Establishment of three monitoring transects. Transects to be 5m width by 50m length (following planting rows). Abundance of key species scored. Average of 10 trees per transect required to meet target.
Overall plant abundance and	Establishment of 60% of tubestock from initial planting density rate.	Monitoring of three 5m x 20m sub quadrats anywhere within the three 5m x 50m monitoring transects. Abundance of all planted species scored and % native foliar cover estimated.
cover	Native species cover of 20% by end of year 3.	Trajectory of foliar cover increase assessed year by year to determine trend.
Weed cover	Weed species and cover do not appear to be significantly limiting the establishment of native vegetation	Observation of weeds present and impact by experienced revegetation specialist across the three monitoring transects
Grazing	Grazing (by rabbits or kangaroos) is impacting <25% of vegetation present and does not appear to be significantly limiting the establishment of native vegetation	Observation of grazing evidence and impact by experienced revegetation specialist across the three monitoring transects

Yours sincerely, Western Environmental Approvals Pty Ltd

DALE NEWSOME

**Director and Senior Principal** 

Figure 1: Vegetation Impact

Legend 7 14 21 28 m Biological Survey West Karridale Roadside Clearing Permit Area Native vegetation clearing CadastreAddressLGATE\_002 1:1,000 A3 COLOUR Blokk Property Australia **Vegetation Types** Marri over weedy grassland GDA2020 / MGA zone 50 A22.004 Peppermint over weedy grassland LANDGATE AERIAL IMAGERY 08/2021 AC/JB 24/1/2023 native



Figure 2: Revegetation Area

	J		
0 10 20 N	30 40 m	PROJECT/REPORT NAME Biological Survey West Karridale Ro	adside
scale 1:1,500	A3 COLOUR	Blokk Property Au	stralia
COORDINATE REFERENCE SYSTEM GDA2020 / MGA zone 50	)	PROJECT NUMBER A22.004	version O
DATA SOURCE  LANDGATE AERIAL IMAG	SERY 08/2021	AC / JB	DATE 10/2/2023

Leger	iu
	Clearing Permit Area
	Revegetation Area
	Native vegetation clearing

A Original issue AC JB 10/2/2	te	Date	pproved		Drawn	Description	No
NOTES:	2023	10/2/2	JB	T	AC	Original issue	Α
NOTES:				T			
NOTES:				T			
NOTES:				T			
NOTES:				T			
NOTES:				T			
NOTES:				T			
				_		TES:	NO
Cadastral boundary (LGATE-002)			n	-01	(I GATE-	dastral boundary	Car
			,	_	(=====	,	



#### **SCHEDULE** Statement of Limitation

# **Statement of Limitations**

# **Copyright Statement**

© Western Environmental Approvals Pty Ltd (WEPL). All rights reserved. No part of this work may be produced in any material form or communicated by any means without the permission of the copyright owner. The unauthorised copying or reproduction of this report or any of its contents is prohibited.

## **Scope of Services**

This environmental report ("this report") has been prepared for the sole benefit and exclusive use of the Client for the purpose for which it was prepared in accordance with the agreement between the Client and WEPL ("the Agreement"). However, in addressing the requirements of the Contaminated Sites Act 2003, an Accredited Contaminated Sites Auditor may be engaged by the Client to undertake review of this report, prior to its submission to the DWER. The report shall be made available and can be relied upon for the purposes of the Contaminated Sites Act.

WEPL disclaims any and all liability with respect to any use of or reliance upon this report for any other purpose whatsoever.

In particular, it should be noted that this report is based on a scope of services defined by the Client, and is limited by budgetary and time constraints, the information supplied by the Client (and its agents) and, in some circumstances, access and/or site disturbance constraints.

The scope of services did not include any assessment of the title to or ownership of the properties, buildings and structures referred to in this report, or the application or interpretation of laws in the jurisdiction in which those properties, buildings and structures are located.

#### **Reliance on Data**

In preparing this report, WEPL has relied on data, surveys, analyses, designs, plans and other information provided by the Client (or its agents), other individuals and organisations ("the data").

Except as otherwise stated in this report, WEPL has not verified the accuracy or completeness of the data. WEPL does not represent or warrant that the data is true or accurate, and disclaims any and all responsibility or liability with respect to the use of the data.

To the extent that the statements, opinions, facts, information, conclusions and/or recommendations in this report ("conclusions") are based in whole or part on the data, those conclusions are contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of the data.



WEPL does not accept any responsibility or liability for any incorrect or inaccurate conclusions should any data be incorrect, inaccurate or incomplete or have been concealed, withheld, misrepresented or otherwise not fully disclosed to WEPL.

The conclusions must also be considered in light of the agreed scope of services (including any constraints or limitation therein) and the methods used to carry out those services, both of which are as stated or referred to in this report.

#### **Environmental Conclusions**

In accordance with the scope of services, WEPL has conducted environmental field monitoring and/or testing in the preparation of this report. The nature and extent of monitoring and/or testing conducted is described in this report.

On all sites, varying degrees of non-uniformity of vertical and horizontal conditions in media (soil, water, air, waste or other media as described in the report) are encountered. Hence no monitoring, common testing or sampling technique can eliminate the possibility that monitoring or testing results/samples are not totally representative of media conditions encountered. The conclusions are based on the data and the environmental field monitoring and/or testing actually undertaken, and are therefore merely indicative of the environmental condition of the site at the time of preparing this report, including the presence or otherwise of contaminants or emissions. It should be recognised that site conditions, including the extent and concentration of contaminants, can change.

Within the limitations imposed by the scope of services, the monitoring, testing, sampling and preparation of this report have been undertaken and performed in a professional manner, in accordance with generally accepted practices and using a degree of skill and care ordinarily exercised by reputable environmental consultants under similar circumstances. To the maximum extent permitted by law, no other warranty, express or implied, is made.

#### **Report for Benefit of Client**

This report is confidential. Neither the whole nor any part of this report, or any copy or extract thereof, may be disclosed or otherwise made available to any third party without the prior written approval of WEPL.

WEPL accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever in respect of any use of or reliance upon this report, by any person or organisation who is not a party to the Agreement. Reliance on this report by any person who is not a party to the Agreement is expressly prohibited. Any representation in this report is made only to the parties to the Agreement.

WEPL assumes no responsibility and disclaims any and all liability to any other person or organisation for or in relation to any matter dealt with or conclusions expressed in this report, or for any loss or damage suffered by any other person or organisation arising from matters dealt with or conclusions expressed in this report (including without limitation matters arising from any negligent act or omission of WEPL or for any loss or damage suffered by any other party using or relying on the matters dealt with or conclusions expressed in this report, even if WEPL has been advised of the possibility of such use or reliance).

Other parties should not rely on this report or the accuracy or completeness of any conclusions contained in this report, and should make their own enquiries and obtain independent advice in relation to such matters.

If an Auditor is engaged by the Client to undertake review of this report, it shall be made available subject to the terms and conditions of the agreement between the Client and WEPL and the caveats in this statement.

#### **Other Limitations**

This report is intended to be read in its entirety, and sections or parts of this report should therefore not be read and relied on out of context.

WEPL will not be liable to update or revise this report to take into account any events or circumstances or facts becoming apparent after the date of this report.