

Reconnaissance Flora and Vegetation Assessment

Part Lot 3000 on Deposited Plan 44066 Project No: EP15-020(17)





Document Control

Doc name:	Reconnaissance Flora and Vegetation Assessment Part Lot 3000 on Deposited Plan 44066				
Doc no.:	EP15-020(17)025 RAW				
Version	Date	Author		Reviewer	
1	January 2022	Rachel Weber	RAW	Tom Atkinson	ТАА
	Submitted for client review				

© 2022 Emerge Associates All Rights Reserved. Copyright in the whole and every part of this document belongs to Emerge Associates and may not be used, sold, transferred, copied or reproduced in whole or in part in any manner or form or in or on any media to any person without the prior written consent of Emerge Associates.

Executive Summary

Peet Limited engaged Emerge Associates to conduct a reconnaissance flora and vegetation assessment within part of Lot 3000 on deposited plan 44066 in Burns Beach (hereafter referred to as the 'site').

As part of the assessment a desktop review of relevant background information was completed and a field survey was undertaken on 13 December 2021. During the field survey an assessment was made on the type, condition and values of vegetation across the site.

Outcomes of the survey include the following:

- A total of 51 native and 17 non-native (weed) species were recorded in the site.
- No threatened or priority flora species were recorded within the site.
- Three priority flora species may occur in the site:
 - Conostylis bracteata (P3)
 - Conostylis pauciflora subsp. euryrhipis (P4)
 - *Conostylis pauciflora* subsp. *pauciflora* (P4).
- Further survey during August to September/October would be required to confirm the presence or absence of the above priority flora species.
- The vegetation within the site was classified into the following four plant communities that are present in 'very good', 'good', 'degraded' and 'completely degraded' condition.
 - Plant community ArSgXp comprises intact native vegetation in the site and extends over 4.05 ha (79% of the site). This vegetation represents 'floristic community type' (FCT) 24 'northern Spearwood shrublands and woodlands'.
 - Plant community EgMsLm comprises intact native vegetation and extends over 0.33 ha (6% of the site). This vegetation represents FCT 29b 'acacia shrublands on taller dunes, southern Swan Coastal Plain'.
 - Plant community Ar comprises established revegetation and extends over 0.12 ha (2% of the site).
 - The remainder of the site supports recent revegetation (0.28 ha/5%) and bare ground (0.35 ha/7%).
- The following threatened and priority ecological communities (TEC and PEC) occur within the site:
 - The tuart (*Eucalyptus gomphocephala*) woodlands and forests of the Swan Coastal Plain TEC/PEC extends over 1.11 ha.
 - The SCP24 northern Spearwood shrublands and woodlands PEC (P3) extends over 4.04 ha.
 - The SCP29b acacia shrublands on taller dunes, southern Swan Coastal Plain PEC (P3) extends over 0.33 ha.



This page has been left blank intentionally.



Table of Contents

1	Introduction			2
	1.1	Project	t background	2
	1.2	Purpos	e and scope of work	2
2	Envir	onmenta	al Context	3
	21	Climate	۵	3
	2.1	Geomo	arnhology and soils	
	2.2	Topogr	ranhy	
	2.5	Hydrol	apiry	3 ۸
	2.4	Region	al vegetation	44 ۸
	2.5	Histori	cal land use	44 ۸
	2.0	Conser	vation significant values	44
	2.7	2 7 1	Threatened and priority flora	
		2.7.1	Threatened and priority ocological communities	44
		2.7.2	Leastly and regionally significant flore and vegetation	
	20	2.7.5 Woods	Locally and regionally significant hora and vegetation	 ح
	2.0	Ruch E	and pests	۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰
	2.9	Eusii F	orever	0 c
	2.10	ECOIOg		ס ר
	2.11	Previou	us surveys	
3	Meth	nods		8
	3.1	Databa	ase searches	8
	3.2	Field su	urvey	8
		3.2.1	Flora and vegetation	8
		3.2.2	Targeted searches	9
		3.2.3	Vegetation condition	9
	3.3	Mappi	ng and analysis	9
		3.3.1	Conservation significant flora and communities	9
		3.3.2	Plant community identification and description	
		3.3.3	Floristic community type assignment	
		3.3.4	Threatened and ecological communities	
	3.4	Survey	limitations	
4	Resu	lts		12
	4.1	Genera	al site conditions	
	4.2	Flora		
		4.2.1	Desktop assessment	
		4.2.2	Species inventory	
		4.2.3	Threatened and priority flora	
		4.2.4	Locally and regionally significant flora	
		4.2.5	Declared pests	
	4.3	Vegeta	tion	
	-	4.3.1	Desktop assessment	
		4.3.2	Plant communities	
		4.3.3	Vegetation condition	
		4.3.4	Floristic community types	
		4.3.5	Threatened and priority ecological communities	
5	Discu	ission		21
6	Conc	lusions		22

7	Refere	ences	.23
			-
	7.1	General references	. 23
	7.2	Online references	. 25

List of Tables

Table 1: Vegetation condition scale applied during the field assessment	9
Table 2: Likelihood of occurrence assessment categories and definitions	
Table 3: Evaluation of survey methodology against standard constraints outlined in EPA (2016)	
Table 4: Conservation significant flora species considered to have potential to occur in the site bas	ed on known
habitat preferences	
Table 5: Description and extent of plant communities identified within the site	
Table 6: Extent of vegetation condition categories within the site	
Table 7: Plant community and likely FCT represented within the site for each sample	
Table 8: Assessment of site conditions against the tuart (Eucalyptus gomphocephala) woodlands a	nd forests of
the Swan Coastal Plain TEC criteria (adopted from (DoEE 2019))	

List of Plates

Plate 1: Plant community ArSgXp in 'very good' condition	15
Plate 2: Plant community EgMsLm in 'very good' condition	16
Plate 3: Plant community Ar in 'good' condition	16
Plate 4: Plant community revegetation (no condition category applied)	17
Plate 5: Bare ground in 'completely degraded' condition (right)	17

Figures

Figure 1: Site Location Figure 2: Plant Communities Figure 3: Vegetation Condition Figure 4: Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities

Appendices

Appendix A

Additional Information

Appendix B

Conservation Significant Flora Species and likelihood of Occurrence Assessment

Appendix C

Species List

Appendix D

Conservation Significant Communities and Likelihood of Occurrence Assessment

Appendix E



Sample Data

Appendix F

Cluster Dendrograms

Page vi

Abbreviation Tables

Prepared for Peet Limited

Table A1: Abbreviations – Organisations

Organisations	
EPA	Environmental Protection Authority
DBCA	Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions
WALGA	Western Australia Local Government Association

Table A2: Abbreviations – General terms

General terms	
FCT	Floristic community type
P1	Priority 1
P2	Priority 2
Р3	Priority 3
P4	Priority 4
Р5	Priority 5
PEC	Priority ecological community
Т	Threatened
TEC	Threatened ecological communities

Table A3: Abbreviations – Legislation

Legislation	
BAM Act	Biosecurity and Agriculture Management Act 2007
EPBC Act	Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999

Table A4: Abbreviations – Units of measurement

Units of measurement		
cm	Centimetre	
ha	Hectare	
m	Metre	
m AHD	m in relation to the Australian height datum	
mm	Millimetre	



1 Introduction

1.1 Project background

Peet Limited (Peet) are seeking to commence development of stages 16 and 17 of Burns Beach Estate, which will require the construction of batters into part of Lot 3000 on deposited plan 44066 in Burns Beach (hereafter referred to as the 'site').

Emerge Associates (Emerge) were engaged by Peet to characterise the flora and vegetation values within the site. The site is located approximately 29 kilometres (km) north-west of the Perth Central Business District within the City of Joondalup.

The site is approximately 5.13 hectares (ha) in size and is bounded by native vegetation to all sides and Burleigh Drive to the south-east. The location and extent of the site is shown in **Figure 1**.

1.2 Purpose and scope of work

The scope of work was specifically to undertake a flora and vegetation assessment within the site to the standard required of a reconnaissance survey with reference to the Environmental Protection Authority's (EPA's) technical guidance (EPA 2016).

As part of this scope of work, the following tasks were undertaken:

- Desktop review of relevant background information pertaining to the site and surrounds, including database searches for threatened flora species and ecological communities.
- A field survey to record a representative list of flora species and assess vegetation type and condition.
- Mapping of plant communities, vegetation condition and conservation significant flora and vegetation.
- Identification of potential habitat for conservation significant flora and vegetation and an assessment of likelihood of occurrence.
- Documentation of the desktop assessment, methodology, field survey and results into a report.



2 Environmental Context

2.1 Climate

Climate influences the types of vegetation that grow in a region and the life cycles of the flora present. It is therefore critical for a flora and vegetation survey to respond appropriately to climatic conditions to ensure that surveys are conducted during times when flora species are easiest to detect and identify.

The south-west of Western Australia experiences a Mediterranean climate of hot dry summers and cool wet winters. In Mediterranean type climates some flora species will typically spend part of their lifecycle as either underground storage organs or as seed. This is an adaptation to unfavourable environmental conditions such as excessive heat and drought that occur over the summer period. These species, known as 'geophytes' or 'annuals', tend to re-emerge during winter when favourable conditions return and are most visible during spring, which is the flowering period for a majority of plant species. Therefore, spring is the optimal time to complete flora and vegetation surveys in the south-west of WA.

An average of 612.8 millimetres (mm) of rainfall is recorded annually from the Tamala Park weather station (no. 9264), which is the closest weather station, located approximately 1 km from the site. The majority of this rainfall is received between the months of May and September. Mean maximum temperatures at the Perth Metro weather station (no. 9225), which is the nearest temperature recording station, range from 18.5°C in July to 31.5°C in February, while mean minimum temperatures range from 8.0°C in July and August to 18.3°C in February (BoM 2022).

2.2 Geomorphology and soils

Landform and soils influence vegetation types at regional and local scales. The site occurs on the Swan Coastal Plain, which is the geomorphic unit that characterises much of the Perth metropolitan area. The western side of the Swan Coastal Plain comprises three dune systems that run roughly parallel to the Indian Ocean coastline (Seddon 2004). These dune systems, referred to as Quindalup, Spearwood and Bassendean associations, represent a succession of coastal deposition that has occurred since the late Quaternary period (approximately two million years ago) (Kendrick *et al.* 1991) and, as a result, they contain soils at different stages of leaching and formation. The site lies within the Quindalup dunes, which comprise calcareous sands and occur as beach ridges and parabolic dunes (Churchward and McArthur 1980). The spearwood dunes are mapped as occurring approximately 120 m east of the eastern boundary of the site (Gozzard 2011).

The site is not known to contain any restricted landforms or unique geological features.

2.3 Topography

The elevation of the site ranges from 30 m in relation to the Australian height datum (mAHD) on the eastern side of the site to 35 mAHD in the central portion and to 10 mAHD on the western side of the site (DoW 2008).

2.4 Hydrology and wetlands

Wetlands are areas of seasonally, intermittently or permanently waterlogged land such as poorly drained soils, ponds, billabongs, lakes, swamps, tidal flats, estuaries, rivers and their tributaries (Wetlands Advisory Committee 1977). Wetlands can be recognised by the presence of vegetation associated with waterlogging or the presence of hydric soils such as peat, peaty sand or carbonate mud (Hill *et al.* 1996).

Wetlands of national or international significance may be afforded special protection under Commonwealth or international agreements. The following lists of important wetlands were checked as part of this assessment:

- Ramsar List of Wetlands of International Importance (DBCA 2017)
- A Directory of Important Wetlands in Australia (DBCA 2018)

No Ramsar or listed 'important wetlands' are located within or near the site.

No other mapped hydrological features occur within the site (DWER 2018; DBCA 2021a).

2.5 Regional vegetation

Native vegetation is described and mapped at different scales in order to illustrate patterns in its distribution. At a continental scale the *Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia* (IBRA) divides Australia into floristic subregions (Environment Australia 2000). The site is contained within the Swan Coastal Plain IBRA region and within the 'SWA02' or Perth subregion.

Vegetation mapping by Heddle *et al.* (1980) indicates the site lies within the 'Quindalup complex' which is described as supporting two alliances: 'the strand and fore dune alliance and the mobile and stable dune alliance. Local variations include the low closed forest of *Melaleuca lanceolata - Callitris preissii* and the closed scrub of *Acacia rostellifera*' (Heddle *et al.* 1980).

The Quindalup complex was determined to have 60.49% of its pre-European extent remaining, of which 9.84% is protected for conservation purposes (Government of Western Australia 2019).

2.6 Historical land use

Review of historical images available from 1965 onwards shows that the majority of the site was largely undisturbed excepting some minor tracks. Imagery from August 2019 shows vegetation clearing in the western portion for construction of the footpath.

2.7 Conservation significant values

2.7.1 Threatened and priority flora

Certain flora taxa that are considered to be rare or under threat warrant special protection under Commonwealth and/or State legislation. At a Commonwealth level, flora taxa may be listed as 'threatened' under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act). Threatened flora species listed under the EPBC Act are assigned a conservation status according to

attributes such as population size and geographic distribution. Any action likely to have a significant impact on a taxon listed under the EPBC Act requires Ministerial approval.

In Western Australia flora species may also be classed as 'threatened' under *the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act). Similarly, it is an offence to 'take' or 'disturb' threatened flora listed under the BC Act without Ministerial approval.

Flora species that do not currently meet the criteria for listing as threatened but are potentially rare or threatened may be added to the DBCA's *Priority Flora List*. These species are classified into 'priority' levels based on threat. Whilst priority species are not under direct statutory protection, they are considered during State approval processes.

Further information on threatened and priority species and their categories is provided in **Appendix A**. An assessment of the likelihood of occurrence of threatened and priority flora within the site was undertaken (refer to **Sections 3.1** and **4.2.1**).

2.7.2 Threatened and priority ecological communities

An ecological community is a naturally occurring group of native plants, animals and other organisms that are interacting in a unique habitat. An ecological community's structure, composition and distribution are influenced by environmental factors such as soil type, position in the landscape, altitude, climate and water availability (DAWE 2021b). 'Threatened ecological communities' (TECs) are ecological communities that are recognised as rare or under threat and therefore warrant special protection.

Selected TECs are afforded statutory protection at a Commonwealth level under the EPBC Act. Similar to flora species, TECs listed under the EPBC Act are assigned a conservation status. Any action likely to have a significant impact on a community listed under the EPBC Act requires Ministerial approval.

TECs are also listed within Western Australia under the BC Act and the BC Regulations. Their significance is also acknowledged through other state environmental approval processes such as 'environmental impact assessment' pursuant to Part IV of *the Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) and the *Environmental Protection* (Clearing of Native Vegetation) *Regulations 2004*.

An ecological community that is under consideration for listing as a TEC in Western Australia but does not yet meet survey criteria or has not been adequately defined may be listed as a 'priority ecological community' (PEC). Listing as a PEC is similarly considered during State approval processes.

Further information on categories of TECs and PECs is provided in **Appendix A**. An assessment of the likelihood of occurrence of threatened and priority flora within the site was undertaken (refer to **Sections 3.1** and **4.3.1**).

2.7.3 Locally and regionally significant flora and vegetation

Flora and vegetation may be significant irrespective of protections under policy or legislation.

Three key reasons that flora or vegetation within the site may be significant are listed below:

• The vegetation provides or contributes to an ecological linkage.

emerge

- The vegetation has potential value as habitat for threatened or priority fauna species including, in particular, black cockatoos listed as threatened under the EPBC Act and BC Act.
- Flora species listed in *Bush Forever* 'significant flora of the Quindalup dunes in the Perth metropolitan region' (Government of WA 2000b).

2.8 Weeds and pests

The term 'weed' can refer to any plant that requires some form of action to reduce its effect on the economy, the environment, human health and amenity. Many non-native flora species and some native species are considered to be weeds. The likelihood of weeds occurring is higher in areas disturbed areas, especially areas that have been agricultural or urban landuse.

A particularly invasive or detrimental weed species may be listed as a 'declared pest' pursuant to *Western Australia's Biosecurity and Agriculture Management Act 2007* (BAM Act), indicating that it warrants special management to limit its spread.

The Commonwealth government has further compiled a list of 32 *Weeds of National Significance* (WoNS) (DAWE 2021c). Whilst the WoNS list is non-statutory, many WoNS are also listed under the BAM Act. Further information on weeds and declared pests is provided in **Appendix A**.

2.9 Bush Forever

The Government of Western Australia's *Bush Forever* policy is a strategic plan for conserving regionally significant bushland within the Swan Coastal Plain portion of the Perth Metropolitan Region. The objective of *Bush Forever* is to protect comprehensive representations of all original ecological communities by targeting a minimum of 10% of each vegetation complex for protection (Government of WA 2000a). *Bush Forever* sites are representative of regional ecosystems and habitat and have a key role in the conservation of Perth's biodiversity.

The site lies within Bush Forever Site 322 (Burns Beach Bushland), which extends beyond the site to the north, east, west and south.

2.10 Ecological linkages

Ecological linkages are linear landscape elements that allow the movement of fauna, flora and genetic material between areas of remnant habitat. This exchange of genetic material between vegetation remnants improves the viability of those remnants by allowing greater access to breeding partners and food sources, refuge from disturbances such as fire and maintenance of genetic diversity of plant communities and populations. Ecological linkages are ideally continuous or near-continuous as the more fractured a linkage is, the less ease flora and fauna have in moving within the corridor (Alan Tingay and Associates 1998).

The Perth Biodiversity Project, supported by the Western Australia Local Government Association (WALGA), have identified and mapped regional ecological linkages within the Perth Metropolitan Region (WALGA and PBP 2004). This study was extended beyond the Perth Metropolitan Region through the South West Biodiversity Project, resulting in the identification and mapping of the South West regional ecological linkages (Molloy *et al.* 2009).

The eastern portion of the site lies within ecological linkage number 1, which extends to the north and south.

Review of aerial imagery indicates that the vegetation within the site is connected to extensive areas of native vegetation within the local area.

2.11 Previous surveys

A flora and vegetation survey of the full extent of the Burns Beach Estate, including Lot 3000, was undertaken in 1999 (Alan Tingay & Associates 1999). No subsequent surveys are known to have been undertaken within the site.



3 Methods

3.1 Database searches

A search was conducted for threatened and priority flora that may occur or have been recorded within a 10 km radius of the site using the *Protected Matters Search Tool* (DAWE 2021a) and *NatureMap* (DBCA 2021b). A search was also conducted of DBCA's threatened and priority flora database using a 30 km radius, as recommended by DBCA (reference no. 12-1221FL).

A search was also conducted for TECs and PECs that may occur or have been recorded within a 10 km radius of the site using the *Protected Matters Search Tool* (DAWE 2022), the *weed and native flora dataset* (Keighery *et al.* 2012) and DBCA's threatened and priority ecological communities' databases (reference no. 07_1221EC).

Prior to undertaking the field survey, information on the habitat preferences of threatened and priority flora species and communities identified from database searches was reviewed. This was compared to existing environmental information available for the site, such as geomorphology, soils, regional vegetation and historic land use, to identify species and communities for which habitat may occur in the site.

3.2 Field survey

Two botanists from Emerge visited the site on 13 December 2021 to conduct the field survey.

3.2.1 Flora and vegetation

The site was traversed on foot and the composition and condition of vegetation was recorded.

The site was traversed on foot and the composition and condition of vegetation was recorded. Detailed sampling of the vegetation was undertaken using a non-permanent relevés. The relevés were completed over an approximate 10 x 10 m area without the use of physical markers. The position of each relevé was recorded with a hand-held GPS unit.

The data recorded within each sample included:

- site details (site name, site number, observers, date, location)
- environmental information (slope, aspect, bare-ground, rock outcropping soil type and colour class, litter layer, topographical position, time since last fire event)
- biological information (vegetation structure and condition, degree of disturbance and species present).

Additional plant taxa not observed within samples were recorded opportunistically as the botanist traversed the site. Photographs were taken throughout the field visit to show particular site conditions.

All plant specimens collected during the field survey were dried, pressed and then named in accordance with requirements of the Western Australian Herbarium (2022). Identification of specimens occurred through comparison with named material and through the use of taxonomic

keys. Flora species not native to Western Australia are denoted by an asterisk ('*') in text and raw data.

3.2.2 Targeted searches

The suitability of habitat within the site for conservation significant flora and communities identified in the database searches was assessed (refer **Section 3.1**). Areas of suitable habitat were traversed to searched for conservation significant species, as required.

3.2.3 Vegetation condition

Vegetation condition was assigned at each sample and changes in vegetation condition were also noted and mapped across the site. The condition of the vegetation was assessed using the Keighery (1994) scale (**Table 1**).

Table 1: Vegetation condition scale	applied durii	ng the field	l assessment
-------------------------------------	---------------	--------------	--------------

Condition category	Definition (Keighery 1994)
Pristine	Pristine or nearly so, no obvious signs of disturbance.
Excellent	Vegetation structure intact, disturbance affecting individual species and weeds are non-aggressive species.
Very good	Vegetation structure altered obvious signs of disturbance. For example, disturbance to vegetation structure caused by repeated fires, the presence of some more aggressive weeds, dieback, logging and grazing.
Good	Vegetation structure significantly altered by very obvious signs of multiple disturbances. Retains basic vegetation structure or ability to regenerate it. For example, disturbance to vegetation structure caused by very frequent fires, the presence of some very aggressive weeds at high density, partial clearing, dieback and grazing.
Degraded	Basic vegetation structure severely impacted by disturbance. Scope for regeneration but not to a state approaching good condition without intensive management. For example, disturbance to vegetation structure caused by very frequent fires, the presence of very aggressive weeds, partial clearing, dieback and grazing.
Completely degraded	The structure of the vegetation is no longer intact and the area is completely or almost completely without native species. These areas are often described as 'parkland cleared' with the flora comprising weed or crop species with isolated native trees or shrubs.

3.3 Mapping and analysis

3.3.1 Conservation significant flora and communities

Based on the information recorded during the field survey, an assessment of the likelihood of occurrence of threatened and priority flora species and communities within the site was undertaken using the categories outlined in **Table 2**.

Likelihood	Definition
Recorded	The species was recorded during the current field survey.
Likely	The site contains suitable habitat for the species and it is likely the species may occur based on presence of a recent historical record within or close to the site.
Possible	The site contains suitable habitat for the species but there is no other information to suggest that the species may occur within or close to the site.
Unlikely	The site does not contain suitable habitat for the species or the site contains suitable habitat for the species within which thorough targeted searches were completed and conclusion has been made that the species is unlikely to be present.

Table 2: Likelihood of occurrence assessment categories and definitions

3.3.2 Plant community identification and description

The plant communities within the site were identified from the sample data collected during the field survey. The vegetation was described according to the dominant species present using the structural formation descriptions of the *National Vegetation Inventory System* (NVIS) (NVIS Technical Working Group 2017). The identified plant communities were mapped on aerial photography from the sample locations and boundaries were interpreted from aerial photography and notes taken in the field. Vegetation condition was mapped on aerial photography based on the samples and notes recorded during the field survey to define areas with differing condition.

3.3.3 Floristic community type assignment

The identified plant communities were then compared to the regional 'floristic community type' (FCT) dataset *A floristic survey of the southern Swan Coastal Plain* by Gibson *et al.* (1994). The sample data (presence/absence) was reconciled with Gibson *et al.* (1994) by standardising the names of taxa with those used in the earlier study. This was necessary due to changes in nomenclature in the intervening period. Taxa that were only identified to genus level were excluded, while some infraspecies that have been identified since 1994 were reduced to species level. The combined dataset was then imported into the statistical analysis package PRIMER v6 (Clarke and Gorley 2006).

As data from a localised survey is often spatially correlated, data for each sample was compared to Gibson *et al.* (1994) separately. This removed the influence of spatial correlation when assigning an FCT. The analysis included the compilation of a resemblance matrix using the Bray-Curtis distance measure which provided the percentage similarity between all pairs of samples. Subsequently, a cluster analysis was undertaken using the resemblance matrix and hierarchical agglomerative clustering, to produce a dendrogram.

Where the sample tended to cluster with a grouping of different FCTs, samples were assessed separately to differentiate between FCTs. Ultimately the cluster analysis, as well as contextual information relating to the soils, landforms and known locations of FCTs within the region, was considered in the final determination of an FCT for vegetation within the site.FCT analysis was not undertaken for samples located within disturbed vegetation with low native species diversity as the vegetation was considered unlikely to currently represent an FCT.

3.3.4 Threatened and ecological communities

Areas of native vegetation potentially representing a TEC were assessed against key diagnostic characteristics and, if available, size and/or vegetation condition thresholds.

3.4 Survey limitations

It is important to note the specific constraints imposed on surveys and the degree to which these may have limited survey outcomes. An evaluation of the survey methodology against standard constraints outlined in the EPA document *Technical Guidance – Flora and Vegetation Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment* (EPA 2016) is provided in **Table 3**.

Constraint	Degree of limitation	Details		
Availability of contextual information	No limitation	The broad scale contextual information described in Section 2 is adequate to place the site and vegetation in context.		
	No limitation	Regarding assignment of FCTs, the authoritative Gibson <i>et al.</i> (1994) dataset was derived from a necessarily limited sample of vegetation from largely publicly owned land which is now more than 20 years out of date. Consequently, it is unknown to what degree official FCTs are appropriate reference to biodiverse vegetation across the Swan Coastal Plain. Furthermore, Gibson <i>et al.</i> (1994) collected data in the spring main flowering period and in many cases sampled plots multiple times to provide a complete species list. This reconnaissance survey only sampled the site once and outside of the main flowering period. However, FCT analysis was conclusive, with samples showing high (\geq 35%) similarity to Gibson <i>et al.</i> (1994) sites and so the data was considered to be sufficient to assign FCTs.		
Experience level of personnel	No limitation	This flora and vegetation assessment was undertaken by qualified botanists with over ten and 20 years of botanical experience in Western Australia. Technical review was undertaken by a senior environmental consultant with 20 years' experience in environmental science in Western Australia.		
Suitability of timing	Limitation	The survey was conducted in December and thus outside of the main flowering season. Therefore, there is a possibility that some priority flora species that require reproductive features for identification were not flowering at the time of the survey. The survey timing was acceptable for a reconnaissance level survey but additional surveys would be required to determine whether some priority flora species occur (as listed in Section 4.2.3). No other surveys are considered to be required.		
Temporal coverage	Limitation	Detailed flora and vegetation assessments can require multiple visits, at different times of year, and over a period of a number of years, to enable observation of all species present. The site was sampled once and outside of the main flowering period. However, due to the small size of the site a sufficient inventory of species was collected for the reconnaissance level of the survey.		
Spatial	No limitation	Site coverage was comprehensive (track logged).		
coverage and access	No limitation	All parts of the site could be accessed as required.		
Influence of disturbance	No limitation	Historical ground disturbance was evident in parts of the site and the disturbance history of the site was considered when undertaking field sampling.		
Adequacy of resources	No limitation	All resources required to perform the survey were available.		

, apic 3, evaluation of salvev methodology addingt standard constraints outimed in er / (2010)	Table 3: Evaluation of surve	v methodoloav against standard	constraints outlined in EPA	(2016)
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------------	--------



4 Results

4.1 General site conditions

The site comprises undulating dunes on deep white to grey/brown sands. Native vegetation occurs across most of the site, with some cleared sand tracks in the central and western portions. Mature shrubland revegetation occurs in a small area in the south-eastern portion of the site and more recent revegetation adjacent to a footpath occurs in the western portion. The native vegetation in the site is contiguous with extensive areas of native vegetation of a similar type and quality to the north, east and west.

4.2 Flora

4.2.1 Desktop assessment

The database search results identified a total of 13 threatened and 37 priority flora species occurring or potentially occurring within a 10-30 km radius of the site. Information on these species including their habitat preferences and flowering period is provided in **Appendix B**.

Based on background information available for the site, suitable habitat was considered to potentially occur within the site for two threatened flora species and 18 priority flora species as shown in **Table 4**.

Species	Level of significance		Life	Habitat	Flowering period
	State	EPBC Act	strategy		
Eucalyptus argutifolia	VU	VU	Ρ	Shallow soils over limestone. Slopes or gullies of limestone ridges, outcrops	Mar-Apr
Melaleuca sp. Wanneroo (G.J. Keighery 16705)	EN	EN	Р	Over sand on limestone slopes	Nov-Apr
Acacia benthamii	P2	-	Ρ	Sand, typically on limestone breakaways	Aug-Sept
Austrostipa mundula	P3	-	Р	Grey sand over limestone.	Sept-Nov
Baeckea sp. Limestone (N. Gibson & M.N. Lyons 1425)	P1	-	Ρ	Grey yellow sand over limestone.	Sep-Dec
Conostylis bracteata	Р3	-	Ρ	Sand, limestone. Consolidated sand dunes	Aug-Sep
Conostylis pauciflora subsp. euryrhipis	P4	-	Р	White, grey, yellow sand on coastal consolidated dunes.	Aug-Oct
Conostylis pauciflora subsp. pauciflora	P4	-	Р	Grey sand, limestone. Hillslopes, consolidated dunes.	Aug-Oct

Table 4: Conservation significant flora species considered to have potential to occur in the site based on known habitat preferences

Table 4: Conservation significant flora species considered to have potential to occur in the site based on known
habitat preferences (continued)

Species	Level of significance		Life	Habitat	Flowering period
	State	EPBC Act	strategy		
Grevillea sp. Ocean Reef (D. Pike Joon 4)	P1	-	Р	Dry, bare, light yellow-brown/grey sand. Sand dunes.	Nov
Hibbertia leptotheca	Р3	-	Р	Brown to white sand with limestone.	Aug-Oct
Jacksonia sericea	P4	-	Р	Calcareous and sandy soils on Swan Coastal Plain	Dec-Feb
Lasiopetalum membranaceum	Р3	-	Р	Sand over limestone	Sep-Dec
Lepidium pseudotasmanicum	P4	-	Р	Loam, sand	Feb or Dec
Leucopogon maritimus	P1	-	Р	Sand dunes and lower heath. White, grey and yellow sand.	Mar
Leucopogon sp. Yanchep (M. Hislop 1986)	Р3	-	Ρ	Light grey-yellow sand, brown Ioam, limestone, laterite, granite. Coastal plain, breakaways, valley slopes, low hills	Apr-Jun or Sep
Pimelea calcicola	P3	-	Р	Sand, limestone on coastal ridges.	Sep-Nov
Poranthera moorokatta	P2	-	A	Sandy or clay soils. Dampland or low sandy dunes in banksia woodland.	Oct or Feb
Sarcozona bicarinata	P3	-	Р	White sand.	Aug
Schoenus griffinianus	P4	-	Р	White sand.	Sep-Oct
Stylidium maritimum	Р3	-	Р	Dune slopes and flats. Coastal heath and shrubland, open Banksia woodland.	Sep-Nov

CR=critically endangered, EN=endangered, VU=vulnerable, P1-P4=Priority 1-Priority 4, P=perennial, PG=perennial geophyte.

4.2.2 Species inventory

A total of 51 native and 17 non-native (weed) species were recorded within the site during the field survey, representing 33 families. The dominant families containing native taxa were Fabaceae (seven native taxa and one weed taxa) and Poaceae (five native taxa and seven weed taxa). A complete species list is provided in **Appendix C.**

4.2.3 Threatened and priority flora

No threatened or priority flora species were recorded within the site.

The majority of the threatened and priority flora species identified in the database searches are not considered to occur in the site due to lack of suitable habitat and/or because they were not recorded during the field survey. The survey was unable to confirm the presence or absence of the following three priority flora species:



- Conostylis bracteata (P3)
- *Conostylis pauciflora* subsp. *euryrhipis* (P4)
- Conostylis pauciflora subsp. pauciflora (P4).

The likelihood of occurrence assessment is provided in Appendix B.

4.2.4 Locally and regionally significant flora

No locally or regionally significant flora species were recorded within the site.

4.2.5 Declared pests

No flora species listed as a declared pest (C3) pursuant to the BAM Act or as a weed of national significance (WoNS) were recorded.

4.3 Vegetation

4.3.1 Desktop assessment

The database search results identified six TECs and seven PECs occurring or potentially occurring within a 10 km radius of the site. Information on these communities is provided in **Appendix D**.

Based geomorphology, soils and regional vegetation patterns, two TECs and five PECs were considered to have potential to occur in the site:

- 'tuart (Eucalyptus gomphocephala) woodlands and forests of the Swan Coastal Plain' TEC/PEC.
- 'banksia woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain' TEC/PEC
- SCP29a 'coastal shrublands on shallow sands' PEC
- SCP24 'northern Spearwood shrublands and woodlands' PEC
- SCP29b 'acacia shrublands on taller dunes' PEC.

4.3.2 Plant communities

Two locations were sampled in the site using relevés, as shown in Figure 2.

Four plant communities were identified within the site, including two areas of revegetation. The remainder of the site comprises bare ground associated with tracks.

A description and the area of each plant community is provided in **Table 5** and representative photographs of each are provided in **Plate 1** to **Plate 5**. The location of each plant community is shown in **Figure 3**. Raw sample data is provided in **Appendix E**.

Plant community	Description	Area (ha)
ArSgXp	Occasional Eucalyptus gomphocephala over closed shrubland Acacia rostellifera, Spyridium globulosum, Xanthorrhoea preissii and Alyogyne huegelii over low open shrubland Phyllanthus calycinus over herbland *Trachyandra divaricata, *Crassula glomerata and Clematis linearifolia over open grassland Austrostipa spp. and *Lagurus ovatus	4.05

Table 5: Description and extent of plant communities identified within the site

emerge

Plant community	Description	Area (ha)
EgMsLm	Open woodland Eucalyptus gomphocephala over shrubland Melaleuca systena, Olearia axillaris, Acacia lasiocarpa and Hibbertia spp. over herbland Lomandra maritima, Desmocladus flexuosus and Opercularia vaginata over scattered grasses Poa ?porphyroclados.	0.33
Ar	Established revegetation comprising a tall shrubland with Acacia rostellifera, Acacia saligna, Spyridium globulosum and Banksia sessilis	0.12
Revegetation	Recent revegetation over jute matting comprising a low open shrubland/sedgeland with Acacia rostellifera, Olearia axillaris, Scaevola crassifolia and Lepidosperma gladiatum	0.28
Bare ground	Areas of bare ground such as tracks	0.35

 Table 5: Description and extent of plant communities identified within the site (continued)



Plate 1: Plant community **ArSgXp** in 'very good' condition

Plate 2: Plant community **EgMsLm** in 'very good' condition

Plate 3: Plant community Ar in 'good' condition

Plate 4: Plant community revegetation (no condition category applied)

Plate 5: Bare ground in 'completely degraded' condition (right)

4.3.3 Vegetation condition

The majority of the **ArSgXp** vegetation and all of the **EgMsLm** vegetation were mapped as being in 'very good' condition as they support an intact structure and relatively low weed cover and diversity.

Reconnaissance Flora and Vegetation Assessment

Part Lot 3000 on Deposited Plan 44066

emerg

One small patch of ArSgXp vegetation was mapped as being in 'degraded' condition as it showed signs of disturbance with areas of open ground and higher weed cover.

Plant community Ar was mapped as being in 'good' condition because it is has the basic shrubland structure expected of coastal vegetation but with lower native species diversity.

The **revegetation** plant community was not assigned a condition category.

The bare ground was mapped as being in 'completely degraded' condition as it is mostly devoid of vegetation.

The extent of vegetation by condition category is detailed in **Table 6** and shown in **Figure 3**.

Condition category (Gibson <i>et al.</i> 1994)	Size (ha)
Pristine	0
Excellent	0
Very good	4.37
Good	0.12
Degraded	0.01
Completely degraded	0.35
N/A (revegetation)	0.28

Table 6: Extent of vegetation condition categories within the site

4.3.4 Floristic community types

Plant community ArSgXp was determined to represent FCT 24 'northern Spearwood shrublands and woodlands'. R1 grouped with FCT 24 in the cluster analysis, being most similar to one Gibson et al. (1994) site representing FCT 24 with 50% similarity (Table 7).

Plant community EgMsLm was determined to represent FCT 29b 'acacia shrublands on taller dunes, southern Swan Coastal Plain'. R2 grouped with FCT 29b in the cluster analysis, being most similar to one Gibson et al. (1994) site representing FCT 29b with 35% similarity (Table 7).

The relevant portions of the cluster dendrograms showing R1 and R2 are provided in Appendix F.

Other plant communities in the site were considered too degraded to assign to an FCT.

Plant community Sample Most similar Gibson Similarity Most likely floristic **Reservation and** conservation status unit et al. (1994) sites (%) community type (FCT) (Gibson et al. 1994) ArSgXp R1 COOL08 (FCT 24) 50% FCT 24: northern Well reserved Spearwood shrublands and Susceptible woodlands R2 TRIG-1 (FCT 29b) 35% EgMsLm FCT 29b: acacia shrublands Poorly reserved on taller dunes, southern Susceptible Swan Coastal Plain

Table 7: Plant community and likely FCT represented within the site for each sample

4.3.5 Threatened and priority ecological communities

The following TECs and PECs were identified within the site:

- tuart (Eucalyptus gomphocephala) woodlands and forests of the Swan Coastal Plain TEC (1.11 ha)
- tuart (*Eucalyptus gomphocephala*) woodlands and forests of the Swan Coastal Plain PEC (P3) (1.11 ha)
- SCP24 northern Spearwood shrublands and woodlands PEC (P3) (4.04 ha)
- SCP29b acacia shrublands on taller dunes, southern Swan Coastal Plain PEC (P3) (0.33 ha).

The locations of the TEC and PECs within the site are shown in Figure 4.

Three patches of the Commonwealth listed 'tuart (*Eucalyptus gomphocephala*) woodlands and forests of the Swan Coastal Plain' TEC, occur within the site, outlined in **Table 8**.

Table 8: Assessment of site conditions against the tuart (Eucalyptus gomphocephala) woodlands and forests of the Swan Coastal Plain TEC criteria (adopted from (DoEE 2019))

Criteria		Requirements for meeting criteria	Site implications	
1.	Must meet key diagnostic characteristics	 Located in appropriate bioregion and landform. At least 2 living established <i>E. gomphocephala</i> trees with DBH≥ 15cm present in canopy layer and with <60 m between the outer edges of canopies^ Vegetation structure is a woodland, forest, open forest, open woodland, or mallee (various forms). 	 Site is located in appropriate bioregion and landform. The western portion of the site contains three patches which each have at least two living established <i>E. gomphocephala</i> trees with DBH≥ 15cm present in canopy layer and with <60 m between the outer edges of canopies. Vegetation within the patches comprise a woodland to open woodland structure. 	
2.	Must meet size threshold	• A patch must be larger than 0.5 ha [#]	• Each patch is >0.5 ha.	
3.	Must meet condition thresholds	 Patches >5 ha: no condition threshold Patches ≥0.5 - <2 ha: 'very high' or 'high' condition[†] Patches ≥2 - ≤5 ha: 'very high', 'high' or 'moderate' condition[†] 	 Each patch is ≥0.5 - <2 ha. Each patch meets the 'high' condition threshold as ≥60% of all understorey vegetation cover is native, at least eight native understorey species per 0.01 ha and they have an 'important landscape role' (≤100 m to native vegetation). 	
4.	Must incorporate surrounding context	 Breaks (e.g. tracks, cleared areas) < 30 m do not separate vegetation into separate patches The site should be thoroughly sampled in the appropriate season. Survey timing should be appropriate. Surrounding environment should be considered (e.g. connectivity, conservation values, fauna habitat) 	 Breaks such as paths and tracks occur within patches but do not separate the patch. The survey timing was sufficient to determine that the patch represents the TEC. A large portion of each patch lies outside of the site. 	
Result		The site supports three patches of the tuart (<i>Eucalyptus gomphocephala</i>) woodlands and forests of the Swan Coastal Plain TEC, which collectively extend over 1.11 ha.		

^Includes dead trees. Where species of dead tree is unclear it is assumed to be *E. gomphocephala* if its canopy is within 60 m of an identified *E. gomphocephala tree*. #Note that a patch comprises a 30 m buffer around the canopy of each *E*.

gomphocephala canopy tree, may extend beyond a lot boundary and may include areas of bare ground, waterbodies and hardscape. †Using the condition scale provided in (DoEE 2019).

DBCA's *Priority Ecological Community* list indicates that the description, area and condition thresholds that apply to the Commonwealth-listed TEC of the same name also apply to the 'tuart (*Eucalyptus gomphocephala*) woodlands and forests of the Swan Coastal Plain PEC (DBCA 2020). Therefore, a total of 1.11 ha of this PEC occurs within the site.

FCT 24 is synonymous with the state-listed PEC 'SCP24 'northern Spearwood shrublands and woodlands' (P3). FCT 29b is synonymous with the state-listed PEC 'SCP29b acacia shrublands on taller dunes, southern Swan Coastal Plain'(P3).

At the State level, there is limited advice for the SCP24 and SCP29b PECs so it is unclear whether a condition threshold should be applied when identifying their presence. DBCA has historically applied 'good' condition as a threshold for the identification of conservation significant vegetation. Using good condition as a basis for identification, the **ArSgXp** vegetation in 'very good' and 'good' condition is considered to represent SCP24 (total of 4.04 ha) and the **EgMsLm** vegetation in 'very good' condition is considered to represent SCP29b (total of 0.33 ha).

No other TECs or PECs occur within the site.

5 Discussion

No threatened or priority flora species were recorded within the site. The absence of most of the species identified in the database searches was relatively easy to confirm as they were not recorded during the field survey. However, the field survey was undertaken outside of the flowering period of three species of *Conostylis* which are listed as priority: *C. bracteata* (P3), *C. pauciflora* subsp. *euryrhipis* (P4) and *C. pauciflora* subsp. *pauciflora* (P4). Flowers assist in observing these species during a survey and are also required for taxonomic identification. Further survey within the main flowering period of these species (August to September/October) would be required to determine whether they occur in the site.

Assignment of the **EgMsLm** vegetation to FCT 29b was not unexpected, as this community is confined to the Quindalup dunes and has been recorded within the local area (Gibson *et al.* 1994). The high similarity of plant community **ArSgXp** to FCT 24 was unexpected as the site is mapped as being within the Quindalup dunes and this FCT is confined to the Spearwood dunes (Gibson *et al.* 1994). However, soil mapping indicates that the Spearwood dune system is very close to the eastern boundary of the site and so it is likely that the site lies within a geomorphic interzone, with characteristics of both the Quindalup and Spearwood dunes. The species recorded within the **ArSgXp** vegetation are appropriate and so the community was assigned to FCT 24.

Confirming the presence of the tuart (*Eucalyptus gomphocephala*) woodlands and forests of the Swan Coastal Plain TEC was relatively straightforward as the areas of TEC clearly met the diagnostic features and thresholds identified in DoEE (2019). A patch of the TEC may include small areas without understorey vegetation, such as hardscape, that do not significantly alter the overall function of the ecological community (DoEE 2019). Therefore, portions of the footpath were included where they lie within the TEC boundary.

The two PECs, SCP24 and SCP29b, were defined by their associated FCTs and 'good' condition as a threshold. The 'good' condition category indicates native vegetation with a recognisable structure and was considered an appropriate threshold to define the PECs.

6 Conclusions

No threatened or priority flora species were recorded within the site. The current reconnaissance survey was not undertaken in the main flowering season (spring) and further survey would be required to confirm the presence or absence of the following three priority flora species:

- Conostylis bracteata (P3)
- Conostylis pauciflora subsp. euryrhipis (P4)
- Conostylis pauciflora subsp. pauciflora (P4).

Four plant communities were mapped within the site. The majority (85%) of the vegetation was mapped as being in 'very good' condition. The remainder was mapped as being in 'good' condition (2%), 'completely degraded' condition (7%) or were not assigned a condition category (revegetation, 5%).

The following TECs and PECs were identified within the site:

- tuart (*Eucalyptus gomphocephala*) woodlands and forests of the Swan Coastal Plain TEC/PEC (1.11 ha)
- SCP24 northern Spearwood shrublands and woodlands PEC (P3) (4.04 ha)
- SCP29b acacia shrublands on taller dunes, southern Swan Coastal Plain PEC (P3) (0.33 ha).

7 References

7.1 General references

The references listed below have been considered as part of preparing this document.

Alan Tingay & Associates 1999, Pt Lot 2 Burns Beach Flora and Vegetation Survey.

Alan Tingay and Associates 1998, A Strategic Plan for Perth's Greenways - Final Report. December 1998.

Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) 2022, Climate Data Online, <<u>http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/</u>>.

Churchward, H. M. and McArthur, W. M. 1980, 'Landforms and Soils of the Darling System, Western Australia', in Department of Conservation and Environment (ed.), Atlas of Natural Resources Darling System Western Australia, Department of Conservation and Environment.

Clarke, K. R. and Gorley, R. N. 2006, PRIMER v6: User Manual/Tutorial, PRIMER-E, Plymouth.

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) 2021a, Protected Matters Search Tool, <<u>https://pmst.awe.gov.au/#</u>>.

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) 2021b, Threatened Ecological Communities, <<u>https://www.awe.gov.au/environment/biodiversity/threatened/communities</u>>.

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) 2021c, Weeds of National Significance (WoNS), Centre for Invasive Species Solutions (CISS), <<u>https://weeds.org.au/weeds-profiles/</u>>.

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) 2022, Protected Matters Search Tool, <<u>https://pmst.awe.gov.au/#</u>>.

Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) 2017, Ramsar Sites (DBCA-010).

Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) 2018, Directory of Important Wetlands in Australia - Western Australia (DBCA-045).

Department of Biodiversity Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) 2020, Priority Ecological Communities for Western Australia Version 30.

Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) 2021a, Geomorphic Wetlands, Swan Coastal Plain (DBCA-019), <<u>https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset/geomorphic-wetlands-</u> <u>swan-coastal-plain</u>>.

Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) 2021b, NatureMap, <<u>https://naturemap.dbca.wa.gov.au/</u>>.

Department of Environment and Energy (DoEE) 2019, Approved Conservation Advice (incorporating listing advice) for the Tuart (Eucalyptus gomphocephala) woodlands and forests of the Swan Coastal Plain ecological community, Canberra.

Department of Water (DoW) 2008, LiDAR Elevation Dataset, Swan Coastal Plain, Perth.

Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) 2018, Hydrography Linear (Heirarchy) (DWER-031), Perth.

Environment Australia 2000, Revision of the Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (IBRA) and Development of Version 5.1 - Summary Report, Department of Environment and Heritage.

Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) 2016, Technical Guidance - Flora and Vegetation Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment Perth.

Gibson, N., Keighery, B., Keighery, G., Burbidge, A. and Lyons, M. 1994, A Floristic survey of the southern Swan Coastal Plain, Department of Conservation and Land Management and the Conservation Council of Western Australia, Perth.

Government of WA 2000a, Bush Forever - Volume 1: Policies, principles and processes, Perth.

Government of WA 2000b, Bush Forever, Volume 2: Bush Forever Site Descriptions, Perth.

Government of Western Australia 2019, 2018 South West Vegetation Complex Statistics. Current as of March 2019, WA Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth.

Gozzard, J. 2011, Sea to scarp - geology, landscape, and land use planning in the southern Swan Coastal Plain, Geological Survey of Western Australia.

Heddle, E. M., Loneragan, O. W. and Havel, J. J. 1980, 'Vegetation Complexes of the Darling System Western Australia', in Department of Conservation and Environment (ed.), Atlas of Natural Resources Darling System Western Australia, Perth.

Hill, A. L., Semeniuk, C. A., Semeniuk, V. and Del Marco, A. 1996, Wetlands of the Swan Coastal Plain: Volume 2A - Wetland Mapping, Classification and Evaluation, Water and Rivers Commission and the Department of Environmental Protection, Perth.

Keighery, B. 1994, Bushland Plant Survey: A guide to plant community survey for the community, Wildflower Society of WA (Inc), Nedlands.

Keighery, B. J., Keighery, G. J., Longman, V. M. and Clarke, K. A. 2012, Weed and Native Flora Data for the Swan Coastal Plain, Departments of Environmental Protection and Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.

Kendrick, G. W., Wyrwoll, K. H. and Szabo, B. J. 1991, Pliocene-Pleistocene coastal events and history along the western margin of Australia, Quaternary Science Reviews, 10: 419-439.

Molloy, S., Wood, J., Hall, S., Wallrodt, S. and Whisson, G. 2009, South West Regional Ecological Linkages Technical Report, Western Australian Local Government Association and Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth.

NVIS Technical Working Group 2017, Australian Vegetation Attribute Manual: National Vegetation Information System, Department of the Environment and Energy, Canberra.

Seddon, G. 2004, A Sense of Place: a response to an environment, the Swan Coastal Plain Western Australia, Blooming Books, Melbourne.

Western Australian Local Government Association and Perth Biodiversity Project (WALGA and PBP) 2004, Local Government Biodiversity Planning Guidelines for the Perth Metropolitan Region, Perth.

Western Australian Herbarium 2022, Florabase, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA), <<u>https://florabase.dpaw.wa.gov.au/</u>>.

Wetlands Advisory Committee 1977, The status of reserves in System Six, Environmental Protection Authority, Perth.

7.2 Online references

The online resources that have been utilised in the preparation of this report are referenced in **Section 7.1**, with access date information provided in **Table R 1**.

Reference	Date accessed	Website or dataset name
BoM (2022)	4 February 2022	Climate Data Online
DAWE (2021a)	16 December 2021	Threatened Ecological Communities
DAWE (2021b)	16 December 2021	Protected Matters Search Tool
DAWE (2021c)	16 December 2021	Weeds of National Significance (WoNS)
DBCA (2021b)	16 December 2021	NatureMap
WALIA (2022)	14 January 2022	Landgate Map Viewer
Western Australian Herbarium (2022)	14 January 2022	Florabase

Table R 1 Access dates for online references

Figure 1: Site LocationFigure 2: Plant CommunitiesFigure 3: Vegetation ConditionFigure 4: Threatened and Priority Ecological Communities

While Emerge Associates makes every attempt to ensure the accuracy and completeness of data. Emerge accepts no responsibility for externally sourced data usec ©Landgate (2021). Nearmap imagery date: 13/11/2021

While Emerge Associates makes every attempt to ensure the accuracy and completeness of data, Emerge accepts no responsibility for externally sourced data used ©Landgate (2021). Nearmap imagery date: 13/11/2021

While Emerge Associates makes every attempt to ensure the accuracy and completeness of data, Emerge accepts no responsibility for externally sourced data used ©Landgate (2021). Nearmap imagery date: 13/11/2021

iates makes every attempt to ensure the accuracy and completeness of data. Emerge accepts no responsibility for externally sourced data used Vearmap imagery date: 13/11/2021 nile Emerg

©Landgate (2021). Nea