

Document Reference: EP21-094(07)-012 SPL

PERTH OFFICE Suite 4, 26 Railway Road Subiaco Western Australia 6008

P +61 8 9380 4988 F +61 8 9380 9636 emergeassociates.com.au

Emerge Environmental Services Pty Ltd ABN 57144772510 trading as Emerge Associates

4 December 2023

Attention: Native Vegetation Regulation Department of Water and Environmental Regulation Locked Bag 10 JOONDALUP WA 6919

Delivered by email to: info@dwer.wa.gov.au

Dear Sir/Madam,

# CLEARING PERMIT REFERRAL FOR VEGETATION REMOVAL OVER LOT 16, 25 AND 988 VICTORIA ROAD, KENWICK FOR MKSEA DEVELOPMENT

This letter provides supporting information to be read in conjunction with the clearing permit referral form and the following attachments (survey area associated with the flora, vegetation and fauna assessments covers the entire Development Application area (8.99ha)).

- Attachment 1 Development Application DAP Plan (GovWA 2023)
- Attachment 2 Tree Survey, Targeted Flora Survey and Vegetation Advice Lot 25, 16 and 988 Victoria Road, Kenwick (Emerge Associates 2023)
- Attachment 3 Basic Fauna and Targeted Black Cockatoo Assessment, Lot 25, 16 and 988 Victoria Road, Kenwick (Emerge Associates 2023)
- A shape (.shp) file of the native vegetation clearing area has been submitted to Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) as part of the application.

#### 1 OVERVIEW

Emerge Associates (Emerge) have been engaged by Hesperia ('the applicant') to support the preparation of a clearing permit referral which includes flora, vegetation, and fauna surveys. The work undertaken by Emerge supports the applicant to implement their Development Application (DA) for a warehouse hardstand and incidental office on Lots 25, 16 and 988 Victoria Road, Kenwick (the site), which is within Precinct 1 of the Maddington Kenwick Strategic Employment Area (MKSEA).

The site is bounded by Victoria Road to the northeast, Tonkin Highway to the northwest and industrial development to the south.

The site is approximately 8.99 hectares (ha) in size and the proposed buildings and hardstand cover most of the site. The proposed development has an approved DA to construct and build these structures on the site.

In accordance with *Regulation 5, Item 1 'Clearing to construct a building'* a clearing permit is not required as only 0.97 ha of native vegetation is proposed to be cleared. However, two Environmentally Sensitive Areas (ESA) are mapped within the site. This referral refers to these two ESA areas which contain approximately 0.28ha of native vegetation and are located in the southeast portion of Lot 25 and 988 and the southwest portion of Lot 16.

The total site area is approximately 8.99 ha in size and comprises 0.28 ha of native vegetation located within ESA's to be cleared, to which this clearing permit referral applies. A summary of the clearing area values are shown below in **Table 1**:

Table 1: Clearing permit area summary and values

Vegetation Value	Clearing area	
Total native vegetation clearing footprint area	0.28 ha	
Extent of native vegetation (ha)/ Vegetation communities	BAf: 0.19 ha EtAf: 0.075 ha Cc: 0.01 ha	
ESA (yes/no)	Yes	
Vegetation condition summary	Degraded: 0.28ha	
Wetland areas (CCW and REW or none)	None	
Wetland buffers (50m from CCW and REW or none)	N/A	
TECs and PECs	None	
Black cockatoo foraging habitat (ha)	0.28 ha	
Black cockatoo potential breeding trees (number)	Evidence of use: 0 Suitable hollows: 0 No Hollows: 4	

- 0.19 ha of native plant community **BAf** is in a 'degraded' condition.
- 0.075 ha of native plant communities **EtAf** is in 'degraded' condition.
- 0.01 ha of native plant communities **Cc** is in 'degraded' condition.
- Of the total 0.28ha native vegetation to be cleared, the following will be impacted:
  - 0.28 ha of moderate high quality Carnaby's, Baudin's and Forest red-tailed black cockatoo foraging habitat.
  - 4 potential black cockatoo habitat trees. Of these trees, none were identified as having a potentially suitable hollow for breeding purposes. No signs of nesting were identified.

# 2 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The applicant is referring the proposed clearing of 0.28 ha of native vegetation on Lots 25, 16 and 988 Victoria Road, Kenwick to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) under section 51DA of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* as the clearing is considered to have very low environmental impact.

The site is bounded by Victoria Road to the northeast, Tonkin Highway to the northwest and industrial development to the south (See **Figure 1**). The proposed clearing and development will ultimately support a broader development project, relating to the Maddington Kenwick Strategic Employment Area (MKSEA).

The MKSEA precinct 1 development will support industrial land uses, and the proposed clearing outlined in this clearing permit referral will mark the first stages of the industrial development process.

The site is surrounded by existing industrial land uses with scattered remnant vegetation throughout the broader area. The area is currently zoned 'Industrial' under the Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS), and 'Business Development' zone under the City of Gosnells Local Planning Scheme (LPS) No. 6.

The proposed warehouse, hardstand and incidental office cover most of the site (see **Attachment 1**). The proposed development has an approved DA to construct and build these structures on the site.

*Regulation 5, Item 1 'Clearing to construct a building'* provides an exemption from requiring a clearing permit where:

Clearing of a site for the lawful construction of a building or other structure on a property, being clearing which does not, together with all other limited clearing on the property in the financial year in which the clearing takes place, exceed 5 ha, if — (a) the clearing is to the extent necessary; and (b) the vegetation is not riparian vegetation.

The proposed clearing is for less than 5 ha and is not riparian vegetation. However, two ESA's are mapped within the site. These two ESA areas contain 0.28ha of native vegetation and are located in the southeast portion of Lot 25 and Lot 988 and southwest portion of Lot 16 (as shown in **Figure 1**).

Environmental surveys were undertaken by Emerge to support the clearing permit referral and are discussed further below in **Section 3.** 

#### EPBC approval:

An EPBC referral is being prepared simultaneously with this clearing permit referral and will be lodged to the Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW).

#### **3 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS**

#### 3.1 Clearing application area

The proposed clearing area is approximately 0.28 ha in size and includes scattered native vegetation. The location of the site and associated clearing area is shown in **Figure 1**.

Consideration of the clearing principles in the context of the above clearing is provided in Section 6.

#### 3.2 Historical clearing

A review of available historical aerial images (from 1953 onwards) shows that the clearing area has remained largely unchanged in that time (WALIA 2023). Most of the native vegetation within the DA area was removed prior to 1961 and has since either been planted or regrown.

#### 3.3 Flora and vegetation values

The native vegetation clearing area is based on the presence of native vegetation canopy cover and includes canopy cover that extends over existing cleared surfaces. The identified clearing area extent is a conservative approach as it assumes all vegetation that overhangs the road will be removed. Vegetation retention is discussed in **Section 4**.

A tree, flora and vegetation survey (see **Attachment 2**) was undertaken by Emerge Associates on 10 August 2022, 11 August 2022, 18 October 2022 and 23 February 2023.

The survey was undertaken to determine the presence or absence of threatened and priority flora and ecological communities, identify plant communities and vegetation condition.

Three plant communities were identified within the clearing area. Each plant community is described below and assigned a condition as shown in **Figure 2** and **Figure 3**. The data presented in **Table 2** below is specific to the identified native vegetation values.

No threatened and priority flora or threatened and priority ecological communities (TECs) were identified in site surveys.

Plant community and description	Vegetation condition (Keighery 1994)	Clearing area (ha)
<b>BAf</b> – Low open woodland of <i>Banksia menziesii</i> and <i>Allocasuarina</i> fraseriana over open shrubland of <i>Xanthorrhoea preissii</i> over low closed herbland and grassland of predominantly non-native species.	'Degraded'	0.19
<b>EtAf</b> – Low open woodland of <i>Eucalyptus todtiana, Allocasuarina fraseriana</i> over open shrubland of <i>Xanthorrhoea preissii</i> over low closed herbland and grassland of predominantly non-native species.	'Degraded'	0.075
<b>Cc</b> – Very open woodland of <i>Corymbia calophylla</i> over low closed herbland and grassland of predominantly non-native species.	'Degraded'	0.01
Total		0.28

Table 2: Vegetation values identified within the clearing permit application area.

A tree survey was undertaken and found 24 native trees found within the clearing referral area and shown in **Figure 4**.

Table 3: Tree species identified within the clearing area

Tree species	Number to be cleared.
Allocasuarina fraseriana	13
Corymbia calophylla	6
Eucalyptus todtiana	4
Kingia australis	1

#### 3.4 Fauna values

A basic fauna assessment and targeted black cockatoo assessment surveys were conducted by Emerge for the site and the broader MKSEA area, on 10 August 2022, 11 August 2022, 18 October 2022 and 23 February 2023 to determine suitability of habitat for conservation significant fauna (see **Attachment 3**). The following provides a summary of the fauna values relating to referral area.

The basic fauna survey assessed the various habitat types, overall site conditions and the likelihood of the area to provide suitable habitat for conservation significant fauna species. Based on the outcomes of the desktop assessment for the entire MKSEA precinct 1 area, six conservation significant fauna species were considered possible or likely to occur and are summarised in **Table 4** below.

A total of three threatened species were recorded during the survey through foraging evidence including: Carnaby's black cockatoo (EN), Baudin's black cockatoo (EN) and forest red-tailed black cockatoo (VU), and are discussed in further detail below.

Table 4: Conservation significant fauna species recorded within the local area or deemed possible to occur within the application area based on available databases and habitat values identified during site assessment (Emerge Associates 2023a).

Species name	Common name	Status		Habitat description	
		WA	EPBC Act		
Birds					
Apus pacificus	Pacific swift	MI	MI	Aerial, migratory species that is most often seen over inland plains and sometimes above open areas, foothills or in coastal areas. Sometimes occurs over settled areas, including towns, urban areas and cities	
Calyptorhynchus banksii naso	Forest red-tailed black cockatoo	VU	VU	<i>Eucalypt</i> and <i>Corymbia</i> forests, often in hilly interior. More recently also observed in more open agricultural and suburban	

Species name	Common name	Status		Habitat description
		WA	EPBC Act	
				areas including Perth metropolitan area. Attracted to seeding Corymbia calophylla, Eucalyptus marginata, introduced Melia azedarach and Eucalyptus spp. trees
Falco peregrinus	Peregrine falcon	OS	-	Mainly found around cliffs along coasts, rivers, ranges and around wooded watercourses and lakes
Zanda baudinii	Baudin's black cockatoo	EN	EN	Mainly eucalypt forests. Attracted to seeding <i>Corymbia calophylla</i> , Banksia spp., Hakea spp., and to fruiting apples and pears
Zanda latirostris	Carnaby's black cockatoo	EN	EN	Mainly proteaceous scrubs and heaths and adjacent eucalypt woodlands and forests; also plantations of <i>Pinus</i> spp. Attracted to seeding <i>Banksia</i> spp., <i>Dryandra</i> spp., <i>Hakea</i> spp., <i>Eucalyptus</i> spp., <i>Corymbia</i> calophylla, Grevillea spp., and Allocasuarina spp.
Mammals				
lsoodon fusciventer	Quenda	P4	-	Dense scrubby, often swampy, vegetation with dense cover up to one metre high

The targeted black cockatoo habitat assessment identified 6 habitat trees (trees with a diameter at breast height (DBH) 500 mm or greater) within the clearing referral area. Two of these trees have been marked for retention. A detailed hollow assessment was undertaken, which found that none of these trees contained potentially suitable hollows. The location of habitat trees are shown in **Figure 5.** No evidence of roosting activity such as droppings, feathers or branch clippings were observed during the black cockatoo habitat assessments.

The 0.28 ha of native vegetation to be cleared was assessed to also represent approximately 0.28 ha of foraging habitat for the three black cockatoo species. The area of moderate to high quality foraging habitat (detailed in **Attachment 3**) varies based on species (and their individual habitat preferences), but includes approximately:

• 0.28 ha of moderate - high quality Carnaby's, Baudin's and FRTBC foraging habitat

The extent of potential foraging habitat for the black cockatoo species is shown in **Figure 5**, with the highest quality foraging habitat associated with Marri trees scattered throughout the site. Based on the findings from the basic fauna assessment and targeted black cockatoo assessment, the fauna habitat values within the referral area is generally poor. Whilst the area does contain habitat that could be utilised by the black cockatoo it is not considered significant, particularly in the context of the proportion of the available habitat in nearby areas (Korung National Park).

# 3.5 Wetlands

A review of the *Geomorphic Wetlands on the Swan Coastal Plain* dataset, maintained by the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) (DBCA 2020) indicates there are no wetlands located within the site. A conservation category wetland (CCW) (UFI 15115) is located approximately 415m south from the site.

# 4 APPLICATION OF MITIGATION HIERARCHY

In accordance with *A guide to the assessment of applications to clear native vegetation* (DER 2014), the impact mitigation sequence has been considered as part of the proposed clearing, in order to ensure the environmental impact was kept to a minimum.

## 4.1 Avoidance

The applicant initially planned to clear 6 potential nesting trees, this has reduced the 4 trees, with the potential to retain 2 or 3 trees adjacent to Tonkin Highway.

The DA requires landscaped areas bordering the site, which will create a buffer between development and the adjacent bushland to the east (See **Attachment 1**).

#### 4.2 Minimise

The DA includes landscaped areas surrounding the site, which may be able to incorporate vegetation into the landscaping that supports black cockatoo foraging habitat.

While there are no areas of Public Open Space included on the DA plans (as it is an industrial development), there may be opportunities to retain native vegetation (selected trees) in car park and admin outdoor areas.

# 5 PLANNING INSTRUMENTS AND OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL APPROVALS

The DA was considered by the Metro Outer JDAP at its meeting held on 17 August 2023, where in accordance with the provisions of the City of Gosnells Town Planning Scheme No.6, it was resolved to approve the application as per the attached notice of determination.

In accordance with regulation 8 of the Planning and Development (Development Assessment Panels) Regulations 2011, the application for planning approval was granted on 17 August 2023, subject to a range of conditions as outlined in **Attachment 1**.

## 6 **RESPONSE TO EP ACT CLEARING PRINCIPLES**

Under Section 51C of the EP Act, clearing of native vegetation is an offence unless a clearing permit has been obtained or an exemption applies. When assessing clearing permit applications, DWER has regard to the ten clearing principles contained in Schedule 5 of the EP Act so far as they are relevant to the matter under consideration.

In support of this clearing referral, the ten clearing principles have been assessed and detailed below:

#### Principle (a) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

The clearing area is in the Swan Coastal Plain region of Western Australia, which is an area recognised for its high biological diversity. Based on the results of the flora and vegetation assessment undertaken by Emerge in 2023, the application area has been significantly disturbed through historic activities. The application area contains two plant communities and cleared areas ranging from 'degraded' to 'completely degraded' condition as shown in **Figure 2** and **Figure 3**.

At a regional scale, vegetation complex mapping undertaken by Heddle *et al.* (1980) indicates the native vegetation proposed to be cleared as comprising the 'Guildford complex', which is described as vegetation ranging from open forest to tall open forest of *E. calophylla - E. wandoo - E. marginata* and woodland of *E. wandoo* (with rare occurrences of *E. lane-poolei*). Minor components include *E. rudis - M. rhaphiophylla*.

The site is contained within the 'SWA02' or Perth subregion, which is characterised as mainly containing *Banksia* low woodland on leached sands with *Melaleuca* swamps where ill-drained; and woodland of *Eucalyptus gomphocephala* (tuart), *E. marginata* (jarrah) and *Corymbia calophylla* (marri) on less leached soils (Beard 1990). This subregion is recognised as a biodiversity hotspot and contains a wide variety of endemic fauna species.

The proposed clearing does not represent the above vegetation complexes as the plant communities are in a degraded to completely degraded condition due to historical clearing.

No threatened or priority flora species, nor threatened or priority ecological communities have been identified within the referral area.

Due to the level of historical disturbance, the native vegetation is considered to be in a 'degraded' condition, and the limited fauna habitat present within the application area (particularly compared to the broader location), the clearing area does not support a high level of biological diversity.

The proposed clearing is therefore not likely to be at variance with Principle (a).

## <u>Principle (b) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary</u> for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

The fauna assessment (Emerge Associates 2022) observed three species of conservation significance (Carnaby's cockatoo, Baudin's cockatoo and Forest red-tail black cockatoo) occurring within the survey area, while a further three conservation significant fauna species were deemed likely or possible to occur based on observed habitat (see **Attachment 3**). The fauna habitat identified within the site includes scattered trees and shrubs of planted native and non-native trees in amongst degraded patches of Banksia spp, shrubs with weedy understory, lacking microhabitats for small ground dwelling fauna.

Given degraded nature of the native vegetation within the application area, the vegetation is primarily used by widespread native and non-native fauna species with non-specific habitat requirements. The overstory native vegetation species provide suitable habitat for black cockatoos.

Potential impacts related to Baudin's, Carnaby's and the Forest red-tailed black cockatoos, is outlined below.

Black cockatoo foraging habitat

8

The native vegetation under application contains approximately 0.28 ha of foraging habitat for the three black cockatoo species. The area of moderate to high quality foraging habitat (explained in further detail in **Attachment 3**) varies based on species (and their individual habitat preferences), but includes approximately:

• 0.28 ha of moderate - high quality Carnaby's, Baudin's and Forest red-tailed Black Cockatoos foraging habitat

The extent of potential foraging habitat for black cockatoo species to be cleared is shown in Figure 5

For the purposes of this referral, 'high' foraging value is characterised as areas with 50% of known primary food sources and moderate between 10% and 50% as defined by Emerge Associates (Emerge Associates 2023a), and is based on the assessment of the vegetation identified during the detailed surveys.

The foraging habitat within the native vegetation clearing area, does not represent a significant area of habitat for the three black cockatoo species. The foraging habitat within the clearing area extends over a narrow area and there are areas of potential foraging habitat located immediately adjacent to the clearing area.

## Potential black cockatoo breeding and roosting habitat

The clearing area contains 4 black cockatoo habitat trees (trees with diameter at breast height (DBH)  $\ge$  50 cm). The habitat trees comprised four *Corymbia calophylla* (Marri) trees. None of the hollows inspected were considered suitable for black cockatoos.

The location of the habitat trees is shown in Figure 5.

No evidence of roosting such as branch clippings, droppings or feathers were observed within the application area.

As none of the habitat trees contain hollows suitable for use by black cockatoos for breeding, the application area does not currently provide breeding habitat for any of the three species of black cockatoo. The habitat trees within the clearing area have the potential to form suitable hollows in the future. However, it is likely to take many decades for hollows to form that are large enough to be suitable for use by black cockatoos.

#### Consideration of habitat within the referral area in the context of the location and surrounding habitat

Black cockatoos occur within the area under application and broader area, with known roosting sites for the three species, and a breeding site for Carnaby's within 12 km this area.

The removal of native vegetation as proposed in this referral, is unlikely to result in a significant residual impact to the three black cockatoo species. Based on a review of publicly available native vegetation data there is significant areas of foraging, roosting and breeding habitat for the three black cockatoo species within 12 km of the application area.

It is unlikely that clearing associated with this application would result in significant impact to fauna habitat necessary for the maintenance of fauna indigenous to Western Australia. Therefore, the proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance with Principle (b).

# Principle (c) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

There are no records of threatened or priority flora occurring within the application area. The flora and vegetation survey did not identify any threatened or priority flora.

The native vegetation proposed to be cleared is in a degraded condition (0.28 ha) and is unlikely to support threatened or priority flora species.

Therefore, proposed clearing is not at variance with Principle (c).

Principle (d) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.

As outlined in **Section 3.3**, the tree, flora and vegetation assessment confirmed that the plant communities identified within the application area do not represent a threatened ecological community (TEC) or priority ecological community (PEC).

As no TECs or PECs have been identified the application area, the proposed clearing is not at variance with Principle (d).

Principle (e) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

The native vegetation clearing area is located within the Swan Coastal Plain Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (IBRA) region. The Swan Coastal Plain IBRA region has approximately 39.84% of its pre-European (1750) vegetation extent remaining, of which 10.77% is protected. (Government of Western Australia 2019).

The native vegetation clearing area is mapped within the 'Guildford Complex', with an estimated 18.4% of the pre-European vegetation extent remaining on the Swan Coastal Plain (Government of Western Australia 2018). The clearing area comprises 0.0007 % (0.28 ha) of the remaining 'Guildford complex'.

The vegetation proposed to be cleared is in a 'degraded' condition (see **Figure 3**) and is not considered a significant remnant of native vegetation. A review of aerial imagery indicates that extensive areas of native vegetation exist within the local area and its removal would not be a significant change in this context.

The proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance with Principle (e) given the small area being cleared in the context of the surrounding vegetation.

<u>Principle (f) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an</u> <u>environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.</u>

No Ramsar wetlands or defined rivers were identified within or near the application area based.

A review of the Geomorphic Wetlands on the Swan Coastal Plain dataset (DBCA 2020) indicates that no wetlands were identified within or close to the site. As such the principle does not apply.

The proposed clearing is not at variance with Principle (f).

# Principle (g) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

A review of soil landscape mapping (DPIRD 2018) indicates that the majority of the native vegetation under application is within the 'Forrestfield System', which is described as 'undulating foot slopes of the Darling and Whicher Scarps. Duplex sandy gravels, pale deep sands and grey deep sandy duplexes'. (DPIRD 2019).

Salinity mapping (DPIRD-09) indicates the application area and surrounding area is mapped as <3% a moderate to high salinity risk or is presently saline, with a groundwater salinity of 250-500mg/L (DWER 2018).

Any risk of land degradation will be mitigated through controls applied during clearing and construction processes (such as dust suppression, mulching, erosions control and silt traps as required).

The proposed clearing is therefore not likely to be at variance to Principle (g).

Principle (h) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

The proposed clearing is not expected to have an impact on any surrounding areas of conservation significance. The nearest are of conservation significance is a CCW (UFI 15115) located approximately 415m south from the site, with an existing industrial development between the site and the CCW.

The DA highlights landscaped areas bordering the site, which will create a buffer between development and surrounding bushland to the west and east which are not subject for clearing.

The proposed clearing is not at variance to Principle (h).

<u>Principle (i) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause</u> <u>deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.</u>

The area under application is located within an area classified as having low- moderate risk of Acid Sulfate Soils occurring within 3m of the surface.

Issues that could cause a deterioration in water quality in relation to the clearing footprint have been considered as part of the design and can be managed, and therefore the proposed clearing is not likely at variance with Principle (i).

<u>Principle (j) – Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or</u> exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.

The clearing of 0.28 ha native vegetation in this location is not likely to cause or exacerbate the incidence or intensity of flooding.

The proposed clearing is not likely to be at variance with Principle (j).

# 7 SUMMARY

The clearing area under application contains 0.28 ha of native vegetation to be cleared.

The clearance area contains:

- 0.19 ha of native plant community **BAf** is in a 'degraded' condition.
- 0.075 ha of native plant communities **EtAf** is in 'degraded' condition.
- 0.01 ha of native plant communities **Cc** is in 'degraded' condition.
- Of the total 0.28ha native vegetation to be cleared, the following will be impacted:
  - 0.28 ha of moderate high quality Carnaby's, Baudin's and Forest red-tailed black cockatoo foraging habitat.
  - 4 black cockatoo habitat trees. Of these trees, none were identified as having a potentially suitable hollow for breeding purposes. No signs of nesting were identified.

A summary of the clearing principles has been provided in Table 5.

Given the above, the proposed clearing of 0.28 ha of native vegetation on Lots 25, 16 and 988 Victoria Road, Kenwick is considered to have a very low environmental impact in accordance with section 51DA of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* and hence a clearing permit is not required.

#### EPBC approval:

An EPBC referral is being prepared simultaneously with this clearing permit referral and will be lodged to the Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW).

Clearing principle	Levels of variance	Response to clearing permit principle
Principle (a)	Not likely at variance	The native vegetation within the clearing area has been assessed as being in a 'degraded' condition (0.28 ha). Due to the highly degraded nature of the vegetation, the small extent of the clearing, the presence of weeds, the lack of threatened or priority flora, and the lack of high-quality fauna habitat, the native vegetation clearing area is not considered to represent a high level of biological diversity.
Principle (b)	Not likely at variance	The native vegetation clearing area is not likely to provide significant habitat for conservation significant fauna (or more common and widespread fauna species) given the small scope of vegetation and fauna habitat, and the presence of more extensive areas nearby. The three black cockatoo species were identified as key conservation significant species that could be impacted by the proposed clearing. The native vegetation to be cleared includes 0.28 ha of moderate - high quality Carnaby's, Baudin's and Forest Red-tail foraging habitat, and 6 potential future habitat trees for the three black cockatoo species. It is unlikely the three black cockatoo species are reliant on native vegetation within the clearing area as significant habitat.
Principle (c)	Not at variance	No state or commonwealth listed threatened or priority flora species have been recorded within the application area or are considered likely to occur given the highly degraded nature of the vegetation.
Principle (d)	Not at variance	No state or commonwealth listed threatened or priority ecological communities have been identified within the clearing area or are considered likely to occur.
Principle (e)	Not likely at variance	The proposed clearing of native vegetation is in degraded condition. The native vegetation clearing area comprises 0.0007 % (0.28 ha) of the remaining local extent (90,513 ha) of the Guildford vegetation complex and therefore would not significantly change the remaining extent. The vegetation in the application area is not considered to be significant as a remnant.
Principle (f)	Not at variance	The proposed clearing is not at variance with Principle (f) given that no geomorphic wetlands or watercourses were identified within the site.
Principle (g)	Not likely at variance	The proposed clearing is not at variance with Principle (g) given that the proposed clearing will not cause appreciable land degradation.
Principle (h)	Not at variance	No areas of conservation significance are located within the site or nearby.
Principle (i)	Not likely at variance	The proposed clearing is not considered to pose a risk in terms of the deterioration of surface or groundwater given the drainage and erosion design included as part of the proposed works
Principle (j)	Not at variance	The proposed clearing is not likely to cause or exacerbate a risk of flooding given the extent of works and design measures to be implemented to manage surface water.

Should you have any questions regarding the content of this letter, please do not hesitate to contact me on 0468895368.

Yours sincerely

**Emerge Associates** 

Encl: Attachment 1 – Development Application DAP Plan (Concept 2023)
Attachment 2 – *Tree Survey, Targeted Flora Survey and Vegetation Advice – Lot 25, 16 and 988 Victoria Road, Kenwick* (Emerge Associates 2023)
Attachment 3 – Basic Fauna and Targeted Black Cockatoo Assessment, Lot 25, 16 and 988 Victoria Road
Figure 1: Site Boundary
Figure 2: Vegetation Units
Figure 3: Vegetation Condition
Figure 4: Development Impacts – Native Vegetation
Figure 5: Development Impacts – Black Cockatoo Habitat

#### **General References**

- Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) 2020, *Geomorphic Wetlands, Swan Coastal Plain (DBCA-019)*.
- Department of Environment Regulation (DER) 2014, A guide to the assessment of applications to clear native vegetation under Part V Division 2 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986, Perth.
- Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) 2018, Soil Landscape Mapping -Systems (DPIRD-027).
- Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) 2019, Soil Landscape Mapping Best Available (DPIRD-027), Perth, WA.
- Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) 2018, *Groundwater Salinity Satewide (DWER-026)*, Perth, Western Australia <<u>https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset/groundwater-salinitystatewide</u>>.
- Emerge Associates 2022, Basic Fauna and Taregted Black Cockatoo Assessment Lot 822 Youle-Dean Road, Brabham, EP21-131(28)--078 NAW, Version 1.
- Emerge Associates 2023a, Basic Fauna and Targeted Black Cockatoo Assessment Part Ferguson Road, Ferguson, EP22-044(02)--002A NAW, A.
- Emerge Associates 2023b, Detailed Flora and Vegetation Assessment Lots 25, 16 and 988 Victoria Rd Kenwick, EP21-094(05)--011 TAA, 1.
- Government of Western Australia 2018, *Statewide Vegetation Statistics incorporating the CAR Reserve Analysis (Full Report). Current as of December 2017*, WA Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth.
- Government of Western Australia 2019, 2018 South West Vegetation Complex Statistics. Current as of March 2019, WA Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth.
- Heddle, E. M., Loneragan, O. W. and Havel, J. J. 1980, 'Vegetation Complexes of the Darling System Western Australia', in Department of Conservation and Environment (ed.), Atlas of Natural Resources Darling System Western Australia, Perth.
- Keighery, B. 1994, *Bushland Plant Survey: A guide to plant community survey for the community*, Wildflower Society of WA (Inc), Nedlands.

Western Australian Land Information Authority (WALIA) 2023, Landgate Map Viewer Plus.

#### **Online References**

Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) 2020c, Perth Groundwater Map, viewed July 2020, https://maps.water.wa.gov.au/#/webmap/gwm



While Emerge Associates makes every attempt to ensure the accuracy and completeness of data, Emerge accepts no ©Landgate (2023). Nearmap Imagery date: 30/09/2022







While Emerge Associates makes every attempt to ensure the accuracy and completeness of data, Emerge accepts no responsibility for externally sourced data ©Landgate (2023). Nearmap Imagery date: 30/09/2022



While Emerge Associates makes every attempt to ensure the accuracy and completeness of data, Emerge accepts no responsibility for externally sourced data ©Landgate (2023). Nearmap Imagery date: 30/09/2022

# Attachment 1

Development Application DAP Plan (GovWA 2023)

