



## Application for new permit or referral to clear native vegetation

This is the form to submit a referral of proposed clearing or apply for a clearing permit under Part V of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act).

Before you submit this form, please check you have completed all the fields for the form type and fully prepared any required supporting documents (maps etc.). The Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) or Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DEMIRS) will return/decline any forms that are not correctly completed.

To find out more about the stages of assessment for clearing permit forms, see the [Procedure: Native vegetation clearing permits](#).

### Part 1 – Form type

<p>Select your <a href="#">form type</a>.</p> <p><b>Note:</b> Where appropriate in this form, and unless stated otherwise, the terms ‘application’ and ‘applicant’ also mean ‘referral’ and ‘referrer’ respectively.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Referral of proposed clearing (section 51DA of the EP Act)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Application for an area permit (section 51E of the EP Act)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Application for a purpose permit (section 51E of the EP Act)</p>
--	---

<p>Which department are you submitting this form to?</p> <p>If the clearing is for mineral and petroleum activities authorised under the <i>Mining Act 1978</i>, the various petroleum Acts, and/or a State Agreement with areas covered by either mineral or petroleum tenure granted under one of the abovementioned Acts, select ‘Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety’.</p> <p>For all other clearing activities, select ‘Department of Water and Environmental Regulation’.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Department of Water and Environmental Regulation</p>
---	---

## Part 2 – Applicant details

### 2.1 Applicant name

<p><b>For area permits:</b> If granted, the name(s) of (all) landowner(s) will be listed as 'permit holders' on the permit.</p> <p><b>For purpose permits:</b> If granted, the name(s) of (all) applicant(s) will go on the permit.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Applying as an individual – complete the following:	
	Title	<input type="checkbox"/> Mr <input type="checkbox"/> Mrs <input type="checkbox"/> Ms <input type="checkbox"/> Other:
	Name(s)	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Applying as a body corporate or other entity formed at law – complete the following:	
	Name	
	Australian Company Number (ACN)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Applying as a government entity (e.g. government department, local government authority, or other statutory body)		
Name	Rottnest Island Authority	

### 2.2 Applicant contact details

Provide the contact details for the above (primary contact).

Title	
First name	
Last name	
Position	
Company name	
Phone number	
Email address	

### 2.3 Applicant contact postal details

Provide the postal address for the above individual, body corporate or local government authority (primary contact).

Address line 1	
Address line 2	


Suburb
State



**2.4 Applicant contact – registered business address**

If applying as a company, incorporated body, local government authority or public authority, please also supply the registered business office address.

Address line 1
Address line 2
Suburb
State
Phone number



**2.5 Electronic correspondence consent**

DWER/DEMIRS prefer to send all correspondence via email. We request that you consent to receiving all correspondence relating to instruments and notices under Part V of the EP Act via email. Please indicate your consent in this section of the form.

I consent that all written correspondence between myself (the applicant) and DWER/DEMIRS (as applicable) about the subject of this form will be exclusively via email, using the email address provided above.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
--	---


**2.6 Contact details for enquiries**

If different from the applicant’s contact details, enter the contact details of a person with whom DWER/DEMIRS should liaise with (e.g. a consultant).


Same as applicant’s contact details	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
-------------------------------------	---

If ‘No’ – complete the following:

Title
Contact name
Position (if applicable)
Company name (if applicable)
Phone number



Email address
Business or postal address line 1
Business or postal address line 2
Suburb
State



### Part 3 – Land details

- You must accurately describe the location of the land where your clearing is proposed.
- Provide copies of associated documents registered against the Certificate of Title (limitations, interests, encumbrances or notifications) where the clearing may impact them (i.e. caveats within freehold Lots).
- If you have a large number of properties, please provide the relevant details for each property in a separately attached supporting document.

I have a large number of properties and have given the relevant details in an attached supporting document.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes – skip to Part 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
---	--

If 'No' – complete the following:

<b>Land description</b> Provide the following details, as applicable, for all properties: – volume and folio number – lot or location number(s) – crown lease or reserve number – pastoral lease number – mining tenement number	Lot 10976 on Deposited Plan 216860		
Street address – Line 1	Parker Point Road		
Street address – Line 2			
Suburb	Rottnest Island		
State	WA	Postcode	6161
Local government area(s)	City of Cockburn		
Land zoning	N/A		

## Part 4 – Relationship to landowner

Tell us which of the following options best describes you as the person completing and submitting this form. If you are filling out this form on behalf of the applicant, answer this question as though you are the applicant.

Proof of ownership may include:

- a certificate of title
- a pastoral or mining lease
- public authority that has care, control or management of the land
- other form of lease, land tenure or specific arrangement.

Relationship to landowner (select one of the following options)	Complete the following
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I am the landowner	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Attach proof of ownership
<input type="checkbox"/> I am lodging a form on behalf of the landowner (e.g. a consultant)	<input type="checkbox"/> Attach proof of ownership
<input type="checkbox"/> I am acting on the landowner's behalf and will be jointly responsible for the clearing permit (i.e. joint form)	<input type="checkbox"/> Attach proof of ownership <input type="checkbox"/> Attach a letter, in which the landowner authorises you to act on their behalf and acknowledge they will be jointly responsible for the clearing permit
<input type="checkbox"/> I am likely to become the landowner	<input type="checkbox"/> Attach evidence of the pending transfer of ownership, including details of current proprietor on certificate of title, and/or contract of sale ('offer and acceptance')
<input type="checkbox"/> I will undertake the clearing activities with the landowner's authority and will be the permit holder	<input type="checkbox"/> Attach proof of ownership <input type="checkbox"/> Attach a letter, in which the landowner authorises you to access and clear native vegetation within the property(ies) as detailed in section 3.1 (if the applicant is not the landowner)
<input type="checkbox"/> I am a person with multiple land parcels within which clearing is proposed	<input type="checkbox"/> Attach proof of ownership and/or <input type="checkbox"/> Attach letters, in which the landowner authorises you to access and clear native vegetation within the properties as detailed in section 3.1 (if the applicant is not the landowner)
<input type="checkbox"/> I will undertake the clearing activities through the exercise of power conferred by different legislation (e.g. the <i>Energy Operators (Powers) Act 1979</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/> Provide relevant legislative details:

## Part 5 – Proposed clearing

### 5.1 Maps and/or spatial data

<p>Select which map type(s) you will attach with your form.</p> <p>Note: DWER/DEMIRS will decline/return forms (as applicable) if you do not provide sufficient information for this question.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An ESRI shapefile with the following properties (preferred)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Geometry type: polygon shape</li> <li>• Coordinate system: Geocentric Datum of Australia (GDA) 2020 (geographic latitude/longitude)</li> <li>• Datum: GDA 2020</li> </ul> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An aerial photograph or map with a north arrow, clearly marking the proposed clearing area</p> <p>Note:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An ESRI shapefile must use one of the following filename extensions: .shp, .shx, .dbf, and/or .prj</li> <li>• You must provide an ESRI shapefile if the form requires an assessment under an <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (Cth) (EPBC Act) accredited process. See Part 8 of this form for more information.</li> </ul>
--	---

### 5.2 Size

- If you propose to clear a patch(es) of vegetation, enter a hectare value for the total size of the area (mark number of trees as zero). For example, “clearing of 5 hectares”.
- If you propose to clear only individual trees (i.e. the shrubs, grasses, groundcover plants will remain intact), provide the number of trees. For example, “clearing of 10 trees”. If any shrubs, grasses, and/or groundcover plants **may** be damaged in the clearing process, enter the total area only.
- If you propose to clear an area of native vegetation within a larger footprint, enter the hectare value for the total size of the area to be cleared (mark number of trees as zero) and the size of the footprint. For example, 5 hectares of clearing within a 10-hectare footprint. This option is only available for purpose permit applications.
- Enter values for **both** number of trees and the size of the area **only** if you are clearing individual trees in one area **and** a patch of vegetation in a different area.
- Please note the following area conversions/calculations:
 

1 hectare = 10,000 m <sup>2</sup>	Area of circle = 3.14 x radius <sup>2</sup>
1 acre = 0.4 hectares/4,000 m <sup>2</sup>	Area of a rectangle = length x width
1 tree = 0.01 hectares/100 m <sup>2</sup>	Area of a triangle = ½ length x perpendicular height

Total area of clearing proposed (hectares)	<b>0.1 ha</b>
Footprint of clearing (hectares) (purpose permit only)	<b>0.19 ha</b>
Number of individual trees to be cleared	<b>N/A</b>

Note: Calculate the area of a tree based on the area encompassed by the tree’s drip line; that being the outermost circumference of the tree’s canopy.

5.3 Purpose

<p>Provide the reason for proposed clearing (e.g. road construction, grazing and pasture, hazard reduction, horticulture, timber harvesting etc.).</p> <p>If applicable, provide any additional project overview or explain in detail the activities on the property (e.g. provide context of work proposed and describe how clearing will contribute to overall work activities onsite etc.).</p>	<p>The Applicant has identified the requirement for an asset protection zone (APZ) adjacent to the project footprint of proposed staff housing. An APZ is an area of low fuel levels and managed vegetation adjacent to habitable or specified buildings and infrastructure to lessen the impact of an advancing bush fire.</p> <p>In order to comply with the Planning for Bushfire Guidelines (WAPC 2024), the maintenance of some native vegetation within the APZ will be required. Vegetation maintenance within the APZ, applicable to this clearing application, includes removal of dead vegetation and fallen dead material (0.098 ha) and the clearing of 0.003 ha of living native vegetation.</p> <p>The desktop and site assessment of the environmental values within the APZ concluded that the proposed vegetation maintenance and clearing within the APZ is not significant, as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Removal of living vegetation has been minimised where possible, resulting in the loss of 0.003 ha of living plants</li> <li>• The proposed activities mainly comprise the clearing of dead debris (0.098 ha). Therefore, it is not considered a significant environmental impact. A review of similar referrals supports this conclusion (e.g. referrals REF 11180/1 and REF 11117/1)</li> <li>• The retention of vegetation where possible has minimised fragmentation.</li> </ul> <p>Assessment against the 10 clearing principles is provided in Section 4.3 of the supporting document and assessment against the referral requirements and criteria is provided in Section 4.4 of the supporting document.</p>
<p>Specify what the final land use will be after clearing</p>	<p>The proposed clearing is for the maintenance of the APZ. Although proposed as an APZ, the land use will not change, with 0.09 ha of native vegetation proposed to be retained and managed within the APZ.</p>

**5.4 Method**

<p>Proposed method of clearing (i.e. burning, cutting, draining, flooding, grazing, mechanical clearing/bulldozing or other – specify)</p>	<p>Removal / relocation of dead material and clearing of living native vegetation within the APZ will be undertaken by hand and under the guidance of a bushfire planning consultant to ensure vegetation maintenance is in accordance with the requirements of Planning for Bushfire Guidelines (WAPC 2024), and that any pruning is undertaken in a manner that will not impact the health of vegetation.</p>
--	---

**5.5 Timeframe**

<p>Period within which you propose to do the clearing (e.g. 1/7/2022 to 30/8/2024)</p>	<p>Clearing will be undertaken over a three week period between:  Start date: 1 March 2026  End date: 1 August 2026</p>
--	---

Note: The clearing referral process is not suitable for any clearing that is expected to take longer than two years.

**5.6 Pre-application scoping**

Historic clearing of native vegetation in the Swan Coastal Plain and Avon Wheatbelt [Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia](#) (IBRA) bioregions has been extensive.

DWER/DEMIRS strongly recommends a pre-application meeting if you propose to clear native vegetation within these bioregions.

<p>Do you propose to clear native vegetation within the Swan Coastal Plain or Avon Wheatbelt bioregions?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes – complete section below</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I have had a pre-application meeting with DWER/DEMIRS</p>	
	<p>Insert date of meeting and officer name(s):</p>	<p>Ongoing liaison has been undertaken with DWER in regard to the proposed clearing and vegetation maintenance within the APZ.</p> <p>Liaison was undertaken with Alyse Arkless via phone and email. Key correspondence was undertaken on 19/06/2025, 14/11/2025 and 18/12/2025. Correspondence is provided in Appendix B of the Supporting Document.</p>
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> I have contacted DWER/DEMIRS in relation to a pre-application meeting and been advised that a meeting is unnecessary in this case.</p>	
<p>Insert date of conversation and officer name(s):</p>		

	<input type="checkbox"/> I have not had a pre-application meeting with DWER/DEMIRS. I understand that this may increase the likelihood of requests for further information and/or delays in assessment.  <input type="checkbox"/> No – proceed to next question.
--	--

## Part 6 – Mitigation hierarchy

### 6.1 Avoidance and mitigation

Explain how you have, or will, put avoidance and mitigation measures in place to eliminate, reduce, or otherwise mitigate the need for and scale of the proposed clearing of native vegetation.

Attach supporting documents to substantiate your explanation.

Your explanation should demonstrate you have planned the project so that the least clearing possible is to be undertaken. The following questions may help you frame your explanation:

- Why did you select this location and amount of clearing?
- What alternatives to clearing – e.g. engineering solutions – did you consider? (Attach design drawings where applicable)
- What changes, if any, did you make to the location or amount of clearing to reduce the impacts of the clearing?

Note: If you do not demonstrate adequate efforts to avoid and mitigate clearing, DWER/DEMIRS will ask you to do so during the validation of this application. Offsets will only be considered by DWER/DEMIRS as a last resort, once avoidance and minimisation measures have been clearly demonstrated.

Provide the avoidance details (e.g. retention of vegetation on property)	<p>The Applicant’s consultant Bushfire Prone Planning (BPP) undertook a site assessment to identify areas of vegetation and dead material requiring removal within the APZ and those areas of native vegetation that could be retained and managed.</p> <p>The key mitigation and avoidance measures implemented by BPP to achieve acceptable bushfire risk outcomes, while protecting environmental values are summarised below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Variation from the generic guideline requirement for a minimum 3 m vegetation separation distance was achieved through a site assessment of bushfire hazards, fuel characteristics, vegetation structure, asset vulnerability and ongoing land management practices. Variation from this setback requirement minimised the potential clearing by up to 0.01 ha</li> <li>• Implementation of performance based fuel management instead of full vegetation clearance of the APZ.</li> </ul> <p>BPP’s justification for variation from the 2024 Planning for Bushfire Guidelines is provided in further detail in Section 4.2 of the Supporting Document. BPP’s report is provided in Appendix A of the Supporting Document.</p> <p>BPP identified that 0.09 ha of native vegetation (47% of the overall APZ) could be retained and managed (i.e. through pruning) while still providing protection from bushfire. BPP states that the proposed variation from the</p>
--	--

	prescriptive vegetation separation distances is a defensible, outcomes-based solution consistent with the 2024 Planning for Bushfire Guidelines and SPP 3.7 – Bushfire. The approach achieves acceptable bushfire risk outcomes while protecting the significant environmental values of the island.
Provide the mitigation details (e.g. management of weed spread, rehabilitation)	Implementation of a Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) would reduce the risk of spread or introduction of weeds, <i>Phytophthora</i> dieback and / or erosion within the APZ. Measures outlined in the CEMP will also ensure that vehicles, equipment and machinery will be clean and free of vegetation / soil prior to being mobilised.  The retention of 0.09 ha of vegetation will minimise the risk of soil erosion.

## 6.2 Offsets

Do you want to submit a clearing offset proposal with your form?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If 'Yes' – please complete and attach Appendix A of the <a href="#">Clearing of native vegetation offsets procedure</a> guideline as a supporting document for your form.	<input type="checkbox"/> Appendix A attached

## Part 7 – Surveys for assessments (IBSA and IMSA)

Do you want to submit marine or biodiversity surveys in support of your form?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No – skip to Part 8
---	--

### 7.1 Biodiversity surveys

If you want to submit any biodiversity surveys to support this form, you must follow the Environmental Protection Authority's (EPA) [Instructions for the preparation of data packages for the Index of Biodiversity Surveys for Assessments](#) (IBSA). If you do not meet the IBSA requirements, DWER/DEMIRS will decline/return your form.

Please provide the IBSA number(s) – or submission number(s) if the IBSA number has not yet been issued – in the space provided. Note that a submission number is not confirmation that a biodiversity survey has been accepted and is not the same as an IBSA number. IBSA numbers are only issued once a survey has been accepted. Once an IBSA number is issued, please notify DWER/DEMIRS. Please note DWER/DEMIRS will suspend the assessment timeframes for your application until you provide the IBSA number(s).

Have you submitted all the biodiversity surveys that support this form to the <a href="#">Index of Biodiversity Surveys for Assessment</a> ?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable
Provide an IBSA number (preferred) or a submission number(s)	IBSASUB-20220912-DD976191  IBSASUB-202200518-F263C892

## 7.2 Marine surveys

If you want to submit any marine surveys to support this form, you must follow the EPA's [Instructions for the preparation of data packages for the Index of Marine Surveys for Assessments](#) (IMSA). If you do not meet the IMSA requirements, DWER/DEMIRS will decline/return your form.

Have you prepared all the marine surveys that support this form in accordance with the EPA's <i>Instructions for the preparation of data packages for the Index of Marine Surveys for Assessments</i> ?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not applicable
---	--

## Part 8 – Assessment bilateral agreement

The native vegetation clearing processes under Part V of the EP Act have been accredited by the Commonwealth of Australia under the EPBC Act and so can be assessed under an assessment bilateral agreement.

To be assessed this way, the proposed clearing action must have been referred to the Commonwealth under the EPBC Act and deemed a '**controlled action**' before you submit this form. DWER/DEMIRS will decline to deal with your application without the proposed clearing first being deemed a controlled action.

For further information, see DWER's [guidance on the assessment bilateral agreement](#).

Do you want your proposed clearing action assessed in accordance with, or under, an EPBC Act Accredited Process, such as the assessment bilateral agreement or accredited assessment?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No – skip to Part 9	
Is your proposed clearing a controlled action?  If 'Yes', please make sure you have entered all the mandatory details in the <a href="#">Annex C7 form</a>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes  EPBC number: <input type="text"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Annex C7 form attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (DWER/DEMIRS cannot assess the application under an EPBC Act Accredited Process)
List the controlling provisions identified in the notification of the controlled action decision		

## Part 9 – Other approvals

### 9.1 Environmental impact assessment (Part IV of the EP Act)

Clearing may be referred to the EPA if it is considered to be part of a 'significant proposal', as defined by section 37B(1) of the EP Act, or will likely to be part of a larger development. An example is when the clearing is for a road to a future mine.

Section 37B(1) of the EP Act defines a 'significant proposal' as "a proposal likely, if implemented, to have a significant effect on the environment". If a decision-making authority

OFFICIAL

(e.g. DWER/DEMIRS) considers the proposal in this form is likely to constitute a 'significant proposal', under section 38(5) of the EP Act they must refer the proposal to the EPA under Part IV, if such a referral has not already been made.

<p>Has the proposed clearing or any related matter been referred to the EPA?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>Enter details:</p> <p>A Clearing Permit (CPS 9883/1) was approved on 6 December 2023 for clearing 2.78 ha of vegetation which encompasses the project footprint for the staff accommodation. On 16 May 2025 following a third-party referral the EPA determined the proposal was not to be assessed under part IV of the EP Act. Advice given (Not appealable).</p> <p>RPS considers that a separate clearing application, rather than an amendment to the existing clearing permit, is required for the APZ as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The purpose of the proposed clearing within the APZ differs to that of existing CPS 9883/1</li> <li>- The proposed clearing within the APZ is not extensive and is limited to the removal of fallen dead material (0.0.098 ha), removal of 0.003 ha of living vegetation and pruning required to comply with relevant guidelines</li> <li>- The impacts from the proposed clearing within the APZ differ to those within the CPS 9883/1, as summarised below:             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Due to the retention of vegetation where possible, and relocation of dead material, impacts to fauna and fauna habitat are not considered of material consequence. The proposed vegetation maintenance will not result in significant fragmentation of habitat, as detailed further in the assessment against the 10 clearing principles (Section 4.3)</li> <li>b. There is 0.18 ha of a threatened ecological community (TEC) mapped within the APZ. A further 44.39 ha of vegetation analogous with the TEC was recorded proximate to the APZ. Impacts to the TEC within the APZ comprises 0.2% of the TEC recorded by FVC (2025) proximate to the APZ.</li> </ol> </li> </ul> <p>Further details on the proposed clearing of up to 0.1 ha (0.098 ha dead debris and 0.003 ha of living vegetation) is provided in the Supporting Document which concludes that the proposed vegetation maintenance and clearing within the APZ is not significant, as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Vegetation has been retained where possible, minimising fragmentation</li> <li>- No formal ecological linkages have been identified</li> </ul>
--	---

		<p>within the APZ. Vegetation to the south of Brand Way comprises vegetation forming a local ecological linkage. Although separated from this linkage by Brand Way, there may be some movement between the vegetation within the APZ and this linkage. Due to the retention of stands of native vegetation throughout the APZ, movement of fauna through this area will not be impacted</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Removal of living vegetation has been minimised where possible, resulting in the loss of 0.003 ha</li> <li>- The retention of patches of vegetation throughout the APZ will minimise the risk of erosion</li> <li>- Vegetation removal and maintenance within the TEC is limited to:             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I. The relocation or removal of dead debris and vegetation (0.09 ha of the TEC)</li> <li>II. Clearing 0.003 ha of living native vegetation comprising the TEC</li> <li>III. The retention of 0.09 ha of vegetation comprising the TEC. Maintenance of this vegetation will include pruning to ensure compliance with bushfire guidelines.</li> </ol> </li> <li>- An assessment against the 10 clearing principles as outlined in Schedule 5 of the <i>Environmental Protection Act 1986</i> determined that the proposal is not at variance to any of the principles.</li> </ul>		
<p>If 'No' – do you intend to refer the proposal to the EPA?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> No – complete question below.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes – intend to refer (proposal is a 'significant proposal')</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes – intend to refer (proposal will require a section 45C amendment to the current Ministerial Statement)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No – a current valid Ministerial Statement applies</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Enter Ministerial Statement number:</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No – not a significant proposal</p>	Enter Ministerial Statement number:	
Enter Ministerial Statement number:				

**9.2 Other approvals – works approval, licence or registration (Part V Division 3 of the EP Act)**

<p>Have you applied or do you intend to apply for a works approval, licence, registration or an amendment to any of the above, under Part V Division 3 of the EP Act?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Application</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Application	
Application			